Rare Kaon and Charm Decays at LHCb

Paras Naik

LHCD

on behalf of the LHCb collaboration

CKM 2023 (Santiago de Compostela), 18 September 2023 1

Overview

- LHCb searches for rare transitions that are highly suppressed or forbidden by the SM: mostly FCNCs (but also LFV).
- **BSM** scenarios could contribute at tree & loop level.
- **Usually involve leptonic final states**
	- Experimentally, muon signatures are usually easier to detect.
- Large Strange and Charm production from LHC collisions!
- Strange decay products can be low p_T (as low as 80 MeV), distinguished by looking for separation between the PV and the decay vertex.
	- **Trigger improvements since Run 2 have increased selection** efficiencies by an order of magnitude compared to Run 1.
- LHCb is designed for Charm, most originating near the PV with high p_T .

LHCb Experiment: Tracking

- ! Accurate decay time resolution from our vertex locator (VELO)
- High muon reconstruction efficiency from muon stations
- Good momentum resolution from tracking stations, $\Delta p/p = 0.5\% 1.0\%$

LHCb Experiment: Particle ID and Trigger

! p/K/π separation provided by Ring Imaging Cherenkov (**RICH**) detectors

- The ability to identify particles at LHCb is crucial to many of our analyses.
- **Excellent trigger allows us to trigger on tracks with lower pT**

Trigger evolution for Run 3

- LHCb has removed the Level-0 Hardware Trigger. In Run 3 we readout the full detector in every event (30 MHz).
- **Run 1 + 2 hardware approach was based on** simple detector signals to reduce rate to 1 MHz before events reach software trigger.
- **Software trigger approach enables efficiency** gain – typically factor between 3 and 10 for Heavy Flavour channels.
- **First stage of software trigger is GPU based.**
- **U With relatively little additional integrated** luminosity, can get very large samples compared to existing datasets.

[PRL 125 \(2020\) 231801](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.125.231801)

Search for K0S → μ⁺ μ− decays

- **SM** prediction is tiny $\mathcal{B}(K_S\rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)$ (SM) = $[5.18 \pm 1.50$ (LD) \pm 0.02 (SD)] \times 10⁻¹²
- BSM dynamics can induce higher $\mathscr B$
- Last LHCb publication studied full Run 2 data sample, limit uses Run 1 + Run 2
- $\mathcal{B}(K_S\to \mu^+\mu^-)$ < 2.1 × 10⁻¹⁰ (90% CL)

[PRL 125 \(2020\) 231801](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.125.231801)

Search for K0S → μ⁺ μ− decays

- SM prediction is tiny $\mathcal{B}(K_S\to\mu^+\mu^-)$ (SM) = $[5.18 \pm 1.50$ (LD) \pm 0.02 (SD)] \times 10⁻¹²
- **BSM** dynamics can induce higher \mathscr{B}
- BSM dynamics can induce higher \mathcal{B}
Last LHCb publication studied full Run 2
data sample, limit uses Run 1 + Run 2
 $\mathcal{B}(K_S \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)$ < 2.1 × 10⁻¹⁰ (90% CL) data sample, limit uses Run 1 + Run 2
- \blacksquare $\mathscr{B}(K_S\to\mu^+\mu^-)$ < 2.1 × 10⁻¹⁰ (90% CL)
- Expected to reach sensitivity close to the SM prediction with the Phase II upgrade (300 fb−1)

Ranges shown for expected sensitivity are from [LHCb-TALK-2017-164](https://cds.cern.ch/record/2270191)

CKM, 18 September 2023

7

PRD 108, L031102 (2)

Search for K0S(L) → μ⁺ μ− μ⁺ μ− decays

- **SM prediction [Eur. Phys. J. C 73 (2013) 2678]:** $\mathcal{B}(K^0_{\text{S(L)}} \to \mu^+ \mu^- \mu^+ \mu^-) \sim 10^{-14} (10^{-13})$
- **Models with Dark Photons can enhance the SM branching fraction** prediction by two orders of magnitude. [[Rep. Prog. Phys. 86 016201\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2201.07805)
- Use 2016 2018 data (5.1 fb−1). K⁰_{S(L)} coming from PV. $K⁰s$ or $K⁰L$ state hard to distinguish due to decay time acceptance. OK for placing limits, but an observation would simply be called K^o.
- Blind analysis with control mode K^0 _S $\rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$.
- ! Background contributions (combinatorial + inelastic collisions with material) minimized through BDT training.

PRD 108, L031102

Search for K0S(L) → μ⁺ μ− μ⁺ μ− decays

- **Main source of systematic uncertainty** comes from the trigger.
- K^0 _S and K^0 _L branching fraction limits are independent, each assuming negligible contributions from the other.
- No significant signal observed.
- **Preliminary results: first upper limits set** for both decays at 90% C.L.:

$$
\mathcal{B}(K^0_S \to \mu^+ \mu^- \mu^+ \mu^-) < 5.1 \times 10^{-12}
$$

$$
\mathcal{B}(K^0_L \to \mu^+ \mu^- \mu^+ \mu^-) < 2.3 \times 10^{-9}
$$

Paras Naik, University of Liverpool

PRD 108, L031102

Search for K0S(L) → μ⁺ μ− μ⁺ μ− decays

- **Main source of systematic uncertainty** comes from the trigger.
- K^0 _S and K^0 _L branching fraction limits are independent, each assuming negligible contributions from the other.
- No significant signal observed.
- **Preliminary results: first upper limits set** for both decays at 90% C.L.:

$$
\mathcal{B}(K_{\rm S}^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^- \mu^+ \mu^-) < 5.1 \times 10^{-12}
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{B}(K_{\rm I}^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^- \mu^+ \mu^-) < 2.3 \times 10^{-9}
$$

Paras Naik, University of Liverpool

CKM, 18 September 2023

Rare Strange Future Prospects

Expect to gain an order of magnitude in B(K⁰S(L) $\rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\mu^+$ **) after** Upgrade I (also K^0 _S $\rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$).

- ! Interesting channels:
	- \blacksquare K⁰_S → π+π−μ+μ− → highly constrained by phase space
	- K^0 _S → (π/μ/e)+(π/μ/e)−e+e− → very low electron efficiencies.
	- \blacktriangleright Σ⁺ → pμ⁺μ⁻ (Run 2 update, in progress)
	- \blacksquare K^o_S \rightarrow eµ, K⁺ \rightarrow π⁺eµ \rightarrow Lepton flavor violation

[PRL 131, 041804 \(2023\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.131.041804)

Search for D0 → μ⁺ μ− decays

- FCNC + helicity suppression [Branching fraction expected at O(10⁻¹¹)] PRD 66 (2002) 014009
- Current world-best limit (1 fb−1): $\mathcal{B}(D^0 \to \mu^+\mu^-)$ < 6.2 × 10⁻⁹ (90% C.L.) [PLB 725 (2013) 15]
- ! Leptoquark models explaining B anomalies contribute at tree level for D [PRD 79 (2009) 114030]
- ! Selection strategy chosen to minimise the combinatorial + misID backgrounds: BDT + PID

Search for D0 → μ⁺ μ− decays

- Full Run 1 + 2 analysis (9 fb−1). D⁰ from D^{*+} → D⁰π⁺.
- Signal yield measured from a 2D unbinned ML fit to m($D⁰$) and Δm .
- Peak is mostly D^0 → $π$ ⁺π− mis-ID (D^0 → h−π⁺ used as normalization mode)
- Main systematic uncertainty comes from normalization mode trigger.

$$
\mathcal{B}(D^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-) < 3.1(3.5) \times 10^{-9} \text{ at } 90(95)\% \text{C.L.}
$$

[PRL 131, 041804 \(2023\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.131.041804)

PRL 128 (2022)

D0 → h+ h[−] μ⁺ μ− Angular Analysis

- Sensitive to FCNCs.
- Final state observed by LHCb with 2012 data \rightarrow Compatible with SM. [PRL 119 (2017)181805]
	- Five kinematic variables: $q^2 \equiv m^2(\mu^+\mu^-)$, $p^2 \equiv m^2(h^+h^-)$, θ_μ , θ_h , ϕ .
	- Differential decay rate:

$$
\frac{d^5\Gamma}{dq^2dp^2d\Omega}=\frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{i=1}^9c_iI_i
$$

 $c_{1-9} \rightarrow$ angular basis $l_{1-9} \rightarrow$ angular coefficients

- Coefficients measured integrating out the hadronic system.
- Experimentally, *I* are computed as the decay-rate asymmetries of the data split by angular tags, for example:

$$
\langle I_2\rangle=\frac{1}{\Gamma}\big(\Gamma(|\cos\theta_\mu|>0.5)-\Gamma(|\cos\theta_\mu|<0.5)\big)
$$

128 (2022)

D0 → h+ h[−] μ⁺ μ− Angular Analysis

- Measure separately for D^0 and D^0 (Run 1 + 2).
- Flavor average and CP asymmetries:

$$
\langle S_i \rangle = \frac{1}{2} (\langle I_i \rangle \pm \langle \overline{I}_i \rangle) + \rightarrow CP \text{ even} \n- \rightarrow CP \text{ odd} \n\langle A_i \rangle = \frac{1}{2} (\langle I_i \rangle \mp \langle \overline{I}_i \rangle) \qquad I_i(\overline{I}_i) \rightarrow \text{ coefficient for } D^0(\overline{D}^0)
$$

• CP asymmetry of the decay angular integrated rate:

$$
A_{CP} = \frac{\Gamma(D^0 \to h^+h^-\mu^+\mu^-) - \Gamma(\overline{D}^0 \to h^+h^-\mu^+\mu^-)}{\Gamma(D^0 \to h^+h^-\mu^+\mu^-) + \Gamma(\overline{D}^0 \to h^+h^-\mu^+\mu^-)}
$$

- If only SM contributions: $\langle S_{5-7} \rangle = 0$
- $\langle A_{2-9}\rangle$, A_{CP} \rightarrow Expected to be below current sensitivity.
- Results: SM null tests consistent with zero within \sim 1%.
- Global p-value ~ 79% for D⁰ $\rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\mu^+\mu^-$ (0.3σ)
- Global p-value ~ 0.8% for D⁰ → K+K- μ + μ (2.7σ)
- First full angular analysis in a rare charm decay.

CKM, 18 September 2023

D+ and Ds+: Search for Rare and Forbidden Decays [JHEP06\(2021\)044](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2021)044)

- Searches for 25 rare and forbidden decays of $D_{(s)}^{+}$ performed by LHCb
- FCNC [branching fractions O(10⁻¹²) predicted], LFV, LNV modes
- ! Upper limits established for all decay modes
- These results (from 1.6 fb⁻¹ of 2016 data) represent an improvement on existing limits by one to two orders of magnitude, in most cases.

Paras Naik, University of Liverpool

Eur. Phys. J. C (2023)

Search for D*0 → μ⁺ μ− decays (New!)

- ! Leptonic decays of vector mesons are highly suppressed [Ο(10−19)]
	- Partially due to large widths of strong decays like D^{*0}
- CMD-3 measured $\mathcal{B}(D^{*0} \rightarrow e^+e^-)$ < 1.7 × 10⁻⁶ at 90% CL Phys. Atomic Nuclei 83 (2020) 954
- Absence of chiral suppression predicts $\mathcal{B}(D^{*0} \rightarrow e^+e^-) = \mathcal{B}(D^{*0} \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)$
- Use B⁻ → (D^{*0} → $\mu^+\mu^-$) π^- decays as a source of D^{*0} for this study
	- Displaced vertex and exclusive final state provide powerful background rejection capabilities
	- $B \rightarrow (J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) K$ used as normalisation channel

Eur. Phys.

Search for D*0 → μ⁺ μ− decays (New!)

- \blacksquare Full Run 1 + Run 2 data sample (9/fb)
- ! Two-dimensional fit to B and D* candidate distributions

LHCb measures $\mathcal{B}(D^{*0} \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)$ < 2.6 × 10⁻⁸ at 90% CL

97, 091101

Rare and forbidden Λc decays

- Analogies exist between rare tau and rare Λ_c decay
	- $\tau \rightarrow 3\mu$ (LFV) :: $\Lambda_c \rightarrow 3\mu$ (B-L)
	- $\tau \rightarrow p\mu^+\mu^-$ (B-L) :: $\Lambda_c \rightarrow p\mu^+\mu^-$ (FCNC)
	- \blacksquare τ \rightarrow $\bar{p}\mu^{+}\mu^{+}$ (B-L) :: $\Lambda_c \rightarrow \bar{p}\mu^{+}\mu^{+}$ (B-L)
- Experimental limits before LHCb
	- $B(\Lambda_c \rightarrow p\mu^+\mu^-)$ < 4.4 × 10⁻⁵ (90% CL)
	- $B(\Lambda_c \rightarrow \bar{p}\mu^+\mu^+)$ < 9.4 x 10⁻⁶ (90% CL)

Babar, Phys. Rev. D**840** 072006 (2011)

With Run 1 data (3 fb−1), LHCb finds

 $\mathcal{B}(\Lambda_c^+ \to p\mu^+\mu^-)$ < 7.7(9.6) × 10⁻⁸ at 90%(95%) confidence level

- **Expect a Run 2 update in the near future**
- **Expect upper limit of** $O(10^{-8})$ **after Run 3**

Rare Charm Future Prospects

- Expectations for the Upgrade:
	- Upgrade I (50 fb−1): B(D⁰ → μ + μ −) < 4.2 × 10⁻¹⁰
	- Upgrade II (300 fb−1): B(D⁰ → μ+μ−) < 1.3 × 10⁻¹⁰
- Di-electron modes will follow soon.
- Radiative decays should be possible too, though background rejection is non-trivial.

Conclusion

- ! Our detector has worked "like a charm" but seen "nothing strange"… yet
	- **EXTERF** LHCb in its first two runs has published several rare strange and charm results, now often providing the best available limits.
	- **EXTERF** LHCb is continuing to exploit its unique data set to investigate rare decays. Several Run (1&)2 analyses have approached final stages.
- New analyses are on the horizon; datasets at LHCb are becoming vast.
	- ! Expect LHCb rare decay results from Run 3 (and 4) in the near future!

Additional Slides

[PRL 131, 041804 \(2023\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.131.041804)

Search for D0 → μ⁺ μ− decays

Full Run 1 + 2 analysis (9 fb−1). D⁰ from D^{*+} → D⁰π⁺.

Paras Naik, University of Liverpool

Prospects for charm measurements

Paras Naik, University of Liverpool

Trigger strategy evolution

- New "Turbo stream" for Run 2.
- **EXECONSTRUCT FULL SIGNAL CANDIDATES** directly in the trigger ("Tesla"), and not offline.
- **E** Analyses thus performed directly on the trigger output.
- **E** Smaller event sizes lead to increased yields within a limited bandwidth.
- **Real-time alignment and calibration** makes "Turbo" possible.

CKM, 18 September 2023

Trigger evolution

• Charm production very large at the LHC

13 TeV $\sigma(pp \to c\bar{c}X)_{p_T < 8 \text{ GeV/c. 2.0} < u < 4.5} = 2840 \pm 3 \pm 170 \pm 150 \text{ pb}$ JHEP **03** 159 (2016) Erratum-ibid JHEP **09** 013 (2016)

- **E** However we can only keep what we trigger
	- Several improvements made from Run 1 to Run 2

Paras Naik, University of Liverpool

CKM, 18 September 2023

RICH performance plots

Vertex Locator (VELO)

- **EXECONSTREE IS A PROTEN EXET AT A PROTATION I** Recondary vertices
- **Excellent Impact Parameter resolution of** \sim **20** μ **m**
- **Proper time resolution 30 to 50 fs**

Experiment Overview

The LHCb detector is a single arm forward spectrometer with a polar angular coverage from 10 to 300 [mrad](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Radians) in the horizontal plane and 250 mrad in the vertical plane.

Paras Naik, University of Liverpool

 CKM, 18 September 2023

LHCb Experiment: Particle ID

- **Particle ID provided by Ring Imaging Cherenkov (RICH) detectors**
	- ! Particles traveling faster than the speed of light through a medium of refractive index n will emit photons through Cherenkov radiation:
		- $\cos(\theta) = 1/n\beta$
- **The Cherenkov angle and the momentum of the particle allows PID.**

The ability to identify particles at LHCb is critical to many of our analyses.

LHCb Experiment

- Smooth running of the detector thanks to over 800 members.
- High beam quality provided by the LHC makes our analyses possible.

z

LHCb Experiment

Paras Naik, University of Liverpool

 CKM, 18 September 2023

Common Strategies for D Mixing & CP Violation

- ! Use control modes / normalization channels for initial studies with data
- **Perform systematic studies on data**
	- **Prompt-secondary distinction**
	- **EXECUTE:** Lifetime acceptance correction
- **Using prompt charm**
	- **Nore events**
	- **Need to measure contribution from secondary**
- Using charm from B decays
	- **Lower cross-section, but higher** $p_T =$ **higher trigger efficiency**
	- Need to precisely measure D production vertex

Prompt-Secondary Separation

- Separate prompt and secondary charm
	- ! Prompt charm
		- ! Defined as charm mesons produced at the primary interaction point.
		- This includes if they are from quickly decaying resonances
			- Examples: via D^* decays, $\psi(3770)$
	- **Secondary charm**
		- ! Residual background from charm mesons decaying from long-lived particles.
- We can measure the prompt fraction
	- **Look at impact parameter distribution** of the charm meson

Prompt-Secondary Separation

- Separate prompt and secondary charm
	- ! Prompt charm
		- ! Defined as charm mesons produced at the primary interaction point.
		- This includes if they are from quickly decaying resonances
			- Examples: via D^* decays, $\psi(3770)$
	- **Secondary charm**
		- **EXECTE 2018 IN ADAPTE Property Constrained From Exercise** Particles.
- We can measure the prompt fraction
	- **Look at impact parameter distribution** of the charm meson

Experiment Overview (2)

Luminosity

- **Nominal instantaneous luminosity:** $\mathscr{L} = 4 \times 10^{32}$ cm⁻²s⁻¹
- **E.** LHCb instantaneous luminosity kept constant (luminosity leveling).

Charm at LHCb?

- We are most certainly a B physics experiment. However...
- ! The same properties that optimize LHCb for B physics also make LHCb **an excellent charm physics experiment**.
- **The charm cross section is** \sim **20 times larger than the b cross section.**
	- σ $(c\bar{c})$ $\text{LHCb} = 1419 \pm 133 \text{ }\mu\text{b}$ (Nucl. Phys. B 871 (2013), 1) $\textcircled{a} \sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$
	- ! **σ(bb)̅LHCb = 75.3 ± 14.1 μb (Phys. Lett. B 694 (2010), 209)**
- \sim 5 trillion cc̄ were produced during LHC Run 1, in our acceptance!
- LHCb can make precision measurements in charm with high sensitivity to New Physics hiding in quantum loops…
	- ! We have the world's best sensitivity to **CP violation** in charm.
- ! Boosted quarks, high rapidities: ideal for studying time-dependent effects

Flavor tagging neutral D mesons at LHCb

EXTERGHEEVIER IS UP THE EXAMORE THE EXAMORM IDED in LHCb uses two methods to tag the flavor of neutral D mesons

