Metaplectic Flavor symmetries from magnetized tori

(talk based on Almumin, Yahya, Mu-Chun Chen, Víctor Knapp-Pérez, Saúl Ramos-Sánchez, Michael Ratz, and Shreya Shukla. "Metaplectic flavor symmetries from magnetized tori." Journal of High Energy Physics 2021, no. 5 (2021): 1-41.)

Víctor Knapp Pérez (UCI) (in collaboration with Yahya Almumin, Mu-Chun Chen, Saul Ramos-Sanchez, Michael Ratz, Shreya Shukla)

PASCOS 2023 June 29, 2023





Future experiments to increase precision in neutrino flavor parameter measurements.

Our theoretical uncertainties shouldn't be bigger than the experimental error bars

Song, Li, Argüelles, Bustamente (2021)

Model (A4):

	E_1^c	E_2^c	E_3^c	N^c	L	H_d	H_u
$SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$	(1, +1)	(1, +1)	(1, +1)	(1, 0)	(2, -1/2)	(2, -1/2)	(2, +1/2)
$\Gamma_3 \equiv A_4$	1	1″	1′	3	3	1	1

Feruglio (2017)

$$\begin{split} w_e &= \alpha \ E_1^c H_d(L \ Y)_1 + \beta \ E_2^c H_d(L \ Y)_{1'} + \gamma \ E_3^c H_d(L \ Y)_{1''} \\ w_\nu &= g(N^c H_u L \ Y)_1 + \Lambda (N^c N^c Y)_1 \end{split}$$

Model (A4):

	E_1^c	E_2^c	E_3^c	N^c	L	H_d	H_u
$SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$	(1, +1)	(1, +1)	(1, +1)	(1, 0)	(2, -1/2)	(2, -1/2)	(2, +1/2)
$\Gamma_3 \equiv A_4$	1	1″	1′	3	3	1	1

$$w_e = \alpha \ E_1^c H_d(L \ Y)_1 + \beta \ E_2^c H_d(L \ Y)_{1'} + \gamma \ E_3^c H_d(L \ Y)_{1''}$$
$$w_\nu = g(N^c H_u L \ Y)_1 + \Lambda (N^c N^c Y)_1$$

Non-diagonal terms to Kähler potential

$$\Delta K = \alpha_1 \left(\overline{Y} \overline{L} \right)_{\mathbf{3}^{(1)}}^T (Y L)_{\mathbf{3}^{(1)}} + \alpha_2 \left(\overline{Y} \overline{L} \right)_{\mathbf{3}^{(2)}}^T (Y L)_{\mathbf{3}^{(2)}} + \alpha_3 \left[\left(\overline{Y} \overline{L} \right)_{\mathbf{3}^{(1)}}^T (Y L)_{\mathbf{3}^{(2)}} + \left(\overline{Y} \overline{L} \right)_{\mathbf{3}^{(2)}}^T (Y L)_{\mathbf{3}^{(1)}} \right] + \dots$$

Feruglio (2017)

Chen, Ramos–Sánchez, Ratz (2019)



Model (A4):

	E_1^c	E_2^c	E_3^c	N^c	L	H_d	H_u
$SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$	(1, +1)	(1, +1)	(1, +1)	(1, 0)	(2, -1/2)	(2, -1/2)	(2, +1/2)
$\Gamma_3 \equiv A_4$	1	1″	1′	3	3	1	1

$$w_e = \alpha \ E_1^c H_d(L \ Y)_1 + \beta \ E_2^c H_d(L \ Y)_{1'} + \gamma \ E_3^c H_d(L \ Y)_{1''}$$
$$w_\nu = g(N^c H_u L \ Y)_1 + \Lambda (N^c N^c Y)_1$$

Non-diagonal terms to Kähler potential

$$\Delta K = \alpha_1 \left(\overline{Y} \overline{L}\right)_{\mathbf{3}^{(1)}}^T (Y L)_{\mathbf{3}^{(1)}} + \alpha_2 \left(\overline{Y} \overline{L}\right)_{\mathbf{3}^{(2)}}^T (Y L)_{\mathbf{3}^{(2)}} + \alpha_3 \left[\left(\overline{Y} \overline{L}\right)_{\mathbf{3}^{(1)}}^T (Y L)_{\mathbf{3}^{(2)}} + \left(\overline{Y} \overline{L}\right)_{\mathbf{3}^{(2)}}^T (Y L)_{\mathbf{3}^{(1)}} \right] + \dots$$

Feruglio (2017)

How do we control these Kähler terms? Where do these modular forms come from?

Chen, Ramos–Sánchez, Ratz (2019)



Flavor physics from magnetized tori (Recipe)

Recipe:

• Choose a compact space

Recipe:

- Choose a compact space
- Derive Yukawa couplings

Recipe:

- Choose a compact space
- Derive Yukawa couplings
- Obtain modular symmetry representation matrices

Recipe:

- Choose a compact space
- Derive Yukawa couplings
- Obtain modular symmetry representation matrices
- Obtain modular group



Compact space

6D = Minkowski field + Torus wavefunction

Cremades, Ibáñez and Marchesano. (2004)

$$\Omega^{j,M} = \phi^{j,M}(x^{\mu}) \otimes \psi^{j,M}(z,\tau)$$

$$\overbrace{\text{GD}}_{\text{4D}} \qquad \overbrace{\text{2D}}_{\text{2D}}$$

Gauge Group: U(N)

6D = **Minkowski** field + **Torus** wavefunction

2D

Cremades, Ibáñez and Marchesano. (2004)

$$\Omega^{j,M} = \phi^{j,M}(x^{\mu}) \otimes \psi^{j,M}(z,\tau)$$

4D

Gauge Group: U(N)

Add magnetic field

6D

$$F_{z\bar{z}} = \frac{\pi i}{\mathrm{Im}\tau} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{m_a}{N_a} \mathbb{1}_{N_a \times N_a} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \frac{m_b}{N_b} \mathbb{1}_{N_b \times N_b} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \frac{m_c}{N_c} \mathbb{1}_{N_c \times N_c} \end{pmatrix}$$

6D = Minkowski field + Torus wavefunction

Cremades, Ibáñez and Marchesano. (2004)

$$\Omega^{j,M} = \phi^{j,M}(x^{\mu}) \otimes \psi^{j,M}(z,\tau)$$

Gauge Group: U(N)

Add magnetic field

$$F_{z\bar{z}} = \frac{\pi i}{\mathrm{Im}\tau} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{m_a}{N_a} \mathbb{1}_{N_a \times N_a} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \frac{m_b}{N_b} \mathbb{1}_{N_b \times N_b} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \frac{m_c}{N_c} \mathbb{1}_{N_c \times N_c} \end{pmatrix}$$





We obtain 3 types of chiral fields with

Cremades, Ibáñez and Marchesano. (2004)

$M_1, \ M_2, \ M_3 \ { m with} \ M_1 + M_2 + M_3 = 0$

number of copies. These numbers depend on the magnetic fluxes.

We obtain 3 types of chiral fields with

Cremades, Ibáñez and Marchesano. (2004)

$M_1, \ M_2, \ M_3 \ { m with} \ M_1 + M_2 + M_3 = 0$

number of copies. These numbers depend on the magnetic fluxes. The Yukawa couplings are

$$\mathbf{Y}\sim\int\psi^{M_1}\psi^{M_2}\psi^{M_3}$$

We obtain 3 types of chiral fields with

Cremades, Ibáñez and Marchesano. (2004)

$$M_1, \ M_2, \ M_3 \ {
m with} \ M_1 + M_2 + M_3 = 0$$

number of copies. These numbers depend on the magnetic fluxes. The Yukawa couplings are

$$Y\sim\int\psi^{M_1}\psi^{M_2}\psi^{M_3}$$

The superpotential is

$$\omega \supset Y_{ijk} \phi^{i,M_1} \phi^{j,M_2} \phi^{k,M_3}$$

The wavefunctions are obtained by solving the Dirac equation in the torus:

$$\psi^{j,M}(z,\tau,\zeta) = \mathcal{N} e^{\pi \operatorname{i} M (z+\zeta) \frac{\operatorname{Im}(z+\zeta)}{\operatorname{Im}\tau}} \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \frac{j}{M} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (M(z+\zeta), M\tau) \ .$$

Cremades, Ibáñez and Marchesano. (2004)

z is the torus coordinate au is the half-period ratio ζ is Wilson line

The wavefunctions are obtained by solving the Dirac equation in the torus:

$$\psi^{j,M}(z, au,\zeta) = \mathcal{N} e^{\pi \operatorname{i} M (z+\zeta) \frac{\operatorname{Im}(z+\zeta)}{\operatorname{Im} au}} \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} j \\ M \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (M(z+\zeta), M au) \; .$$

z is the torus coordinate au is the half-period ratio ζ is Wilson line

$$\vartheta \begin{bmatrix} lpha \\ eta \end{bmatrix} (z, au) = \sum_{\ell = -\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\pi \, (lpha + \ell)^2 \, au} \, \mathrm{e}^{2\pi \mathrm{i} \, (lpha + \ell) \, (z + eta)}$$

Cremades, Ibáñez and Marchesano. (2004)

The wavefunctions are obtained by solving the Dirac equation in the torus:

 $\psi^{j,M}(z, au,\zeta) = \mathcal{N} \, \mathrm{e}^{\pi \,\mathrm{i}\,M\,(z+\zeta)\,rac{\mathrm{Im}\,(z+\zeta)}{\mathrm{Im}\, au}}\, artheta \Big[egin{smallmatrix} j \ M \ 0 \end{bmatrix} ig(M\,(z+\zeta),M\, auig) \;.$

Cremades, Ibáñez and Marchesano. (2004)

z is the torus coordinate au is the half-period ratio ζ is Wilson line

$$\vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} (z,\tau) = \sum_{\ell=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\pi (\alpha+\ell)^2 \tau} e^{2\pi i (\alpha+\ell) (z+\beta)}$$

Almumin, Chen, Knapp-Pérez, Ramos-Sánchez, Ratz, Shukla (2021)



Figure 2.1: Squares of the absolute values of the wave functions on a quadratic torus for M = 4.

The wavefunctions need to satisfy boundary conditions



The wavefunctions need to satisfy boundary conditions



Do modular transformed wavefunctions follow correct boundary conditions?

$$\psi(z,\tau,\underbrace{0}_{\text{Assume }\zeta=0}) \xrightarrow{S} \psi(-\frac{z}{\tau},-\frac{1}{\tau},0) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(z,\tau,0) \xrightarrow{T} \psi(z,\tau+1,0)$$

The wavefunctions need to satisfy boundary conditions



Do modular transformed wavefunctions follow correct boundary conditions?

$$\psi(z,\tau,\underbrace{0}_{\mathsf{Assume }\zeta=0}) \xrightarrow{S} \psi(-\frac{z}{\tau},-\frac{1}{\tau},0) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(z,\tau,0) \xrightarrow{T} \psi(z,\tau+1,0)$$

Magnetized tori wavefunctions were thoroughly studied and it was stated that T transformation for odd M didn't follow boundary conditions

Ohki, Uemura, Watanabe (2020); Kikuchi, Kobayashi, Takada, Tatsuishi, and Uchida (2020)

However, odd M are fine!



This was also studied through Scherk-Schwarz phases: Shota, Kobayashi, and Uchida. (2021)

However, odd M are fine!



The transformation rule is

$$\psi^{j,M}(z,\tau,0) \xrightarrow{T} \underbrace{e^{i\pi M \lim_{\text{Im}\tau}} \rho(T)}_{\substack{\text{ugly rep. matrix}\\\text{phase}}} \psi^{j,M}(z - \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}}_{\substack{\text{translation}}},\tau,0),$$

This was also studied through Scherk-Schwarz phases: Shota, Kobayashi, and Uchida. (2021)

From the normalization constant

$$\mathcal{A} = (2\pi R)^2 \mathrm{Im}\tau$$

$$\mathcal{N} = \left(rac{2M~{
m Im}\, au}{\mathcal{A}^2}
ight)^{1/4}$$

Cremades, Ibáñez and Marchesano. (2004)

From the normalization constant

$$\mathcal{A} = (2\pi R)^2 \mathrm{Im}\tau$$

$$\mathcal{N} = \left(rac{2M\,\,\mathrm{Im}\, au}{\mathcal{A}^2}
ight)^{1/4}$$

Cremades, Ibáñez and Marchesano. (2004)

This implies that

$$K_{i\overline{\imath}} \propto rac{1}{\left({
m Im}\, au
ight)^{1/2}}$$

From the normalization constant

$$\mathcal{A} = (2\pi R)^2 \mathrm{Im} au$$
 $\mathcal{N} = \left(\frac{2M \,\mathrm{Im} \, au}{\mathcal{A}^2}\right)^{1/4}$

Cremades, Ibáñez and Marchesano. (2004)

This implies that

$$K_{i \overline{\imath}} \propto rac{1}{\left({
m Im} \, au
ight)^{1/2}}$$

Almumin, Chen, Knapp-Pérez, Ramos-Sánchez, Ratz, Shukla (2021)

object	$\psi^{j,M}$	$\phi^{j,M}$	$\Omega^{j,M}$	Y_{ijk}	W
modular weight k	1/2	-1/2	0	1/2	-1

Table 4.1: Modular weights of the \mathbb{T}^2 wave functions $\psi^{j,M}$, 4D fields $\phi^{j,M}$, 6D fields $\Omega^{j,M}$, Yukawa couplings Y_{ijk} , and superpotential \mathscr{W} .

Using this wavefunctions, the overlap integral was calculated to be

Cremades, Ibáñez and Marchesano. (2004)

$$Y_{ijk}(\widetilde{\zeta},\tau) = \mathcal{N}_{abc} e^{\frac{H(\widetilde{\zeta},\tau)}{2}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{I}_{bc}}} \delta_{k,i+j+\mathcal{I}_{ab} m} \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\mathcal{I}_{ca}i-\mathcal{I}_{ab}j+\mathcal{I}_{ab}\mathcal{I}_{ca}m}{-\mathcal{I}_{ab}\mathcal{I}_{bc}\mathcal{I}_{ca}} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\widetilde{\zeta},\tau |\mathcal{I}_{ab}\mathcal{I}_{bc}\mathcal{I}_{ca}|) ,$$

where

$$\widetilde{\zeta} := -\mathcal{I}_{ab} \, \mathcal{I}_{ca} \, (\zeta_{ca} - \zeta_{ab})$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{abc} = g \,\sigma_{abc} \left(\frac{2\,\mathrm{Im}\,\tau}{\mathcal{A}^2}\right)^{1/4} \left|\frac{\mathcal{I}_{ab}\mathcal{I}_{ca}}{\mathcal{I}_{bc}}\right|^{1/4}$$

$$\frac{H(\widetilde{\zeta},\tau)}{2} := \frac{\pi \mathrm{i}}{\mathrm{Im}\,\tau} (\mathcal{I}_{ab}\,\zeta_{ab}\,\mathrm{Im}\,\zeta_{ab} + \mathcal{I}_{bc}\,\zeta_{bc}\,\mathrm{Im}\,\zeta_{bc} + \mathcal{I}_{ca}\,\zeta_{ca}\,\mathrm{Im}\,\zeta_{ca})$$

Using this wavefunctions, the overlap integral was calculated to be

where

2

Cremades, Ibáñez and Marchesano. (2004)

$$Y_{ijk}(\tilde{\zeta},\tau) = \mathcal{N}_{abc} e^{\frac{H(\tilde{\zeta},\tau)}{2}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{I}_{bc}}} \delta_{k,i+j+\mathcal{I}_{ab}} m \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\mathcal{I}_{ca}i-\mathcal{I}_{ab}\mathcal{I}_{ca}\mathcal{I}_{ca}m}{-\mathcal{I}_{ab}\mathcal{I}_{bc}\mathcal{I}_{ca}} \end{bmatrix} (\tilde{\zeta},\tau | \mathcal{I}_{ab}\mathcal{I}_{bc}\mathcal{I}_{ca}|) ,$$

$$\tilde{\zeta} := -\mathcal{I}_{ab} \mathcal{I}_{ca} \left(\zeta_{ca} - \zeta_{ab}\right)$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{abc} = g \sigma_{abc} \left(\frac{2 \operatorname{Im} \tau}{\mathcal{A}^2}\right)^{1/4} \left| \frac{\mathcal{I}_{ab}\mathcal{I}_{ca}}{\mathcal{I}_{bc}} \right|^{1/4}$$

$$\frac{H(\tilde{\zeta},\tau)}{2} := \frac{\pi \mathrm{i}}{\operatorname{Im} \tau} (\mathcal{I}_{ab} \zeta_{ab} \operatorname{Im} \zeta_{ab} + \mathcal{I}_{bc} \zeta_{bc} \operatorname{Im} \zeta_{bc} + \mathcal{I}_{ca} \zeta_{ca} \operatorname{Im} \zeta_{ca})$$

8

However, this expression was not simplified for $d \neq 1$

$$d := \gcd(|\mathcal{I}_{ab}|, |\mathcal{I}_{ca}|, |\mathcal{I}_{bc}|)$$

The simplified equation is

Almumin,Chen, Knapp-Pérez, Ramos-Sánchez, Ratz, Shukla (2021)

$$Y_{ijk}(\widetilde{\zeta},\tau) = \mathcal{N}_{abc} e^{\frac{H(\widetilde{\zeta},\tau)}{2}} \Delta_{i+j,k}^{(d)} \vartheta \left[\frac{\mathcal{I}_{ca}' i - \mathcal{I}_{ab}' j + \mathcal{I}_{ca}' \left(\mathcal{I}_{ab}'\right)^{\phi\left(|\mathcal{I}_{bc}'|\right)} (k-i-j)}{\lambda} \right] \left(\frac{\widetilde{\zeta}}{d}, \lambda \tau \right)$$

$$\lambda := \operatorname{lcm} \bigl(|\mathcal{I}_{ab}|, |\mathcal{I}_{ca}|, |\mathcal{I}_{bc}| \bigr)$$

This expression has a nice geometrical interpretation. Basically,

$$Y_{\widehat{\alpha}} \propto (\operatorname{Im} \tau)^{-1/4} \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{\alpha}/\lambda \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (0, \lambda \tau) = (\operatorname{Im} \tau)^{-1/4} \sum_{\ell = -\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\pi \lambda (\operatorname{Im} \tau - i \operatorname{Re} \tau) (\widehat{\alpha}/\lambda + \ell)^2} ,$$

This expression has a nice geometrical interpretation. Basically,

$$Y_{\widehat{\alpha}} \propto (\operatorname{Im} \tau)^{-1/4} \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{\alpha}/\lambda \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (0, \lambda \tau) = (\operatorname{Im} \tau)^{-1/4} \sum_{\ell = -\infty}^{\infty} \underbrace{e^{-\pi \,\lambda (\operatorname{Im} \tau)} - \mathrm{i} \,\operatorname{Re} \tau}_{\ell = -\infty} (\widehat{\alpha}/\lambda + \ell)^2},$$

This expression has a nice geometrical interpretation. Basically,

$$Y_{\widehat{\alpha}} \propto (\operatorname{Im} \tau)^{-1/4} \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{\alpha}/\lambda \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (0, \lambda \tau) = (\operatorname{Im} \tau)^{-1/4} \sum_{\ell = -\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\pi \lambda (\operatorname{Im} \tau) - i \operatorname{Re} \tau) (\widehat{\alpha}/\lambda + \ell)^2} ,$$

The exponential suppression can be thought as the overlap of two gaussians



Figure 4.1: Overlap of two Gaussians on a torus. The overlap of a given, say red, curve is not just the overlap with one blue curve but with infinitely many of them, thus leading to an expression of the form (4.67).



Under S and T modular transformations

$$\tau \xrightarrow{S} -\frac{1}{\tau} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau \xrightarrow{T} \tau + 1 \;.$$

Almumin,Chen, Knapp-Pérez, Ramos-Sánchez, Ratz, Shukla (2021)

Under S and T modular transformations

$$\tau \xrightarrow{S} -\frac{1}{\tau} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau \xrightarrow{T} \tau + 1 \;.$$

Almumin,Chen, Knapp-Pérez, Ramos-Sánchez, Ratz, Shukla (2021)

the Yukawa couplings transform as

$$\mathcal{Y}_{\widehat{lpha}}(au) \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\gamma}} \mathcal{Y}_{\widehat{lpha}}(\widetilde{\gamma}\, au) = \pm (c\, au + d)^{1/2} \,
ho_{oldsymbol{\lambda}}(\widetilde{\gamma})_{\widehat{lpha}\widehat{eta}}\,\mathcal{Y}_{\widehat{eta}}(au)$$

$$egin{aligned} &
ho_{oldsymbol{\lambda}}(\widetilde{S})_{\widehat{lpha}\widehat{eta}} = -rac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\pi/4}}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\,\exp\!\left(rac{2\pi\mathrm{i}\,\widehat{lpha}\,\widehat{eta}}{\lambda}
ight)\,, \ &
ho_{oldsymbol{\lambda}}(\widetilde{T})_{\widehat{lpha}\widehat{eta}} = \exp\!\left(rac{\mathrm{i}\pi\,\widehat{lpha}^2}{\lambda}
ight)\delta_{\widehat{lpha}\widehat{eta}}\,. \end{aligned}$$

Under S and T modular transformations

$$\tau \xrightarrow{S} -\frac{1}{\tau} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau \xrightarrow{T} \tau + 1 \;.$$

Almumin,Chen, Knapp-Pérez, Ramos-Sánchez, Ratz, Shukla (2021)

the Yukawa couplings transform as

$$\mathcal{Y}_{\widehat{\alpha}}(\tau) \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\gamma}} \mathcal{Y}_{\widehat{\alpha}}(\widetilde{\gamma}\,\tau) = \pm (c\,\tau + d)^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(\widetilde{\gamma})_{\widehat{\alpha}\widehat{\beta}}\,\mathcal{Y}_{\widehat{\beta}}(\tau)$$

$$\begin{split} \rho_{\lambda}(\widetilde{S})_{\widehat{\alpha}\widehat{\beta}} &= -\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\pi/4}}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \, \exp\!\left(\frac{2\pi\mathrm{i}\,\widehat{\alpha}\,\widehat{\beta}}{\lambda}\right) \,,\\ \rho_{\lambda}(\widetilde{T})_{\widehat{\alpha}\widehat{\beta}} &= \exp\!\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}\pi\,\widehat{\alpha}^2}{\lambda}\right) \delta_{\widehat{\alpha}\widehat{\beta}} \,\,. \end{split}$$

Metaplectic modular symmetries!!!

We need to consider the metaplectic group

$$\widetilde{\Gamma} = \left\{ \widetilde{\gamma} = (\gamma, arphi(\gamma, au)) \mid \gamma \in \Gamma, \; arphi(\gamma, au) = \pm (c \, au + d)^{1/2}
ight\}$$

Almumin,Chen, Knapp-Pérez, Ramos-Sánchez, Ratz, Shukla (2021)

We need to consider the metaplectic group

$$\widetilde{\Gamma} = \left\{ \widetilde{\gamma} = (\gamma, \varphi(\gamma, au)) \mid \gamma \in \Gamma, \; \varphi(\gamma, au) = \pm (c \, au + d)^{1/2}
ight\}$$

Almumin,Chen, Knapp-Pérez, Ramos-Sánchez, Ratz, Shukla (2021)

with the multiplication rule

$$(\gamma_1, \varphi(\gamma_1, \tau))(\gamma_2, \varphi(\gamma_2, \tau)) = (\gamma_1 \gamma_2, \varphi(\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \tau)\varphi(\gamma_2, \tau))$$

We need to consider the metaplectic group

$$\widetilde{\Gamma} = \left\{ \widetilde{\gamma} = (\gamma, \varphi(\gamma, \tau)) \mid \gamma \in \Gamma, \ \varphi(\gamma, \tau) = \pm (c \, \tau + d)^{1/2} \right\}$$

Almumin,Chen, Knapp-Pérez, Ramos-Sánchez, Ratz, Shukla (2021)

with the multiplication rule

$$(\gamma_1,\,arphi(\gamma_1, au))(\gamma_2,\,arphi(\gamma_2, au))=(\gamma_1\gamma_2,\,arphi(\gamma_1,\gamma_2\, au)arphi(\gamma_2, au))$$

and the generators

$$\widetilde{S} = (S, -\sqrt{- au}) \quad ext{and} \quad \widetilde{T} = (T, +1)$$

We need to consider the metaplectic group

$$\widetilde{\Gamma} = \left\{ \widetilde{\gamma} = (\gamma, arphi(\gamma, au)) \mid \gamma \in \Gamma, \; arphi(\gamma, au) = \pm (c \, au + d)^{1/2}
ight\}$$

Almumin,Chen, Knapp-Pérez, Ramos-Sánchez, Ratz, Shukla (2021)

with the multiplication rule

$$(\gamma_1,\,arphi(\gamma_1, au))(\gamma_2,\,arphi(\gamma_2, au))=(\gamma_1\gamma_2,\,arphi(\gamma_1,\gamma_2\, au)arphi(\gamma_2, au))$$

and the generators

$$\widetilde{S} = (S, -\sqrt{- au}) \quad ext{and} \quad \widetilde{T} = (T, +1)$$

which satisfy

$$\widetilde{S}^8 = (\widetilde{S}\,\widetilde{T})^3 = \mathbb{1} \quad ext{and} \quad \widetilde{S}^2\widetilde{T} = \widetilde{T}\,\widetilde{S}^2$$

Almumin,Chen, Knapp-Pérez, Ramos-Sánchez, Ratz, Shukla (2021)

# of generations	Modular group
$M_1 = 1$	
$M_2 = 1$	$\tilde{\Gamma}_{2\cdot 2} = \tilde{\Gamma}_4 \cong [96, 67]$
$M_3 = -2$	
$M_1 = 3$	
$M_2 = 3$	$\tilde{\Gamma}_{6\cdot 2} = \tilde{\Gamma}_{12} \cong [2304, ?]$
$M_3 = -6$	
$M_1 = 2$	
$M_2 = 2$	$\tilde{\Gamma}_{4\cdot 2} = \tilde{\Gamma}_8 \cong [768, 1085324]$
$M_3 = -4$	
$M_1 = 1$	
$M_2 = 2$	$\tilde{\Gamma}_{6\cdot 2} = \tilde{\Gamma}_{12} \cong [2304, ?]$
$M_3 = -3$	

Analyzed models

Almumin,Chen, Knapp-Pérez, Ramos-Sánchez, Ratz, Shukla (2021)

Conjecture: Magnetized tori exhibit a finite modular symmetry of

the form

# of generations	Modular group
# of generations	
$M_1 = 1$	
$M_2 = 1$	$\tilde{\Gamma}_{2\cdot 2} = \tilde{\Gamma}_4 \cong [96, 67]$
$M_3 = -2$	
$M_1 = 3$	
$M_2 = 3$	$\tilde{\Gamma}_{6\cdot 2} = \tilde{\Gamma}_{12} \cong [2304, ?]$
$M_3 = -6$	
$M_1 = 2$	
$M_2 = 2$	$\tilde{\Gamma}_{4\cdot 2} = \tilde{\Gamma}_8 \cong [768, 1085324]$
$M_3 = -4$	
$M_1 = 1$	
$M_2 = 2$	$\tilde{\Gamma}_{6\cdot 2} = \tilde{\Gamma}_{12} \cong [2304, ?]$
$M_3 = -3$	

Analyzed models

 $| ilde{\Gamma}_{2\lambda}\, {
m with}\, \lambda = {
m l.c.m}(M_1,M_2,M_3)|$



Summary and Outlook



• Wavefunctions are fine for both even and odd fluxes.



- Wavefunctions are fine for both even and odd fluxes.
- Simplified expression for Yukawa couplings on magnetized tori for $d \neq 1$



- Wavefunctions are fine for both even and odd fluxes.
- Simplified expression for Yukawa couplings on magnetized tori for $d \neq 1$
- Geometric interpretation of modular weights and Yukawa couplings.

- Wavefunctions are fine for both even and odd fluxes.
- Simplified expression for Yukawa couplings on magnetized tori for $d \neq 1$
- Geometric interpretation of modular weights and Yukawa couplings.
- Contact with bottom-up constructions: Liu, X. G., Yao, C. Y., Qu, B. Y., & Ding, G. J. (2020); Ding, Feruglio, and Liu (2021).

- Wavefunctions are fine for both even and odd fluxes.
- Simplified expression for Yukawa couplings on magnetized tori for $d \neq 1$
- Geometric interpretation of modular weights and Yukawa couplings.
- Contact with bottom-up constructions: Liu, X. G., Yao, C. Y., Qu, B. Y., & Ding, G. J. (2020); Ding, Feruglio, and Liu (2021).

Outlook

• Wilson lines = Scalar particles with mass corrections under control: Buchmuller, Dierigl, Dudas, Schweizer (2017); Buchmuller, Dierigl, Dudas (2018)

- Wavefunctions are fine for both even and odd fluxes.
- Simplified expression for Yukawa couplings on magnetized tori for $d \neq 1$
- Geometric interpretation of modular weights and Yukawa couplings.
- Contact with bottom-up constructions: Liu, X. G., Yao, C. Y., Qu, B. Y., & Ding, G. J. (2020); Ding, Feruglio, and Liu (2021).

Outlook

- Wilson lines = Scalar particles with mass corrections under control: Buchmuller, Dierigl, Dudas, Schweizer (2017); Buchmuller, Dierigl, Dudas (2018)
- Is SUSY needed?

- Wavefunctions are fine for both even and odd fluxes.
- Simplified expression for Yukawa couplings on magnetized tori for $d \neq 1$
- Geometric interpretation of modular weights and Yukawa couplings.
- Contact with bottom-up constructions: Liu, X. G., Yao, C. Y., Qu, B. Y., & Ding, G. J. (2020); Ding, Feruglio, and Liu (2021).

Outlook

- Wilson lines = Scalar particles with mass corrections under control: Buchmuller, Dierigl, Dudas, Schweizer (2017); Buchmuller, Dierigl, Dudas (2018)
- Is SUSY needed?
- Are modular symmetries a way to connect higher dimensional theories with experimental observations? Baur, Nilles, Ramos-Sánchez, Trautner, and Vaudrevange. (2022)



Thank you!

References

- Song, Ningqiang, Shirley Weishi Li, Carlos A. Argüelles, Mauricio Bustamante, and Aaron C. Vincent. "The future of high-energy astrophysical neutrino flavor measurements." Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics 2021, no. 04 (2021): 054.
- Feruglio, Ferruccio. "Are neutrino masses modular forms?." In From My Vast Repertoire... Guido Altarelli's Legacy, pp. 227-266. 2019.
- Chen, Mu-Chun, Saúl Ramos-Sánchez, and Michael Ratz. "A note on the predictions of models with modular flavor symmetries." Physics Letters B 801 (2020): 135153.
- Almumin, Yahya, Mu-Chun Chen, Víctor Knapp-Pérez, Saúl Ramos-Sánchez, Michael Ratz, and Shreya Shukla. "Metaplectic flavor symmetries from magnetized tori." Journal of High Energy Physics 2021, no. 5 (2021): 1-41.
- Cremades, Daniel, Luis E. Ibáñez and Fernando Marchesano. "Computing Yukawa couplings from magnetized extra dimensions." Journal of High Energy Physics 2004 (2004): 079-079.
- Ohki, Hiroshi, Shohei Uemura, and Risa Watanabe. "Modular flavor symmetry on a magnetized torus." Physical Review D 102, no. 8 (2020): 085008.
- Kikuchi, Shota, Tatsuo Kobayashi, Shintaro Takada, Takuya H. Tatsuishi, and Hikaru Uchida. "Revisiting modular symmetry in magnetized torus and orbifold compactifications." Physical Review D 102, no. 10 (2020): 105010.
- Kikuchi, Shota, Tatsuo Kobayashi, and Hikaru Uchida. "Modular flavor symmetries of three-generation modes on magnetized toroidal orbifolds." Physical Review D 104, no. 6 (2021): 065008.
- Liu, Xiang-Gan, Chang-Yuan Yao, Bu-Yao Qu, and Gui-Jun Ding. "Half-integral weight modular forms and application to neutrino mass models." Physical Review D 102, no. 11 (2020): 115035.

References

- Ding, Gui-Jun, Ferruccio Feruglio, and Xiang-Gan Liu. "Automorphic forms and fermion masses." Journal of High Energy Physics 2021, no. 1 (2021): 1-60.
- Buchmuller, Wilfried, Markus Dierigl, Emilian Dudas, and Julian Schweizer. "Effective field theory for magnetic compactifications." Journal of High Energy Physics 2017, no. 4 (2017): 1-29.
- Buchmuller, Wilfried, Markus Dierigl, and Emilian Dudas. "Flux compactifications and naturalness." Journal of High Energy Physics 2018, no. 8 (2018): 1-18.
- Baur, Alexander, Hans Peter Nilles, Saúl Ramos-Sánchez, Andreas Trautner, and Patrick KS Vaudrevange. "The first string-derived eclectic flavor model with realistic phenomenology." Journal of High Energy Physics 2022, no. 9 (2022): 1-44.
- Images made with: https://openai.com/dall-e-2/