

## Neutrino Mass and Mixing From Eclectic Flavor Symmetry

### Xiang-Gan Liu

In collaboration with

Cai-Chang Li, Gui-Jun Ding, Stephen F. King, Jun-Nan Lu Based on arXiv: 2303.02071 [JHEP 05(2023)144]



29th June, 2023



# Outline





Eclectic Flavor Symmetry



The EFG  $\Omega(1) \cong \Delta(27) \rtimes T'$ 



 $\Omega(1)$  invariant lepton masses model



Summary and outlook

### 1. Background: Flavor puzzle

[F.Feruglio,1503.04071, Z.Z.Xing, 1909.09610]

□ What is the origin of the masses of leptons & quarks ?



□ How to understand the flavor mixing patterns of leptons & quarks ?



Asked what single mystery, if he could choose, he would like to see solved in his lifetime, Weinberg doesn't have to think for long: he wants to be able to explain the observed pattern of quark and lepton masses. In the summer of 1972, when the SM was coming together, he set himself the task of figuring it out but couldn't come up with anything. "It was the worst summer of my life! ... And I'm no closer now to answering it than I was in the summer of 1972," he says, still audibly irritated.

----- From "Model Physicist, CERN Courier, 13 October 2017"



> One of S.Weinberg's last published papers:



### 1. Background: Flavor symmetry



Drawbacks: Many flavons; Complicated vacuum alignments; Higher dimensional operators ....

PASCOS2023

(See also talk by Feruglio, Knapp-Perez ...)

### 1. Background: Modular symmetry

[Feruglio, 1706.08749]

□ Torus compactification in string theory leads to Modular Symmetry



The shape of torus is characterized by complex modulus:  $\tau = \omega_2/\omega_1$  ,  $\operatorname{Im}(\tau) > 0$ 

Modular (flavor) transformation:



### 1. Background: Modular invariant SUSY

[Ferrara et al, 1989; Feruglio, 1706.08749]

 $\square$   $\mathcal{N}$ =1 global supersymmetry theory with modular symmetry:

- The action:  $S = \int d^4 x d^2 \theta d^2 \bar{\theta} \mathcal{K}(\psi_I, \bar{\psi}_I; \tau, \bar{\tau}) + \int d^4 x d^2 \theta \mathcal{W}(\psi_I, \tau) + h.c.$
- (Minimal) Kähler potential:

$$\mathcal{K} = -h\ln(-i\tau + i\bar{\tau}) + \sum_{n} (-i\tau + i\bar{\tau})^{-k_n} |\psi_n|^2$$

• Superpotential:

$$\mathcal{W} = \sum_{n} Y_{I_1 I_2 \dots I_n} (\tau) \psi_{I_1} \psi_{I_2} \dots \psi_{I_n}$$

[Feruglio, 1706.08749] Modular invariance requires Yukawa couplings to be Modular Forms!

$$Y_{I_1I_2\dots I_n}(\tau) \to Y_{I_1I_2\dots I_n}(\gamma\tau) = (c\tau + d)^k \rho_Y(\gamma) Y_{I_1I_2\dots I_n}(\tau)$$

$$\begin{cases} k_Y = k_{I_1} + k_{I_2} + \dots + k_{I_n} \\ \rho_Y \otimes \rho_{I_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \rho_{I_n} \supset 1 \end{cases}$$

#### PASCOS2023

#### □ Remarks:

- Only one flavon: modulus  $\tau$  . All higher dimensional operators.
- Model building in bottom-up approach depends on:  $k_I$ ,  $\rho_I$
- For a given k<sub>Y</sub>, ρ<sub>Y</sub>, the modular forms space is finite-dimensional
   Only a finite number of possible Yukawa couplings !

N	dim $M_{\ell}(\Gamma(M))$	$\Gamma_N(\Gamma'_N)$	Modular forms multiplets						
/	$\operatorname{dim}\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{N}))$		k = 1	k = 2	k = 3	$k \ge 4$			
2	$\textit{k}/2 + 1  (\textit{k} \in \texttt{even})$	$S_{3}(S_{3})$	_	$Y_{2}^{(2)}$	_				
3	k+1	$A_4(T')$	$Y_{2}^{(1)}$	$Y^{(2)}_{3}$	$Y^{(3)}_{m 2}, Y^{(3)}_{{m 2''}}$				
4	2k + 1	$S_4$ $(S'_4)$	$Y^{(1)}_{\hat{3}'}$	$m{Y}_{m{2}}^{(2)},m{Y}_{m{3}}^{(2)}$	$Y^{(3)}_{\hat{1}'}, Y^{(3)}_{\hat{3}}, Y^{(3)}_{\hat{3}'}$				
5	5k + 1	$A_5 (A'_5)$	$Y_{6}^{(1)}$	$Y^{(2)}_{3}, Y^{(2)}_{3'}, Y^{(2)}_{5}$	$Y^{(3)}_{4'}, Y^{(3)}_{6l}, Y^{(3)}_{6ll}$				

[Kobayashi, Tanaka, and Tatsuishi 2018; Feruglio 2017; Penedo and Petcov 2019; Novichkov et al. 2019; Ding, King, and Liu 2019b; Liu and Ding 2019; Liu, Yao, and Ding 2021; Novichkov, Penedo, and Petcov 2021; Wang, Yu, and Zhou 2021; Yao, Liu, and Ding 2021 ...]

#### Drawback: The Kähler potential is not under control !

[Chen, Ramos-Sanchez, Ratz 1909.06910]

Most general Kahler potential:

$$\mathcal{K} \supset \sum_{\psi_n} \sum_{k \ge 1} (-i\tau + i\bar{\tau})^{-k+k_n} \sum_a \kappa_a^{(k)} \left[ Y^{(k)}(\tau) \otimes \bar{Y}^{(k)}(\tau) \otimes \psi_n \otimes \bar{\psi}_n \right]_{1,a}$$

Additional terms affect the prediction!

#### PASCOS2023

### 2. Eclectic Flavor Symmetry

(See also talk by Nilles, Ramos-Sanchez ...)

[Baur, Nilles, Trautner, Vaudrevange, 1901.03251] [Nilles, Ramos-Sanchez, Vaudrevange, 2001.01736]

Outer automorphisms of Narain space group = Eclectic Flavor symmetry  $\operatorname{Out}\left(\mathcal{S}\right) = (\Sigma, T)$  $\begin{bmatrix} \text{Rotations} & (\Sigma_i, 0) & \text{Modular symmetry: } 0(2, 2, \mathbb{Z}) \\ \text{Translations} & (1, T_i) & \text{Traditional flavor symmetry: } \Delta(54) \end{bmatrix}$ **EFG** is a combination of traditional flavor & finite modular group:  $\mathsf{TFT}:\begin{cases} \tau \xrightarrow{g} \tau \\ \psi_I \xrightarrow{g} \rho_I(g)\psi_I \end{cases}$  $(c\tau + d)^{-k} \rho(\gamma)\rho(g)\psi$ > Consistency conditions:  $\rho(\gamma)\rho(g)\rho^{-1}(\gamma) = \rho(u_{\gamma}(g))$   $u_{\gamma}: G_f \to G_f$ EFG unifies traditional flavor & modular (and gCP) symmetry  $G_{ecl} \cong G_f \rtimes \Gamma'_N \left( G_f \rtimes \Gamma_N \right).$ Eclectic Flavor Symmetry — X.G Liu PASCOS2023 9

#### □ Advantages of EFG:

- There are very few candidates for self-consistently eclectic flavor groups  $G_{ecl}$ when  $u_{\gamma}$  nontrival:  $G_f = \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$ ,  $\Delta(27)$ ,  $\Delta(54)$  ...
- In general, Yukawa couplings are functions of flavons & modulus:  $Y_{ijk}(\phi_i, \tau)$ , which are highly constrained by EFG.
- Kähler potential is under control due to the traditional flavor symmetry!
- EFG has a natural UV completion —— Heterotic string on orbifold

#### Two EFG models have been established so far:

- 1) Based on  $G_{ecl} = A_4 \times \Gamma_3$  [Chen, Knapp-Perez, Ramos-Hamud, Ramos-Sanchez, Ratz 2108.02240]
- 2) Based on  $G_{ecl} = \Omega(2) = \Delta(54) \cup T' \cup \mathbb{Z}_9^R$  [Baur,Nilles,Ramos-Sanchez,Vaudrevange 2207.10677]



PASCOS2023

There is currently no bottom-up minimal model based on smaller  $G_{ecl}$  with non-direct product structure !

We choose  $G_{ecl} = \Omega(1) \cong \Delta(27) \rtimes T'$   $|\Omega(1)| = 648$ 

### 3. The EFG $\Omega(1) \cong \Delta(27) \rtimes T'$

 $\Box$  Strategy: Constructing  $\Omega(1)$  from  $\Delta(27)$ 

• The multiplication rules of  $\Delta(27)$ :  $A^3 = B^3 = (AB)^3 = (AB^2)^3 = 1$ 

• The two outer automorphisms  $u_S, u_T$  form finite modular group T'

 $u_S(A) = B^2 A, \quad u_S(B) = B^2 A^2; \qquad u_T(A) = BA, \quad u_T(B) = B;$ 

 $(u_S)^4 = (u_T)^3 = (u_S u_T)^3 = 1$ ,  $(u_S)^2 u_T = u_T (u_S)^2$  Multiplication rules of T'

#### Solving the following consistency conditions

PASCOS2023

 $\rho_{\mathbf{r}}(S) \rho_{\mathbf{r}}(A) \rho_{\mathbf{r}}^{-1}(S) = \rho_{\mathbf{r}}(B^{2}A), \qquad \rho_{\mathbf{r}}(S) \rho_{\mathbf{r}}(B) \rho_{\mathbf{r}}^{-1}(S) = \rho_{\mathbf{r}}(B^{2}A^{2}), \\ \rho_{\mathbf{r}}(T) \rho_{\mathbf{r}}(A) \rho_{\mathbf{r}}^{-1}(T) = \rho_{\mathbf{r}}(BA), \qquad \rho_{\mathbf{r}}(T) \rho_{\mathbf{r}}(B) \rho_{\mathbf{r}}^{-1}(T) = \rho_{\mathbf{r}}(B),$ 

Where r is generally the reducible representation for  $\Delta(27)$  and T', but ultimately correspond to the irreducible representation of  $\Omega(1)$ .

$$\Omega(1) \cong \Delta(27) \rtimes T' = \langle \rho(S), \rho(T), \rho(A), \rho(B) \rangle$$

### 4. $\Omega(1)$ invariant lepton masses model

Field contents and their transformation properties: Flavons Modular fo										
Fields	L	$E^c$	$H_u$	$H_d$	$\int \phi$	$\varphi$	$\chi$	ξ	$Y_{m{r}}^{(k_Y)}$	
$\mathrm{SU}(2)_L \times \mathrm{U}(1)_Y$	$(2,- frac{1}{2})$	(1, 1)	$(2, rac{1}{2})$	$(2,- frac{1}{2})$	(1,0)	$({f 1},0)$	(1, 0)	( <b>1</b> ,0)	(1, 0)	
$\Delta(27)$	3	3	$1_{0,0}$	$1_{0,0}$	3	3	3	$1_{0,0}$	$1_{0,0}$	
$\Gamma'_3 \cong T'$	30	$\mathbf{3_0}$	1	1	$3_1$	$\mathbf{3_0}$	$3_1$	1	r	
modular weight	0	0	0	0	5	5	7	-1	$k_Y$	
$Z_2$	1	-1	1	1	-1	1	1	1	1	
$Z_3$	ω	$\omega^2$	1	1	1	$\omega$	ω	1	1	

$$\begin{array}{l} \succ \text{ Kahler potential } & \propto 1 \\ \hline \mathcal{K} &= \mathcal{K}_{\text{LO}} + \mathcal{K}_{\text{NLO}} + \mathcal{K}_{\text{NNLO}} + \dots \\ \hline \mathcal{K} &= \mathcal{K}_{\text{LO}} + \mathcal{K}_{\text{NLO}} + \mathcal{K}_{\text{NNLO}} + \dots \\ \hline \mathcal{K} &= \mathcal{K}_{\text{LO}} + \mathcal{K}_{\text{NLO}} + \mathcal{K}_{\text{NNLO}} + \dots \\ \hline \mathcal{K} &= \mathcal{K}_{\text{LO}} + \mathcal{K}_{\text{NLO}} + \mathcal{K}_{\text{NNLO}} + \dots \\ \hline \mathcal{K} &= \mathcal{K}_{\text{LO}} + \mathcal{K}_{\text{NLO}} + \mathcal{K}_{\text{NNLO}} + \dots \\ \hline \mathcal{K} &= \mathcal{K}_{\text{LO}} + \mathcal{K}_{\text{NLO}} + \mathcal{K}_{\text{NNLO}} + \dots \\ \hline \mathcal{K} &= \mathcal{K}_{\text{LO}} + \mathcal{K}_{\text{NLO}} + \mathcal{K}_{\text{NNLO}} + \dots \\ \hline \mathcal{K} &= \mathcal{K}_{\text{LO}} + \mathcal{K}_{\text{NLO}} + \mathcal{K}_{\text{NNLO}} + \dots \\ \hline \mathcal{K} &= \mathcal{K}_{\text{LO}} + \mathcal{K}_{\text{NLO}} + \mathcal{K}_{\text{NNLO}} + \dots \\ \hline \mathcal{K} &= \mathcal{K}_{\text{LO}} + \mathcal{K}_{\text{NLO}} + \mathcal{K}_{\text{NNLO}} + \dots \\ \hline \mathcal{K} &= \mathcal{K}_{\text{LO}} + \mathcal{K}_{\text{NLO}} + \mathcal{K}_{\text{NNLO}} + \dots \\ \hline \mathcal{K} &= \mathcal{K}_{\text{LO}} + \mathcal{K}_{\text{NLO}} + \mathcal{K}_{\text{NNLO}} + \dots \\ \hline \mathcal{K} &= \mathcal{K}_{\text{LO}} + \mathcal{K}_{\text{NLO}} + \mathcal{K}_{\text{NNLO}} + \dots \\ \hline \mathcal{K} &= \mathcal{K}_{\text{LO}} + \mathcal{K}_{\text{NLO}} + \mathcal{K}_{\text{NNLO}} + \dots \\ \hline \mathcal{K} &= \mathcal{K}_{\text{LO}} + \mathcal{K}_{\text{NLO}} + \mathcal{K}_{\text{NNLO}} + \dots \\ \hline \mathcal{K} &= \mathcal{K}_{\text{LO}} + \mathcal{K}_{\text{NLO}} + \mathcal{K}_{\text{NNLO}} + \mathcal{K}_{\text{NNLO}} + \dots \\ \hline \mathcal{K} &= \mathcal{K}_{\text{LO}} + \mathcal{K}_{\text{NNLO}} + \mathcal{K}_{\text{NNLO}} + \dots \\ \hline \mathcal{K} &= \mathcal{K}_{\text{LO}} + \mathcal{K}_{\text{NNLO}} + \mathcal{K}_{\text{NNLO}} + \dots \\ \hline \mathcal{K} &= \mathcal{K}_{\text{LO}} + \mathcal{K}_{\text{NNLO}} + \mathcal{K}_{\text{NNLO}} + \dots \\ \hline \mathcal{K} &= \mathcal{K}_{\text{LO}} + \mathcal{K}_{\text{NNLO}} + \mathcal{K}_{\text{NNLO}} + \dots \\ \hline \mathcal{K} &= \mathcal{K}_{\text{LO}} + \mathcal{K}_{\text{NNLO}} + \mathcal{K}_{\text{NNLO}} + \dots \\ \hline \mathcal{K} &= \mathcal{K}_{\text{LO}} + \mathcal{K}_{\text{NNLO}} + \mathcal{K}_{\text{NNLO}} + \dots \\ \hline \mathcal{K} &= \mathcal{K}_{\text{LO}} + \mathcal{K}_{\text{NNLO}} + \mathcal{K}_{\text{NNLO}} + \dots \\ \hline \mathcal{K} &= \mathcal{K}_{\text{LO}} + \mathcal{K}_{\text{NNLO}} + \mathcal{K}_{\text{NNLO}} + \dots \\ \hline \mathcal{K} &= \mathcal{K}_{\text{LO}} + \mathcal{K}_{\text{NNLO}} + \mathcal{K}_{\text{NNLO}} + \mathcal{K}_{\text{NNLO}} + \mathcal{K}_{\text{NNLO}} + \dots \\ \hline \mathcal{K} &= \mathcal{K}_{\text{NNLO}} + \mathcal{K}_{\text{NNLO$$

#### PASCOS2023

### 4. $\Omega(1)$ invariant lepton masses model

Field contents a	nd their	r trans	sforma	ation pro	opertie	s: Fl	avons	M	odular 1	foi
Fields	L	$E^c$	$H_u$	$H_d$	$\int \phi$	$\varphi$	χ	ξ	$Y_{m{r}}^{(k_Y)}$	
$\mathrm{SU}(2)_L \times \mathrm{U}(1)_Y$	$(2,- frac{1}{2})$	(1, 1)	$(2, rac{1}{2})$	$(2,- frac{1}{2})$	(1,0)	(1, 0)	(1, 0)	( <b>1</b> ,0)	( <b>1</b> ,0)	
$\Delta(27)$	3	3	10,0	10,0	   3	3	3	$1_{0,0}$	$1_{0,0}$	
$\Gamma'_3 \cong T'$	30	30	1	1	$3_1$	$3_{0}$	$3_1$	1	r	,
modular weight	0	0	0	0	5	5	7		$k_Y$	
$Z_2$	1	-1	1	1	-1	1	1	1	1	
$Z_3$	ω	$\omega^2$	1	1	1	ω	ω	1	1	

> Superpotential :

$$\mathcal{W} = \frac{\alpha}{\Lambda} \left( E^{c} L \phi Y_{\mathbf{2'}}^{(5)} \right)_{(\mathbf{10,0,1})} H_{d} + \frac{\beta}{\Lambda^{2}} \left( E^{c} L \xi \phi Y_{\mathbf{1}}^{(4)} \right)_{(\mathbf{10,0,1})} H_{d} + \frac{g_{1}}{2\Lambda^{2}} \left( L L \varphi Y_{\mathbf{2''}}^{(5)} \right)_{(\mathbf{10,0,1})} H_{u} H_{u} + \frac{g_{2}}{2\Lambda^{2}} \left( L L \chi Y_{\mathbf{2'}}^{(7)} \right)_{(\mathbf{10,0,1})} H_{u} H_{u} .$$

#### PASCOS2023

### Symmetry breaking

[M.Leurer, Y.Nir, N.Seiberg 1992]

No exact (nontrival) flavor symmetries are preserved at low energy!

EFG must be fully broken by VEVs of flavons & modulus:



PASCOS2023

Lepton mass matrices:

$$\begin{split} & m_{l} = \frac{\alpha v_{\phi} v_{d}}{\Lambda} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2} \omega^{2} Y_{\mathbf{2'},1}^{(5)} & \omega Y_{\mathbf{2'},2}^{(5)} & \omega Y_{\mathbf{2'},2}^{(5)} \\ \omega Y_{\mathbf{2'},2}^{(5)} & \sqrt{2} Y_{\mathbf{2'},1}^{(5)} & Y_{\mathbf{2'},2}^{(5)} \\ \omega Y_{\mathbf{2'},2}^{(5)} & Y_{\mathbf{2'},2}^{(5)} & \sqrt{2} Y_{\mathbf{2'},1}^{(5)} \end{pmatrix} + \frac{i\beta Y_{\mathbf{1}}^{(4)} v_{\xi} v_{\phi} v_{d}}{\Lambda^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \omega & -\omega \\ -\omega & 0 & 1 \\ \omega & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ & m_{\nu} = \frac{g_{1} v_{\varphi} v_{u}^{2}}{\Lambda^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \omega Y_{\mathbf{2''},2}^{(5)} & 0 \\ \omega Y_{\mathbf{2''},2}^{(5)} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{2} Y_{\mathbf{2''},1}^{(5)} \end{pmatrix} + \frac{g_{2} v_{\chi} v_{u}^{2}}{\Lambda^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2} Y_{\mathbf{2'},1}^{(7)} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega Y_{\mathbf{2'},2}^{(7)} \\ 0 & \omega Y_{\mathbf{2'},2}^{(7)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ & \text{Unitary rotation matrix:} \\ & U_{l}^{\dagger} m_{l}^{\dagger} m_{l} U_{l} = \text{diag} \left( m_{e}^{2}, m_{\mu}^{2}, m_{\tau}^{2} \right) \end{pmatrix} = \frac{U_{l} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left( 1 - \frac{\omega^{2}}{\omega^{2}} - \frac{\omega}{\omega^{2}} \right) \\ & \text{Charged lepton masses:} \\ & m_{e} = \left| \sqrt{2} Y_{\mathbf{2'},1}^{(5)} - Y_{\mathbf{2'},2}^{(5)} - \frac{\sqrt{6}\beta v_{\xi} Y_{\mathbf{1}}^{(4)}}{\alpha \Lambda} \right| \frac{\alpha v_{\phi} v_{d}}{\Lambda}, \\ & m_{\mu} = \left| \sqrt{2} Y_{\mathbf{2'},1}^{(5)} - Y_{\mathbf{2'},2}^{(5)} + \frac{\sqrt{6}\beta v_{\xi} Y_{\mathbf{1}}^{(4)}}{\alpha \Lambda} \right| \frac{\alpha v_{\phi} v_{d}}{\Lambda}, \\ & m_{\tau} = \left| \sqrt{2} Y_{\mathbf{2'},1}^{(5)} + 2Y_{\mathbf{2'},2}^{(5)} \right| \frac{\alpha v_{\phi} v_{d}}{\Lambda}. \end{split} \right. \end{split}$$

PASCOS2023

### Numerical fitting and prediction

Best-fit values of the free input parameters:

 $\begin{array}{l} \langle \tau \rangle = 0.00177 + 1.120i, \\ |\beta v_{\xi}/(\alpha \Lambda)| = 0.0480, \\ g_2 v_{\chi}/(g_1 v_{\varphi})| = 0.9787, \\ \alpha v_{\xi} v_{\phi} v_d/\Lambda^2 = 262.5 \text{MeV}, \\ g_1 v_{\varphi} v_u^2/\Lambda^2 = 5.401 \text{meV}. \end{array}$ 

8 input parameters  $\rightarrow$  6 masses + 3 mixing angles + 3 CP phases

The predictions for various flavor observables:

$$\begin{split} &\sin^2 \theta_{13} = 0.02251, \quad \sin^2 \theta_{12} = 0.3284, \quad \sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.4954, \quad \delta_{CP} = 1.434\pi, \\ &\alpha_{21} = 0.961\pi, \quad \alpha_{31} = 0.926\pi, \quad m_1 = 15.13 \text{meV}, \quad m_2 = 17.40 \text{meV}, \\ &m_3 = 52.31 \text{meV}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^3 m_i = 84.84 \text{meV}, \quad m_{\beta\beta} = 5.619 \text{meV}, \\ &m_e = 0.511 \text{MeV}, \quad m_\mu = 106.5 \text{MeV}, \quad m_\tau = 1.803 \text{GeV}. \end{split}$$

Almost all flavor observables are within the  $3\sigma$  regions !

#### PASCOS2023

### $\square \mu - \tau$ reflection symmetry

PASCOS2023

In the charged lepton diagonal basis:

$$\begin{split} m'_{\nu} &= U_l^T m_{\nu} U_l \\ &= \frac{g_1 v_{\varphi} v_u^2}{\Lambda^2} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2} Y_{\mathbf{2'',1}}^{(5)} + 2Y_{\mathbf{2'',2}}^{(5)} & \omega \left(\sqrt{2} Y_{\mathbf{2'',1}}^{(5)} - Y_{\mathbf{2'',2}}^{(5)}\right) & \omega^2 \left(\sqrt{2} Y_{\mathbf{2'',1}}^{(5)} - Y_{\mathbf{2'',2}}^{(5)}\right) \\ &\omega \left(\sqrt{2} Y_{\mathbf{2'',1}}^{(5)} - Y_{\mathbf{2'',2}}^{(5)}\right) & \omega^2 \left(\sqrt{2} Y_{\mathbf{2'',1}}^{(5)} + 2Y_{\mathbf{2'',2}}^{(5)}\right) & \sqrt{2} Y_{\mathbf{2'',1}}^{(5)} - Y_{\mathbf{2'',2}}^{(5)} \\ &\omega^2 \left(\sqrt{2} Y_{\mathbf{2'',1}}^{(5)} - Y_{\mathbf{2'',2}}^{(5)}\right) & \sqrt{2} Y_{\mathbf{2'',1}}^{(5)} - Y_{\mathbf{2'',2}}^{(5)} & \omega \left(\sqrt{2} Y_{\mathbf{2'',1}}^{(5)} + 2Y_{\mathbf{2'',2}}^{(5)}\right) \\ &+ \frac{g_2 v_{\chi} v_u^2}{\Lambda^2} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2} Y_{\mathbf{2',1}}^{(7)} + 2Y_{\mathbf{2',2}}^{(7)} & \omega^2 \left(\sqrt{2} Y_{\mathbf{2',1}}^{(7)} - Y_{\mathbf{2',2}}^{(7)}\right) & \omega \left(\sqrt{2} Y_{\mathbf{2',1}}^{(7)} - Y_{\mathbf{2',2}}^{(7)}\right) \\ &\omega \left(\sqrt{2} Y_{\mathbf{2',1}}^{(7)} - Y_{\mathbf{2',2}}^{(7)}\right) & \omega \left(\sqrt{2} Y_{\mathbf{2',1}}^{(7)} - Y_{\mathbf{2',2}}^{(7)}\right) \\ &\omega \left(\sqrt{2} Y_{\mathbf{2',1}}^{(7)} - Y_{\mathbf{2',2}}^{(7)}\right) & \sqrt{2} Y_{\mathbf{2',1}}^{(7)} - Y_{\mathbf{2',2}}^{(7)} \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$$

Atmospheric mixing angle and Dirac CP phase are predicted to be maximal !

Eclectic Flavor Symmetry — X.G Liu

 $\sim - 2\pi i/3$ 

### Numerical fitting and prediction

PASCOS2023

Best-fit values of the free input parameters:

$$\langle \tau \rangle = 1.120i,$$
  
 $\beta v_{\xi}/(\alpha \Lambda) = -0.0484, \quad g_2 v_{\chi}/(g_1 v_{\varphi}) = -0.981,$   
 $\alpha v_d v_{\xi} v_{\phi}/\Lambda^2 = 263.0 \text{MeV}, \quad g_1 v_u^2 v_{\varphi}/\Lambda^2 = 5.409 \text{meV}$ 

5 input parameters  $\rightarrow$  6 masses + 3 mixing angles + 3 CP phases

#### The predictions for various flavor observables:

$$\begin{split} &\sin^2 \theta_{13} = 0.02238, \quad \sin^2 \theta_{12} = 0.3266, \quad \sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.5, \quad \delta_{CP} = 1.5\pi, \\ &\alpha_{21} = \pi, \quad \alpha_{31} = \pi, \quad m_1 = 15.18 \text{ meV}, \quad m_2 = 17.44 \text{ meV}, \\ &m_3 = 52.43 \text{ meV}, \quad \sum_i m_i = 85.05 \text{ meV}, \quad m_{\beta\beta} = 5.595 \text{ meV}, \\ &m_e = 0.511 \text{ MeV}, \quad m_\mu = 106.5 \text{ MeV}, \quad m_\tau = 1.807 \text{ GeV}. \end{split}$$

Almost all flavor observables are within the  $3\sigma$  regions!



- The predicted mixing parameters are within very narrow ranges.
- The predicted  $m_{1,2,3}$ ,  $\theta_{23}$ ,  $\delta_{CP}$  and the effective mass  $m_{\beta\beta}$  in  $0v2\beta$  decay can be tested in future experiments.

PASCOS2023

Eclectic Flavor Symmetry — X.G Liu

Green: Allowed region for 8 para

### 5. Summary and outlook

We develop the formalism of eclectic flavor symmetry of  $\Omega(1)$  from the bottom-up perspective.



> A  $\Omega(1)$  invariant lepton masses model with 8(5) parameters.

> Correction from Kähler potential are suppressed by  $\langle\Phi
angle^2/\Lambda^2$  .

- $\gg \mu \tau$  reflection symmetry for neutrino mass matrix is preserved.
- How to dynamically explain these flavons & modulus VEVs?
  - More insights from the top-down approach?

#### PASCOS2023





 $\Box$  Modular forms multiplets for  $\Gamma'_3 \cong T'$ :

$$k = 1: \quad Y_{2''}^{(1)}(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} 3\frac{\eta^3(3\tau)}{\eta(\tau)} + \frac{\eta^3(\tau/3)}{\eta(\tau)} \\ 3\sqrt{2}\frac{\eta^3(3\tau)}{\eta(\tau)} \end{pmatrix}$$

Dedekind eta function:

$$\eta(\tau) = q^{1/24} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)$$
  
with  $q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$ 

$$k = 2: \quad Y_{3}^{(2)}(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{2}Y_{2'',1}^{(1)}Y_{2'',1}^{(1)} \\ Y_{2'',1}^{(1)}Y_{2'',2}^{(1)} + Y_{2'',2}^{(1)}Y_{2'',1}^{(1)} \\ \sqrt{2}Y_{2'',2}^{(1)}Y_{2'',2}^{(1)} \end{pmatrix}$$

 $k = 3: \dots$ 

□ Inhomogeneous(Homogeneous) finite modular group:

$$\Gamma_N \equiv SL(2, \mathbb{Z}) / \pm \Gamma(N) = \{S, T | S^2 = (ST)^3 = T^N = 1\}$$
  
$$\Gamma'_N \equiv SL(2, \mathbb{Z}) / \Gamma(N) = \{S, T | S^4 = (ST)^3 = T^N = 1, S^2T = TS^2\}$$

nature		outer automorphism	flavor groups					
	of symmetry	of Narain space group						
eclectic	modular	rotation $S \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z})_T$		T'				
	modular	rotation $T \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z})_T$	$\mathbb{Z}_3$					
	traditional flavor	translation A	$\mathbb{Z}_3$	A (27)		Ω(1)		
		translation B	$\mathbb{Z}_3$		$\Delta(54)$			
		rotation $C = S^2 \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z})_T$	$\mathbb{Z}_2^R$					

flavor group	GAP	$\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{fl}})$	finite mo	eclectic flavor	
$\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{fl}}$	ID		grouj	group	
$Q_8$	[8, 4]	$S_4$	without $\mathcal{CP}$	$S_3$	$\operatorname{GL}(2,3)$
			with $\mathcal{CP}$	—	—
$\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$	[9, 2]	$\operatorname{GL}(2,3)$	without $\mathcal{CP}$	$S_3$	$\Delta(54)$
			with $\mathcal{CP}$	$S_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$	[108, 17]
$A_4$	[12, 3]	$S_4$	without $\mathcal{CP}$	$S_3$	$S_4$
				$S_4$	$S_4$
			with $\mathcal{CP}$	_	—
T'	[24, 3]	$S_4$	without $\mathcal{CP}$	$S_3$	$\operatorname{GL}(2,3)$
			with $\mathcal{CP}$	—	—
$\Delta(27)$	[27, 3]	[ 432, 734 ]	without $\mathcal{CP}$	$S_3$	$\Delta(54)$
				T'	$\Omega(1)$
			with $\mathcal{CP}$	$S_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$	[108, 17]
				$\operatorname{GL}(2,3)$	[1296, 2891]
$\Delta(54)$	[54, 8]	[ 432, 734 ]	without $\mathcal{CP}$	T'	$\Omega(1)$
			with $\mathcal{CP}$	$\operatorname{GL}(2,3)$	[1296, 2891]

Including (generalized) CP symmetry: [Baur, Nilles, Trautner, Vaudrevange, 1901.03251]

Generalized CP transformation:

$$\begin{cases} \tau \xrightarrow{K_*} - \tau^* \\ \psi_I(t, \vec{x}) \xrightarrow{K_*} \rho_I(K_*) \psi_I^*(t, -\vec{x}) \end{cases} \qquad K_* = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The consistency between the gCP and modular & traditional flavor

$$\begin{cases} \rho(K_*)\rho^*(\gamma)\rho^{-1}(K_*) = \rho\left(u_{K_*}(\gamma)\right) \text{ with } u_{K_*}(\gamma) = K_*\gamma K_*^{-1} & \text{[Novichkov et al, 1905.11970; Ding et al, 2102.06716]} \\ \rho(K_*)\rho^*(g)\rho^{-1}(K_*) = \rho\left(u_{K_*}(g)\right) & \text{[Feruglio et al, JHEP 07 (2013) 027; Holthausen et al, JHEP 04 (2013) 122; Chen et al, Nucl.Phys.B 883 (2014) 267-305...]} \end{cases}$$

The automorphisms of G<sub>f</sub> satisfy

[Hans Peter Nilles et al, 2001.01736]

$$(u_S)^{N_s} = (u_T)^N = (u_S \circ u_T)^3 = 1, \qquad (u_S)^2 \circ u_T = u_T \circ (u_S)^2, (u_{K_*})^2 = 1, \qquad u_{K_*} \circ u_S \circ u_{K_*} = u_S^{-1}, \qquad u_{K_*} \circ u_T \circ u_{K_*} = u_T^{-1},$$

 $G_{ecl} = \langle \rho(S), \rho(T), \rho(g), \rho(K_*) \rangle = G_{flavor} \cup G_{modular} \cup CP$ 

• As an outs,  $u_{\gamma}$  generally maps one irrep of  $\Delta(27)$  to another:

 $u_{\gamma}: r_i \mapsto r_j$ 

$u_{S}:$ $1_{0,1} \rightarrow 1_{2,2} \rightarrow 1_{0,2} \rightarrow 1_{1,1} \rightarrow 1_{0,1};$									$1_{1,0} \to 1_{1,2} \to 1_{2,0} \to 1_{2,1} \to 1_{1,0},$							
$u_T$ :	$u_T:  1_{0,1} \to 1_{1,1} \to 1_{2,1} \to 1_{0,1};$									$1_{0,2} \rightarrow 1_{2,2} \rightarrow 1_{1,2} \rightarrow 1_{0,2}$ :						
	0,1		⊾,⊥	<i>4</i> ,1	0,2 2,2 1,2 0,2,											
								$\rightarrow$								
			$A^2B^2$	$A^2B$	A	$AB^2$	AB	$A^2$	$B^2$	B	$BAB^2A^2$	$ABA^2B^2$				
		$1C_1$	$3C_3^{(1)}$	$3C_3^{(2)}$	$3C_3^{(3)}$	$3C_3^{(4)}$	$3C_3^{(5)}$	$3C_3^{(6)}$	$3C_3^{(7)}$	$3C_3^{(8)}$	$1C_3^{(1)}$	$1C_3^{(2)}$				
	$1_{0,0}$	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1				
	$1_{0,1_{\mathbb{N}}}$	1	$\omega^2$	$\omega$	1	$\omega^2$	$\omega$	1	$\omega^2$	$\omega$	1	1				
		1	$\omega$	$\omega^2$	1	$\omega$	$\omega^2$	1	ω	$\omega^2$	1	1				
	$1_{1,0}$	1	$\omega^2$	$\omega^2$	ω	ω	ω	$\omega^2$	1	1	1	1				
	$1_{1,1}$	1	ω	1	ω	1	$\omega^2$	$\omega^2$	$\omega^2$	ω	1	1				
	11,2	1	1	ω	$\omega$	$\omega^2$	1	$\omega^2$	ω	$\omega^2$	1	1				
	12,0	1	ω	ω	$\omega^2$	$\omega^2$	$\omega^2$	$\omega$	1	1	1	1				
	$1_{2,1}$	1	1	$\omega^2$	$\omega^2$	$\omega$	1	$\omega$	$\omega^2$	ω	1	1				
	>1 <sub>2,2</sub>	1	$\omega^2$	1	$\omega^2$	1	ω	$\omega$	ω	$\omega^2$	1	1				
	> 3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	$3\omega$	$3\omega^2$				
	$\overline{3}$	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	$3\omega^2$	$3\omega$				

Outer automorphisms are symmetries of character table !

 $\mathbf{8}_{k} = \mathbf{1}^{k} \oplus \mathbf{2}^{[k+1]} \oplus \mathbf{2}^{[k+2]} \oplus \mathbf{3},$ 

$$r=3$$
 :

 $m{r}=m{8}:$ 

$$r=ar{3}:$$

 $r = 1_{0,0}$  :

 $m{r}=m{3}$  :

$$p_{\mathbf{1}k}(S) = 1, \qquad \rho_{\mathbf{1}k}(T) = \omega^k,$$

$$p_{\mathbf{3}k}(S) = \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} \omega^2 & \omega & \omega \\ \omega & \omega^2 & \omega \\ \omega^2 & \omega^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \rho_{\mathbf{3}k}(T) = \omega^k \begin{pmatrix} \omega & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{3}_k = \mathbf{1}^{[k+1]} \oplus \mathbf{2}^{[k+2]}.$$

$$\mathbf{\overline{3}}_k = \mathbf{1}^{[2-k]} \oplus \mathbf{2}^{[1-k]}.$$

> Irreps matrices for T':

> Irreps matrices for  $\Delta(27)$ :

$1_{r,s}$	:	$\rho_{1_{r,s}}(A) =$	$\omega^r,$	$\rho_{1_r}$	(B)	$= \omega^s ,$	with	r,s	= 0, 1	1, 2,
2		$a_{\tau}(A) =$	0 1	0		$o_{\tau}(B)$	$-\left(\begin{array}{c}1\\0\end{array}\right)$	0	0	
5	•	$\rho_{3}(A) =$		$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$	,	$\rho_{3(D)}$		$\omega \\ 0$	$\frac{0}{\omega^2}$	,
$ar{3}$	:	$\rho_{\mathbf{\bar{3}}}(A) =$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$	,	$ ho_{ar{3}}(B)$	$= \left( \begin{array}{c} 1\\ 0\\ 0 \end{array} \right)$	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \omega^2 \\ 0 \end{array}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \omega \end{pmatrix}$	

> The correspondence between (reducible/irreducible) representations:

$$\Delta(27) \text{ reps: } 1_{0,0}, 1_{0,1} \oplus \dots \oplus 1_{2,2}, = 8, 3, 3$$

$$\times 1^{i} \oplus 2^{[i+1]} \oplus 2^{[i+2]} \oplus 3 = 8_{i}, 1^{[i+1]} \oplus 2^{[i+2]} = 3_{i}, 1^{[2-i]} \oplus 2^{[1-i]} = \overline{3_{i}}$$

$$\parallel 1^{i} \oplus 1^{i} \oplus 2^{[i+2]} \oplus 3 = 8_{i}, 1^{[i+1]} \oplus 2^{[i+2]} = 3_{i}, 1^{[2-i]} \oplus 2^{[1-i]} = \overline{3_{i}}$$

$$\parallel 1^{i} \oplus 1^{i} 1^{i} \oplus 1^{i} \oplus 1^{i} \oplus 1^{i}$$