Supersymmetric dark matter: waxing, not waning



- UCLA dark matter meeting, March 2023
 - Howie Baer University of Oklahoma



The SM is beset by several finetuning problems:

- Planck scale?
- Strong CP problem (QCD): why is QCD theta parameter so small <~10^-10
- Cosmological constant:

• Gauge hierarchy: how can weak scale be so much smaller than GUT/

 $\rho_{vac} \sim (0.003 \text{ eV})^4 \ll m_P^4$

The SM is beset by several finetuning problems:

most plausible solutions to date

- Gauge hierarchy: how can weak scale be so much smaller than GUT/ Planck scale? SUSY
- Strong CP problem (QCD): why is QCD theta parameter so small <~10^-10 axion
- Cosmological constant: $\rho_{vac} \sim (0.003 \text{ eV})^4 \ll m_P^4$

anthropic vacua selection from multiverse/string vacua

SUSY solves Big Hierarchy: but LHC => Little Hierarchy

- GeV
- *dependent*: soft terms computed as multiples of gravitino mass m_3/2
- orders of magnitude
- 3. EW finetuning measure: mandatory and model independent

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 88, 095013 (2013)

How conventional measures overestimate electroweak fine-tuning in supersymmetric theory

Howard Baer,^{1,*} Vernon Barger,^{2,†} and Dan Mickelson^{1,‡}

• It is (mistakenly) believed that weak scale SUSY is no longer natural due to strong LHC constraints on sparticle masses (m(glno)>2.2 TeV) and the rather large value of $m(h)^{-125}$

• 1. BG naturalness measure overestimates finetuning by factors of 10-500 due to adopting various soft terms as independent when in realistic SUGRA models these are in fact

• 2. Higgs mass finetuning measure breaks soft terms into *dependent* contributions which each vary as they are tuned: violates finetuning rule, leading again to overestimates by







[This is the way naturalness has been successfully applied by e.g. Gaillard and Lee to predict the value of m(charm) shortly before it was discovered]

$$\frac{m_Z^2}{2} = \frac{m_{H_d}^2 + \Sigma_d^d - (m_{H_u}^2 + \Sigma_u^u) \tan^2 \beta}{\tan^2 \beta - 1}$$

$$\simeq -m_{H_u}^2 - \Sigma_u^u(\tilde{t}_{1,2}) - \mu^2.$$

1. mu~m(Z)~100-300 GeV: LSP is higgsino-like!

- 2. $m(Hu)^{m}(Z)^{100-300}$ GeV can be radiatively driven to small (natural) values
- 3. top squarks loop suppressed: range up to 3 TeV
- 4. gluinos enter at 2-loops: can range up to 6 TeV SUSY with radiatively-driven naturalness is natural!

practical naturalness: all *independent* contributions to an observable should be comparable to or less than the observable



review: see arXiv:2002.03013



vacua selection in multiverse

- anthropic selection of tiny CC at present perhaps most plausible solution to CC problem (Weinberg)
- realized in 3rd string revolution (Bousso & Polchinski, flux compactifications)
- may provide mechanism for origin of weak scale due to SUSY breaking
- statistical predictions from string vacua?
- power law draw to large soft terms (Douglas, Susskind)
- tempered by anthropic requirement of pocket universe m(weak) within factor of few of our measured value: ABDS windowatomic principle!



multiverse selection of SUSY breaking complex-valued F-term

SUSY from the multiverse

- 10^500 string vacua: each -> different 4-d laws of physics
- power-law draw of landscape to large soft terms (Douglas, Susskind)
- derived value for pocket-universe weak ulletscale must lie ~(2-5)m(weak)~100 GeV: ABDS window/atomic principle
- => m(h)~125 GeV
- => sparticles beyond LHC bounds
- decoupling/quasi-degeneracy sol'n to \bullet SUSY flavor problem
- HB, Barger, Serce, Sinha, arXiv: <u>1712.01399</u>



The string landscape provides a mechanism for SUSY with low Delta(EW)

HB, Barger, Martinez, Salam arXiv:2202.07046



There is a Little Hierarchy, but it is no problem

 $\mu \ll m_{3/2}$

Typical spectrum for low Δ_{EW} models

higgsinos likely the lightest superparticles!



But 'natural' higgsino-like WIMPs thermally underproduced



But no problem: need PQ solution to strong CP also: SUSY axions!

PQ axions need SUSY

- as accidental, approximate global symmetry from more fundamental discrete Rand L conservation arising accidentally from SM gauge symmetries
- why f_a~10^11 GeV? link to SUSY breaking scale sqrt{F_x}~10^11 GeV
- symmetries can sufficiently suppress these terms
- al., PQ axiverse)

• PQ: need new scale $f_a^{10^{11}}$ GeV; but don't want m(h)-> newly introduced high scale

• global PQ inconsistent with quantum gravity: no global symmetries! But PQ can emerge

symmetries (intrinsically SUSY) which arise from string compactifications: similar to B

• axion quality problem: higher dim op's can destroy thetabar<10^-10: but e.g. discrete R-

• axion quality: stringy instantons can destroy but not for MSSM as LE-EFT (McAllister et





- require two Higgs doublets])
- of 10
- WIMP admixture
- R-parity, B/L conservation, PQ can all emerge from discrete R-symmetry
- related work: see Harigaya, Yanagida et al.

and SUSY needs axion

• SUSY mu problem: superpotential mu term is SUSY conserving, not SUSY breaking: then expect mu~m(Planck) unless forbidden by e.g. PQ symmetry (Kim-Nilles solution to SUSY mu problem in SUSY DFSZ axion model [DFSZ fits well with MSSM as both

• naturalness => SUSY LSP is light higgsino: thermally underproduced by typically factor

• marriage of SUSY with PQ axion => multicomponent DM: DFSZ axion plus higgsino-like

1. Global symmetries fundamentally incompatible with gravity completion 2. Expect global symmetry to emerge as accidental (approximate) symmetry from some more fundamental gravity-safe (e.g. gauge or R-) symmetry. 3. Discrete R-symmetries:

intrinically supersymmetric and expected to emerge from string compactification

A model which works: Z(24) R symmetry (see also Lee et al.), arXiv:1102.3595

 $W \ni f_u Q H_u U^c + f_d Q H_d D^c + f_\ell L H_d E^c +$ $M_N N^c N^c / 2 + \lambda_\mu X^2 H_\mu H_d / m_P + f X^3 Y / \eta$

- Lowest dimension PQ breaking operator contributing to scalar PQ potential $\sim 1/m_P^8$: enough suppression so that PQ is gravity-safe
- Also forbids/suppresses RPV/p-decay operators

•
$$\mu \sim \lambda_{\mu} f_a^2 / m_P$$

Gravity safe, electroweak natural axionic solution to strong CP and SUSY μ problems HB, Barger, Sengupta, arXiv:1810.03713

$$-f_{\nu}LH_{u}N^{c} + m_{P} + \lambda_{3}X^{p}Y^{q}/m_{P}^{p+q-3}$$

This two-extra -field model based on Z(24) R symmetry forbids mu term, RPV terms and dim 6 p-decay operators, while maintaining MSSM Yukawa and Majorana nu mass term and to-be mu parameter

$$\begin{aligned} W_{hyCCK} & \ni \quad f_u Q H_u U^c + f_d Q H_d D^c + \\ & + \quad f X^3 Y / m_P + \lambda_\mu X^2 H_u H \end{aligned}$$

Also W contains an X^8Y^2/mP^7 superpotential; scalar pot'l suppressed by 1/mP^8, gravity safe!

multiplet	H_u	H_d	Q_i	L_i	U^c_i	D_i^c	E_i^c	N^c_i	Х	Y
\mathbb{Z}_{24}^R charge	16	12	5	9	5	9	5	1	-1	5
PQ charge	-1	-1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	-3

Z(24)^R and PQ charge assignments

HB, Barger, Sengupta, arXiv:<u>1810.03713</u>

 $+ f_{\ell}LH_dE^c + f_{\nu}LH_uN^c + M_NN^cN^c/2$ I_d/m_P .







For large A_f soft terms, $Z(24)^R$ and $U(1)_PQ$ spontaneously broken due to SUSY breaking with vevs~10^11 GeV => f_a~10^11 GeV!



Figure 1: Scalar potential V_{GSPQ} versus ϕ_X and ϕ_Y for $m_X = m_Y \equiv m_{3/2} = 10$ TeV, f = 1and $A_f = -35.5$ TeV.



Figure 2: Representative values of λ_{μ} required for $\mu = 150$ GeV in the $m_{3/2}$ vs. $-A_f$ plane of the GSPQ model for f = 1. We also show several contours of f_a .

Z(24) R model can easily accommodate mu~100-300 GeV consistent with EW naturalness

axion quality problem/SUSY mu problem/f_a problem: all solved!

mixed axion-neutralino production in early universe

- - re-annihilation at $T_D^{s,a}$
- saxions: TP or via BCM
 - $-s \rightarrow gg$: entropy dilution
 - $-s \rightarrow SUSY$: augment neutralinos
 - $-s \rightarrow aa$: dark radiation ($\Delta N_{eff} < 1.6$)
- axinos: TP
 - $-\tilde{a} \rightarrow SUSY$ augments neutralinos
- gravitinos: TP, decay to SUSY

• neutralinos: thermally produced (TP) or NTP via \tilde{a} , s or G decays

• axions: TP, NTP via $s \to aa$, bose coherent motion (BCM)

DM production in SUSY DFSZ: solve eight coupled Boltzmann equations



re-heat

neutralino/axion relic densities vs f_a (axion decay constant)



Bae, HB,Lessa,Serce

Direct higgsino detection rescaled for minimal local abundance $\xi \equiv \Omega_{\chi}^{TP} h^2 / 0.12$



Bae, HB, Barger, Savoy, Serce $\mathcal{L} \ni -X_{11}^h \overline{\widetilde{Z}}_1 \widetilde{Z}_1 h$ $X_{11}^{h} = -\frac{1}{2} \left(v_{2}^{(1)} \sin \alpha - v_{1}^{(1)} \cos \alpha \right) \left(g v_{3}^{(1)} - g' v_{4}^{(1)} \right)$

> includes latest LZ2022 results!

natural SUSY

Can test completely with multi-ton scale detector or equivalent (subject to minor caveats)

Prospects for SD WIMP searches:



Prospects for IDD WIMP searches:



suppressed by square of diminished WIMP abundance



may need to probe broader and deeper!

SUSY DFSZ axion: large range in m(a) but coupling reduced

takeaways

- SUSY naturalness tension due to faulty naturalness estimates
- SUSY with radiatively driven naturalness, LSP is higgsino-like
- landscape statistics: mh~125 GeV with sparticles beyond present LHC limits
- higgsino DM thermally underproduced, but SUSY <=> axions so expect mixed (DFSZ) axion+WIMP DM: (at least) 2 DM particles
- discrete R-symmetry: e.g. $Z(24)^R => axion quality, other issues!$
- higgsino-like WIMPs not yet detected: much lower abundance ~1/10th
- SUSY DFSZ axion coupling highly suppressed, hard to detect

Recent work: add light string modulus

- HB, Barger, Robert Wiley Deal
- compute all modulus decays to (PQ)MSSM particles
- cosmological moduli problem => m(phi)>100 TeV
- moduli-induced gravitino and LSP problem: m(phi)>~5000 TeV
- possible dark radiation decay to ALPs in LVS moduli stabilization
- anthropic sol'n to CMP: anthropic selection of low phi_0~10^-7
- 2111.05971, 2201.06633, 2204.01130, 2301.12546 • see e.g.