

Exploration of Wire-Array Metamaterials for the Plasma Axion Cavity

Nolan Kowitt¹, Rustam Balafendiev², Pavel Belov², Alex Droster¹, Maxim Gorlach², Samantha Lewis¹, Dajie Sun¹, Mackenzie Wooten¹, Karl van Bibber¹

[1] Department of Nuclear Engineering, University of California Berkeley, CA 94720, USA [2] Metamaterials Laboratory, ITMO University, St. Petersburg 197101, Russia



BERKELEY AXION WORKSTM

Metamaterials – A Path to Higher Frequency Axion Haloscopes





- The Plasma Axion Haloscope²

Determination of Wire-Array Metamaterial Parameters

 S_{21} (Transmission) Measurements of Metamaterial Parameters



In a Drude model, with a complex permittivity $\epsilon(\nu) = \epsilon'(\nu) - i\epsilon''(\nu)$:



- The fitted transmission function, S_{21} is very sensitive to the metamaterial parameters V_{p} [GHz], the loss term Γ [GHz], and the array width d [mm].
- Extensive measurements were performed at Berkeley of rectangular lattices assembled by stacking planes of gold-on-tungsten wires.





- For these measurements, the wire radius and spacing in the plane were $r_0 = 25 \mu$, a = 5.88 mm; the plane separation for most tests was b = 8 mm.
- The metamaterial parameters were investigated as a function of N = 5-40.

Study of the Plasma Frequency Dependence on the Unit Cell



Summary and Future Work

- Wire-array metamaterials are a promising route to reach higher frequencies in the dark matter axion search, as motivated by recent calculations of the mass of the post-inflation axion.⁸
- Fixed-frequency prototypes validate the expected room temperature quality factor ⁹ (see below); tunable prototypes are being designed and built.
- Optimal coupling of the resonator with the quantum preamplifier is under active study.
- A complete discussion of metamaterial resonators and the ALPHA proposal has recently appeared.¹⁰
- See talk of Andrea Gallo Rosso (Saturday, April 1, 10:15 a.m., PAB-1-425)

References

1. P. Sikivie, Phys. Rev. Lett. 51 (1983) 1415 2. M. Lawson et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 123 (2019) 141802 3. J.B. Pendry et al., J. Phys. Condens. Matt. 10 (1998) 4785 4. P.A. Belov et al., Phys. Rev. B 67 (2003) 113103 5. P. Gay-Balmaz et al., Appl. Phys. Lett. 81 (2002) 2896 6. R. Balafendiev et al., Phys. Rev. B 106 (2022) 7 7. M. Wooten et al., Ann. Phys. (2023) 2200479 8. M. Buschmann et al., Nat. Comm. 13 (2022) 1049 9. J. Gudmundsson, private communication (2023) 10. A. Millar et al., Phys. Rev. D 107 (2023) 055013



and a second sec

Acknowledgements



We gratefully acknowledge support from the National Science Foundation, under Grant Number PHY2209556, and fruitful discussions with the ALPHA collaboration.