Lessons for dark matter from nonstandard cosmologies

Leszek Roszkowski

Astrocent, Nicolaus Copernicus Astronomical Centre PAS

and

National Centre for Nuclear Research

- New opportunities for axion dark matter searches in nonstandard cosmological models, P. Arias, N. Bernal, A. Narino, D. Karamitros, C. Maldonado, LR, M. Venegas, JCAP 11 No 11 (2021) 003
- (Dark Matter Axions in the Early Universe with a Period of Increasing Temperature, P. Arias, N. Bernal, J.K. Osiński, LR, e-Print: 2207.07677 talk by J. Osiński)
- Frozen-in fermionic singlet dark matter in non-standard cosmology with a decaying fluid, P. Arias, D. Karamitros, LR, JCAP 05 (2021) 041



Standard Cosmology (SC):

- Period of inflation, reheating
- Radiation domination (RD) follows until BBN (and later, until radiationmatter EQ)
- Dark matter (DM) production takes place between inflation and BBN
 - Axion: misalignment mechanism
 - WIMP: freeze-out or freeze-in

Most studies of DM production, properties and prospects for discovery assume SC

There are many possible alternatives to SC Called nonstandard cosmology (NSC) models/scenarios Examples:

- early matter domination (EMD),
- kination

• ...

Much work in the literature (see bibliography)

How do results for DM change in NSCs?

Axion production

- Peccei-Quinn solution to the strong CP problem ٠
- Axion a: pseudoscalar ٠
- $\theta = a/f_a$ Equation of motion: $\ddot{\theta} + 3H(T)\dot{\theta} + m_a(T)^2 \sin \theta = 0$
- picks up mass at T_{OCD} ٠

Standard

 $H \propto T^2$

$$m_a(T) = \begin{cases} m_a, & T \lesssim T_{QCD} \\ m_a \left(\frac{T_{QCD}}{T}\right)^4, & T \gtrsim T_{QCD} \end{cases} \qquad m_a(T) = \frac{\chi(T)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{f_a}$$

Misalignment mechanism ٠

 $H > m_a$: $\theta \approx \theta_i$ = const. - initial misalignment angle

 $H \sim m_a$ - Coherent axion oscillations start at T_{osc}







(M. Venegas)

Axion as DM: • standard QCD window: $\sim 10^{-6} eV < m_a < \sim 10^{-5} eV$

L. Roszkowski, NuDM, 25 Sept. 2022

Simple NSC scenario

M. Venegas)

Consider:

- Some new scalar field ϕ
- It dominates the energy density over some period between inflation and BBN (EMD)
- It decays into Standard Model (SM) degrees of freedom prior to BBN

Equation of state
$$\omega_{\phi} = P_{\phi}/\rho_{\phi}$$
:
 $p_{\phi} \propto R^{-3(1+\omega_{\phi})}$ radiation
 $\beta = 4$
Boltzmann equations
 $\frac{d\rho_{\phi}}{dR} + \beta \frac{\rho_{\phi}}{R} = -\frac{\Gamma_{\phi}\rho_{\phi}}{R,H}$
 $\frac{d\rho_{ra}}{dR} + 4\frac{\rho_{ra}}{R} = \frac{\Gamma_{\phi}\rho_{\phi}}{RH}$
Hubble parameter
 $H = \sqrt{\frac{\rho_{\phi} + \rho_{ra}}{3M_{p}^{2}}}$
Here and below:



(M. Venegas)



107

 10^{5}

 10^{3}

 $T \; [\text{GeV}]$

 10^{1}

(M. Venegas)

 10^{-3}

Effects of NSC on axion production via misalignment mechanism



 $H(T_{\rm osc}) = m_a(T_{\rm osc})$



 T_{osc} lower than in SC \rightarrow increase in axion energy density



2. From entropy injection to SM plasma (for $\beta < 4$) - dilution of axion density

$$\rho_a(T_0) = \rho_a(T_{osc}) \frac{m_a}{m_a(T_{osc})} \frac{s(T_0)}{s(T_{osc})} \gamma \qquad \qquad \gamma \equiv \frac{S(T_{osc})}{S(T_{end})}, \qquad \gamma \leq 1$$

The dilution factor is a function of NSC parameters, depending which region the axion starts to oscillate

(M. Venegas)

- \succ For $\beta < 4$
 - Competition between both effects
 - Dilution factor is stronger

 $\Omega_a^{NSC}(m_a) < \Omega_a^{SC}(m_a)$

Lower mass values open up, below the standard QCD window

- \blacktriangleright For $\beta > 4$
 - No entropy injection
 - Only lower T_{OSC}

 $\Omega_a^{NSC}(m_a) > \Omega_a^{SC}(m_a)$

Larger mass values open up, above the standard QCD window

M. Venegas



- SC: $10^{-6} \text{ eV} \lesssim m_a \lesssim 10^{-5} \text{ eV}.$
- eta = 3: $10^{-8} \text{ eV} \lesssim m_a \lesssim 10^{-5} \text{ eV}$ eta = 6: $10^{-6} \text{ eV} \lesssim m_a \lesssim 10^{-2} \text{ eV}$
- $\beta = 2$: $10^{-10} \text{ eV} \lesssim m_a \lesssim 10^{-5} \text{ eV}$ $\beta = 8$: $10^{-6} \text{ eV} \lesssim m_a \lesssim 6 \text{ eV}$.



future

current

https://github.com/cajohare/AxionLimits

WIMP DM Production in NSCs

- Thermal: freeze-out
- Non-thermal: freeze-in,

Both are sensitive to thermal history of the Universe And not only WIMP mass and interactions

Focus on freeze-in:

Number density of DM depends on:

- Process that produces them
- The evolution of the plasma temperature
- The expansion rate of the Universe H

The DM abundance depends on:

- The DM number density, AND
- The photon number density

Effect: significantly altered, often strongly relaxed parameter space



Freeze-in of fermionic DM in NSC

Frozen-in fermionic singlet dark matter in non-standard cosmology with a decaying fluid, P. Arias, D. Karamitros, LR, JCAP 05 (2021) 041

- DM is produced from some scalar field S
 - S decays $S \to \chi \chi$
 - S scattering $SS \rightarrow \chi \chi$
- Boltzmann equations $Y_{\chi} \equiv n_{\chi}/s_{\chi}$

$$\frac{d\log Y_{\chi}}{d\log \frac{T_{\rm ini}}{T}} = \delta_h \frac{\{S \to \chi\chi\} + \{SS \to \chi\chi\}}{H_{\rm R}n_{\chi}} \times \\ \stackrel{0 < \mathcal{F}_1 \le 1}{\bigoplus} \underbrace{\left(1 - \frac{\Gamma_{\Phi}}{H} \frac{\rho_{\Phi}}{3s T}\right)^{-1}}_{1 \le \mathcal{F}_2 \lesssim 8/c} \underbrace{\left[1 - \frac{d\log S}{d\log \frac{T_{\rm ini}}{T}} \left(\frac{d\log N_{\chi}}{d\log \frac{T_{\rm ini}}{T}}\right)^{-1}\right]}_{\mathcal{F}_3 \le 1}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = -\frac{y_{\chi}}{2} S \left(\chi \chi + \chi^{\dagger} \chi^{\dagger} \right)$$

• S remains in thermal equilibrium via interactions with Higgs boson

$$\mathcal{L}_{HS} = -\frac{\lambda_{HS}}{2} S^2 H^{\dagger} H$$



- EMD significantly alters DM production
- The relic density is lower than in SC (dilution)



- NSC: dilution of relic density opens up much larger values of $\,y_{\chi}$
- Also relaxes large scale formation (LSF) bound

To take home:

- > Standard cosmology is the simplest choice but by no means a unique one
- Many nonstandard cosmology scenarios exist
- > In NSC implications for observable quantities can be large
- Simple NSC cases of early matter domination by some scalar field was presented here:
 - Axion DM produced via misalignment mechanism: mass can be much less, or much more than standard QCD window
 - Fermionic DM produced via freeze-in:
 - Significantly larger parameter space (larger Yukawa coupling)
 - \rightarrow Implication for better prospects for direct detection