# Coherent neutrino scattering and the quenching factor



#### Jiajun Liao

Sun Yat-sen University

In collaboration w/ Hongkai Liu and Danny Marfatia

arXiv: 2104.01811, Phys. Rev. D 104, 015005 (2021)

arXiv: 2202.10622, Phys. Rev. D 106, 031702 (2022) (Letter)

International Conference on Neutrinos and Dark Matter (NuDM-2022)

Online, 9/28/2022

Introduction to CEvNS

Measurement of Ge quenching factor


CEvNS from reactor antineutrinos

Introduction to CEvNS

Measurement of Ge quenching factor

CEVNS from reactor antineutrinos

## Nuclear recoil



- Dark matter direct detection
- Coherent Elastic ν-Nucleus Scattering (CEνNS )

Moment transfer 
$$\longrightarrow q = \sqrt{2ME_R} \lesssim 1/R \longleftarrow$$
 Nuclear radius Nuclear recoil energy  $E_R \leq \frac{2E_\nu^2}{M+2E_\nu} \sim O(1)$  keV for  $E_\nu < 50$  MeV DM direct detection experiments  $\longrightarrow$  detection thresholds of  $O(1)$  keV

# CEνNS experiments

•  $\pi$ DAR source @ SNS

COHERENT first observed CE $\nu$ NS in 2017 at the 6.7 $\sigma$  CL with a Csl detector

COHERENT, Science 357,1123 (2017)

Later confirmed in 2020 at more than 3σ CL with Ar detector

COHERENT, PRL 126, 012002 (2021)




CONNIE uses a Si detector with 0.1  $keV_{ee}$  threshold CONNIE, PRD 100, 092005 (2019)


CONUS uses a Ge detector with 0.3  $keV_{ee}$  threshold

CONNIE, PRL 126, 041804 (2021)

NCC-1701 uses a Ge detector with 0.2 keVee threshold

Colaresi at al., PRD 104, 072003 (2021) [2108.02880] Colaresi at al., arXiv: 2202.09672





# CEνNS spectrum

Differential cross section

$$\frac{d\sigma_{SM}}{dE_R} = \frac{G_F^2 M}{4\pi} q_W^2 \left(1 - \frac{ME_R}{2E_\nu^2}\right) F^2(\mathfrak{q})$$

Event spectrum

$$\frac{dR}{dE_R} = N_T \int \frac{d\Phi}{dE_\nu} \frac{d\sigma}{dE_R} dE_\nu$$

Only a small portion of nuclear recoiling energy  $E_R$  will go into electronic ionization energy  $E_I$ , which is measured.

Quenching factor (QF): 
$$Q\equiv E_I/E_R$$

Measured number of events:

$$N_i = t \int_{E_I^i}^{E_I^{i+1}} \eta \frac{dR}{dE_R} \left( \frac{1}{Q} - \frac{E_I}{Q} \frac{dQ}{dE_I} \right) dE_I$$

## Lindhard Model

Lindhard, Nielsen, Scharff and Thomsen, Mat. Fys. Medd. Dan. Vid. Selsk. 33 10 (1963)

$$Q(E_R) = \frac{k g(\epsilon)}{1 + k g(\epsilon)},$$

where 
$$g(\epsilon) = 3 \epsilon^{0.15} + 0.7 \epsilon^{0.6} + \epsilon$$

Dimensionless reduced energy

$$\epsilon = 11.5Z^{-\frac{7}{3}}(\frac{E_R}{\text{keV}_{\text{nr}}})$$


The slope of electronic stopping power

$$k = 0.1333Z^{\frac{2}{3}}A^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

a larger k value leads to larger fraction of total energy going into electron.



- The atomic binding energy of electrons is negligible.
- Energy transfers to electrons are small relative to energy transfers to atoms.
   Sorensen, PRD 91, 083509 (2015) [arXiv: 1412.3028]




Introduction to CEvNS

Measurement of Ge quenching factor

CEvNS from reactor antineutrinos

## Measurement of Ge QF



The new dataset may be explained by the Lindhard model supplemented with the Migdal effect.


Collar, Kavner, Lewis, PRD 103, 122003 (2021) [arXiv: 2102.10089]

## Modified Lindhard Model

$$Q(E_R) = \frac{k g(\epsilon)}{1 + k g(\epsilon)} - \frac{q}{\epsilon}$$

Sorensen, PRD 91, 083509 (2015) [arXiv: 1412.3028]

- A small q value has no significant effect at the large recoil energy region.
- A positive q value allows a sharp cutoff in the energy given to electrons.
- A negative q value allows an enhancement in the energy given to electrons.



**JL**, Liu, Marfatia, PRD 104, 015005 (2021) [arXiv: 2104.01811]

# New Physics in CEvNS

#### A light vector Z'


$$\frac{d\sigma_{SM+Z'}}{dE_R} = \left(1 - \frac{q_{Z'}}{q_W}\right)^2 \frac{d\sigma_{SM}}{dE_R}$$
$$q_{Z'} = \frac{3\sqrt{2}(N+Z)g'^2}{G_F(2ME_R + M_{Z'}^2)}$$


#### • A light scalar $\phi$

$$\frac{d\sigma_{SM+\phi}}{dE_R} = \frac{d\sigma_{SM}}{dE_R} + \frac{d\sigma_{\phi}}{dE_R}$$

$$\frac{d\sigma_{\phi}}{dE_R} = \frac{G_F^2}{4\pi} q_{\phi}^2 \frac{2ME_R}{E_{\nu}^2} MF^2(\mathfrak{q})$$

$$q_{\phi} = \frac{(14N + 15.1Z) g_{\phi}^2}{\sqrt{2}G_F(2ME_R + M_{\phi}^2)}$$





- Both the light Z' and scalar cases with the standard Lindhard model can fit the SM spectrum for a given set of QF parameters k and q.
- This will lead to confusion in determining the nature of new physics.

Introduction to CEvNS

Measurement of Ge quenching factor

CEvNS from reactor antineutrinos

# Suggestive evidence for coherent elastic neutrino-nucleus scattering from reactor antineutrinos arXiv: 2202.09672


J. Colaresi<sup>1</sup>, J.I. Collar<sup>2</sup>,\* T.W. Hossbach<sup>3</sup>, C.M. Lewis<sup>2</sup>, and K.M. Yocum<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Mirion Technologies Canberra, 800 Research Parkway, Meriden, CT, 06450, USA


<sup>2</sup>Enrico Fermi Institute, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60637, USA and

<sup>3</sup>Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington 99354, USA

(Dated: February 22, 2022)




Solid (dotted) line shows the SM CEvNS prediction for the iron filter (Lindhard) QF.




Blue (black) line are based on the data from the iron filter (photo-neutron) measurement.

# Quenching factor sensitivity




# **New Physics**



- Assume the standard Lindhard QF model is valid.
- $1\sigma$  allowed region of light Z' is also allowed by COHERENT due to

$$q_{Z'}=2q_W \Longrightarrow rac{g_{Z'}}{m_{Z'}}=\sqrt{rac{\sqrt{2}G_F\left[N-(1-4\sin^2 heta_W)Z
ight]}{3(N+Z)}}$$

# New Physics



- Marginalize over the (k,q) of the modified Lindhard model.
- Constraints are qualitatively affected by the QF model.

- Recent direct QF measurement in Ge indicates a departure from the standard Lindhard model at low energies.
- This deviation can be parameterized by a negative q in the modified Lindhard model.
- NCC-1701 data provide an independent probe of Ge quenching factor, and the best-fit point is consistent with the direct QF measurements.
- A precise measurement of the QF is essential to detect new physics at CEvNS.

  Thanks!


# Backup slides

# Migdal Effect

"...it is usually assumed that the atomic electrons around the recoil nucleus immediately follow the motion of the nucleus. However, it takes some time for the electrons to catch up, which causes ionization and excitation of the recoil atom..."

Migdal, J.Phys.(USSR) 4, 449 (1941).

Ibe, Nakano, Shoji and Suzuki, JHEP 03, 194 (2018) [arXiv:1707.07258 [hep-ph]].



A value of P =50% are needed to obtain agreement of the data, being a factor of approximately seven above the integrated ionization probabilities calculated for Migdal shakeoff from atomic germanium in 1707.07258

Collar, Kavner, Lewis, PRD 103, 122003 (2021) [arXiv: 2102.10089]