# Low Energy Excess Search and **Constraints on eV-Scale Sterile Neutrino Oscillations at MicroBooNE**

Sergey Martynenko (Brookhaven National Laboratory) **On behalf of MicroBooNE collaboration** 









### **Standard Model**



#### **Short-Baseline Anomalies**

- $\mathbf{M} \in \mathbf{R}$  Reactor Neutrino Flux  $\rightarrow$  Initially found issue of theory by Daya Bay experiment / Resolved with new input data to flux calculation
- $\Box$  Neutrino-4 Reactor Spectra  $\rightarrow$  In tension with other
- VSBL reactor  $\nu$  experiments
- $\Box$  The Gallium Anomaly  $\rightarrow$  BEST observed similar results  $\Box$  The LSND Anomaly  $\rightarrow$  JSNS<sup>2</sup> will perform direct test  $\Box MiniBooNE LEE \rightarrow Tested with MicroBooNE$











10

Bugey-3

Bugey-4

Chooz

1.10

1.00

0.90

0.80

0.70

 $= N_{\rm exp}/N_{\rm cal}$ 

Daya Bay

Phys.Lett.B 829 (2022), 137054

Double Chooz - Krasnoyarsk

 $10^{2}$ L [m] RENO







- MiniBooNE (2002-2019) observed the LEE of electromagnetic events with  $4.8\sigma$ significance
- MiniBooNE Cherenkov detector:
  - unable to distinguish between electrons and photons
  - unable to detect hadronic final-state particles below Cherenkov threshold
- Is excess due to electron neutrinos appearing in the muon neutrino beam? Or photons? Or some other electromagnetic activity?



- at Fermilab







### Liquid Argon Time Projection Chamber (LArTPC)

Cathode

Plane

Charged particle enters detector

# Scintillation light emitted by excited Ar, detected by PMTs

Ionization electrons drift to anode plane [2 induction wire planes and 1 collection]





## Liquid Argon Time Projection Chamber (LArTPC)

- Capable of identifying different species of particles and reconstructing 3D images with fine-grained information
- Neutrino vertex
- Particle flow (mother-daughter relationship)
- Track ( $\mu$ ,  $\pi$ , p etc.) vs shower (e,  $\gamma$  EM cascade)
- $e / \gamma$  (e+e- pair production) separation
  - 1. Gap between shower start point and  $\nu$  vertex
  - 2. dE/dx two times difference (1 MIP vs 2 MIPs)









### eLEE Search [electron Low Energy Excess]

Three independent analyses using different reconstruction paradigms and targeting different final states:

1. Quasi-elastic kinematics:1e1p, Deep-learning-based reco.



Deep Learning: PRD 103, 052012 (2021) Pandora: EPJC 75, 439 (2015) WireCell: JINST 13, 05032 (2018)



### eLEE Search [electron Low Energy Excess]

Three independent analyses using different reconstruction paradigms and targeting different final states:

1. Quasi-elastic kinematics:1e1p, Deep-learning-based reco.

2. MiniBooNE like-final state:  $1eNp0\pi$ and  $1e0p0\pi$ , Pandora-based reco.



Deep Learning: PRD 103, 052012 (2021) Pandora: EPJC 75, 439 (2015) WireCell: JINST 13, 05032 (2018)







### eLEE Search [electron Low Energy Excess]

Three independent analyses using different reconstruction paradigms and targeting different final states:

- 1. Quasi-elastic kinematics:1e1p, Deep-learning-based reco.
- 2. MiniBooNE like-final state:1eNp0pi and 1e0p0pi, Pandora-based reco.
- 3. Inclusive 1eX final states, WireCell reconstruction



Deep Learning: PRD 103, 052012 (2021) Pandora: EPJC 75, 439 (2015) WireCell: JINST 13, 05032 (2018)









## eLEE Results [by final state]

- Model : an energy-dependent enhancement of intrinsic  $\nu_{\rho}$ events in the beam at low energy  $\rightarrow$  derived from MiniBooNE with one normalization parameter "x"
- No observation of  $\nu_e$  candidate excess in low energy region; except for the low  $\nu_{e}$  purity  $1e0p0\pi$  channel
- Single-electron-alone explanation for MiniBooNE is ruled out at >97% CL











Events/100 MeV

# gLEE Search [single photon production]

- Search for single photons from NC  $\Delta$ radiative decays  $\rightarrow$  predicted to be one major source of single-photons at these energies
- It's a known background, that was not constrained directly by the MiniBooNE experiment
- Enhancement in  $NC \Delta \rightarrow N\gamma$  (N=p,n) with a factor of x3.18 gave good agreement with the observed LEE in various phase space
- Four channel fit for the analysis:
  - Two  $NC \ \Delta \rightarrow N\gamma$  reach single photon selections

Two high-statistics  $NC \ \pi^0$  reach two-photon selections







PRL 128, 111801 (2022)



### **<u>gLEE Results</u>**

#### Disfavor x3.18 $NC \Delta \rightarrow N\gamma$ as an interpretation of the MiniBooNE LEE at 94.8% CL



#### PRL 128, 111801 (2022)



14

### **More Photon Search Coming!**

- $NC \ \Delta \rightarrow N\gamma$  cross check using  $1\gamma 0p$  and  $1\gamma Np$  channels
  - Uses alternative reconstruction
  - More sensitive to the  $1\gamma 0p$  channel
- Coherent-like single-photon production search builds on the previous  $1\gamma 0p$  result
  - Looking for forward-going photons with no hadronic activity.
  - Closely follows the expected LEE signal. lacksquare
- Inclusive single photon  $(1\gamma X)$  is searching more generally for "single photon-like" final states
  - No dependence on model or requirement on hadronic activity.







## **BSM models**

- Many BSM scenarios predict overlapping  $e^+e^-$  final states that mimic a single shower topology  $\rightarrow$  could explain the LEE
- Higgs Portal Scalar and Heavy Neutral Lepton searches already published
- Additional Higgs Portal Scalar, Heavy Neutral Lepton, Dark Trident and Millicharged Particles searches are ongoing





MicroBooNE BSM Searches: Phys. Rev. D 101, 052001 (2020)Phys. Rev. Lett. 127, 151803 (2021)Phys. Rev. D 106, 092006 (2022)





#### 3(active) + 1(sterile) Neutrino Oscillation Framework

- •
- The effective mixing angles  $\theta_{\alpha\beta}$  for short-baseling •  $P_{\nu_{\alpha} \to \nu_{\beta}} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} + (-1)^{\delta_{\alpha\beta}} \cdot \sin^2 2\theta_{\alpha\beta} \cdot s$  $\sin^2 2\theta_{ee}$  $\nu_e$  disappearance ( $\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_e$ ):
  - $\sin^2 2\theta_{\mu}$  $\nu_{\mu}$  disappearance  $(\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{\mu})$ :  $\sin^2 2\theta_{\mu}$  $\nu_e$  appearance  $(\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_e)$ :
  - appearance  $(\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_\mu)$  is ignored because of tiny  $\frac{\nu_e \text{ flux rate}}{\nu_\mu \text{ flux rate}} \sim 0.005$

The PMNS matrix is extended to 4x4 unitary matrix, and is parameterized as following  $U_{PMNS} = R_{34}(\theta_{34}, \delta_{34}) R_{24}(\theta_{24}, \delta_{24}) R_{14}(\theta_{14}, 0) R_{23}(\theta_{23}, 0) R_{13}(\theta_{13}, \delta_{13}) R_{12}(\theta_{12}, 0)$ 

ne oscillations are defined below  

$$\sin^{2}\left(1.267 \frac{\Delta m_{41}^{2} (eV^{2})L(m)}{E(MeV)}\right)$$

$$e = \sin^{2} 2\theta_{14}$$

$$\mu = 4\cos^{2} \theta_{14} \sin^{2} \theta_{24} (1 - \cos^{2} \theta_{14} \sin^{2} \theta_{24})$$

$$e = \sin^{2} 2\theta_{14} \sin^{2} \theta_{24}$$

In MicroBooNE analysis, the above three oscillation effects are applied to all  $v_e$  and  $v_{\mu}$  events; the  $v_{\mu}$ 







Feldman-Cousins approach

#### **MicroBooNE 3+1 Exclusion Results** $[\Delta m_{14}^2 \text{ vs } \sin^2 2\theta_{\mu e}]$

• 2D profiled (on  $sin^2\theta_{24}$ ) result : full 3+1 analysis at each point in the parameter space

 $[\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e}, \nu_{e} \rightarrow \nu_{e}, \nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{\mu}]$ 

•  $\nu_e$  appearance-only : more stringent limit. However, it is physically not allowed in the 3+1 framework. (non-zero  $\nu_{\rho}$ appearance requires both  $\nu_{\rho}$ and  $\nu_{\mu}$  disappearance)

$$[\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e}]$$







#### **MicroBooNE 3+1 Exclusion Results** $[\Delta m_{14}^2 \text{ vs } \sin^2 2\theta_{ee}]$

• 2D profiled (on  $sin^2\theta_{24}$ ) result : full 3+1 analysis at each point in the parameter space

$$[\,\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e}\,,\,\nu_{e} \rightarrow \nu_{e}\,,\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{\mu}\,]$$

•  $\nu_e$  disappearance-only : more stringent limit corresponding to a fixed  $sin^2\theta_{24} = 0 \ [\nu_{\rho} \rightarrow \nu_{\rho}]$ 





### **Degeneracy of Oscillation Parameters**

Observed  $\nu_e$  events are a combination result of  $\nu_o$ appearance and disappearance:

$$N_{\nu_{e}} = N_{\text{intrinsic }\nu_{e}} \cdot P_{\nu_{e} \to \nu_{e}} + N_{\text{intrinsic }\nu_{\mu}} \cdot P_{\nu_{\mu} \to \nu_{e}}$$
$$= N_{\text{intrinsic }\nu_{e}} \cdot \left[1 + (R_{\nu_{\mu}/\nu_{e}} \cdot \sin^{2}\theta_{24} - 1) \cdot \sin^{2}2\theta_{14}\right]$$

- Degeneracy when  $sin^2\theta_{24}$  approaches *R* (the ratio of beam intrinsic  $\nu_e$  and  $\nu_\mu$  flux):
- Sensitivity/exclusion limits are much worse near degeneracy point
- BNB and NuMI beams have different degeneracy points:
  - ~0.005 for BNB [on-axis with baseline ~470m]
  - ~0.04 for NuMI [off-axis with baseline ~680m]





#### Combination of two beams can break degeneracy!





#### **MicroBooNE 3+1 Sensitivity Results** by using BNB+NuMI

- Sensitivity is significantly improved (~ factor of 2) when combining both BNB and NuMI (mainly due to degeneracy mitigation)
- BNB+NuMI data results are expected to be sensitive to the Gallium/Neutrino-4 results, and LSND results







## **SBN Program**

- The SBN program consists of three LArTPC detectors located in the BNB at Fermilab.
  - SBND [launching soon], MicroBooNE, and ICARUS [taking data].
- Goals:
  - New physics  $\rightarrow$  particularly eV-scale sterile neutrinos
  - Detailed studies of neutrino-nucleus interactions at the GeV energy scale
  - Advancement of the liquid argon detector technology  $\rightarrow$  the DUNE/LBNF longlacksquarebaseline neutrino experiment in the next decade





## Summary

- MicroBooNE was designed to test the electromagnetic nature of the MiniBooNE anomalous excess
- No excess of single photons or single electrons was observed so far
- Full 3+1 oscillation analyses were carried out to interpret the MicroBooNE eLEE results under a sterile neutrino oscillation hypothesis:
  - The data (50% BNB total dataset) was found to be consistent with three-flavor hypothesis and exclusion limits were calculated using a frequentist approach
  - Unitizing both BNB and NuMI data, the 3+1 analysis will be sensitive to Gallium/Neutrino-4 and LSND results
- Search for BSM models involving electron-positron pair production is ongoing O





# Thank you!





Back up

### eLEE Model [electron Low Energy Excess]

- Assumption : an energy-dependent enhancement of intrinsic  $\nu_{\rho}$  events in the beam at low energy
- Empirical eLEE model is derived from MiniBooNE, by unfolding detector response, acceptance, efficiency
- One normalization parameter "x" is built in the model Events/I

MiniBooNE **x**: 1±0.08 (stat.) 1±0.21 (full)





## eLEE Results [single bin]

- No observation of ν<sub>e</sub>
  candidate excess in low
  energy region; except
  for the low ν<sub>e</sub> purity
  1e0p0π channel
- Single-electron-alone explanation for MiniBooNE is ruled out at >97% CL







el	Reconstruction	Efficiency	Purity	Data Ev
e1p	Deep Learning	6.6%	75%	25
)π	Pandora	9%	<b>43%</b>	34
0π	Pandora	15%	80%	64
1eX	WireCell	46%	82%	606



### MicroBooNE data



### **BNB/NuMI fluxes**







#### Inclusive 1eX analysis: <u>Phys. Rev. D105, 112005 (2022)</u> similar constraint procedure used in other two analyses







32

#### Phys. Rev. Lett. 130, 011801 (2023)

10<sup>-1</sup>

