Introduction: benchmarking and global optimisation

Philipp Roloff (CERN) on behalf of the LHCb ECAL Upgrade II R&D group





ECAL Upgrade II Workshop Orsay, 13/12/2022



Introduction to benchmarking and global optimisation

• Physics benchmark studies for the FTDR have used stand-alone simulations of the ECAL: detailed Hybrid Monte Carlo approach (reminder on next slide) or faster, but more approximate simulations (e.g. talk by Daniele Manuzzi)

- Full simulation of the Run 3 detector upstream of the ECAL
- This approach was also extended to the LS3 detector configuration
- Several physics benchmark analyses are ongoing, also in view of the TDR on the LS3 consolidation \rightarrow following talks in this session

 The long-term goal is a global optimisation of the Upgrade II LHCb detector, recent common ECAL/VELO effort is a first step in this direction
→ see talk by Laurent Dufour

13/12/2022



- Current developments in simulation and reconstruction
- Preparations for the LS3 TDR
- Preparations for the Upgrade II scoping document

Reminder: Hybrid MC simulations





 Geant4 simulation of energy deposition and parametrised transport of scintillation photons

- Different module types implemented: SpaCal, Shashlik
- Used from single modules (test beam) to full ECAL options (e.g.
- "Run4_rotated", "Run5_rotated", ...)
- Particle flux from full LHCb simulation can be included
- Parametrised response of photon detector

(e.g. Hamamatsu R7600U-20, R7899-20, ...)

\rightarrow see talk by Marco Pizzichemi



Available Monte Carlo samples

Available samples from Hybrid MC documented on wiki page:

• General-purpose (e.g. single photons, minimum bias) for the development of corrections, resolution / occupancy studies

• Physics samples (also with overlay of minimum bias) from the studies shown in this session

 Available at /eos/experiment/spacal
→ let me know in case you wish to have access



https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/SpaCal_RD/AvailableSamplesFullECAL

A few comments on reconstruction

- Local reconstruction from current ECAL adapted for FTDR simulations
 → Including L, S and E corrections
- (Simplified) algorithms for Bremsstrahlung recovery and π⁰ reconstruction exist
 → see talks by Federico Betti,
 Sasha Stahl and Daniele Manuzzi
- Potential for improvement from using longitudinal segmentation and timing in the clustering
- Effort ongoing to use regressors for position, energy and time reconstruction
 → see talk by Alexey Boldyrev



CERN/LHCC 2021-012

Introduction: benchmarking and global optimisation

New: Tilted SpaCal modules

- The SpaCal region with tilted modules has been implemented in the hybrid Monte Carlo for the LS3 and LS4 configurations → see talk by Marco Pizzichemi
- L, S and E corrections adapted to rotated modules \rightarrow more special cases needed for cells close to gaps \rightarrow validated for Run5 rotated
- ML regressors also adapted to this case





Next step: treatment of radiation damage

Example: significant radiation damage expected after Run 3

Strategy: scale attenuation length in Geant4 using measurements of irradiated material

- Scintillating fibres in SpaCal modules (already done for single modules)
 → see talk by Marco Pizzichemi yesterday
- Scintillator tiles and WLS fibres in Shashlik modules (implementation easy, needed for LS3 consolidation TDR)







- Current developments in simulation and reconstruction
- Preparations for the LS3 TDR
- Preparations for the Upgrade II scoping document

Considerations in view of the LS3 TDR

- LS3 configuration with rotated SpaCal modules available, assuming $L = 2 \times 10^{33} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$
- \rightarrow Processing time / computing resources no major problem
- → Simulation of full events (without merging signal + minimum bias afterwards) feasible
- No longitudinal segmentation

 \rightarrow Reconstruction code inspired by current ECAL usable, can also benefit from new developments of ML-based reconstruction

• Need to fully understand if the timing in the SpaCal region (and also parts of the Shashlik) is relevant \rightarrow Impact on K* γ and Bremsstrahlung recovery is small, but could be different for other cases (e.g. lower-energy π^0)

• How to deal with the neutron shield?

13/12/2022

- \rightarrow Leads to non-negligible increase of occupancy (most problematic for final states with π^0)
- → More photon conversions (e.g. increase from \approx 50% to \approx 60% in K* γ events)
- \rightarrow So far included in all simulations (as part of the Run 3 detector)

Proposed program for LS3 benchmarking

Aim to have a few physics benchmark studies comparing the significance per fb for following configurations:

- <u>Run 2:</u> For comparison with published results
- Run 3: Skip if schedule too tight?
- <u>Run 3 detector with radiation damage:</u> crucial to illustrate what happens if we do noting during LS3
- LS3 detector: including rotated SpaCal modules

There is freedom to use the favourite decay modes of the analysers

 \rightarrow However, the investigated channels ideally cover neutral pions, electrons and single photons at low and high energy!



- Current developments in simulation and reconstruction
- Preparations for the LS3 TDR
- Preparations for the Upgrade II scoping document

What do we need for the LS4 scoping document?

Goals in view of the scoping document:

- Fully illustrate the benefit of longitudinal segmentation with physics benchmarks (not foreseen for Shashlik region in downscoped option of the FTDR)
- Further optimisation of the baseline LS4 ECAL configuration,
- e.g. benefit of more SpaCal modules, additional SpaCal-W/Poly region
- \rightarrow see my presentation from yesterday
- First steps towards global optimisation of the Upgrade II detector (minimum is to address common timing issues with the VELO group)
- Proper inclusion of luminosity decay in all studies

Important technical work needed:

- Improved clustering to make use of longitudinal segmentation and timing
- The detailed simulation is already an order of magnitude faster compared to FTDR times, but even more increase needed

This session

09:00 → 11:20	Physics b Chair: Hassa	enchmarking of the baseline an Jawahery	• 200/0-Auditorium - Auditoriu	
	09:00	Introduction: benchmarking and global optimisation Speaker: Philipp Roloff (CERN)	© 20m	₫ •
	09:20	Analysis report: K* gamma Speaker: Liupan An (CERN)	Q 15m	₫ -
	09:35	Analysis report: electron-positron final states (B->Kee and Z->ee) Speaker: Federico Betti (CERN) 2022_12_13_U2_CA	© 15m	" ◄
	09:50	Analysis report: neutral pions in D-meson decays Speaker: Sascha Stahl (CERN)	③ 15m	┏ ▾
	10:05	Analysis report: B->J/psi pi0 Speaker: Alexey Boldyrev (NRU Higher School of Economics (Moscow, Russia))	③ 15m	∠ .
	10:20	Analysis report: B->pi+ pi- pi0 Speaker: Daniele Manuzzi (Universita e INFN, Bologna (IT))	() 15m	₫ -
	10:35	Physics impact of VELO timing Speaker: Laurent Dufour (CERN)	O 15m	₫ ▼
	10:50	Plans of the simulation project Speaker: Dr Mark Peter Whitehead (University of Glasgow (GB))	© 15m	₫ •
	11:05	Discussion	③ 15m	₽ -

13/12/2022

Summary and conclusions

- Physics benchmarks using detailed simulations important:
- To demonstrate the capabilities the Upgrades we are proposing
- Comparison of different detector configuration options and further optimisation of our baseline designs
- The most urgent topic is to illustrate the physics potential of LS3 consolidation (32 + 144 new SpaCal modules with potential for timing, rearrangement of all ECAL modules in rhomboidal geometry)

 \rightarrow More volunteers would be very welcome!

• Long-term goal is to port the geometry, digitisation and reconstruction to the full LHCb software framework for global optimisation of the Upgrade II detector-

Thank you!

Backup slides

Comparison of different regions



Reminder: SpaCal/Shashlik ECAL for Upgrade II



۲ [cm] 300 ECAL doses --4 x 10⁴ Gv 250 $-1 \times 10^4 \text{ Gy}$ 12x12 cm² 200 150 6x6 cm² 100 4x4 cm 250 300 350 150 200 X [cm]

Requirements for the Upgrade II:

- Sustain radiation doses up to 1 MGy and $\leq 6 \times 10^{15}$ 1 MeV neq / cm² in the centre
- Keep current energy resolution of $\sigma(E)/E \approx 10\%/\sqrt{E} \oplus 1\%$
- Pile-up mitigation crucial
- \rightarrow Timing capabilities with O(10) ps precision, preferably directly in the calorimeter modules
- \rightarrow Increased granularity in the central region with denser absorber

SpaCal technology for inner region:

- Innermost modules with scintillating crystal fibres and W absorber
- \rightarrow Development of radiation-hard scintillating crystals
- \rightarrow 1.5x1.5 cm² cell size
- 40-200 kGy region with scintillating plastic fibres and Pb absorber
- \rightarrow Need radiation-tolerant organic scintillators
- \rightarrow 3x3 cm² cell size

Shashlik technology:

- Timing with new WLS fibres, long. segmentation (double-sided readout)
- \rightarrow Cost optimisation by refurbishing <code>~2000</code> existing modules for timing
- \rightarrow Adapt to the required cell sizes by adding \approx 1300 new modules

LS3 consolidation: W absorber for innermost modules equipped with scintillating plastic fibre for 2x2 cm² cell size

CERN/LHCC 2021-012

Radiation limit of current Shashlik technology

13/12/2022

Introduction: benchmarking and global optimisation

Reminder: LHCb ECAL upgrade strategy







Run 3 in 2022-2025:

Run with unmodified ECAL Shashlik modules at L = $2 \times 10^{33} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$

LS3 consolidation in 2026-2028:

Introduce single-section rad. tolerant SpaCal (2x2 and 3x3 cm² cells) in inner regions and rebuilt ECAL in rhombic shape to improve performance at L = 2(4) x 10^{33} cm⁻²s⁻¹ \rightarrow 32 SpaCal-W & 144 SpaCal-Pb modules with plastic fibres compliant with Upgrade II conditions \rightarrow Could include timing information with single-sided readout to inner regions

LS4 Upgrade II in ≥2035:

Introduce double-section rad. hard SpaCal (1.5x1.5 & 3x3 cm² cells) and improve timing of Shashlik modules for a luminosity of up to $L = 1.5 \times 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$

- \rightarrow Innermost SpaCal-W modules equipped with crystal fibres
- \rightarrow Include timing information and double-sided readout to full ECAL for pile-up mitigation



Reminder: Baseline configuration for Upgrade II

5 ECAL regions matching the radiation maps:

 $\begin{array}{c} \underline{\text{Cell size:}} \\ 1.5 \ x \ 1.5 \ \text{cm}^2 \\ 3 \ x \ 3 \ \text{cm}^2 \\ 4 \ x \ 4 \ \text{cm}^2 \\ 6 \ x \ 6 \ \text{cm}^2 \\ 12 \ x \ 12 \ \text{cm}^2 \end{array}$

Modules:

32 *new* modules for extreme conditions of up to 1 MGy 144 *new* modules with "moderate" radiation requirements of up to ≈ 200 kGy 272 *new* modules + 176 refurbished existing modules (add long. segmentation?) 896 rebuilt + 448 refurbished existing modules (add long. segmentation?) 1'344 refurbished existing modules (add long. segmentation?)



Radiation limit of current Shashlik technology

Number of channels:

<u>Current ECAL:</u> 6'064 cells (6'016 channels read) <u>Full double-sided readout (long. segmentation):</u> 30'208 channels

The SpaCal modules need to be tiled to meet the energy resolution target

CERN/LHCC 2021-012

Reminder: LS3 configuration



- 9'344 cells (compared to 6'064 in current ECAL)
- Timing will be implemented for SpaCal region
- \rightarrow requires new electronics for \approx 3'300 cells
- Existing modules will be rearranged (4x4 cm² Shashlik modules moved out to avoid too much radiation damage, WLS fibres could be easily replaced)

 $\frac{\text{Cell size:}}{2 \times 2 \text{ cm}^2} \\ 3 \times 3 \text{ cm}^2 \\ 4 \times 4 \text{ cm}^2 \\ 6 \times 6 \text{ cm}^2 \\ 12 \times 12 \text{ cm}^2 \\ \end{array}$

<u>Modules:</u>

- 32 *new* SpaCal-W modules
- 144 new SpaCal-Pb modules
- 176 existing modules in rhombic configuration
- 448 existing modules in rhombic configuration
- 2'512 existing modules in rhombic configuration