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## The tilted cosmological model



Two families of observers: $u^{a}$ represent observers following the Hubble flow and $\tilde{u}^{a}$ represent real observers.

## The dynamics of a congruence of observers

Given a congruence of observers with four-velocity $u^{a}$, we can define:

$$
\nabla_{b} u_{a}=\frac{1}{3} \Theta h_{a b}+\sigma_{a b}+\omega_{a b}-A_{a} u_{b}
$$

where $\Theta, \sigma_{a b}$ and $\omega_{a b}$ are the expansion, shear and vorticity, respectively. $A^{a}$ is the congruence's four-acceleration and

$$
h_{a b}=g_{a b}+u_{a} u_{b}
$$

is the projection operator.
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## The dynamics of a congruence of observers

Clearly, a different congruence following the flow lines of $\tilde{u}^{a}$ will measure different parameters:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{b} \tilde{u}_{a}=\frac{1}{3} \tilde{\Theta} \tilde{h}_{a b}+\tilde{\sigma}_{a b}+\tilde{\omega}_{a b}-\tilde{A}_{a} \tilde{u}_{b} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Rewriting $\tilde{u}_{a}$ in terms of $u_{a}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{u}_{a}=\tilde{\gamma}\left(u_{a}+\tilde{v}_{a}\right) \approx u_{a}+\tilde{v}_{a} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Non-relativistic $\left(\tilde{v}^{2} \ll 1\right)$ relative motion effects:

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\tilde{\Theta}=\Theta+\tilde{\vartheta} & \longrightarrow \quad \tilde{\Theta}^{\prime}=\dot{\Theta}+\tilde{\vartheta}^{\prime}, \quad\left(\tilde{\vartheta}=\tilde{\mathrm{D}}_{a} \tilde{v}^{a}\right) \\
\tilde{A}_{a}=A_{a}+v_{a}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{3} \Theta v_{a} & \longrightarrow \quad \tilde{A}_{a} \neq 0 \text { even if } A_{a}=0
\end{array}
$$

## The Hubble observers

We can define the Hubble and deceleration parameters as:

$$
H(t)=\frac{\Theta}{3}, \quad \text { and } \quad q(t)=-\left(1+\frac{3 \dot{\Theta}}{\Theta^{2}}\right),
$$

In this way, the timelike deceleration parameters measured by the real (bulk-flow) observers is:

$$
\tilde{q}=-\left(1+\frac{3 \tilde{\Theta}^{\prime}}{\tilde{\Theta}^{2}}\right),
$$

## Relative motion effects on $q$

The relation between $q$ and $\tilde{q}$ can be shown to be:

$$
\tilde{q}=q-\frac{\tilde{\vartheta^{\prime}}}{3 H^{2}}
$$

Where again $\tilde{\vartheta}=\tilde{\mathrm{D}}_{a} \tilde{v}^{a}$. Using linear perturbation theory (with $p=0$ ) *,

$$
\tilde{\vartheta}^{\prime}+2 H \tilde{\vartheta}=\frac{1}{3 H} \tilde{D}^{2} \tilde{\vartheta}-\frac{1}{3 a^{2}}\left(\frac{\tilde{\Delta}^{\prime}}{H}+\frac{\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}}{H}\right)
$$

Applying standard scalar harmonic decomposition ${ }^{1}$ and $\Omega \simeq 1$, we see that this difference is scale-dependent:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { e-depenaent: } \\
& \tilde{q}_{(n)}^{ \pm}=q_{(n)} \pm \frac{1}{9}\left(\frac{\lambda_{H}}{\lambda_{n}}\right)^{2} \frac{\left|\tilde{\vartheta}_{(n)}\right|}{H} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\lambda_{n}=a / n \rightarrow \lambda_{H}=1 / H$, we have $\tilde{q} \rightarrow q$, while well inside the Hubble horizon the perturbation term can play an important role.

$$
{ }^{1} \tilde{\vartheta}=\sum_{n} \tilde{\vartheta}_{(n)} Q^{(n)}, \text { with } \tilde{D}_{a} \tilde{\vartheta}_{(n)}=0 \text { and } Q^{(n) \prime}=0 \text { while } \tilde{D}^{2} Q^{(n)}=\equiv(n / a)^{2} Q^{(n \underline{\underline{\underline{I}}}} .
$$

## Relative motion effects on $q$

## Transition length

$$
\lambda_{T}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{9 q} \frac{|\tilde{\vartheta}|}{H}} \lambda_{H} .
$$

Using the above definition, we have

$$
\tilde{q}^{\mp}=q\left[1 \mp\left(\frac{\lambda_{T}}{\lambda_{n}}\right)^{2}\right]
$$

where the negative/positive sign corresponds to contracting/expanding bulk flows respectively.


$$
\tilde{q}^{\mp}=0.5\left[1 \mp\left(\frac{\lambda_{T}}{\lambda_{n}}\right)^{2}\right]
$$

Note that there is always a lower threshold below which our linear analysis no longer holds. Typically, this nonlinear cutoff is set around the 100 Mpc mark.

## Relative motion effects on $q$

Unsing dimensional analysis:

$$
\tilde{\vartheta} \simeq \frac{\sqrt{3}\langle\tilde{v}\rangle}{\lambda_{n}}
$$

we have:

$$
\tilde{q}=0.5+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{9}\left(\frac{\lambda_{H}}{\lambda_{n}}\right)^{3}\langle\tilde{v}\rangle .
$$



## Taking the null point of view


$E=-k_{a} u^{a}, \quad e_{a} u^{a}=0$

## Taking the null point of view

For a photon travelling in an FLRW spacetime:

$$
\frac{d E}{d \lambda}=-E^{2} H
$$

Similarly now we have:

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} E}{\mathrm{~d} \lambda}=-E^{2} \mathfrak{H}, \quad \mathfrak{H}(e)=\frac{1}{3} \Theta-A_{a} e^{a}+\sigma_{a b} e^{a} e^{b} .
$$

which gives us some physical intuition for defining the null expansion and null deceleration parameters as:

$$
\mathfrak{H} \equiv-\frac{1}{E^{2}} \frac{d E}{d \lambda} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathfrak{Q} \equiv-1-\frac{1}{E \mathfrak{H}^{2}} \frac{d \mathfrak{H}}{d \lambda}
$$

## The null deceleration parameter

## A multipole representation of $\mathfrak{Q}(e)$

$\mathfrak{Q}(e)=-1-\frac{1}{\mathfrak{H}^{2}(e)}\left(\stackrel{0}{\mathfrak{q}}+\stackrel{1}{\mathfrak{q}}_{a} e^{a}+\stackrel{2}{\mathfrak{q}}_{a b} e^{a} e^{b}+\stackrel{3}{\mathfrak{q}}_{a b c} e^{a} e^{b} e^{c}+\stackrel{4}{\mathfrak{q}}_{a b c d} e^{a} e^{b} e^{c} e^{d}\right)$
In the following, we will be focusing on the multipole component only, therefore giving us:
$\mathfrak{Q}$ for tilted and Hubble observers

$$
\tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}=\tilde{q}-\frac{1}{3 H^{2}} \tilde{\mathrm{D}}^{a} \tilde{A}_{a} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathfrak{Q}=q-\frac{1}{3 H^{2}} \mathrm{D}^{a} A_{a}
$$

## Relative motion effects on $\mathfrak{Q}$

Comparison between relative motion effects

$$
\tilde{q}=q+\frac{1}{9}\left(\frac{\lambda_{H}}{\lambda_{n}}\right)^{2} \frac{\tilde{\vartheta}}{H} \quad \text { vs. } \quad \tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}=\mathfrak{Q}+\frac{2}{9}\left(\frac{\lambda_{H}}{\lambda_{n}}\right)^{2} \frac{\tilde{\vartheta}}{H}
$$

## Transition scales

$$
\lambda_{T}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{9 q} \frac{|\tilde{\vartheta}|}{H}} \lambda_{H}, \quad \text { vs. } \quad \lambda_{\mathcal{T}}=\sqrt{\frac{2}{9 \mathfrak{Q}} \frac{|\tilde{\vartheta}|}{H}} \lambda_{H}
$$

| Survey | $\lambda$ | $\langle\tilde{v}\rangle$ | $\tilde{q}^{-}$ | $\lambda_{T}$ | $\tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}^{-}$ | $\lambda_{\mathcal{T}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nusser \& Davis | 280 | 260 | -0.01 | 282 | -0.51 | 399 |
| $\quad$ Colin, et al | 250 | 260 | -0.24 | 304 | -0.97 | 429 |
| Scrimgeour, et al | 200 | 240 | -0.81 | 323 | -2.11 | 457 |
| Ma \& Pan | 170 | 290 | -2.05 | 384 | -4.60 | 543 |

## Conclusions

- Observers inside bulk flows can measure an apparent recent acceleration in the expansion rate only due to their peculiar motion;
- The local effect creates the illusion of a global acceleration.

For the null deceleration parameter:

- Qualitatively the effects due to peculiar velocities persist, quantitatively it is enhanced in the null case;
- The transition length is increased by a factor of $\sqrt{2}$.
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