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## Hamiltonian dynamics introduction

- In Hamiltonian mechanics, the equations of motion follow from the Hamiltonian, H , which represents the total energy of a conservative system (the sum of the kinetic energy T and potential energy V ).

The Hamiltonian (conservative system)

$$
H=T+V
$$

Hamilton's equations

$$
\dot{q}=\frac{\partial H}{\partial p}, \quad \dot{p}=-\frac{\partial H}{\partial q}
$$

- Phase space
- Liouville's Theorem
- Action-angle coordinates
- Hamiltonian flow
- Canonical coordinates and transformations
- Symplecticity
- Integrability
- Poisson Brackets
- Lie Algebra

Key concepts related to Hamiltonian dynamics

## Newtonian Mechanics

- The key function is the force $\mathbf{F}(r, \dot{r}, t)$ where $r$ is the position, $\dot{r}$ is the velocity and $t$ is time.
- The equation of motion, in an inertial frame, is

$$
\frac{d}{d t}(m \dot{\mathbf{r}})=\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}, \dot{\mathbf{r}}, t)
$$

(2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ order differential equation)

- In a non-inertial frame fictitious forces may need to be considered. In a non-Cartesian coordinate system, the analysis can get more complicated.



## Lagrangian Mechanics

- Mechanics can be reformulated in way that avoids specifying a force directly.
- Let us define the action $S$.

$$
S=\int_{t 1}^{t 2} L(q, \dot{q}, t) d t
$$

- $L(q, \dot{q}, t)$ is the Lagrangian, a function of generalized coordinates, velocities and time.
- Hamilton's principle (often misleadingly called the "principle of least action") holds that the system evolves such that $S$ is stationary,

$$
\delta S=0
$$

- The equation of motion (the Euler-Lagrange equation) follows

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}}\right)-\frac{\partial L}{\partial q}=0 \quad \text { (2 }{ }^{\text {nd }} \text { order differential equation) }
$$

- In the case of a conservative force (depends on q only)

$$
L(q, \dot{q})=T(q, \dot{q})-V(q)
$$

## Lagrangian example - particle on a cone



- Consider a particle rolling due to gravity in a frictionless cone. The cone's opening angle $\alpha$ places a constraint on the coordinates $\tan \alpha=r / z$. We may write the Lagrangian in cylindrical coordinates

$$
L=\frac{m}{2}\left(\dot{r}^{2}+r^{2} \dot{\theta}^{2}+\dot{z}^{2}\right)-m g z
$$

- Reduce the number of coordinates by eliminating $z$ via $z=\frac{r}{\tan \alpha}, \dot{z}=\frac{\dot{r}}{\tan \alpha}$

$$
L=\frac{m}{2}\left(\left(1+\cot ^{2} \alpha\right) \dot{r}^{2}+r^{2} \dot{\theta}^{2}\right)-m g r \cot \alpha
$$

- The Euler-Lagrange equation for each coordinate...

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{r}}\right)-\frac{\partial L}{\partial r}=0 \quad \frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\theta}}\right)-\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta}=0
$$

...can be be solved to obtain the equations of motion

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(1+\cot ^{2} \alpha\right) \ddot{r}-r \dot{\theta}^{2}+g \cot \alpha & =0 \\
2 \dot{r} \dot{\theta}+r \ddot{\theta} & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

## From the Lagrangian to the Hamiltonian

- Perform a Legendre transformation to get from the $\mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i}}, \dot{q}_{i}, t\right)$ to $H\left(q_{i}, p_{i}, \mathrm{t}\right)$.
- Defining the conjugate momentum

$$
p_{i}=\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}}
$$

- The definition of the Hamiltonian follows

$$
H=\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \dot{q}_{i}-L
$$

$$
\text { Can also write } L=\sum p_{i} \dot{q}_{i}-H
$$

- By comparing the differential of the Hamiltonian and Lagrangian, Hamilton's equations of motion can be found

$$
\dot{q}_{i}=\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_{i}}, \dot{p}_{i}=-\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_{i}}, \frac{\partial H}{\partial t}=-\frac{\partial L}{\partial t}
$$

Note - in this case we have a pair of first order differential equations for the phase space coordinates.

## Phase space

- In Hamiltonian mechanics, the canonical momenta $p_{i}$ are promoted to coordinates on equal footing with the generalized coordinates $q_{i}$. The coordinates ( $q, p$ ) are canonical variables, and the space of canonical variables is known as phase space.

- The phase space may exhibit features such as bounded/unbounded motion, regular or chaotic motion, stable and unstable fixed points, resonances etc.



## Summary of approaches

|  | Newtonian | Lagrangian | Hamiltonian |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key functional | $\mathbf{F}(\mathrm{r}, \dot{\mathrm{r}}, \mathrm{t})$ | $L\left(q_{i}, \dot{q}_{i}, t\right)$ | $H\left(q_{i}, p_{i}, t\right)$ |
| Equation of motion | $\frac{d}{d t}(m \dot{\mathbf{r}})=\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}, \dot{\mathbf{r}}, t)$ | $\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}}\right)-\frac{\partial L}{\partial q}=0$ | $\dot{q}_{i}=\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_{i}}, \dot{p}_{i}=-\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_{i}}$ |
| Strengths | - Can include dissipative forces | - Ease of incorporating constraints <br> - Flexibility of coordinate system | - First order differential equations <br> - Connection to powerful geometric theory that flows from the conservation of energy. |



## Liouville's theorem

- Consider the particle density, $f\left(p_{i}, q_{i} ; t\right)$.
- Liouville's theorem states that, for a system subject only to conservative forces (e.g electric and magnetic fields), the phase density is constant along the trajectory of the motion, i.e.

$$
\frac{d f}{d t}=\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial q_{i}} \dot{q}_{i}+\frac{\partial f}{\partial p_{i}} \dot{p}_{i}\right)=0
$$

- The phase space acts like an incompressible fluid. The phase space density cannot be increased unless a non-conservative (dissipative) force is added (e.g. charge exchange injection).



## Symplecticity

- A map $M$ is used to track particles from one part of a ring to another or turn-by-turn. Quantities such as betatron tune and other optics parameters can be obtained from the map itself.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{f} \\
p_{f}
\end{array}\right]=M\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{i} \\
p_{i}
\end{array}\right]
$$

- How do we ensure the map is consistent with the Hamiltonian? Let's write Hamilton's equations in matrix form

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
\dot{q}_{i} \\
\dot{p}_{i}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_{i}} \\
\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_{i}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

- Define a vector $\zeta=\left(q_{i}, p_{i}\right)$ and write Hamilton's equations in vector form

$$
\dot{\zeta}=\Omega \nabla H(\zeta) \quad \text { where } \Omega=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & I \\
-I & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

- It can be shown that the corresponding map M given by $\zeta(t)=M \zeta\left(t_{0}\right)$
( $\Omega$ is a skew-symmetric matrix)


## Canonical transformations

- It often proves useful to transform from one set of phase space coordinates ( $q, p$ ) to another ( $Q, P$ ). The transformation is said to be canonical if it preserves the form of Hamilton's equations.
- Consider the transformation from $H(q, p, t)$ to $K(Q, P, t)$. From the gauge invariance of the Lagrangian we can write

$$
\lambda(p \dot{q}-H)=P \dot{Q}-K+\frac{d F}{d t}
$$

(Assume the case $\lambda=1$ )

- The function $F$ is a generating function that can depend on various combinations of old and new phase space coordinates.
- Consider the case $F=F_{1}(q, Q, t)$, known as a type 1 generating function. Then by the partial derivative chain rule

$$
p_{i} \dot{q}_{i}-H=P_{i} \dot{Q}_{i}-K+\frac{\partial F}{d q_{i}} \dot{q}_{i}+\frac{\partial F}{d Q_{i}} \dot{Q}_{i}+\frac{\partial F_{i}}{d t}
$$

Rearranging terms

$$
\left(p_{i}-\frac{\partial F}{d q_{i}}\right) \dot{q}_{i}-\left(P_{i}+\frac{\partial F}{d Q_{i}}\right) \dot{Q}_{i}+K-\left(H+\frac{\partial F_{i}}{d t}\right)=0
$$

To allow separately independent coordinates the coefficients must be zero

$$
p_{i}=\frac{\partial F_{1}}{\partial q_{i}}, P_{i}=-\frac{\partial F_{1}}{\partial Q_{i}}, K=H+\frac{\partial F_{1}}{\partial t}
$$

## Canonical transformation - generating functions

| Generating function | Transformation equations |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $F_{1}(q, Q, t)$ | $p_{i}=\frac{\partial F_{1}}{\partial q_{i}}$ | $P_{i}=-\frac{\partial F_{1}}{\partial Q_{i}}$ |
| $F_{2}(q, P, t)$ | $p_{i}=\frac{\partial F_{2}}{\partial q_{i}}$ | $Q_{i}=\frac{\partial F_{2}}{\partial P_{i}}$ |
| $F_{3}(p, Q, t)$ | $q_{i}=-\frac{\partial F_{3}}{\partial p_{i}}$ | $P_{i}=-\frac{\partial F_{3}}{\partial Q_{i}}$ |
| $F_{4}(p, P, t)$ | $q_{i}=-\frac{\partial F_{4}}{\partial P_{i}}$ | $Q_{i}=\frac{\partial F_{4}}{\partial P_{i}}$ |

## Action-angle coordinates (1)

- The canonical transformation to action-angle coordinates helps simplify the dynamics. Define canonical variables ( $\theta, \mathrm{I})$ such as the Hamiltonian depends only on action, $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{I})$. Then

$$
\dot{I}=-\frac{\partial H}{\partial \omega}=0, \dot{\theta}=\frac{\partial H}{\partial I}=\omega(I)
$$

- Let's apply this transformation for the case of a simple harmonic oscillator with Hamiltonian

$$
H=\frac{\omega}{2}\left(q^{2}+p^{2}\right)
$$

- Try a transformation to action-angle coordinates


$$
\begin{aligned}
q & =\sqrt{\frac{2}{\omega}} f(P) \sin Q, p=\sqrt{\frac{2}{\omega}} f(P) \cos Q \\
\Rightarrow p & =q \cot Q, K=H=f^{2}(P)\left(\sin ^{2} Q+\cos ^{2} Q\right)=f^{2}(P)
\end{aligned}
$$

This is independent of $f(P)$, and has the form of the $F 1(q, Q, t)$ type of generating function

$$
p=\frac{\partial F_{1}}{\partial q}
$$

## Action-angle coordinates (2)

- The corresponding generating function is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{1}(q, Q)=\frac{1}{2} q^{2} \cot Q \\
\Rightarrow & P=-\frac{\partial F_{1}(q, Q)}{\partial Q}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{q^{2}}{\sin ^{2} Q} \\
q= & \sqrt{2 P} \sin Q
\end{aligned}
$$

- By comparing with equation for $q$ on previous slide, we obtain $f(P)$ and $K$.

$$
f=\sqrt{\omega P}, K=\omega P
$$

- From the equations of motion for $P, Q$ we see action $P$ is constant and depends on energy, while angle $Q$ increases monotonically in time.

$$
P=\frac{K}{\omega} \quad \dot{Q}=\frac{\partial K}{\partial P}=\omega, Q=\omega t+C
$$

## Liouville Integrability

- The Liouville-Arnold theorem states that existence of n invariants of motion is enough to fully characterize a for an n degree-of-freedom system. In that case a canonical transformation exists to action angle coordinates in which the Hamiltonian depends only on the action.
- For an ideal linear lattice, the motion in both horizontal and vertical planes can be separately transformed into actionangle coordinates. The motion remains bounded and regular indefinitely in this case.



## Poisson Brackets

- Introduce functions of the canonical variables $u(q, p)$ and $v(q, p)$. The Poisson bracket of $u$ and $v$ is defined as

$$
[u, v]_{p, q}=\frac{\partial u}{\partial q} \frac{\partial v}{\partial p}-\frac{\partial u}{\partial p} \frac{\partial v}{\partial q}
$$

- For the phase space coordinates we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[q_{i}, q_{j}\right]=\left[p_{i}, p_{j}\right]=0} \\
& {\left[q_{i}, p_{j}\right]=-\left[p_{i}, q_{j}\right]=\delta_{i, j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Poisson bracket is invariant under canonical transformation.

$$
[u, v]_{p, q}=[u, v]_{P, Q}
$$

## Poisson Brackets - Hamilton's equations

- Start with the total differential of a function $u=\left(q_{i}, p_{i}, t\right)$

$$
\frac{d u}{d t}=\frac{\partial u}{\partial q_{i}} \dot{q}_{i}+\frac{\partial u}{\partial p_{i}} \dot{p}_{i}+\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}
$$

- Making use of Hamilton's equations

$$
\frac{d u}{d t}=\frac{\partial u}{\partial q_{i}} \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_{i}}-\frac{\partial u}{\partial p_{i}} \frac{\partial H}{\partial q_{i}}+\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}
$$

- Rewriting in terms of a Poisson bracket

$$
\frac{d u}{d t}=[u, H]+\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}
$$

- Setting $\mathrm{u}=\mathrm{q}$ or $\mathrm{u}=\mathrm{p}$, and assuming no explicit time dependence, Hamilton's equations follow

$$
\dot{q}=[q, H], \quad \dot{p}=[p, H]
$$

## Lie operator and transformation

- The Lie operator for function $f\left(q_{i}, p_{i}\right)$ is defined

$$
: f:=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial q_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i}}-\frac{\partial f}{\partial p_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{i}}
$$

- The Lie operator $f$ operating on the function $g$ is equivalent to the Poisson bracket of the two functions.

$$
: f: g=[f, g]
$$

- Powers of Lie operators

$$
\begin{aligned}
& : f:^{0} g=g \quad: f:^{1} g=: f: g=[f, g] \\
& : f:^{2} g=: f: g=[f,[f, g]]
\end{aligned}
$$

- The exponential operator is known as a Lie Transformation (allows us to build symplectic transfer maps!)

$$
e^{: f:}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{: f:^{k}}{k!} \quad \exp (: f:) g=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{: f:^{k} g}{k!}=g+[f, g]+[f,[f, g]] / 2!+\ldots
$$

## Lie operators of phase space variables

$$
\begin{aligned}
& : q_{i}:=\frac{\partial q_{i}}{\partial q_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i}}-\frac{\partial q_{i}}{\partial p_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{i}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i}} \\
& : p_{i}:=\frac{\partial p_{i}}{\partial q_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i}}-\frac{\partial p_{i}}{\partial p_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{i}}=-\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{i}} \\
& : q_{i} p_{i}:=\frac{\partial\left(q_{i} p_{i}\right)}{\partial q_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i}}-\frac{\partial\left(q_{i} p_{i}\right)}{\partial p_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{i}}=p_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{i}}-q_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i}} \\
& : q_{i}^{2}:=\frac{\partial q_{i}^{2}}{\partial q_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i}}-\frac{\partial q_{i}^{2}}{\partial p_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{i}}=2 q_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i}} \\
& : p_{i}^{2}:=2 p_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{i}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Symplectic map

- Define a map M (e.g. transfer matrix) that updates the coordinates over some increment

$$
\left(q_{i+1}, p_{i+1}\right)=M\left(q_{i}, p_{i}\right)
$$

- The map is symplectic if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M^{T} \Omega M=\Omega \\
& \text { where } \Omega=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & I \\
-I & 0
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Taylor series map

- The phase space coordinates can be expressed as a Taylor power series of the initial coordinates

$$
z(i, 1)=\sum_{j=1}^{6} R_{i j} z(j, 0)+\sum_{j, k=1, j \leq k}^{6} T_{i j k} z(j, 0) z(k, 0)+\ldots
$$

where $R, T$ are the 1 st and 2 nd order transfer map matrices, ( $z i, 0$ ) and ( $z i, 1$ ) are the phase space coordinates at the entrance and exit of a lattice element, respectively. In general, the map is not symplectic when truncated at some order.

## Map from Lie Transformations

- Symplectic maps can be created using Lie transformations

$$
z(t)=\exp (t: H:) z_{0}
$$

with $\mathrm{M}=\exp (\mathrm{t}: \mathrm{H}:)$. A map to a given order can be created by composition

$$
M=e^{: f 1:} e^{: f 2:} e^{: f 3} \ldots e^{: f k}+\mathcal{O}(k)
$$

The map can be truncated at order $k$ and it remains symplectic (Dragt-Finn factorisation theorem). Make use of the Backer-Campbell-Hausdoff (BCH) formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e^{: A:} e^{: B:}=e^{: C:} \\
& C=A+B+\frac{1}{2}[A, B]+\frac{1}{12}[A,[A, B]]-\frac{1}{12}[B,[A, B]] \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

## Lie Operators for a drift

The map for a drift is simply $\quad M=\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & L \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right] \quad$ The equivalent Lie operator is $\exp \left(: L p^{2} / 2:\right)$
To show this expand the transformation as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \exp \left(: L p^{2} / 2:\right) x=x+\left[L p^{2} / 2, x\right]+\left[L p^{2} / 2,\left[\left[L p^{2} / 2, x\right]\right] / 2+\ldots\right. \\
& \exp \left(: L p^{2} / 2:\right) p=p+\left[L p^{2} / 2, p\right]+\left[L p^{2} / 2,\left[\left[L p^{2} / 2, p\right]\right] / 2+\ldots\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Noting $\left[L p_{x}^{2} / 2, p_{x}\right]=L p_{x} \frac{\partial p_{x}}{\partial x}=L p_{x},\left[L p_{x}^{2} / 2, p_{x}\right]=L p_{x} \frac{\partial p_{x}}{\partial x}=0$ and the higher order terms are zero,

## Lie Operators for Accelerator elements

| Element | Map | Lie Operator |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Drift space | $x=x_{0}+L p_{0}$ | $\exp \left(:-\frac{1}{2} L p^{2}:\right)$ |
| Thin-lens quadrupole | $\begin{aligned} & p=p_{0} \\ & x=x_{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\exp \left(:-\frac{1}{2 f} x^{2}:\right)$ |
|  | $p=p_{0}-\frac{1}{f} x_{0}$ |  |
| Thin-lens kick | $x=x_{0}$ | $\exp \left(: \lambda x^{n}:\right)$ |
|  | $p=p_{0}+\lambda n x_{0}^{n-1}$ |  |
| Thick focusing quad | $x=x_{0} \cos \sqrt{k} L+\frac{p_{0}}{\sqrt{k}} \sin \sqrt{k} L$ | $\exp \left(:-\frac{1}{2} L\left(k x^{2}+p^{2}\right):\right)$ |
|  | $p=-k x_{0} \sin \sqrt{k} L+p_{0} \cos \sqrt{k} L$ |  |
| Thick defocusing quad | $x=x_{0} \cosh \sqrt{k} L+\frac{p_{0}}{\sqrt{k}} \sinh \sqrt{k} L$ | $\exp \left(:-\frac{1}{2} L\left(k x^{2}-p^{2}\right):\right)$ |
|  | $p=-k x_{0} \sinh \sqrt{k} L+p_{0} \cosh \sqrt{k} L$ |  |
| Coordinate shift | $x=x_{0}-b$ | $\exp (: a x+b p:)$ |
|  | $p=p_{0}+a$ |  |
| Coordinate rotation | $x=x_{0} \cos \mu+p_{0} \sin \mu$ | $\exp \left(:-\frac{\mu}{2}\left(x^{2}+p^{2}\right):\right)$ |
| (Phase advance $\mu$ ) | $p=-x_{0} \sin \mu+p_{0} \cos \mu$ |  |
| Full-turn Hamiltonian | (lots of things) | $\begin{aligned} & \exp \left(C: H_{\text {eff }}:\right) \text { or } \\ & \exp \left(:-\frac{\mu}{2}\left(\gamma x^{2}+2 \alpha x p+\beta p^{2}\right):\right) \end{aligned}$ |

## MAD8 (MADX) quadrupole map to fourth order

5.4.2 Lie-Algebraic map for a Quadrupole

The transfer matrix for a quadrupole is

$$
F=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
c_{x} & s_{x} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-k_{x}^{2} s_{x} & c_{x} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & c_{y} & s_{y} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -k_{y}^{2} s_{y} & c_{y} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \frac{L}{\beta_{s}^{2} \gamma_{s}^{2}} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

The generators for the quadrupole are [10]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{1}= & -\frac{L \eta \delta_{s}}{\beta_{s}} p_{t} \\
f_{3}= & \frac{p_{t}}{4 \beta_{s}}\left(+K_{1}\left(L-s_{x} c_{x}\right) x^{2}+2 K_{1} s_{x}^{2} x p_{x}+\left(L+s_{x} c_{x}\right) p_{x}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.-K_{1}\left(L-s_{y} c_{y}\right) y^{2}-2 K_{1} s_{y}^{2} y p_{y}+\left(L+s_{y} c_{y}\right) p_{y}^{2}\right)+\frac{p_{t}^{3}}{2 \beta_{s}^{3} \gamma_{s}^{2}} \\
f_{4}= & \frac{1}{4!} \sum_{i=1}^{6} \sum_{j=1}^{6} \sum_{k=1}^{6} \sum_{l=1}^{6} F_{i j k l} Z_{i} Z_{j} Z_{k} Z_{l}
\end{aligned}
$$

The matrix F operates on the following phase space vector ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{px}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{py}, \mathrm{c} \Delta \mathrm{t}, \Delta \mathrm{E} /\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{c}\right)$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{1133}=+\frac{K_{1}^{2}}{32}\left(-s\left(2 k_{y}, L\right)\left(2-c\left(2 k_{x}, L\right)\right)-s\left(2 k_{x}, L\right)\left(2-c\left(2 k_{y}, L\right)\right)+2 L\right), \\
& F_{1134}=+\frac{K_{1}}{32}\left(c\left(2 k_{y}, L\right)\left(2-c\left(2 k_{x}, L\right)\right)-4 K_{1} s\left(2 k_{x}, L\right) s\left(2 k_{y}, L\right)-1\right), \\
& F_{1144}=+\frac{K_{1}}{32}\left(s\left(2 k_{x}, L\right)\left(2+c\left(2 k_{y}, L\right)\right)-s\left(2 k_{y}, L\right)\left(2-c\left(2 k_{x}, L\right)\right)-2 L\right), \\
& F_{1233}=-\frac{K_{1}}{32}\left(c\left(2 k_{x}, L\right)\left(2-c\left(2 k_{y}, L\right)\right)+4 K_{1} s\left(2 k_{y}, L\right) s\left(2 k_{x}, L\right)-1\right), \\
& F_{1234}=+\frac{K_{1}}{8}\left(s\left(2 k_{x}, L\right) c\left(2 k_{y}, L\right)-c\left(2 k_{x}, L\right) s\left(2 k_{y}, L\right)\right), \\
& F_{1244}=+\frac{1}{32}\left(c\left(2 k_{x}, L\right)\left(2+c\left(2 k_{y}, L\right)\right)-4 K_{1} s\left(2 k_{x}, L\right) s\left(2 k_{y}, L\right)-3\right), \\
& F_{2233}=-\frac{K_{1}}{32}\left(s\left(2 k_{y}, L\right)\left(2+c\left(2 k_{x}, L\right)\right)-s\left(2 k_{x}, L\right)\left(2-c\left(2 k_{y}, L\right)\right)-2 L\right), \\
& F_{2234}=+\frac{1}{32}\left(c\left(2 k_{y}, L\right)\left(2+c\left(2 k_{x}, L\right)\right)+4 K_{1} s\left(2 k_{x}, L\right) s\left(2 k_{y}, L\right)-3\right), \\
& F_{2244}=-\frac{1}{32}\left(s\left(2 k_{x}, L\right)\left(2+c\left(2 k_{y}, L\right)\right)+s\left(2 k_{y}, L\right)\left(2+c\left(2 k_{x}, L\right)\right)+2 L\right), \\
& F_{1166}=+\frac{K_{1}}{8}\left(L-s\left(2 k_{x}, L\right)\right)+\frac{K_{1}}{16 \beta_{s}^{2}}\left(3 s\left(2 k_{x}, L\right)+L\left(c\left(2 k_{x}, L\right)-4\right)\right), \\
& F_{1266}=-\frac{K_{1}}{4 \beta_{s}^{2}}\left(L s\left(2 k_{x}, L\right)+\left(2-\beta_{s}^{2}\right) s^{2}\left(k_{x}, L\right)\right), \\
& F_{2266}=+\frac{1}{8}\left(L+s\left(2 k_{x}, L\right)\right)-\frac{1}{16 \beta_{s}^{2}}\left(5 s\left(2 k_{x}, L\right)+L\left(6+c\left(2 k_{x}, L\right)\right)\right), \\
& F_{3366}=-\frac{K_{1}}{8}\left(L-s\left(2 k_{y}, L\right)\right)-\frac{K_{1}}{16 \beta_{s}^{2}}\left(3 s\left(2 k_{y}, L\right)+L\left(c\left(2 k_{y}, L\right)-4\right)\right), \\
& F_{3466}=+\frac{K_{1}}{4 \beta_{s}^{2}}\left(L s\left(2 k_{y}, L\right)+\left(2-\beta_{s}^{2}\right) s^{2}\left(k_{y}, L\right)\right), \\
& F_{4466}=+\frac{1}{8}\left(L+s\left(2 k_{y}, L\right)\right)-\frac{1}{16 \beta_{s}^{2}}\left(5 s\left(2 k_{y}, L\right)+L\left(6+c\left(2 k_{y}, L\right)\right)\right), \\
& F_{6666}=+\frac{1}{8 \beta_{s}^{2} \gamma_{s}^{2}}\left(1-\frac{5}{\beta_{s}^{2}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

