Optics commissioning

The LHC Optics Measurement and Correction Team

E.H.Maclean^{*}, J. Cardona, F. Carlier, A. Costa Ojeda, J. Dilly, H. Garcia Morales, V. Ferrentino, E. Fol, M. Hofer, J. Keintzel, M. Le Garrec, L. Malina, T.H.B. Persson, F. Soubelet, R. Tomás, L. Van Riesen-Haupt, A. Wegscheider



Particular thanks to N. Magnin, P. Hagen, R. De Maria, S. Fartoukh, and to the Collimation team, OP group and EICs and BI experts supporting OMC commissioning and MD!

ヘロト 人間ト ヘヨト ヘヨト

-

2022 had an extremely ambitious optics commissioning plan \rightarrow commission virgin machine straight away to $\beta^* = 0.3 \text{ m}!$

- First time commissioning virgin machine since 2015
- First time taking virgin machine to such low-β*
- First time commissioning LHC with large ATS factor (= 2)
- Attempting to condense years of Run 2 iterative developments into single step

Aim of this talk is to review optics experience from 2022

- \rightarrow Overview of 2022 commissioning
- \rightarrow Some particular advancements & challenges this year
- \rightarrow what will 2023 look like?

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Ultimately 2022 was extremely successful optics commissioning!

- \rightarrow achieved HL-LHC/Run3 target optics quality starting from record high β -beat!
- \rightarrow Final estimated luminosity imbalance due to optics within $1\,\%$
- \rightarrow key demonstration that we can commission directly to very pushed optics (challenging optics commissionings on the horizon: HL-LHC...)



2022 was an extremely challenging commissioning for the OMC team!

Team photo post-commissioning...



27 shifts of optics commissioning in 2022



- **1** SHIFT eq 1 DAY: numerous occasions of two OMC shifts in 1 day
- OMC requires single-pilot: majority of shifts slotted in during night+weekend/holiday when other beams/teams not ready/available

э

Only possible thanks to large, well motivated, team!



• ≈ 15 people directly contributed to 2022 OMC: rely on contribution from external collaborators plus other projects/machines (injectors, FCC, muon...)

OMC activities through the ages:



- increasing complexity of optics commissioning over time as we push to smaller β^* and ATS optics
- reduce commissioning load later in run by re-using previous corrections
- 2022 was most complex commissioning to date!



■ For 2022 collimation team provided dedicated optics measurement sequences → hugely beneficial to OMC studies this year!



- Majority of 2022 commissioning spent on linear optics
 - → 11 shifts divided \approx equally between: Injection/Ramp (β ,coupling), local corrs (β), global corrs (β), coupling (local,arc-arc,global), various other (β -waist, final K-mod, IP4...etc...)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Local corrections in IRs vital to obtain acceptable $\Delta\beta/\beta$

 \rightarrow Historically done with SbS and a main time sink in commissioning \rightarrow (5 shifts, ~ 2 weeks, at 6.5TeV in 2015 to have local corrs incorporated)



- In Run3 majority of local corrs could be determined online!
 - \rightarrow LHC IP SbS corrections determined/tested online
 - \rightarrow Cable swap of RQTL7.L3.B1/B2 identified online
 - \rightarrow Local error in IP7 collimation MD identified in 30 minutes
- For first time devoted 1 shift to comparing alternative methods
 - \rightarrow Action-Phase-Jump (J.Cardonna et. al.) used for IR1 correction!
 - \rightarrow First time employing non-SbS method in operation!
 - \rightarrow APJ needs incorporation in OMC toolset to also be used online

At $30 \,\mathrm{cm}$ we are extremely sensitive to machine setup!

- Had a scare part way through commissioning when observed $\delta(\Delta\beta/\beta) \le 13\%$ appearing before/after LHCb velo stop
- Concern was this represented issue with optics stability which could hit MP targets
- Prompted multiple shifts to study linear optics stability & understand change OMC team meeting 2nd June 2022, T.H.B.Persson & E.H.Maclean, 'Beam1 stability', 'Beam2 stability'



At $30 \,\mathrm{cm}$ we are extremely sensitive to machine setup!

- orbit setup in 2022 was performed part-way through optics commissioning
- resulted in change to integrated dipole field, causing $\Delta E/E \approx 1 \times 10^{-4}$
- Added \approx 6-shifts (5 for reproducibility, 1 to iterate local-corrections)
- Relevant to orbit setup this year (not feed-back). To watch out for in future years!



Larger ATS factor meant local errors in the ARCs became significant to operation for the first time

- After local optics corrs in IRs, global corrections for LHCB1 failed
- Due to local errors in Arc45 & Arc81: corrected by orbit bumps through main sextupoles
- Had previously encountered similar problem during Run2 flat-optics MD → able to use flat-optics corrections as starting-point for correction this year



- importance of studying pushed optics configurations in MD!
- Necessary extra component to LHC optics commissioning required from now on
- Will be important to achieve good understanding of local_arc-errors during Run3 =



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Traditionally main concern w.r.t. NL-errors is DA

- \rightarrow not studied with beam for OP configuration
- \rightarrow pronounced effect on lifetime at very low- β^* , smaller effect at e.g. 40*cm*



э

In practice NL-corrs also relevant to various OP or commissioning issues

- IR-errors generate $\Delta\beta/\beta \approx 5\%$
- Feed-down to tune/coupling relevant to crossing-angle leveling
- \blacksquare Octupole correction has big impact on BBQ performance at low- β
 - \rightarrow correction necessary to achieve good K-modulation data!



Non-linear optics commissioning in 2022 only partly successful

- \rightarrow Did not achieve target goals for year 1 HL-LHC commissioning
- \rightarrow Did not match quality achieved iteratively over course of Run 2

multipole order	2017/18	2022
chromatic coupling	\checkmark	×
IR-normal-sextupole	\checkmark	\checkmark
IR-skew-sextupole	\checkmark	\checkmark
IR-normal-octupole	\checkmark	$\checkmark \times$
IR-skew-octupole	\checkmark	\times
IR-normal-dodecapole	X	\checkmark

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Big success of NL-optics studies in 2022 was progress on measurement/correction of very high-order errors!

- \rightarrow First operational correction of b_6 errors in the LHC !!
- \rightarrow Essential demonstration for HL-LHC where b_6 correction mandatory



Significant progress at $450 \,\mathrm{GeV}$ on decapole studies (first chromatic-detuning measurement) and first measurements of Q''''' (decatetrapole!!) , $f = 1, \dots, 2$



\rightarrow clear candidate for automation to be ready for HL-LHC!

ъ

- (I) ()

Ran out of time in 2022 to attempt any skew-octupole correction \rightarrow followed up in MD2 and plan for correction in 2023



Normally want to make final optics check at OP working point

 \rightarrow In 2022 couldn't kick with AC-dipole at OP-WP due to beam-losses!

a₄ correction improves DA of driven oscillation at nominal WP

Could consider mitigation of $3Q_y$ resonance for 2023 (or beyond)

• Loss maps with strong MO and Q' show very high losses at TDIS.B1 \rightarrow potentially associated with $3Q_y$

- several studies suggest $3Q_{\gamma}$ could contribute to ϵ -growth in ramp (WP dependent)
- One option is to reduce vertical tune (showed improvement for TDI loss maps)



To recover flexibility in WP could correct 3Qy resonance with MCSSX

- \rightarrow demonstrated for single-beams in 2022
- \rightarrow if find dual-beam correction can be interesting option for commissioning: would need ~ 2 shifts to implement

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Performance and reliability of AC-dipole is absolutely vital

for all linear and nonlinear optics studies in LHC!

- $\blacksquare~\approx 20\,\%$ of OMC shifts suffered from some sort of AC-dipole fault
 - \rightarrow AC-dipole issues didn't limit 2022 commissioning efforts
 - \rightarrow mostly solved remotely/quickly \approx [hour])
 - ightarrow 2 cases required access to repair
 - \rightarrow issues well understood and consolidation plan in place
- Improvements to AC-dipole capabilities over course of LS1/Run2 were fundamental to achieving high quality optics measurements we rely on today
 - \rightarrow e.g. extensive detuning and RDT measurements only possible thanks to increases in excitation length since Run1

■ Significant interest in potential for further improvements in AC-dipole capability → more rapid kicks and longer ACD-flattop have potential to significantly speed up OMC in commissioning

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Key messages from 2022:

- Successful 2022 commissioning: condensed 3 years of iterative improvements into single commissioning!
- Very long/challenging commissioning (considerably longer than expected)
 - \rightarrow Main time-sinks in 2022 were the surprises!
- \blacksquare For 2022 requested $\sim 120\,\mathrm{h}\approx 15\,\mathrm{shifts}$

15 shifts

- + 3 shifts \rightarrow availability
- + 6 shifts \rightarrow reproducibility studies plus 1 for local iteration
- + 2 shifts \rightarrow ATS local errors in arcs (failed global / arc-bump corrs)
- $+ \ 1$ shifts \rightarrow Extra-detuning measurements for IR-b4 degradation
- $= 27 \, {
 m shifts}$
- 2022 commissioning is in no way a blueprint for 2023
 - \rightarrow good optics corrs in place from 60cm, verified in MD
 - \rightarrow blueprint for 2023 commissioning is 2017/2018
 - \rightarrow re-use as much as possible!

what will we be aiming for in 2023?

- Start with all corrections from 2022 and '23 configuration MDs already applied
- Begin OMC commissioning with CO and crossing-scheme already established
- 1 shift : injection corrs + spool piece setup (coupling-decay,MCDO) saw degradation of inj corr from 2021-22. Might require iteration in '23
- 1 shift : Ramp optics/coupling
- 1 2 shifts: Iteration of arc-bump / global corrs at 2m B1H peak- $\Delta\beta/\beta = 24\%$ in 2023 MDs: plan new correction at 2m
- 1 shift : Incorporation of new corrs / validation to 30cm. Implementation of a4
- 1 shift : iteration of normal/skew sextupoles at 30cm
- 1 shift : Validation of full-cycle with 3 bunches. Final k-modulation for β^* and IP4
- 2 3 shifts : Commissioning of High-Beta optics (90m & 3/6km)
- 0 2 shifts : 3Qy compensation/incorporation if opportunity arises

Could potentially aim at between 8-12 shifts for commissioning of nominal optics $+\ 2\times$ high-beta optics

Realistic scenario based on 2022 experience and MDs, approaching 2018 level of efficiency. Doesn't include any margin for availability or surprises!

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Majority of OMC team focus in 2022 has been LHC → increasing focus on injector chain compared to previous Runs



▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @



Lots of potential from improved OMC knowledge transfer between injectors/LHC!

0.0010

0.0015



Big thanks to everyone who contributed to OMC studies in 2022!!

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Reserve

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @



◆□> ◆□> ◆三> ◆三> 三三 のへぐ

Many global factors affecting all aspects of OMC commissioning

- For 2022 collimation team provided dedicated 'Non-linear optics' sequences
 - \rightarrow fundamental to all NL-optics studies in 2022
 - \rightarrow in practice also vital to the linear optics commissioning / stability studies
 - \rightarrow huge thanks to the collimation team!
- Optics measurements with 3 pilots substantially improve OMC efficiency → want to utilize as early as allowed in future commissioning
- Significant diversification of OMC commissioning tasks over Run2
 - \rightarrow core omc functionality well implemented in user-friendly tools
 - \rightarrow newer tasks need integration into general OMC toolset
- Good integration with OP!
 - \rightarrow highly productive OMC-OP workshop held in 2019
 - \rightarrow made extensive use of new OP tools: e.g. improved multiturn for Run3 and OFB trim orchestration
 - \rightarrow first time doing commissioning with OMC expert as EIC!!

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Variety of techniques exist to identify local errors or determine corrections:

- Segment-by-segment (R.Tomas et. al. used for all Run1/2 LHC commissioning)
- Action-Phase Jump (J.Cardonna et al, Universidad Nacional De Colombia)
- Machine-learning based technique (E.Fol et al)
- LOBSTER (A.Wegscheider et al)
- LOCO-based technique (simulation only, S.Fartoukh)



- APJ correction deployed in IR1, traditional SbS method used in IR2, IR5, IR8
- First time using alternative local correction techniques for operation!
 - \rightarrow methods all quite equivalent in outcome and time

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ののの

Traditionally main concern w.r.t. NL-errors is DA

- \rightarrow not studied with beam for OP configuration
- \rightarrow pronounced effect on lifetime at very low- β^* , smaller effect at e.g. 40*cm*



IR1 and IR5 generate significant detuning by end-of-squeeze

 \equiv 310[A] of MO at 30cm with 160 μ rad crossing-scheme (worst case)



Normal/skew sextupole corrections determined by minimizing feed-down vs crossing-angle

- sextupole feed-down generates $\Delta\beta/\beta \le 5$ % at 30 cm
 - \rightarrow majority of $\beta\text{-beat}$ compensated by MCSX/MCSSX, rest with global-corrs
- particularly relevant to maintaining stable $|C^-|/\Delta Q$ if crossing-scheme varied in operation



Expected to be able to re-use Run 2 b_4 corrections (previously consistent) \rightarrow observe significant degradation of amplitude detuning since Run 2



Effectively loose 20% of available LHCB1 MO strength at 30 cm due to residual $b_4 \rightarrow$ source is not understood (ruled out IR-sextupole, global- β -beat, and IP waist shift) \rightarrow at level where detrimental impact on BBQ/k-mod expected

Observed tune hysteresis during crossing-angle scans (not seen/noticed in Run2) \rightarrow One possibility is NL-errors generated by orbit correctors, will aim to follow up in MD



Analysis+measurement of orbit scans for IRNL feed-down still very manual and time consuming in CCC

ightarrow clear candidates for automation to improve OMC efficiency ready for HL-LHC

・ロト ・聞ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

- 34



 \blacksquare First measurements of fourth and fifth order chromaticity \rightarrow allows initial studies of dodecapole and decatetrapole errors at 450GeV

- First measurement of chromatic amplitude detuning
 - \rightarrow long-standing issue of factor 2 error in MCD correction at injection
 - \rightarrow combined $Q^{\prime\prime\prime}$ and chromatic detuning results allow refinement in 2023

Rigid waist / coupling colinearity used in commissioning for first time

- \blacksquare In 2018 local coupling temporarily reduced ALICE lumi by $\approx 50~\%$
- For Run3 new method of local coupling correction in the IRs was tested for first time, using 'colinearity knob' to redistribute correction between left/right sides
- Equivalent settings were determined by two different methods
 - ightarrow by OMC team using new rigid waist technique
 - \rightarrow by OP using luminosity scans
- Comfortable situation for future: can study LHCB1/LHCB2 independently with rigid-waist before luminosity available, or via lumi-scans if short on commissioning time.



Rigid waist / coupling colinearity used in commissioning for first time

- In 2018 local coupling temporarily reduced ALICE lumi by $\approx 50 \,\%$
- For Run3 new method of local coupling correction in the IRs was tested for first time, using 'colinearity knob' to redistribute correction between left/right sides
- Equivalent settings were determined by two different methods
 - \rightarrow by OMC team using new rigid waist technique
 - \rightarrow by OP using luminosity scans
- Comfortable situation for future: can study LHCB1/LHCB2 independently with rigid-waist before luminosity available, or via lumi-scans if short on commissioning time.

	Luminosity gain [%]		
IR	$\beta^* = 0.3\mathrm{m}$	$\beta^* = 0.42 \mathrm{m}$	
ATLAS	9.7	5.2	
CMS	3.5	1.5	

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <



- "Special optics" = Niche HEP optics (VDM) and calibration optics (Ballistic, 60-degree)
 → motivation discussed extensively at last Evian 'OMC perspective on commissioning'
- \blacksquare Typical year expect ≤ 1 special optic... \rightarrow In 2022 commissioned 3
- **Expect** ≈ 1 shift per special-optics
 - ightarrow unlucky with availability ightarrow 5 shifts total

First ever use of 60-degree optics in LHC!

■ Threading → optics correction of LHCB1 in 1 shift!

 \rightarrow big thanks to Matteo + Jorg!



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = のへで