

LHC studies of hadronization & string models

Šárka Todorova-Nová, Charles University, Prague



I was asked to summarize existing and prospective LHC studies of hadronization and string models ...

There is not really enough time to go into details of various measurements done in LHC experiments, so I'll concentrate instead on where modeling goes wrong and what we can do about it (a subjective and non-exhaustive point of view !)



Understanding of « simple » hadronic systems was never quite satisfactory .... *Example* : inclusive  $p_T$  spectra

#### LEP (DELPHI »tuning » paper)

**Table 8.**  $\chi^2$ /bin for the model/data comparisons of event shape and inclusive charged particle distributions. Only the  $p_t^{out}$  distributions are badly described by all models

			$\chi^2/bin$		
	ARIADNE	JETSET	JETSET	HERWIG	JETSET
	4.06	7.4 PS	7.3 PS	5.8 C	7.4 ME
1 - T	0.67	0.98	1.14	8.44	27.23
M	1.41	1.99	2.34	21.50	11.83
m	1.28	3.43	4.10	38.17	18.89
0	0.58	7.78	7.62	1.33	6.24
S	1.07	2.29	2.28	4.32	3.52
A	1.57	5.57	5.53	7.56	13.32
P	0.91	2.25	1.97	2.29	6.17
C	1.00	1.86	2.09	12.48	25.77
D	1.55	3.36	3.58	8.84	29.62
$M_{high}^2/E_{vis}^2$	2.77	1.89	2.17	2.16	10.50
$M_{low}^2/E_{vio}^2$	0.65	0.77	0.60	1.40	4.90
$M_{diff}^2/E_{uic}^2$	4.45	0.37	0.34	1.25	3.76
$B_{max}$	2.31	1.67	2.42	25.78	10.18
$B_{min}$	9.77	1.92	1.13	70.14	13.39
$B_{sum}$	1.50	2.19	2.70	16.65	10.22
$B_{diff}$	5.40	3.11	3.22	0.99	1.21
$D_2^{D}$	1.12	2.37	3.33	1.57	2.16
$D_2^{\prime D}$	1.23	3.28	2.60	2.15	1.12
$D_4^D$	2.13	3.87	4.44	7.15	65.05
$D_2^{\tilde{J}}$	0.56	2.15	2.54	5.02	5.79
$D_2^{J}$	0.63	6.97	5.75	1.92	5.72
$D_{4}^{3J}$	2.70	7.53	8.13	10.34	82.91
$\ddot{EEC}$	0.27	1.48	1.62	0.93	5.39
AEEC	2.11	9.28	9.84	11.26	11.05
$p_t^{in} T axis$	3.69	1.53	1.74	2.58	2.03
$p_t^{out} T axis$	17.27	26.48	26.68	11.79	28.84
$y_T$	1.11	1.07	1.43	9.09	40.95
$p_t^{in} S axis$	5.33	2.83	3.34	4.06	1.58
$p_t^{out} S axis$	14.01	20.78	21.74	7.51	21.39
$y_S$	1.38	0.93	1.44	1.57	11.63
$x_p$	2.22	0.98	1.76	3.76	3.55
$\xi_p$	1.30	0.99	0.95	3.41	2.48
$p_t^{out}$ vs. $x_p$	14.39	23.68	19.04	25.76	56.20
$p_t  vs. x_p$	11.00	4.48	5.32	19.12	25.41
all distrib.	3.62	5.32	5.40	10.62	16.05



23/09/2022

18

16<u>–</u>

14

12

10

6

2

1.2

0.8

MC / Data

 $\tau > 300 \text{ ps}$ 

**ATLAS**  $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$ 

 $1/N_{ev} \ 1/(2\pi p_T) \ d^2N_{ch} \ / \ d\eta dp_T \ [ GeV^2 ]$ 

Šárka Todorova-Nová, ECFA HTE meeting

Minimum bias at LHC :

 $Z^0$  data from LEP :

dependence of  $p_T$  on  $x_p$ 

not understood

*p<sub>T,out</sub>* modeling inacceptable

That's a problem we need to resolve

significant discrepancy at low  $p_T$ 

 $n_{\rm ch} \ge 2, \ p_{_{\rm T}} > 100 \ {
m MeV}, \ |\eta| < 2.5$ 

🗕 Data

PYTHIA 8 A2

----- QGSJET II-04

- PYTHIA 8 Monash ----- EPOS LHC

 $p_{_{T}}$  [GeV]

# **Quantized fragmentation**

# Phenomenology

QCD confinement modeled by 3D string Vortex translated into helical chain of gluons

Requirement of causal cross-talk between break-up vertices reveals a quantization scheme : hadrons correspond to string pieces carrying multiple of  $\Delta \Phi$  (~2.8 rad) of helix phase.

Quantization proceeds in  $m_t = n \kappa R \Delta \Phi$  rather than mass alone. Non-trivial quantized correlations in the transverse plane (w.r.t. string axis). Sparsely populated QCD vacuum ?

More information to be found in : JHEP09(1998)014, Phys.Rev.D89(2014)015002

#### Production scenarios:

induced gluon splitting with information running along string  $(\pi,\eta,\eta',\omega,...)$ induced gluon splitting across string loops  $(p,n,\Lambda,...)$ « incoherent » ( similar to standard Lund) - wide resonances (  $f_0$ ,  $\rho$ , ...)

#### string axis $p_{T}(n = 1)$ $\Phi_i$ $\Phi$

#### PHYS. REV. D 104, 034012 (2021)



 $m_t = \sqrt{m^2 + p_t^2}$ , κ string tension

23/09/2022

# Quantized fragmentation and anomalous production of like-sign(LS) hadrons pairs

- transverse sector of string entirely constrained
- intrinsic momenta of direct hadrons predicted
- correlations between direct (adjacent) hadrons (in string transverse plane) predicted

For the specific case of a chain of direct charged pions, their momentum difference can be calculated as a function of their rank difference :





# Observable sensitive to colour flow



**Pairs** : rank = 0 decays,

rank = 1 colour-adjacent hadrons

(sharing common string breakup)

rank = -1 if hadrons coming from different sources

$$\Delta(Q) = \frac{1}{N_{ch}} \left[ N(Q)^{OS} - N(Q)^{LS} \right]$$

Hadron pairs classified by **rank difference** (shortened to « rank »)

Decay products inherit rank from parent resonance

 $\Delta(Q)$  extracts signature of rank=0,1 pairs:

- a unique reflection of the dynamics of hadronization
- experimentally robust

4-momentum difference

$$Q(p_i, p_j) = \sqrt{-(p_i - p_j)^2}$$

(all particles assigned pion mass)





ATLAS-CONF-2022-055

23/09/2022



Quantized fragmentation and anomalous production of LS hadrons pairs

Data consistent with model expectations :

- excess in mass-minimized chargeordered triplet chains observed (Dalitz plot)
- associated with the source of anomalous production of close LS pairs ( $\Delta$  vs.  $\Delta_{3h}$ )
- associated with the modification of inclusive low p<sub>T</sub> spectra
   (quantized fragmentation predicts intrinsic p<sub>t</sub> of a direct pion ~130 MeV )

Model independent measurement (MIM) of link between 1-,2-,3particle distributions



# Major shift in strategy of model development

« conventional » approach:

- build a model
- tune parameters
- If discrepancy, add more parameters

*Here we propose:* 

- find a (model inspired) link between various discrepancies
- reduce number of model parameters (hadron masses, intrinsic p<sub>t</sub>, correlations)
- measure the remaining »parameters » (  $\kappa R$ ,  $\Delta \Phi$  )

Model independent measurement (MIM) of link between 1-,2-,3particle distributions

# Further colour-flow sensitive measurements by ATLAS

Correlations along colour flow ( = dynamics of hadronization ) described poorly by conventional models

Problem : the modeling does not allow to evaluate the hadronization systematics .... models fail in similar way

pp and HI data very similar
(universality of hadronization
best seen as f(N<sub>ch</sub>) – not shown )

ATLAS-CONF-2022-055









Šárka Todorova-Nová, ECFA HTE meeting

And even bigger problem potentially : the weight given to various color-reconnection models in LHC tunes :

Parameter	A3 value	A2 value	Monash value
MultipartonInteractions:pT0Ref	2.45	1.90	2.28
MultipartonInteractions:ecmPow	0.21	0.30	0.215
MultipartonInteractions:coreRadius	0.55	-	-
MultipartonInteractions:coreFraction	0.90	-	-
MultipartonInteractions:a1	-	0.03	-
MultipartonInteractions:expPow	-	-	1.85
BeamRemnants:reconnectRange	1.8	2.28	1.8
Diffraction:PomFluxEpsilon	0.07 (0.085)	-	-
Diffraction:PomFluxAlphaPrime	0.25 (0.25)	-	-

Soft QCD tunes concentrate on multi-parton interactions.

Driven by the need to describe  $\langle p_T \rangle$  ( $N_{ch}$ ), colour reconnection models modify « natural » (QCD driven) colour flow of partons.

ATL-PHYS-PUB-2016-017

#### CMS-GEN-17-002, arXiv:2205.02905v2 [hep-ex]

#### Table 2: The MPI and CR parameter ranges used in the tuning procedure.

PYTHIA 8 parameter	Min–Max				
MPI parameters					
MultipartonInteractions:pT0Ref	1.0—3.0				
MultipartonInteractions:ecmPow	0.0—0.3				
MultipartonInteractions:coreRadius	0.2—0.8				
MultipartonInteractions:coreFraction	0.2—0.8				
QCD-inspired model					
ColourReconnection:m0	0.1-4.0				
ColourReconnection:junctionCorrection	0.01—10				
ColourReconnection:timeDilationPar	0—60				
Gluon-move model					
ColourReconnection:m2lambda	0.2—8.0				
ColourReconnection:fracGluon	0.8—1.0				



23/09/2022

# Quantized fragmentation may be at the origin of MC-data discrepancies in colour flow

In <u>model-dependent</u> approach, it is assumed that Pythia describes hadron content and decays of resonances correctly, rank 1 estimate is obtained by subtraction of MC decays from measured  $\Delta(Q)$ 



Measured contribution from chains associated with anomalous production of LS hadrons is subtracted as well.

Modulation of rank 1 distribution approximately follows the predictions of quantized fragmentation for (n quanta) ->  $\pi$ +  $\pi$ 

Curiously,  $4 \Delta \Phi \rightarrow \pi + \pi$  is missing ...

*Hypothesis : unbound state integrated with*  $\rho(770)$  *shape* 

Experimental evidence supports that :  $\rho$  mass and width measurements differ in  $\tau$  decays and hadroproduction

Šárka Todorova-Nová, ECFA HTE meeting

23/09/2022

Slide extracted from MC study of quantized fragmentation in view of special ATLAS run with low magnetic field

« extreme « scenarios : fully coherent vs. fully incoherent



• In both scenarios, ~99% of all hadrons (eta filtered) within acceptance for  $p_{\tau}$ >50 MeV

# And finally : does quantized fragmentation impact <p\_>(N<sub>ch</sub>) ?

# **!!!! NEW OBSERVATION !!!!**



- increase of average  $p_{\tau}$  with multiplicity for coherent hadron production
- considered to be a proof of colour reconnection in conventional modelling
- another opportunity to reduce the number of independent model parameters

# Summary:

- currently used hadronization models not precise enough, even for simple systems (single string)
- recent ATLAS results indicate that causal approach to the description of confining field quite efficiently resolves a number of long-standing issues in the hadronization. (hadron mass spectrum / pT spectra / particle correlations / ... the list is growing)
- quantum effects essential for the understanding : seems to be related to a finite number of gluons in the QCD vacuum – the measurement of natural cutoffs in the particle production (low p<sub>T</sub>, Q) within the reach of LHC (minbias with p<sub>T</sub> -> 50MeV requires lowering of B field in trackers)
- new observables sensitive to colour flow (and local variations of fragmentation function) have been deployed by ATLAS
- next step requires study of fragmentation function for 3dim (helical) QCD string : alternatively, the problem can be reformulated into generation of full parton shower, down to »effective » gluon content ( there are no infrared nor collinear divergencies in the nature ). That's what the helical string was originally about ...

Big apology to everybody whose studies should have been quoted here and were not because of time or of my own ignorance – please complain loudly !

23/09/2022

# Backup slides

23/09/2022



# Measurement of quantized string parameters from hadron correlations, pp+pPb+PbPb combination



Excellent agreement between pp and HI data.

Quantized fragmentation absorbs ALL data previously associated with Bose-Einstein interference (HBT).

### Anomalous production of close LS hadrons is purely hadronization effect.

23/09/2022

# Further colour-flow sensitive measurements by ATLAS

*Of particular interest for diffractive studies : study of mass spectrum of hadron sources* 

(1) Generator-level study:



(2) Data show growing presence of very light hadron sources with increasing particle multiplicity.
Possibly signature of hadronization of wounded nucleons.
Differs between pp and HI.



Distribution of momentum difference between colour-adjacent hadron pairs is limited by the mass of the source but otherwise pretty stable (there is no or very little difference in  $\Delta$  shape below Q~1.5GeV for. sources with masses above 2 GeV) => evolution of shape signals variation of low mass sources

23/09/2022

More information (about colour flow) can be obtained with help of other sensitive observables. Example : <u>Observable sensitive to local evolution of fragmentation function</u> (for colour-adjacent hadrons)

$$Q^{2}(p_{a}, p_{b}) = (\vec{p_{t_{a}}} - \vec{p_{t_{b}}})^{2} + m_{t,a}^{2}(\frac{z_{b}^{+}}{z_{a}^{+}} - 1) + m_{t,b}^{2}(\frac{z_{a}^{+}}{z_{b}^{+}} - 1).$$

$$\zeta(\vec{p}_i,\vec{p}_j) = min(\frac{|\vec{p}_j|}{|\vec{p}_i|},\frac{|\vec{p}_i|}{|\vec{p}_j|})$$

0.6

0.8

ζ(a,b)

 $Q^2 \sim (\vec{p_{t_a}} - \vec{p_{t_b}})^2 + m_{t_a}^2 (\zeta(p_a, p_b) - 1) + m_{t_b}^2 (1/\zeta(p_a, p_b) - 1), \text{ for } |\vec{p_a}| > |\vec{p_b}|.$ 

Allows to distinguish between rank 0 and rank 1 contributions



23/09/2022

0.2

0.4

Šárka Todorova-Nová, ECFA HTE meeting

۱U

# Quantized fragmentation, signature of long chains found in Pb+Pb (first observation)



Pair rank difference 
$$r$$
 1
 2
 3
 4
 5

  $Q$  expected [MeV]
 266 ± 8
 91 ± 3
 236 ± 7
 171 ± 5
 178 ± 5

Observation of long pion chains demonstrates the predictive power of the model and validates the whole framework

23/09/2022

# Long pion chains from quantized fragmentation can carry long range correlations



FIG. 13. Top: The emergence of a ridge-like structure in the fragmentation of a helical string with a lateral boost. The narrow helix radius (0.07 fm) implies a small intrinsic momentum ( $\sim$ 140 MeV) of hadrons and thus smaller smearing in the boosted direction. The recoiling system is not shown. Bottom: The rank dependence of the ridge structure shown above. Higher eta difference is effectively dominated by pairs with larger rank distance (the distribution is truncated) but the adjacent hadrons may have a large pseudorapidity difference as well.



... material for future conferences 🙂

<sup>23/09/2022</sup> 



Šárka Todorova-Nová, ECFA HTE meeting

23/09/2022

# Now I am making case for quantized fragmentation to be at the origin of MC-data discrepancies in colour flow In model-independent approach, $\Delta$ is studied in (Q, $\zeta$ ) plane

Leaving aside the anomalous production of LS hadrons, the excess in data comes from « running » components centered approximately at  $\zeta \sim 1/2$  and  $\zeta \sim 1/3$  (suggesting 2+1, resp. 3+1 hadron quantum content)

=> Consistent conclusion : difference due to quantized correlated adjacent hadron pairs



Šárka Todorova-Nová, ECFA HTE meeting

23/09/2022

## Now I am making case for quantized fragmentation to be at the origin of MC-data discrepancies in colour flow In <u>model-independent</u> approach, $\Delta$ is studied in (Q, $\zeta$ ) plane ATLAS-CONF-2022-055



**ATLAS Prelin** 

Pb+Pb@5.02Te

-top 7% occupanc

1

lijing Pb+Pb@5.02Te

×10<sup>-3</sup> (ℑΌ)⊽

0.05

-0.05

×10<sup>-3</sup> (℃ Ø)⊽

0.05

-0.05

1.2

Q [GeV]

1

1.2

Q [GeV]

Pb+Pb

0.6

0.6

0.8

0.8





23/09/2022

# Now I am making case for quantized fragmentation to be at the origin of MC-data discrepancies in colour flow

In <u>model-dependent</u> approach, it is assumed that Pythia describes hadron content and decays of resonances correctly, rank 1 estimate is obtained by subtraction of MC decays from measured  $\Delta(Q)$ 



Clear modulation observed in data : colour-adjacent hadrons are correlated The signal of hadron triplets associated with anomalous production of LS hadrons (presumably, rank 1 and rank 2 pairs) roughly describes the low Q spectrum.