

# Hadronisation of heavy quarks in pp collisions with ALICE at the LHC

Syaefudin Jaelani National Research and Innovation Agency of Indonesia - BRIN On behalf of the ALICE Collaboration











**Charm** and **beauty** quarks:  $\mathbf{m_c} \sim 1.3 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ ,  $\mathbf{m_b} \sim 4.2 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ Produced in hard-scattering processes the factorisation approach

$$\frac{d\sigma^{\mathrm{D}}}{dp_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{D}}}\left(p_{\mathrm{T}};\mu_{\mathrm{F}};\mu_{\mathrm{R}}\right) = PDF\left(x_{1},\mu_{\mathrm{F}}\right)PDF\left(x_{2},\mu_{\mathrm{F}}\right)$$





The production of heavy-flavour hadrons in hadronic collisions can be described by

 $_{\rm F}) \otimes \frac{d\sigma^{\rm c}}{dp_{\rm T}^{\rm c}} \left( x_1, x_2, \mu_{\rm R}, \mu_{\rm F} \right) \otimes D_{\rm c \to D} \left( z = p_{\rm D}/p_{\rm c}, \mu_{\rm F} \right)$ 





**Charm** and **beauty** quarks:  $m_c \sim 1.3 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ ,  $m_b \sim 4.2 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ Produced in hard-scattering processes the factorisation approach

$$\frac{d\sigma^{\rm D}}{dp_{\rm T}^{\rm D}} \left( p_{\rm T}; \mu_{\rm F}; \mu_{\rm R} \right) = PDF \left( x_1, \mu_{\rm F} \right) PDF \left( x_2, \mu_{\rm F} \right)$$
Parton distribution functions
(PDFs)

Test of perturbative QCD calculations 

The production of heavy-flavour hadrons in hadronic collisions can be described by







- **Charm** and **beauty** quarks:  $m_c \sim 1.3 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ ,  $m_b \sim 4.2 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ Produced in hard-scattering processes
  - the factorisation approach

$$\frac{d\sigma^{\rm D}}{dp_{\rm T}^{\rm D}}\left(p_{\rm T};\mu_{\rm F};\mu_{\rm R}\right) = PDF\left(x_{1},\mu_{\rm F}\right)PDF\left(x_{2},\mu_{\rm F}\right) \otimes \frac{d\sigma^{\rm c}}{dp_{\rm T}^{\rm c}}\left(x_{1},x_{2},\mu_{\rm R},\mu_{\rm F}\right) \otimes D_{\rm c \rightarrow D}\left(z=p_{\rm D}/p_{\rm c},\mu_{\rm F}\right)$$

$$\frac{Parton \ distribution \ functions}{(PDFs)} \qquad Hard \ scattering \ cross \ section \ (pQCD)} \qquad Fragmentation \ function \ (hadronisation)$$

- Measurement of fragmentation fractions (FF)  $\mathbf{\underline{\mathbf{N}}}$

The production of heavy-flavour hadrons in hadronic collisions can be described by

The yield ratios of hadrons are sensitive to the heavy-flavour hadronisation process





- **Charm** and **beauty** quarks:  $\mathbf{m_c} \sim 1.3 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ ,  $\mathbf{m_b} \sim 4.2 \text{ GeV}/c^2$
- Produced in hard-scattering processes
- the factorisation approach

$$\frac{d\sigma^{\rm D}}{dp_{\rm T}^{\rm D}}\left(p_{\rm T};\mu_{\rm F};\mu_{\rm R}\right) = PDF\left(x_{1},\mu_{\rm F}\right)PDF\left(x_{2},\mu_{\rm F}\right) \otimes \frac{d\sigma^{\rm c}}{dp_{\rm T}^{\rm c}}\left(x_{1},x_{2},\mu_{\rm R},\mu_{\rm F}\right)}{dp_{\rm T}^{\rm c}}\left(x_{1},x_{2},\mu_{\rm R},\mu_{\rm F}\right) \otimes \frac{D_{\rm c\to \rm D}\left(z=p_{\rm D}/p_{\rm c},\mu_{\rm F}\right)}{Fragmentation function}$$

### **pp collisions**

- Reference for p-Pb and Pb-Pb collisions
- **I** Test of pQCD calculations
- Study hadronisation  $\mathbf{\overline{\mathbf{M}}}$

The production of heavy-flavour hadrons in hadronic collisions can be described by

S. Jaelani - ICNFP 2023











### **ALICE Detector**









- No significant  $p_{\rm T}$ -dependence 0
- Good agreement with models that use FF tuned on leptonic collisions and with measurements at e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> colliders Ο
- Meson-to-meson yield ratios independent of  $p_{\rm T}$  and collisions energies 0
- 0 mechanisms

11/7/2023

### Charm and beauty mesons



Non-prompt D-meson production measured down to low  $p_T$  ( $D_s^+$  down to  $p_T = 2 \text{ GeV}/c$ ) -> access to beauty-meson production





## Charm and beauty fragmentation to mesons





- 0
- No significant dependence on energy and collision systems O - From e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>and ep to hadronic collisions



Fragmentation fraction ratios for charm and beauty mesons are well described by PYTHIA8 predictions (with FF tuned on e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>)















- $\Lambda_c^+/D^0$  ratios significantly higher than  $e^+e^-$  results (LEP average: 0  $0.113 \pm 0.013 \pm 0.006$ **EPJC 75 (2015) 19**
- $p_{\rm T}$  dependence observed, not present in e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> results
- PYTHIA8 Monash 0  $\Lambda_c^+/D^0$  ratio at low  $p_T$  larger than what predicted by string fragmentation models tuned on e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> data
- Models which introduce a modified hadronisation with respect to 0 in-vacuum fragmentation describe instead the data: PYTHIA8 (CR Mode 2), Catania, SHM+RQM, and QCM
- PYTHIA8 (CR Mode 2) 0

Colour reconnection mechanisms beyond leading colour (BLC) approximation with new junction topologies that favour baryon formation JHEP 1508 (2015) 003

- Catania
  - thermalised system of u,d,s and gluons PLB 821 (2021) 136622
  - hadronisation via interplay of fragmentation and coalescence





















- $\Lambda_c^+/D^0$  ratios significantly higher than  $e^+e^-$  results (LEP average: 0  $0.113 \pm 0.013 \pm 0.006$ **EPJC 75 (2015) 19** 
  - $p_{\rm T}$  dependence observed, not present in e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> results
  - SH model + RQM
    - Quark hadronisation driven by statistical weights govern by hadron masses
    - Feed down from excited baryon states predicted by the Relativistic Quark Model (RQM) PLB 795 (2019) 117-121
  - QCM
    - Pure coalescence model
    - Charm is combined with co-moving light antiquark or two quarks **EP.IC 78 (2018) 344**
- $\Lambda_c^+/D^0$  ratios qualitatively described by PYTHIA8 (CR Mode 2), Catania, SHM+RQM, and QCM













- Enhancement observed for heavier charm baryons 0
- $\Sigma_c^{0,++}/D^0$  largely enhanced with respect to  $e^+e^-$  measurements (~0.02 from Belle, PRD 97 (2005) 07) 0
- PYTHIA8 with CR-BLC, SHM+RQM, Catania, and QCM describe the  $\Sigma_c^{0,++}/D^0$  ratio 0
- 0

SHM+RQM, Catania, and QCM describe the  $\Lambda_c^+$  (  $\leftarrow \Sigma_c^{0,+,++}$ )/ $\Lambda_c^+$  ratio while PYTHIA8 with CR-BLC overestimates the data











 $\Xi_c^{0,+}/D^0$  higher than PYTHIA8 Monash, tuned to reproduced e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> results 0 Ο



Catania model (including hadronisation via coalescence) describes better the shape of the measured  $\Xi_c^{0,+}/D^0$ 









- $\Xi_{c}^{0,+}/D^{0}$  higher than PYTHIA8 Monash, tuned to reproduced  $e^{+}e^{-}$  results 0
- Catania model (including hadronisation via coalescence) describes better the shape of the measured  $\Xi_c^{0,+}/D^0$ 0
- BR  $(\Omega_c^0 \to \Omega^- \pi^+) * \Omega_c^0 / D^0$  ratios show no  $p_T$  dependence 0
- Catania model closer to the measurement when decays from additional higher-mass resonances are considered 0

11/7/2023







### Charm fragmentation fractions and total charm cross section





- Significant baryon enhancement with respect to e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> and ep collisions 0 - Enhancement of a factor of ~3.3 for  $\Lambda_c^+$
- bands

 $f(c \rightarrow H_c)$  different in pp and e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> and ep collisions: fragmentation fractions are not universal across the collisions systems cc production cross section measured at midrapidity lie on the upper edge of the FONLL and NNLO calculation uncertainty







## Total beauty cross section



### ALICE Dielectron $|\eta_{a}| < 0.8$ PYTHA6 pp, √s = 5.02 TeV FONLL Dielectron $|\eta_{a}| < 0.8$ POWHEG • **NNLO** data sys $b \rightarrow D^0 |y| < 0.5$ extrap sys $b \rightarrow D^+ |y| < 0.5$ $b \rightarrow D_s^+ |y| < 0.5$ $b \rightarrow D$ average |y| < 0.5---20 30 10 50 60 70 40 80 0 $d\sigma_{bb}/dy|_{v=0}$ (µb)

- dielectron, as well as with pQCD predictions (FONLL and NNLO)
- 0

JHEP 05 (2021) 220



The results from D-meson species are compatible within uncertainties among each other and with those obtained from

bb production cross section measured at midrapidity is found to be compatible with FONLL and NNLO calculations









## Beauty baryon-to-meson ratios





ALI-PREL-503700

- Good agreement between prompt and non-prompt  $\Lambda_c^+/D^0$  ratios 0
- Similar baryon-to-meson enhancement compared to e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> measurements
- Non-prompt  $\Lambda_c^+/D^0$  ratios are well described by FONLL + PYTHIA8 model (when fragmentation fractions measured by LHCb are 0 employed)
  - -> access to beauty-baryon production mechanisms!

11/7/2023









 $D_s^+/D^0$  ratios do not show any  $p_T$  dependence and event multiplicity

- For  $1 < p_T < 12 \text{ GeV}/c$ , clear hierarchy of the  $\Lambda_c^+/D^0$  ratios from high to low multiplicity events (5.3  $\sigma$  significance) 0
- 0 magnitude and the  $p_{\rm T}$  trend of the  $\Lambda_c^+/{\rm D}^0$

11/7/2023

## Prompt D mesons and $\Lambda_c^+$ vs. event multiplicity

### $D_s^+/D^0$ and $\Lambda_c^+/D^0$ ratios measured in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV in MB collisions and for different multiplicity classes



PYTHIA8 Monash does not reproduce the  $p_{\rm T}$  trend of the  $\Lambda_{\rm c}^+/{\rm D}^0$  across different event multiplicities while PYTHIA8 CR-CLB describes the









## Prompt $\Lambda_c^+/D^0$ vs. event multiplicity



11/7/2023

- ALICE, pp at 13 TeV: <u>Phys.Lett.B 829 (2022) 137065</u>
- ALICE, pp and p-Pb at 5.02 TeV: <u>Phys. Rev. Lett. 127, 202301</u> Phys. Rev. C 104, 054905

ALICE, Pb-Pb at 5.02 TeV: <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.08156</u>

STAR, Au-Au at 200 GeV: Phys. Rev. Lett. 124, 172301

- pp, p-Pb, Pb-Pb shown together as a function of event 0 multiplicity
- $p_{\rm T}$ -integrated, extrapolated down to  $p_{\rm T} = 0$ ,  $\Lambda_{\rm c}^+/{\rm D}^0$  ratios do not dependent on **multiplicity**, **collision system** and **energy** within uncertainties
- Re-distribution of  $p_{\rm T}$  that acts differently for baryons and mesons 0 -> No modification of overall  $p_{\rm T}$ -integrated yield ratios
- Same mechanism in all collision systems? Modified 0 hadronisation? Radial flow?
- Flat trend reproduced by models with hadronisation via 0 **fragmentation** + **recombination** (Catania, TAMU)



**Pb-Pb collisions** 











## A few more hints: $D_{s1}^+$ and $D_{s2}^{*+}$ production



- First measurement of  $D_s^+$ -resonance production in pp 0 collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV
- No multiplicity dependence on  $D_{s1}^+/D_s^+$  ratio Ο
  - Reproduced by the statistical hadronisation model (SHM)
- Hint of decreasing trend of  $D_{s2}^{*+}/D_{s}^{+}$  ratio with event 0 multiplicity?

- Interplay between hadron lifetime and hadronic rescattering?

- Hint of tension with SHM predictions
- Total uncertainties too large to conclude









- Larger interaction rate and upgrade of ALICE apparatus during LS 2 -> Larger data samples in Run 3 than Run 2 (x10-100 depending on the collision system)
  - -> Improved impact parameter resolution
  - -> Lead to more precise measurements, and with an extended  $p_{\rm T}$ reach, of the observables studied in Run 2
- Direct reconstruction of beauty mesons and baryons
- Better constraints to theoretical models of the strongly interacting medium and hadronisation

### ➡Target samples of ALICE high-energy pp programme

- $L_{int} = 200 \text{ pb}^{-1}, \text{ B} = 0.5 \text{ T}$
- $L_{int} = 3 \text{ pb}^{-1}, B = 0.2 \text{ T}$
- Target samples of ALICE high-energy PbPb programme
  - $L_{int} = 13 \text{ nb}^{-1}, \sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.3 \text{ TeV}$

### Outlook: LHC Run 3 data taking





	ITS 1	ITS 2		
Distance to interaction point (mm)	39	22		Closer to interactio
$X_0$ (innermost layer) (%)	~1.14	~0.35		Lower material bu
Pixel pitch ( $\mu$ m <sup>2</sup> )	$50 \times 425$	27 × 29	$\rightarrow$	Improved granular
Readout rate (kHz)	1	100	$\rightarrow$	Faster readout
Spatial resolution ( $r\varphi \times z$ ) ( $\mu$ m <sup>2</sup> )	$11 \times 100$	5 × 5		Improved resolution













- D-meson production well described using the fragmentation fraction from  $e^+e^ \bigcirc$ measurements
- Large enhancement of all charm-baryon production in pp collisions w.r.t. e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> collisions  $\bigcirc$ In addition to simple fragmentation, other hadronisation mechanisms are needed to  $\bigcirc$ describe the measurements in pp collisions
- Dependence of the fragmentation fractions on collisions system is firmly established First measurement of D<sup>+</sup><sub>s</sub>-resonance production in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV
- $\bigcirc$

### ALICE Collaboration ready to analyse Run 3 data to investigate the currently open questions









# Thank you for your attention!





S. Jaelani - ICNFP 2023



# BACKUP SLIDES





Phys. Lett. B 829 (2022) 137065



### S. Jaelani - ICNFP 2023



### CR beyond leading colour Initial state not insensitive to strong force (coloured partons, beam remnants)

- $MPI \rightarrow crucial$  to explain underlying event





### **CR beyond Leading Color approximation (CR-BLC)**

"Simplified QCD" with 9 color indices to determine the string formation

- String length minimization over all possible configurations, even those beyond the Leading Color topology  $\rightarrow$  Monash: only CR among LC
- Enhanced leading color among MPIs and beam remnants
- Conditions for color reconnections:
  - Invariant mass of string *j*-th must overcome a threshold  $m_0$  $C = m_{0i}/m_0 > 1$  : enhanced reconnections
  - Causality: two strings must resolve each other between formation and hadronization, according to the time dilation due to the relative boost
  - $\rightarrow$ Mode 0, 2, 3: different "severity" on this condition





## Statistical approaches and coalescence

### SHM+RQM PLB 795 (2019) 117-121

- Hadron formation driven by the mass at a hadronization temperature  $T_{\mu} \rightarrow$  stat. weights  $n_i \sim m_i^2 T_{\mu} K^2 (m_i / T_{\mu})$ Strong feed-down from an augmented set of excited charm baryon states
- - PDG: 5  $\Lambda_c$ , 3  $\Sigma_c$ , 8  $\Xi_c$ , 2  $\Omega_c$ Ο
  - RQM: additional (not yet measured) 18  $\Lambda_{c}$ , Ο

### Eur. Phys. J. C (20 **Quark Coalescence Mechanism (QCM)**

- Thermal weights to account for relative production vector mesons
- Hadron  $p_{T}$  spectrum from recombination of charm quarks from the hard scattering with equal-velocity light quarks in the nearby in phase-space

### **Catania coalescence model** PLB 821, 136622

- Thermalised system of u, d, s and gluons
- Charm quark can hadronize either via fragmentation or coalescence
- Charm hadronization into ground and (PDG) excited states
  - The latter ones increase the abundance of the former ones Ο
  - Statistical "penalty" weight  $[m_{H^*}/m_H]^{3/2} \times \exp(-\Delta E/T)$ Ο

42 Σ <sub>c</sub> , 62 Ξ <sub>c</sub> , 34 Ω <sub>c</sub>	n <sub>i</sub> [×10 <sup>-4</sup> fm <sup>-3</sup> ] (T <sub>H</sub> [MeV])	$\Lambda_{c}^{+}$	Ξ <sub>c</sub> <sup>0,+</sup>	
<u>018) 78: 344</u>	PDG (170)	0.3310	0.0874	0
on of scalar and	RQM (170)	0.6613	0.1173	0





P 💼



