

### **XII International Conference** on New Frontiers in Physics 10-23 July 2023, OAC, Kolymbari, Crete, Greece

# Heavy flavour tagging at the CMS experiment

Angela Zaza on behalf of the CMS collaboration

University of Bari & INFN







### Motivation





Classification of heavy flavor (b and c) jets crucial for several physics proc involving heavy quarks, such as **Higgs** decays



*2018 JINST 13 P05011*

## Heavy flavour jets

Jets originated from hadronization of b (c) quarks:

 $\triangleright$  Lifetime of b (c) hadrons  $\sim$  1.5 ps ( $\sim$ 1 ps) → **displaced tracks from PV** (impact parameter)  $\rightarrow$  **SV** 

- $\triangleright$  Larger mass and harder fragmentation w.r.t. light quarks and gluons  $\rightarrow$  larger  $p_T$  of the decay products
- ▷ Presence of a **muon or electron** in 20% (10%) of the cases

Heavy flavour tagging performed by combining many discriminating variables by means of MVA techniques

**c-tagging** more complex than b-tagging: discriminating variable distributions intermediate between b and light-jet ones





## Heavy flavour tagging: **DeepCSV**

- ▷ Deep Neural Network (**DNN**) 4 hidden layers – 100 nodes
- ▷ **5 classes**: b (1 b), bb (2 b), c (1 c and no b),  $cc$  (2 c), lg (everything else)
- $\triangleright$  Jets reweighted to avoid  $p_T$  and  $\eta$ dependencies across flavours during the training
- $\triangleright$  Simulated samples used for training: tt and **QCD**



*2018 JINST 13 P05011*



**RNN 50** 

### Heavy flavour tagging: **DeepJet**

DNN, Convolutional NN (CNN) and Recurrent NN (RNN)

- $\triangleright$  Low level features from a large number of jet constituents
- $\triangleright$  Jets reweighted to avoid  $p<sub>T</sub>$  and  $\eta$  dependencies across flavours during the training
- Automatic feature engineering performed for each constituent using 1x1 convolutional layers
- $\triangleright$  3 RNN layers combine the information for each constituent sequence
- $\triangleright$  Fully connected layers combine the full jet and per-event level information
- ▷ **6 classes**:

b, bb, **lepb** (leptonic b hadron decays) c, cc, **l** (uds), **g**

Simulated samples used for training:  $t\bar{t}$  and **QCD** 

#### 1x1 conv. 64/32/32/8 **RNN 150** Charged (16 features) x25  $|1x1$  conv. 32/16/4 $|$ **RNN 50** Neutral (6 features) x25

Secondary Vtx (12 features)  $x4$  | 1x1 conv. 64/32/32/8

2020 *JINST* **15** P12012

#### A. Zaza ICNFP2023

Global variables (6 features)



### B-tagging performance



 $P(BvsAll) = \frac{P(b) + P(bb) + P(de)}{P(BvsAll) + P(L) + P(d-1) + P(c)}$  $P(b) + P(bb) + P(lepb) + P(c) +$ 

**Working Points Loose** (L): 10% udsg mis-id rate Medium (M): 1% udsg mis-id rat **Tight** (T): 0.1% udsg mis-id rate



### C-tagging performance





**b-tagging efficiency**

### Performance in data

 $\triangleright$  MC simulation does not provide a perfect representation of  $data \rightarrow necessary$  to apply SFs to MC

$$
\varepsilon_f^{MC} = \frac{N_f^{Tagged}}{N_f^{Total}}
$$

$$
\varepsilon_f^{Data} = SF_f \times \varepsilon_f^{MC}
$$

 $N_f^{Tagged}, N_f^{Total}, SF_f$ : number of tagged jets, number of total jets and calibration scale factor for the flavour  $f$ 

- $\triangleright$  SFs calculated with different methods specific for QCD multijet,  $t\bar{t}$ , Drell-Yan and W+c events
- $\triangleright$  SFs evaluated at different WPs
- $\triangleright$  SFs also estimated as a function of the discriminator value with the IterativeFit method (crucial for analyses in which the full distribution of the b-tagging discriminating values is used, e.g. as inputs to an MVA)



**CMS** Preliminary



### Performance in data: **c-tagging scores**

- $\triangleright$  Three different sets of event selection, targeting W+c,  $t\bar{t}$  and DY+jets/QCD events respectively c-, b- and light-enriched
- $\triangleright$  SFs calculated as function of both CvsL and CvsB



#### CMS DP-2023/006 JINST 17 (2022) P03014



## Heavy flavour tagging in **boosted topologies**



 $\triangleright$  At high energy, particles decaying to b or be highly boosted decay products o **overlapping jets**

 $\triangleright$  In many analyses targeting  $X \to q\bar{q}$  with  $p_T^X \gg m_X$ , large radius jets (i.e. AK8) are used

**Double-b** and **DeepDoubleX** taggers perform boosted jet  $(q\bar{q})$  tagging

A. Zaza and a strong strong and a strong strong



### Heavy flavour tagging in **boosted topologies**

#### **double-b tagger**

- Dedicated BDT algorithm for identification of the decay of a boosted object to a b quark pair
- 27 jet related properties exploited
- Input variables related to the correlation between the flight directions of the b quarks built by using the N-subjettiness axes to associate tracks and vetex to the subjets

The performance of the two taggers is evaluated by using AK8 jets ( $\Delta R = 0.8$ ) in a boosted region of  $300 < p_T < 1200$  GeV and mass window 20-200 GeV

#### CMS-DP-2022/041

#### **DeepDoubleX (DDX) tagger**

- DNN algorithm for identification of the deboosted object to a b or c quark pair
- Architecture and input variables set motivation DeepJet
- Three separate taggers trained to distingui H→  $c\bar{c}$  jets from QCD: **DDBvL, DDCvL, D**



### Tagging performance in **boosted topologies**





- MC simulation used for training and ROC estimation: QCD multijet,  $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$  and  $H \rightarrow c\bar{c}$  events
- DeepDoubleX shows highly improved performance w.r.t. double-b tagger in  $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$  vs QCD discrimination

DDBvL (V0): earlier version of the DeepDoubleBvL

The latest version is mass-decorrelated by design (variable-mass Higgs MC samples are used) and exploits feature-ranking to prune and trim some input variables



 $CMS-DP-202$ 

### Plans for Run3

### **ParticleNet**

- Dynamic Graph CNN (DGCNN) considering jets as particle clouds
- Used for AK8 classification in some Run2 analyses (boosted Hbb/Hcc)
- Plans to use ParticleNet architecture for heavy flavour tagging during Run3



### Plans for Run3

#### **ParticleTransformerAK4**

- Transformer neural network for AK4 jet tagging
- Additional input: pairwise «interaction» features between all jet constituent particles and secondary vertices



#### **Adversarial training**

- New strategy for reduction of data/N prior to calibration and classifier robust improvement
- Fast Gradient Sign Method (FGSM) a systematically distort inputs





### **Summary**

### **Heavy flavour tagging at CMS – Run2**

- ▷ Comparison DeepJet/DeepCSV on AK4 jets DeepJet shows much better performance both taggers show good MC/data agreement
- ▷ Comparison double-b/DeepDoubleX on AK8 jets DeepDoubleX outperforms and enables c-tagging

### **New strategies for Run3**

**ParticleTransformer and Adversarial training** 

**CMS physics programme largely benefits from these powerful tagging algorithms**

**Thank you** for listening!

angela.zaza@cern.ch

# Back-up

angela.zaza@cern.ch



### DeepAK8

DeepAK8: multi-class particle identification algorithm for identifying hadronic decays of highly Lorentz-boosted top quarks and W, Z, and Higgs bosons for AK8 jets

Two lists of inputs defined for each jet:

- **1. Particle list**: up to 100 jet constituent particles, sorted by decreasing pT. Measured properties (42) of each particle ( $p_T$ , energy deposit, charge, angular separation between the particle and the jet axis, etc) For charged particles, additional information measured by the tracking detector is also included.
- **2. SV list**: up to 7 SVs, each with 15 features, such as the SV kinematics, the displacement, and quality criteria.





CMS-PAS-JME-18-002



*JHEP* **05** (2014) 146

#### **Soft-Drop (SD)**

Algorithm that recursively removes wide-angle radiation from a jet.

It depends on two parameters, a soft threshold  $z<sub>cut</sub>$  and an angular exponent β.

- 1. Break the jet j into two subjets by undoing the last stage of C/A clustering. Label the resulting two subjets as  $j_1$  and  $j_2$
- 2. If the subjets pass the condition  $\frac{\min(p_{T1}, p_{T2})}{p_{T1}+p_{T2}} > z_{\text{cut}} \left(\frac{\Delta R_{12}}{R_0}\right)^{\beta}$ , j is the final soft-drop jet
- 3. Otherwise, redifine j to be equal to subjet with the larger  $p_T$

#### **N-subjettiness**

Jet shape variable, computed under the assumption that the jet has N subjets, and it is defined as the  $p_T$  -weighted distance between each jet constituent and its nearest subjet axis ( $\Delta R$ ):

$$
\tau_{\rm N} = \frac{1}{d_0} \sum_k p_{\rm T}^k \min(\Delta R_{1,k}, \ldots, \Delta R_{N,k}),
$$

Where *k* runs over all jet constituents. The τ<sub>N</sub> variable has a small value if the jet is consistent with having N or fewer subjets. The subjet axes are used as a starting point for the  $\tau_N$ minimization. After the minimization, the *τ<sub>N</sub>* axes, also called *τ* axes, are obtained.

A. Zaza and a strong strong and a strong strong



#### **Fast Gradient Sign Method (FGSM) attack**

It is used to systematically distort inputs based on the geometry of the loss surface and acts on inputs  $x_{\text{raw}}$  as follows:

 $x_{\text{FGSM}} = x_{\text{raw}} + \epsilon \cdot \text{sgn}(\nabla_{x_{\text{raw}}} J(x_{\text{raw}}, y))$ 

CMS-DP-2022/049

where  $y$  refers to truth labels, and  $J$  is the loss function.