Thermal regularization of t-channel singularities of $2 \to 2$ scatterings in the early Universe

Michał Iglicki

University of Warsaw

based on
B. Grządkowski, M. Iglicki, S. Mrówczyński, Nucl.Phys.B 984 (2022) 115967
M. Iglicki, JHEP 06 (2023) 006

TAUP 2023
Vienna, 29 August 2023

Motivation: the Boltzmann equation

- How much dark matter (or anything else) is there?

$$\dot{n}_x + 3\,H\,n_x = -\sum_{2\to F} C^x_{ij\to f_1\ldots f_F}\,\langle\sigma v\rangle_{ij\to f_1\ldots f_F}\left[n_i n_j - \bar{n}_i\bar{n}_j\,\frac{n_{f_1}\ldots n_{f_F}}{\bar{n}_{f_1}\ldots\bar{n}_{f_F}}\right]$$
$$-\sum_{1\to F} C^x_{i\to f_1\ldots f_F}\,\langle\Gamma\rangle_{i\to f_1\ldots f_F}\left[n_i - \bar{n}_i\,\frac{n_{f_1}\ldots n_{f_F}}{\bar{n}_{f_1}\ldots\bar{n}_{f_F}}\right]$$



where

n, $\bar{n}$	— number density and equilibrium number density
H	— Hubble parameter
$C^x_{i(j)\to f_1\ldots f_F}$	— combinatoric factors
$\langle\sigma v\rangle$	— thermally averaged cross section
$\langle\Gamma\rangle$	— thermally averaged decay width

(see the backup slides for details)

Motivation: the Boltzmann equation

- How much dark matter (or anything else) is there?

$$\dot{n}_x + 3\,H\,n_x = -\sum_{2\to F} C^x_{ij\to f_1\ldots f_F}\,\overset{\infty}{\cancel{\langle\sigma v\rangle_{ij\to f_1\ldots f_F}}}\left[n_i n_j - \bar{n}_i\bar{n}_j\,\frac{n_{f_1}\ldots n_{f_F}}{\bar{n}_{f_1}\ldots\bar{n}_{f_F}}\right]$$
$$-\sum_{1\to F} C^x_{i\to f_1\ldots f_F}\,\langle\Gamma\rangle_{i\to f_1\ldots f_F}\left[n_i - \bar{n}_i\,\frac{n_{f_1}\ldots n_{f_F}}{\bar{n}_{f_1}\ldots\bar{n}_{f_F}}\right]$$

- problem: in multi-component DM scenarios $\langle\sigma v\rangle$ is sometimes singular!



example:



[GeV]				
m_X	m_{ψ_+}	m_{ψ_-}	m_{h_2}	$\langle\sigma v\rangle$
90	130	30	160	**finite**
70	130	30	160	**singular**
70	130	80	160	**finite**

~~numerical errors?~~
physics, actually!

(VFDM model: A. Ahmed et al., Eur.Phys.J.C 78 (2018) 11, 905)

t-channel singularity: definition



$$\mathcal{M} \sim \frac{1}{t - M^2}\,, \qquad t \equiv p^2$$

$t = M^2 \quad \Rightarrow$ singular matrix element

$\quad\quad\quad\quad \Rightarrow$ infinite cross section

- IR regularization not applicable if $M > 0$
- Dyson resummation not helpful if $\Gamma = 0$

$\Rightarrow$ massive, stable mediator required

t-channel singularity: examples

SM: muon colliders



SM: weak Compton scattering



BSM: dark matter in the early Universe



$2 \leftrightarrow 2$ process: when does the t-channel singularity occur?



$$\mathcal{M} \sim \frac{1}{t - M^2}$$

$$t \equiv p^2 = (p_1 - p_3)^2$$

$$s \equiv (p_1 + p_2)^2 = (p_3 + p_4)^2$$

- singularity: $t = M^2$ (massive, stable mediator required)

- cross section

$$\sigma(s) \supset \int_{t_{\min}(s)}^{t_{\max}(s)} \frac{dt}{(t - M^2)^2}$$

- thermally averaged cross section

$$\langle \sigma v \rangle (T) \supset \int \sigma(s)\, f(E_1, E_2, T)\, ds$$

- singularity condition

$$t_{\min}(s) < M^2 < t_{\max}(s)$$

$$t_{\min} = m_1^2 + m_3^2 - 2E_1E_3 - 2|\mathbf{p}_1||\mathbf{p}_3| \qquad t_{\max} = m_1^2 + m_3^2 - 2E_1E_3 + 2|\mathbf{p}_1||\mathbf{p}_3|$$

$2 \leftrightarrow 2$ process: when does the t-channel singularity occur?

- singularity condition

$$t_{\min}(s) < M^2 < t_{\max}(s)$$

$$t_{\min} = m_1^2 + m_3^2 - 2E_1E_3 - 2|\mathbf{p}_1||\mathbf{p}_3| \qquad t_{\max} = m_1^2 + m_3^2 - 2E_1E_3 + 2|\mathbf{p}_1||\mathbf{p}_3|$$

- in terms of the CM energy ($\sqrt{s}$)

$$t_{\min}(s) < M^2 < t_{\max}(s)$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \quad s_1 < s < s_2$$



$$s_{1,2} \equiv \frac{-\beta \mp \sqrt{\beta^2 - 4\alpha\gamma}}{2\alpha}$$

α, β, γ – functions of m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4 and M

example: weak Compton scattering





$$Ze^- \to Ze^- =$$



$$+$$



$2 \leftrightarrow 2$ process: when does the t-channel singularity occur?

- singularity condition:

$$t_{\min}(s) < M^2 < t_{\max}(s)$$

$$t_{\min} = m_1^2 + m_3^2 - 2E_1E_3 - 2|\mathbf{p}_1||\mathbf{p}_3| \qquad t_{\max} = m_1^2 + m_3^2 - 2E_1E_3 + 2|\mathbf{p}_1||\mathbf{p}_3|$$

- in terms of the CM energy ($\sqrt{s}$):

$$t_{\min}(s) < M^2 < t_{\max}(s)$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \quad s_1 < s < s_2$$



$s_{1,2} \equiv \frac{-\beta \mp \sqrt{\beta^2 - 4\alpha\gamma}}{2\alpha}$

α, β, γ – functions of m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4 and M

- thermally averaged cross section $\leftarrow$ integration over $\sqrt{s} \in [\sqrt{s_{\min}}, \infty)$
  (weighted by thermal distribution functions)

- conclusion for the cosmological case:
  if $s_2 > s_{\min} \equiv \max\{(m_1 + m_2)^2, (m_3 + m_4)^2\}$,
  singularity in the allowed range $\quad \Rightarrow \quad \langle \sigma v \rangle = \infty$

$$s_2 \equiv \frac{-\beta + \sqrt{\beta^2 - 4\alpha\gamma}}{2\alpha}$$

α, β, γ – functions of m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4 and M

if $s_2 > s_{\min} \equiv \max\{(m_1+m_2)^2, (m_3+m_4)^2\}$, singularity in the allowed range

$\Updownarrow$



$m_1 > M + m_3$ and $m_4 > M + m_2$

or

$m_2 > M + m_4$ and $m_3 > M + m_1$



◇ Coleman-Norton theorem
S. Coleman & R. E. Norton, Nuovo Cim 38, 438–442 (1965)

"It is shown that a Feynman amplitude has singularities on the physical boundary if and only if the relevant Feynman diagram can be interpreted as a picture of an energy- and momentum-conserving process occurring in space-time, with all internal particles real, on the mass shell, and moving forward in time"

note: one of the external states decays,
so it cannot be an asymptotic state

Coming back to our example. . .

- condition for the singularity to occur:

$$m_{\psi_+} > m_X + m_{\psi_-} \qquad \text{and} \qquad m_{h_2} > 2\, m_X$$



[GeV]						
m_X	m_{ψ_+}	m_{ψ_-}	m_{h_2}	$\langle\sigma v\rangle$	$\psi_+ \to \psi_- X$	$h_2 \to XX$
90	130	30	160	**finite**	**allowed**	forbidden
70	130	30	160	**singular**	**allowed**	**allowed**
70	130	80	160	**finite**	forbidden	**allowed**

Known approaches to the problem

- complex mass of unstable particles

  I. Ginzburg, Nucl.Phys.B Proc.Suppl. 51 (1996) 85-89

  - finite lifetime of the particle should affect the wavefunction
  - $p_k \to \tilde{p}_k \equiv p_k + i\, p'_k(\Gamma_k)$
  - problem: $(\widetilde{p}_1 - \widetilde{p}_3)^2 \neq (\widetilde{p}_4 - \widetilde{p}_2)^2 \Rightarrow$ lack of symmetry

- finite beam width

  G. L. Kotkin et al., Yad. Fiz. 42 (1982) 692
  G. L. Kotkin et al., Int. Journ. Mod. Phys. A 7 (1992) 4707
  K. Melnikov & V. G. Serbo, Nucl.Phys. B483 (1997) 67
  C. Dams & R. Kleiss, Eur.Phys.J.C29 (2003) 11
  C. Dams & R. Kleiss, Eur.Phys.J. C36 (2004) 177

  - $n(x,y) \sim e^{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{2\,a^2}} \neq 1$
    $\Rightarrow$ momentum uncertainty
  - final results proportional to the width:
    $\int dt |\mathcal{M}|^2 \sim a$
  - works for colliders, but inapplicable in the cosmological context





Let us reconsider Dyson resummation...

assumptions: $|\Pi|$ small, $p^2 \simeq M^2$

scalar: $$\frac{1}{p^2 - M^2} \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{1}{p^2 - M^2 + \Pi}$$

fermion: $$\frac{\not{p} + M}{p^2 - M^2} \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{\not{p} + M}{p^2 - M^2 + \mathrm{Tr}\left[\frac{\not{p}+\mathrm{M}}{2}\,\Pi\right]}$$

vector: $$\frac{-g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{p_\mu p_\nu}{M^2}}{p^2 - M^2} \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{-g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{p_\mu p_\nu}{M^2}}{p^2 - M^2 + \frac{1}{3}\left(-g^{\mu\nu} + \frac{p^\mu p^\nu}{p^2}\right)\Pi_{\mu\nu}}$$

$\Rightarrow$ regulator: $$\Sigma \equiv \begin{cases} \Im\,\Pi \\ \Im\left(\mathrm{Tr}\left[\frac{\not{p}+\mathrm{M}}{2}\,\Pi\right]\right) \\ \Im\left[\frac{1}{3}\left(-g^{\mu\nu} + \frac{p^\mu p^\nu}{p^2}\right)\Pi_{\mu\nu}\right] \end{cases}$$

problem: $\Sigma \xrightarrow[\text{opt. th.}]{p^2 \to M^2}$ decay width

$\Rightarrow$ no regularization for a stable mediator, but...

Idea

similar considerations (and results) by H.A. Weldon, Phys. Rev. D 28 (1983) 2007

- early Universe = hot gas
- every particle interacts with a thermal medium
- the mean life time cannot be infinite ⇒ effective width
- QFT in a thermal medium: Keldysh-Schwinger formalism

vacuum



early Universe

One-loop self-energy

warning: hereafter, m_1 and m_2 are masses of the loop states

- one-loop contribution to mediator's self-energy



$$i\Pi(x,y) = i\Delta_1(x,y)\ \mathcal{A}(y)\ i\Delta_2(y,x)\ \mathcal{A}(x)$$

- non-zero imaginary part of the self-energy appears as a result of interactions with the thermal medium of particles

mediator + thermal bath particle

$\updownarrow$

unstable intermediate state





Calculation of the one-loop self-energy

- one-loop contribution to the self-energy

$$i\Pi(x,y) = i\Delta_1(x,y)\,\mathcal{A}(y)\,i\Delta_2(y,x)\,\mathcal{A}(x)$$



- non-zero imaginary part of the self-energy appears as a result of interactions with the thermal medium of particles

$$\Pi^+(p,T) = \frac{i}{2}\int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[\Delta_1^+(k+p)\,\mathcal{A}\,\Delta_2^{\text{sym}}(k,T)\,\mathcal{A} + \Delta_1^{\text{sym}}(k,T)\,\mathcal{A}\,\Delta_2^-(k-p)\,\mathcal{A}\Big]$$

$$\Delta_i^{\text{sym}}(k,T) \equiv \frac{i\pi}{E_i}\Big(\delta(E_i-k_0)+\delta(E_i+k_0)\Big)\times\big[2\,\eta_i\,f(E_i,T)-1\big]\times(\text{numerator})$$

$$\Delta_i^{\pm}(p) \equiv \frac{(\text{numerator})}{p^2-m_i^2\pm i\,\text{sgn}(p_0)\,\varepsilon}\,,\qquad E_i \equiv \sqrt{\mathbf{k}^2+m_i^2}\,,$$

$$f(E_i,T) = (e^{E_i/T}+\eta_i)^{-1}\,,\qquad \eta_i \equiv +1 \text{ for fermions, } -1 \text{ for bosons}$$

after tedious calculations... (assumption: $m_1 > m_2 + M$)

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned}\Sigma(|\mathbf{p}|,T) &\equiv \Im\Pi^+(|\mathbf{p}|,T)\\ &= \frac{1}{16\pi}\,\frac{X_0}{\beta|\mathbf{p}|}\,\ln\left[1+\eta_2\,\frac{e^{-\beta(b-a)}e^{\beta E_p}\left(1-e^{-2\beta a}\right)\left(1-\eta_1\eta_2\,e^{-\beta E_p}\right)}{\left(1+\eta_1\,e^{-\beta(b-a)}\right)\left(1+\eta_2\,e^{-\beta(b+a)}e^{\beta E_p}\right)}\right]\end{aligned}}$$

$$a \equiv \frac{\lambda(m_1^2,m_2^2,M^2)^{1/2}}{2\,M^2}\,|\mathbf{p}|\,, \qquad b \equiv \frac{m_1^2-m_2^2+M^2}{2\,M^2}\,E_p\,, \qquad E_p \equiv \sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2+M^2}$$

$$\lambda(m_1^2,m_2^2,M^2) \equiv [m_1^2-(m_2+M)^2]\,[m_1^2-(m_2-M)^2]$$

$$\eta_i \equiv +1 \text{ for fermions, } -1 \text{ for bosons}\,, \qquad X_0 = \eta_2\,|\mathcal{M}|^2_{\text{dec}} \times \begin{cases} 1 & \text{scalar}\\ 1/2 & \text{fermion}\\ 1/3 & \text{vector}\end{cases}$$

effective width:

$$\Gamma_{\text{eff}}(|\mathbf{p}|,T) \equiv M^{-1}\Sigma(|\mathbf{p}|,T)$$

$$\Downarrow$$

Breit-Wigner propagator:

$$\frac{1}{(t-M^2)^2} \;\rightarrow\; \frac{1}{(t-M^2)^2+M^2\Gamma_{\text{eff}}(|\mathbf{p}|,T)^2}$$

Result discussion: general properties

$$\Sigma(|\mathbf{p}|,T) = \frac{1}{16\pi}\,\frac{X_0}{\beta|\mathbf{p}|}\,\ln\left[1+\eta_2\,\frac{e^{-\beta(b-a)}e^{\beta E_p}\left(1-e^{-2\beta a}\right)\left(1-\eta_1\eta_2\,e^{-\beta E_p}\right)}{\left(1+\eta_1\,e^{-\beta(b-a)}\right)\left(1+\eta_2\,e^{-\beta(b+a)}e^{\beta E_p}\right)}\right]$$

$$a \equiv \frac{\lambda(m_1^2,m_2^2,M^2)^{1/2}}{2\,M^2}\,|\mathbf{p}|\,, \qquad b \equiv \frac{m_1^2-m_2^2+M^2}{2\,M^2}\,E_p\,, \qquad E_p \equiv \sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2+M^2}$$

$$\lambda(m_1^2,m_2^2,M^2) \equiv [m_1^2-(m_2+M)^2]\,[m_1^2-(m_2-M)^2]$$

$$\eta_i \equiv +1 \text{ for fermions, } -1 \text{ for bosons}\,, \qquad X_0 = \eta_2\,|\mathcal{M}|^2_{\text{dec}} \times \begin{cases} 1 & \text{scalar} \\ 1/2 & \text{fermion} \\ 1/3 & \text{vector} \end{cases}$$

observations:

- $b > a + E_p$, since $E_p > |\mathbf{p}|$ and $m_1^2 - m_2^2 - M^2 > \lambda^{1/2}$
- $a > 0$ and $E_p > 0$
- a, b and E_p do not depend on T
- sgn(logarithmic part) $= \eta_2 =$ sgn(X_0) $\quad\Rightarrow\quad$ $\Sigma > 0$

Result discussion: limiting cases

$$\Sigma(|\mathbf{p}|,T) = \frac{1}{16\pi}\,\frac{X_0}{\beta|\mathbf{p}|}\,\ln\left[1+\eta_2\,\frac{e^{-\beta(b-a)}e^{\beta E_p}\left(1-e^{-2\beta a}\right)\left(1-\eta_1\eta_2\,e^{-\beta E_p}\right)}{\left(1+\eta_1\,e^{-\beta(b-a)}\right)\left(1+\eta_2\,e^{-\beta(b+a)}e^{\beta E_p}\right)}\right]$$

- $m_1 = m_2 + M$ (no decay)

$$a \equiv \frac{\lambda(m_1^2,m_2^2,M^2)^{1/2}}{2\,M^2}\,|\mathbf{p}| \;\to\; 0 \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \Sigma \to 0$$

- $\beta \to \infty$ (zero temperature) or $\mathbf{p} \to \infty$

$$\beta\,a\,,\;\beta\,b\,,\;\beta\,E_p \;\to\; \infty \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \ln[1+\ldots] \;\to\; 0 \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \Sigma \to 0$$

  - ⋆ minimal energy E_2 needed to produce particle 1 on-shell increases with $|\mathbf{p}|$
    $\Rightarrow$ statistical suppression
  - ⋆ zero temperature $\leftrightarrow$ no medium: $f(E,T) \to 0$



- $\mathbf{p} \to 0$ (mediator at rest)

$$\Sigma \to \frac{X_0}{16\,\pi\,M}\,\frac{\lambda(m_1^2,m_2^2,M^2)^{1/2}}{M^2}\,\frac{\eta_2\,e^{-\beta(b_0-M)}\left(1-\eta_1\eta_2 e^{-\beta M}\right)}{\left(1+\eta_1 e^{-\beta b_0}\right)\left(1+\eta_2 e^{-\beta(b_0-M)}\right)}$$

finite result

$$b_0 \equiv (m_1^2 - m_2^2 + M^2)/(2M) > M$$

Numerical example: effective width



$$m_X = 70 \text{ GeV} \quad \text{(upper plot)}$$
$$m_{\psi_+} = 130 \text{ GeV} \qquad m_{\psi_-} = 30 \text{ GeV}$$
$$m_{h_1} = 125 \text{ GeV} \qquad m_{h_2} = 160 \text{ GeV}$$
$$g_x = 0.1 \qquad \sin\alpha = 0.1$$





VFDM model: A. Ahmed et al., Eur.Phys.J.C 78 (2018) 11, 905

Numerical example: thermally averaged cross section



$$\langle \sigma v \rangle_{12\to 34}(T) = \int d\Phi_1\, d\Phi_2\, f(E_1, E_2, T)$$

$$\times \int d\Phi_3\, d\Phi_4\, |\mathcal{M}|^2_{\text{dec}}\, \frac{(2\pi)^4\, \delta^{(4)}(p_1 + p_2 - p_3 - p_4)}{(t - M^2)^2 + M^2\, \Gamma_{\text{eff}}(|\mathbf{p}|, T)^2}$$

$$d\Phi_i \equiv \frac{d^3 p_i}{(2\pi)^3\, 2E_i}$$ – phase-space element





note: results still huge!

Summary

- t-channel singularity of $\langle \sigma v \rangle$ occurs if
  - the process can be seen as a sequence of decay and fusion processes

  - the mediator is massive and stable



- the singularity is present both in SM and BSM physics
- known approaches are either unsatisfactory or inapplicable
- interactions with the medium result in a non-zero effective width that regularizes the singularity (but the result is still huge)



- the effective width depends on temperature and mediator's momentum (momentum transfer) and behaves in an expected, natural way

$$\Gamma_{\text{eff}} = \Gamma_{\text{eff}}(T, |\mathbf{p}|)$$

Summary

- t-channel singularity of $\langle \sigma v \rangle$ occurs if
  - the process can be seen as a sequence of decay and fusion processes

thank you!

  - the mediator is massive and stable



- the singularity is present both in SM and BSM physics
- known approaches are either unsatisfactory or inapplicable
- interactions with the medium result in a non-zero effective width that regularizes the singularity (but the result is still huge)



- the effective width depends on temperature and mediator's momentum (momentum transfer) and behaves in an expected, natural way

$$\Gamma_{\text{eff}} = \Gamma_{\text{eff}}(T, |\mathbf{p}|)$$

BACKUP SLIDES

Boltzmann equation: details

Kolb & Turner, The Early Universe
Gondolo & Gelmini, Nucl.Phys.B 360 (1991) 145-179

$$\dot{n}_x + 3\,H\,n_x = -\sum_{2\to F} C^x_{ij\to f_1\ldots f_F}\,\langle\sigma v\rangle_{ij\to f_1\ldots f_F}\left[n_i n_j - \bar{n}_i\bar{n}_j\,\frac{n_{f_1}\ldots n_{f_F}}{\bar{n}_{f_1}\ldots\bar{n}_{f_F}}\right]$$
$$-\sum_{1\to F} C^x_{i\to f_1\ldots f_F}\,\langle\Gamma\rangle_{i\to f_1\ldots f_F}\left[n_i - \bar{n}_i\,\frac{n_{f_1}\ldots n_{f_F}}{\bar{n}_{f_1}\ldots\bar{n}_{f_F}}\right]$$

$n,\ \bar{n}$ — number density and equilibrium number density
H — Hubble parameter

combinatoric factors:

$$C^x_{ij\to f_1\ldots f_F} \equiv \frac{\delta_{ix}+\delta_{jx}-\delta_{f_1x}-\ldots-\delta_{f_Fx}}{1+\delta_{ij}}\,,\quad C^x_{i\to f_1\ldots f_F} \equiv \delta_{ix}-\delta_{f_1x}-\ldots-\delta_{f_Fx}$$

thermally averaged cross section and decay width:

$$\langle\sigma v\rangle_{ij\to f_1\ldots f_F} = \frac{g_i g_j}{\bar{n}_i\bar{n}_j}\int\frac{d^3p_i}{(2\pi)^3}\,\frac{d^3p_j}{(2\pi)^3}\,\bar{f}_i(p_i)\bar{f}_j(p_j)\,v_{ij}\,\sigma_{ij\to f_1,f_2,\ldots,f_F}$$
$$\langle\Gamma\rangle_{i\to f_1,f_2,\ldots,f_F} = \frac{g_i}{\bar{n}_i}\int\frac{d^3p_i}{(2\pi)^3}\,\bar{f}_i(p_i)\,\frac{m_1}{E_1}\,\Gamma_{i\to f_1,f_2,\ldots,f_F}$$

$\bar{f}(p)$ — equilibrium distribution function (Bose-Einstein or Fermi-Dirac)
g — internal degrees of freedom

Møller velocity: $v_{ij} \equiv \sqrt{(\mathbf{v}_i-\mathbf{v}_j)^2-(\mathbf{v}_i\times\mathbf{v}_j)^2} = \dfrac{\left[(p_ip_j)^2-m_i^2m_j^2\right]^{1/2}}{E_iE_j}$

Values of s_1, s_2 in terms of masses

- in terms of the CM energy ($\sqrt{s}$):

$$t_{\min}(s) < M^2 < t_{\max}(s)$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \quad s_1 < s < s_2$$

$$s_{1,2} \equiv \frac{-\beta \mp \sqrt{\beta^2 - 4\alpha\gamma}}{2\alpha}$$



$$\alpha \equiv M^2$$
$$\beta \equiv M^4 - M^2(m_1^2 + m_2^2 + m_3^2 + m_4^2) + (m_1^2 - m_3^2)(m_2^2 - m_4^2)$$
$$\gamma \equiv M^2(m_1^2 - m_2^2)(m_3^2 - m_4^2) + (m_1^2 m_4^2 - m_2^2 m_3^2)(m_1^2 - m_2^2 - m_3^2 + m_4^2)$$

Known approaches to the problem
$\longrightarrow$ complex mass of unstable particles

I. Ginzburg, Nucl.Phys.B Proc.Suppl. 51 (1996) 85-89



idea: finite lifetime should affect the wavefunction

- at rest: $$e^{im_1 t} \longrightarrow e^{im_1 t} e^{-\Gamma_1 t} = e^{i\widetilde{m}_1 t}\,, \qquad \widetilde{m}_1 \equiv m_1\left(1 + i\frac{\Gamma_1}{m_1}\right)$$
- after Lorentz boost: $$p_1 \longrightarrow \widetilde{p}_1 \equiv p_1\left(1 + i\frac{\Gamma_1}{m_1}\right)$$

$\longrightarrow$ problem: $(\widetilde{p}_1 - \widetilde{p}_3)^2 \neq (\widetilde{p}_4 - \widetilde{p}_2)^2 \Rightarrow$ lack of symmetry

(momentum conservation...)

Known approaches to the problem

$\longrightarrow$ finite beam width

G. L. Kotkin et al., Yad. Fiz. 42 (1982) 692
G. L. Kotkin et al., Int. Journ. Mod. Phys. A 7 (1992) 4707
K. Melnikov & V. G. Serbo, Nucl.Phys. B483 (1997) 67
C. Dams & R. Kleiss, Eur.Phys.J.C29 (2003) 11
C. Dams & R. Kleiss, Eur.Phys.J. C36 (2004) 177

idea: at colliders, the beams have finite size

$$\Downarrow$$

they should not be treated as plain waves

example:

Gaussian beam moving along z axis

$$n(x,y) \sim e^{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{2a^2}} \qquad a \text{ – beam width}$$



$$\int \frac{dt}{|t-M^2+i\epsilon|^2} \to \int \frac{a^3\, e^{-\frac{a^2\kappa^2}{2}}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \frac{d^3\kappa\, dt}{(t-M^2+i\epsilon-\kappa\cdot\mathbf{q})(t-M^2-i\epsilon+\kappa\cdot\mathbf{q})}$$

$$\sim \frac{\pi a}{|\mathbf{q}|}\,, \qquad \mathbf{q} \equiv \left[\frac{E_3}{E_1}\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_3\right]_{t=M^2}$$

$\longrightarrow$ problem: inapplicable in cosmological context

Process of interest in relation to other diagrams

- self-energy cut



$\longleftrightarrow$



- part of a larger diagram



$\subset$