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- Evolution of TMDs (and collinear PDFs) at LO, NLO \& NNLO
- Resummation of soft gluons at LL and NLL (at NLL identical to CSS approach)
- unique feature: backward evolution fully determines the TMD shower: consistently treats perturbative and non-perturbative transverse momentum effects
- PB TMDs together with PB TMD parton shower allow very good description of measurements over wide kinematic range
$\rightarrow$ excellent description of the DY spectrum in a wide range of pT
$\rightarrow$ also for jet multiplicity even much beyond reach of corresponding fixed-order calculation

Is there still any room for improvement? YES!

## Motivation

NuSea data studied with PB PDFs
$\rightarrow$ generally well described by PB-TMD + NLO calculation
[Eur.Phys.J.C 80 (2020) 7]
$\rightarrow$ this deteriorates for region of highest masses

## Why?

DY mass is sensitive to collinear PDFs.
we enter the large-x region where the PDF used in the calculation, which are determined from fits to HERA inclusive data, are poorly constrained.

## Treatment?

It can be improved by including different data sets in fits to constrain PDFs at large-x.
NNPDF3.0 obtained from global fit that include NuSea data.


## PB-Fitting procedure in a nutshell

- Two angular ordered sets with different choice of scale in $\alpha_{s}$ :
- set1: $\alpha_{s}$ (evolution scale)
- set2: $\alpha_{s}$ (transverse momentum): similar quality as the NLO + NNLL prediction in $p_{t}(z)$ description
- TMD parametrization:

$$
f_{0, b}\left(x, \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{t}, 0}^{2}, \mu_{0}^{2}\right)=f_{0, b}\left(x, \mu_{0}^{2}\right) \cdot \exp \left(-\left|k_{\mathrm{T}, 0}^{2}\right| / 2 \sigma^{2}\right) \sigma^{2}=q_{s}^{2} / 2 \& q_{s}=0.5 \mathrm{GeV}
$$

Introducing "transverse momentum" instead of "evolution scale" suppresses further soft gluons at low kt.


Fitting procedure in a nutshell:

- parameterize collinear PDF at $\mu_{0}^{2}$
- produce PB kernels for collinear \& TMD distributions to evolve them to $\mu^{2}>\mu_{0}^{2}$ [Eur. Phys. J. C 74, 3082 (2014)]
- perform fits to measurements using xFitter frame to extract the initial parametrization (with collinear coefficient functions at NLO)
- store the TMDs in a grid for later use in CASCADE3 [Eur. Phys. J. C 81, no.5, 425 (2021)]
- plot collinear and TMD pdfs within TMDPLOTTER [arXiv:2103.09741]


## What data can help constraining quarks and gluons?

- Looking at various global fits lots of data can be added
- We start adding slowly additional data sets from HERA and CMS
$\rightarrow$ Aiming for mini-global parton-branching TMD fits

We included some of the data sets already available in xFitter, taking care of TMD factorization.
HERA jets $\rightarrow$ Better constrains on large-x gluon $\rightarrow$ indirect information on gluon distribution and as CMS DY data +W asymmetries $\rightarrow$ Better determination of quarks (strange sea).
Fixed target DY $\rightarrow$ Better constrains on the large-x PDF behavior (sensitive to sea quark distributions)

## Data samples used in mini-global fit

## Dataset

| HERA | HERA1+2 CCep |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | HERA1+2 CCem |
|  | HERA1+2 NCem |
|  | HERA1+2 NCep 820 |
|  | HERA1+2 NCep 920 |
|  | HERA1+2 NCep 460 |
|  | HERA1+2 NCep 575 |
| HERA | ZEUS inclusive dijet 98-00/04-07 data |
|  | H1 low Q2 inclusive jet 99-00 data |
|  | ZEUS inclusive jet 96-97 data |
|  | H1 normalised inclusive jets with unfolding |
|  | H1 normalised dijets with unfolding |
|  | H1 normalised trijets with unfolding |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { CC e+-p } & \text { Set } 1 \rightarrow \text { chi2 } 2 / \text { dof }=1858 / 1484=1.25 \\
\text { NC e+-p } & \text { Set } 2 \rightarrow \text { chi2 } / \text { dof }=1922 / 1484=1.29
\end{array}
$$

Total number of data point : 1501

FastNLO jets

FastNLO ep jets normalised

CDF Z rapidity 2010
D0 W el nu lepton asymmetry ptl 25 GeV
D0 Z rapidity 2007
NC ppbar
CC ppbar

| E866, high mass |
| :--- |
| E866, mid mass |
| E866, low mass |

## LHC

## CMS W muon asymmetry

| CMS W muon asymmetry 8 TeV | CC pp |
| :--- | :--- |
| CMS 7 TeV Z Boson rapidity 2 | NC pp |
| CMS 7 TeV Z Boson rapidity 3 |  |
| CMS 7 TeV Z Boson rapidity 4 |  |
| CMS 7 TeV Z Boson rapidity 5 | liniglobal PB-Fit |

## HERAPDF2.0-like parameterisation

$$
x f(x)=A x^{B}(1-x)^{C}\left(1+D x+E x^{2}\right)
$$

$\rightarrow$ Parameters obtained by parameterisation scan
$\rightarrow$ additional parameters is required

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
x g(x)=A_{g} x^{B_{g}}(1-x)^{C_{g}}-\underline{A_{g}^{\prime} x B_{g}^{\prime}(1-x)^{C_{g}^{\prime}}} & \left(1+D_{g} x\right) \\
x u_{v}(x)=A_{u_{v}} x^{B_{u_{u}}}(1-x)^{C_{u_{u}}}\left(1+E_{u_{v}} x^{2}\right) & +D_{u_{v}} x \\
x d_{v}(x)=A_{d_{v}} x^{B_{d_{i}}(1-x)^{C_{d_{d}}}} & \left(1+D_{d_{v}} x\right) \\
x U(x)=A_{U} x^{B_{v}}(1-x)^{C_{U}}\left(1+D_{U} x\right) & N o D_{U} x+E_{U} x^{2} \\
x D(x)=A_{D} x^{B_{D}}(1-x)^{C_{D}} & \left(1+D_{D} x\right)
\end{array}
$$

## Comparison of miniglobal sets



Collinear and PB set1 are very similar
Set2 has a very different gluon density coming from different scale of alpha_s
Differences are washed out at large scale

## Comparison to jet data (examples)

Jets - very interesting results for set2 for low Q2 and low pt -and "low" means even Q2 around 5 GeV 2


## Comparison to pp data (examples)

CMS DY Z mass peak


CMS W asymmetry 8 TeV


Fixed-target DY at high mass


## PDF comparison (miniglobal \& HERA fits )




## TMD comparison (miniglobal \& HERA fits )




Different kt behaviour obtained from collinear splitting functions + collinear pdf
Difference essentially in low kt region
At small $\mathrm{kt} \rightarrow$ few/no resolvable emissions $\rightarrow$ starting distribution at x plays an important role.
At large $\mathrm{kt} \rightarrow$ Many emissions $\rightarrow$ no sensitivity to PDFs x -density

## Uncertainty bound on PDF



Smaller uncertainty!

Uncertainties are produced with replica method.

## Does it work? Yes!

Shown PB-sets from mini-global fit were used to repeat previous studies where predictions were in general 10-20\% away from measurements


## Summery \& out look

- PB method implemented in $\mathrm{xFitter} \rightarrow$ so far fits with HERA DIS
- Studies of other processes at HERA and LHC gives more information
- Miniglobal fit leads to
- better determination of PDFs
- Smaller uncertainty bands


## backup

The PB evolution equations for TMD parton densities $\mathcal{A}_{a}\left(x, \mathbf{k}, \mu^{2}\right)$ are given by [16]

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_{a}\left(x, \mathbf{k}, \mu^{2}\right)= & \Delta_{a}\left(\mu^{2}\right) \mathcal{A}_{a}\left(x, \mathbf{k}, \mu_{0}^{2}\right) \\
& +\sum_{b} \int \frac{d^{2} \mathbf{q}^{\prime} \Delta_{a}\left(\mu^{2}\right)}{\pi \mathbf{q}^{\prime 2}} \Delta_{a}\left(\mathbf{q}^{\prime 2}\right) \\
& \left(\mu^{2}-\mathbf{q}^{\prime 2}\right) \Theta\left(\mathbf{q}^{\prime 2}-\mu_{0}^{2}\right) \\
& \times \int_{x}^{z_{M}} \frac{d z}{z} P_{a b}^{(R)}\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}, z\right) \mathcal{A}_{b}\left(\frac{x}{z}, \mathbf{k}+(1-z) \mathbf{q}^{\prime}, \mathbf{q}^{\prime 2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

