

1

Advanced Methods for Roman Pots Reconstruction at the EIC

David Ruth, Alex Jentsch, Michael Murray 03/28/2023 – DIS2023





Acknowledgements

- A big thanks to all our collaborators on the EIC generic R&D Team!
- See Alex Jentsch's talk at the end of the session for more details on the Far-Forward detectors!
- Thank you to Jefferson Lab and JSA for providing travel funds to help me be at this conference

The EIC Physics Program Requires Detection and 3 Reconstruction of Very Far-Forward Protons

- Final State from many possible interactions:
 - e+p
 - e+d
 - e+He₃
 - e+A
- Roman Pots used to measure protons with momentum between 65% and 100% of the beam momentum
- Momentum is altered by the magnets between the main detector and the Roman Pots
- Necessary to reconstruct the scattered kinematics from the measured kinematics in the detector



Momentum Reconstruction is performed with Transfer Matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_{ip} \\ \theta_{x,ip} \\ y_{ip} \\ \theta_{y,ip} \\ z_{ip} \\ \Delta p/p \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & a_4 & a_5 \\ b_0 & b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & b_4 & b_5 \\ c_0 & c_1 & c_2 & c_3 & c_4 & c_5 \\ d_0 & d_1 & d_2 & d_3 & d_4 & d_5 \\ e_0 & e_1 & e_2 & e_3 & e_4 & e_5 \\ f_0 & f_1 & f_2 & f_3 & f_4 & f_5 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_{det.} \\ \theta_{x,det.} \\ y_{det.} \\ \theta_{y,det.} \\ \Delta p/p \end{pmatrix}$$

4

- Map each variable measured at the detector back to the variables at the IP
- Unique for different positions along the beam axis

$$(x_{IP}, y_{IP}) \qquad M_1 \qquad M_2 \qquad M_3 \qquad (x_{det.}, y_{det.})$$

 $M_{transfer} = M_1 M_2 M_3 \dots$

For Central Momentum Track Protons, Matrix can be generated with BMAD

/ 1.88	28.97	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.25 \	$\begin{pmatrix} x_{ip} \end{pmatrix}$		$\langle x_{28m} \rangle$
-0.0211	0.21	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.034	θ_{xip}	=	$\theta_{x,28m}$
0.0	0.0	-2.26	3.78	0.0	0.0	<i>Y</i> _{ip}		y_{28m}
0.0	0.0	-0.18	-0.145	0.0	0.0	θ_{yip}		θ_{y28m}
0.057	1.014	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.026	Z _{ip}		Z _{28m}
\ 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0 /	$\Delta p/p/$		$\Delta p/p$ /

- For DVCS, this works well, but protons can have large deviations from central orbit momentum
- Need different matrix for other momentum fractions!





parameters and numerical method.

Fit Each Matrix value to interpolate to other Momentum Fractions



1.88481537	28.96766544	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.24906255
-0.021146/3	0.20555261	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	-0.03322467
0.0000	0.0000	-2.25541901	3.78031509	0.0000	0.0000
0.0000	0.0000	-0.17782524	-0.14532313	0.0000	0.0000
0.05735551	1.01363652	0.0000	0.0000	1.0000	0.02568709
\ 0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	1.0000
0.0000 0.05735551 0.0000	0.0000 1.01363652 0.0000	-0.17782524 0.0000 0.0000	-0.14532313 0.0000 0.0000	0.0000 1.0000 0.0000	0.0000 0.025687 1.0000

- Plot each of the 36 matrix values as a function of xL
- Fit each of these plots with a quadratic polynomial to interpolate
- Now we just need to extract xL to generate the reconstruction matrix

Lookup x_L at z = 28m on the beamline with (θ_x, x) for the Roman Pots

- "Chromaticity Plot" used as a lookup-table to extract x_L for a given set of detector x and θ_x
- Produced by running the simulation with no reconstruction matrix
- We can then use x_L with the fits to generate the matrix entries



Now we can use the coordinates measured at the Roman Pots to reconstruct the scattered momentum vector



Results – Longitudinal Momentum



Results – Transverse Momentum





Current method has a number of drawbacks:

- Final solution dependent on initial choice of test trajectories (or 'tuning cards')
- Current study only goes down to x_L of around 0.75, doesn't capture momenta further from central orbit
- Assumption of linearity necessary for current approach
- Current approach will fail for more complicated interactions where the tagged particle is not coming directly from IP
- Needs to be completely redone for detectors at different points on the beamline
- Study would need to be completely redone in response to updates in the detectors or magnets

Current work: integration with EICRecon

13

Roman Pots from ElCRecon 22 20 0.6-18 16 0.214 12 10 -0.28 6 -0.44 -0.62 -0.80.2 0.8 1.2 1.4 1.6 0.40.6P_T (GeV/c)

Static Matrix method recently added to ElCrecon!

 Currently, work is being done on adding the dynamic method into ElCrecon

Investigating ongoing magnetic field transport issue in DD4HEP which greatly affects proton's motion through the magnets

Currently developing a more modern 14 approach using Machine-Learning

- "Train" a machine learning model with a similar method to the dynamic approach
- ML Algorithm creates reconstruction matrix for any Roman Pots momentum vector
- Neural Network / Graph Neural Network

- Already included in ePIC detector framework
- Potentially quicker to train and retrain models than other frameworks
- C++ API ideal for working with ROOT and ePIC simulations
- PyTorch 2.0 just released with major performance improvements
- Large support ecosystem
- Ideal for quick prototyping of models, "research" focused option

Next Steps: Finish integrating existing method with ePIC framework, develop ML Algorithm

- Ongoing work to integrate the dynamic method described above with ElCRecon and the ePIC framework
- Further development beginning now to try and develop a graph neural network with OPyTorch
- We hope this machine-learning algorithm will compensate for the current issues with the dynamic method!

Backup Slide: Off Momentum-Detectors EICRecon Integration

