First Measurement of the Flavor Dependence of Nuclear PDF Modification Using Parity-Violating Deep Inelastic Scattering

> Rakitha Beminiwattha Louisiana Tech University rakithab@latech.edu

> > Mar 30, 2023

Spokespeople

J. Arrington, R. Beminiwattha, D. Gaskell, J Mammei, P.E. Reimer

J. Arrington*,[†], S. Li, E. Sichtermann, Y. Mei Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

R. Beminiwattha*, S. P. Wells, N. Simicevic Louisiana Tech University

D. Gaskell*, J. Benesch, A. Camsonne, J. P. Chen, S. Covrig, J.-O. Hansen, C. E. Keppel, and M.-M. Dalton, R. Michaels

Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility

J. Mammei*, W. Deconinck, M. Gericke, P. Blunden University of Manitoba

P. E. Reimer*, W. R. Armstrong, I. C. Cloët Argonne National Laboratory

> S. Barkanova Acadia University

K. Aniol California State University, Los Angeles

D. S. Armstrong College of William and Mary

H. Gao, X. Li, T. Liu, C. Peng, W. Xiong, X. Yan, and Z. Zhao Duke University

> P. Markowitz and M. Sargsian Florida International University

A. Aleksejevs Grenfell Campus of Memorial University

> D. McNulty Idaho State University

V. Bellini, C. Sutera INFP - Sezione di Catania J. Beričič, S. Širca, and S. Štajner Jožef Stefan Institute and University of Ljubljana, Slovenia J. Dunne, D. Dutta and L. El Fassi Mississippi State University

P. M. King and J. Roche Ohio University, Athens, Ohio

M. Hattawy Old Dominion University, Norfolk, Virginia

> R. Gilman, K. E. Mesick Rutgers University

A. Deshpande, C. Gal, N. Hirlinger Saylor, T. Kutz, and Y.X. Zhao Stony Brook University

> R. Holmes and P. Souder Syracuse University

A. W. Thomas University of Adelaide, Australia

Y. Kolomensky

University of California, Berkeley

A. J. Puckett University of Connecticut

K. S. Kumar, R. Miskimen University of Massachusetts, Amherst

N. Fomin University of Tennessee, Knowille

X. Bai, D. Di, K Gnanvo, C. Gu, N. Liyanage, H. Nguyen, K. D. Paschke, V. Sulkosky, and X. Zheng University of Virginia

> N. Kalantarians Virginia Union University

and the SoLID Collaboration

FROM QCD TO NUCLEONS AND NUCLEI

- How are protons and neutrons are modified when they are bound in a nucleus?
- How do we make the transition between QCD and nuclear physics?
- While the existence of nuclear modification of the pdfs is well established, important questions remain about the nature of the modification
- We have almost no experimental information on the spin- and flavor-dependence nuclear modification



EMC EFFECT AND NUCLEAR MODIFICATION

- Showed reduced presence of partons in 0.3 < x < 0.7 but not due to simple binding effects - real modification of structure
- Generally greater effect as one pushes to higher A
- In the last several years, significant reason to believe that it differ for upand down-quarks in non-isoscalar nuclei
- There is essentially no experimental evidence that supports or refutes this hypothesis



ISOVECTOR DEPENDENCE IN SRC ?

- High-x EMC slope for 3He, 4He, 9Be, 12C
 - Shows universal x-dependence
- Size does NOT scale with density
 - 9Be is low density, but has 'large' EMC effect



ISOVECTOR DEPENDENCE IN SRC ?

- SRC show strong preference to n-p pairs over p-p pairs
- EMC effect shows correlation with SRCs
- Observed EMC-SRC correlation plus np dominance suggests mechanism for possible flavor dependence with limited sensitivity



Isospin dependence of the EMC effect vs. fractional neutron excess of the nucleus for the four scaling models based on GFMC calculations for A <= 12



MODELING FLAVOR DEPENDENCE

- At the quark level isovector nuclear forces affect the u and d quarks differently, leading to flavor-dependent modifications
- Cloët-Bentz-Thomas (CBT) predicts significant flavor dependent based on mean field calculations
 - Using explicit isovector terms (constrained by nuclear physics data such as the symmetry energy)

• CBT result significantly reduces NuTeV $sin^2\theta_W$ anomaly



Cloet et al. PRL102 252301 (2009), Cloet et al. PRL109 182301 (2012)

Beminiwattha — DIS2023 PVEMC 8/22

FLAVOR-DEPENDENT EMC EFFECT?

- EMC-SRC correlation + n-p dominance of SRCs suggests enhanced EMC effect in minority nucleons
- Neutron rich nuclei like 48Ca, 208Pb expected to have significant neutron skin; neutrons preferentially sit near the surface in lower density regions
- Some calculations show difference for u-, d-quark as result of scalar and vector mean-field potentials in asymmetric nuclear matter (I. Cloet, et al., PRL 102, 252301 (2009))

All of above show enhanced EMC for minority nucleons

- Flavor dependence of EMC effect provides new way to test models of nuclear effects
- Modify nuclear pdfs in e-A and nu-A scattering; e-A, p-A, and A-A collisions

ESTIMATES OF FLAVOR DEPENDENCE?

- CBT calculation mean-field model; impact of QCD scalar, vector fields modifies up, down quark differently for N > Z nuclei
- Simple assumptions about underlying cause: EMC scales with density, high-momentum nucleons, avg nucleon kinetic energy, amount of short-distance configurations: All can be calculated for p, n separately for isospin dependence
- "Extreme cases" EMC is 100% up (or down) quarks: Not very realistic, but help us understand the flavor dependence



DIS with leptons offers picture into partonic distributions

$$\frac{d^2\sigma}{d\Omega dE'} = \frac{4\alpha E'^2}{Q^4} \cos^2\frac{\theta}{2} \left(\frac{F_2(x,Q^2)}{\nu} + \frac{2F_1(x,Q^2)}{M} \tan^2\frac{\theta}{2}\right)$$

- Highly successful for our modern picture of quark degrees of freedom and pQCD
- PDFs have been well determined over a broad range after decades of study
 Structure Function (SF),

$$F_2(x, Q^2) = x \sum_q e_q^2 \left(q(x, Q^2) + \bar{q}(x, Q^2) \right)$$



PVDIS probes flavor combinations \rightarrow isovector properties

$$\mathbf{a_1(x)} = -2g_A^e \frac{F_{2A}^{\gamma Z}}{F_{2A}^{\gamma}}, \mathbf{a_3(x)} = -2g_V^e \frac{F_{3A}^{\gamma Z}}{F_{2A}^{\gamma}}$$

 $F_{2A}^{\gamma Z}$: Structure functions arising from γZ interference and F_{2A}^{γ} : traditional DIS SF

Beminiwattha — DIS2023 PVEMC 12/22

PVDIS probes flavor combinations \rightarrow isovector properties

$$A_{\rm PV} \approx -rac{G_F Q^2}{4\sqrt{2}\pi lpha} \left[a_1(x) + rac{1 - (1 - y)^2}{1 + (1 - y)^2} a_3(x)
ight], y = 1 - rac{E'}{E}$$

EXPANDING ABOUT SYMMETRIC NUCLEUS LIMIT

$$a_1 \simeq \frac{9}{5} - 4\sin^2\theta_W - \frac{12}{25}\frac{u_A^+ - d_A^+}{u_A^+ + d_A^+} + \dots$$

Therefore, a_1 will provide information about the flavor dependence of the nuclear quark distributions and a reliable extraction of the u and d quark distributions of a nuclear target

- Neutral currents will provide access to isovector observables
- ⁴⁸Ca target will test isovector (IV) dependence larger A gives larger EMC, larger Z - N gives IV enhancement
- \blacktriangleright Present data demands $\sim 1\%$ level for significant tests



PVEMC SENSITIVITY

Scaling models (p>300 MeV, kinetic energy, average density, overlap probability CBT Model 1.00 Up quark only 0.95 $a_1(x)$ Flavor independent 0.90 0.85 Down quark only 0.80 3 .5 6 .7 8 2 4 х

Precision to differentiate models, set significant limit if results consistent with flavor-independent result

- ▶ 8σ sensitivity to CBT model (neglecting normalization);
- $> 3\sigma$ sensitivity to the smallest prediction (cyan curve)
- Smallest prediction (cyan) is 8σ from largest (green), 4.5σ from CBT

PVEMC SENSITIVITY

Scaling models (p>300 MeV, kinetic energy, average density, overlap probability) CBT Model 1.00 Up quark only 0.95 $a_1(x)$ Flavor independent 0.90 0.85 Down quark only 0.80 3 .5 6 .7 8 2 4 х

- PVDIS naturally sensitive to flavor differences
- PVEMC is cleaner and more precise than SIDIS and pionic Drell-Yan
- Experiments such as SRC helped motivate PVEMC and tie into results from this program
 - Spin EMC and tagged DIS from highly off-shell nucleons can provide complementary information

Beminiwattha — DIS2023 PVEMC 14/22

SoLID CONFIGURATION

- Experimental configuration is identical to approved SoLID PVDIS measurement
- Lead baffles serve as momentum collimators
- ► GEMs, Cherenkov, and calorimeter provide tracking and PID
- ▶ 48 Ca Rates are lower compared to existing LD₂ measurement



See WG6 talk: SoLID PVDIS at JLab 12 GeV by Ye Tian

Beminiwattha — DIS2023

PVEMC

15/22

TARGET - ⁴⁸CA

- ⁴⁸Ca target provides good balance between asymmetric target and not too high Z
- Has very good thermal conductance and high melting point have operational experience and updated design/protocols from previous program including CREX
- 12% radiator photons and photoproduced pions are main background concerns
- We propose to use a 2.4 g/cm² ⁴⁸Ca target (reduced volume design on right), assumed to be 95% isotopically pure.



Beminiwattha — DIS2023 PVEMC 16/22

PROJECTIONS

- Requesting 68 days at 80 µA 11 GeV production (plus 15 days for commissioning, optics runs, background studies, and polarimetery) to get ~1% stat uncertainties on A_{PV} across a broad range of x
- Precision to differentiate models, set significant limit if results consistent with flavor-independent result
- Significant ability to differentiate between different predictions
- This provides new and useful constraints in a sector where there is little data



Systematic and Experimental uncertainties

Effect	Uncertainty [%]
Polarimetry	0.4
Pions (bin-to-bin)	0.1-0.5
Charge-symmetric background	< 0.1
Radiative Corrections (bin-to-bin)	0.5-0.1
$R^{\gamma Z}/R^{\gamma}$	0.2
Other corrections including CSV	0.2
pdf uncertainties	0.2
Total systematic	0.6-0.7
Statistics	0.7-1.3

- Charge symmetric background $(\pi^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^- \gamma)$
- Hadronic and Nuclear uncertainties (HT, CSV, PDF uncertainties, and free PDF nuclear model uncertainties)
- ▶ SOLID LD₂ program (isoscalar target) will constrain CSV and $R^{\gamma Z}$

Statistical uncertainty dominates any given bin

- Excellent π⁻ to e⁻ ratio when the coincidence trigger between calorimeter and Cerenkov is applied but
- We proposed to measure pion rate and asymmetry from dedicated runs to apply a correction for residual pion contamination in electron data.
- ▶ We assumed zero pion asymmetry as a conservative estimate
 - As it was measured to be smaller but same sign as A_{PV}(DIS) in a previous measurement
- Based on estimated pion contamination and asymmetry, we assign a systematic error of 0.1-0.5% bin-to-bin, larger at larger x

- At low x values, the full range of the CJ12 fit provides uncertainties in a₁ around the ±0.2% level
- The combined uncertainty from the fit and model dependence at larger x (0.55-0.65) is 0.6-1.0% but
- Either PVDIS-hydrogen data by itself, or global analyses including MARATHON and BoNUS results, should provide the necessary reduction to reach ±0.2% level
- The PVDIS data on hydrogen will provide a measurement of d/u, free from nuclear corrections

Systematic Errors: Free PDF (d/u)



Anticipated data for measurements on d/u, see text for references. Recently published MARATHON results are also shown

Beminiwattha — DIS2023 PVEMC 20/22

We request 66 days of production data at 11 GeV at 80 $\mu \rm A$ with full beam polarization. We also request time for commissioning, calibration and background runs, and polarimetry, summarized in Table

	Time (days)	E (GeV)	Current (μ A)
⁴⁸ Ca Production	68	11	80
Optics	2	4.4	Up to 80
Positive polarity	4	11	80
Moller Polarimetry	4	11	2
Commissioning	5	11	Up to 80
Total	83		

SUMMARY

- It is critical to have a measurement that can cleanly isolate the flavor dependence of the EMC effect, independent of other nuclear effects, and with the precision to quantify the flavor dependence
- PVDIS on neutron-rich target offers one of the most direct, precise, and theoretically clean way to isolate the flavor dependence of the EMC effect
- 68 days production will offer critical new information, help test leading hypotheses, and help elucidate the NuTeV anomaly
- Important input to parameterization of the EMC effect and to guide detailed calculations of the underlying physics.
- Helps understand PDFs for nuclei
 - Relevant for many high-energy lepton-scattering and nuclear collision measurements.

22/22

Link to our proposal:

https://solid.jlab.org/DocDB/0004/000469/001/ SoLID_PVEMC_Proposal_PAC50_Final.pdf

Beminiwattha — DIS2023 PVEMC

BACKUP

DIS with leptons offers picture into partonic distributions

$$\frac{d^2\sigma}{d\Omega dE'} = \frac{4\alpha E'^2}{Q^4} \cos^2\frac{\theta}{2} \left(\frac{F_2(x,Q^2)}{\nu} + \frac{2F_1(x,Q^2)}{M} \tan^2\frac{\theta}{2}\right)$$

- Highly successful for our modern picture of quark degrees of freedom and pQCD
- PDFs have been well determined over a broad range after decades of study
 Structure Function (SF),

$$F_2(x, Q^2) = x \sum_q e_q^2 \left(q(x, Q^2) + \bar{q}(x, Q^2) \right)$$



PVDIS probes flavor combinations \rightarrow isovector properties

$$\mathbf{a_1(x)} = -2g_A^e \frac{F_{2A}^{\gamma Z}}{F_{2A}^{\gamma}}, \mathbf{a_3(x)} = -2g_V^e \frac{F_{3A}^{\gamma Z}}{F_{2A}^{\gamma}}$$

 $F_{2A}^{\gamma Z}$: Structure functions arising from γZ interference and F_{2A}^{γ} : traditional DIS SF

Beminiwattha — DIS2023 PVEMC 23/22

PVDIS probes flavor combinations \rightarrow isovector properties

$$A_{\rm PV} \approx -\frac{G_F Q^2}{4\sqrt{2}\pi\alpha} \left[a_1(x) + \frac{1 - (1 - y)^2}{1 + (1 - y)^2} a_3(x) \right], y = 1 - \frac{E'}{E}$$

$$\sim \frac{\left| \left| \left| \left| \right|^{\tau} \right|^{\tau} \right|^{\tau} \right|^{\tau}}{\left| \left| \left| \right|^{\tau} \right|^{\tau} \right|^{\tau}} \sim 100 - 1000 \text{ ppm}$$

$$\mathbf{a_1}(x) = 2 \frac{\sum_i C_{1q_i} e_{q_i} q_i^+}{\sum_i e_{q_i}^2 q_i^+}, \mathbf{a_3}(x) = 2 \frac{\sum_i C_{2q_i} e_{q_i} q_i^-}{\sum_i e_{q_i}^2 q_i^+}$$

 e_{q_i} is the quark charge, $q_i^+(x) = q_i(x) + \bar{q}_i(x)$ and $q_i^-(x) = q_i(x) - \bar{q}_i(x)$

 $\mathsf{PVDIS}\xspace$ probes flavor combinations \rightarrow isovector properties

$$A_{\rm PV} \approx -rac{G_F Q^2}{4\sqrt{2}\pi lpha} \left[a_1(x) + rac{1 - (1 - y)^2}{1 + (1 - y)^2} a_3(x)
ight], y = 1 - rac{E'}{E}$$

$$\mathbf{a_1}(\mathbf{x}) = 2 \frac{\sum C_{1q} e_q(q+\bar{q})}{\sum e_q^2(q+\bar{q})}, \mathbf{a_3}(\mathbf{x}) = 2 \frac{\sum C_{2q} e_q(q-\bar{q})}{\sum e_q^2(q+\bar{q})}$$

EFFECTIVE WEAK COUPLINGS

$$C_{1u} = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{4}{3}\sin^2\theta_W = -0.19$$
 $C_{2u} = -\frac{1}{2} + 2\sin^2\theta_W = -0.03$
 $C_{1d} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{3}\sin^2\theta_W = 0.34$ $C_{2d} = \frac{1}{2} + 2\sin^2\theta_W = 0.03$

PVDIS probes flavor combinations \rightarrow isovector properties

$$A_{\rm PV} \approx -rac{G_F Q^2}{4\sqrt{2}\pi lpha} \left[a_1(x) + rac{1 - (1 - y)^2}{1 + (1 - y)^2} a_3(x)
ight], y = 1 - rac{E'}{E}$$

EXPANDING ABOUT SYMMETRIC NUCLEUS LIMIT

$$a_1 \simeq \frac{9}{5} - 4\sin^2\theta_W - \frac{12}{25}\frac{u_A^+ - d_A^+}{u_A^+ + d_A^+} + \dots$$

Therefore, a_1 will provide information about the flavor dependence of the nuclear quark distributions and a reliable extraction of the u and d quark distributions of a nuclear target

MODELING - NPDFS

- \blacktriangleright Varying weights in fits between lepton/Drell Yan and ν can show tension between data sets
- nCTEQ fits show dramatic differences in a similar vein at CBT
- Few percent effect in a₂



ISOVECTOR DEPENDENCE IN NUTEV ANOMALY

 Neutrino scattering (charged and neutral currents) is sensitive to different flavor combinations including Isovector EMC (IVEMC)



- The impact of the flavor-dependent nuclear PDF modification on the NuTeV anomaly was evaluated in the Cloët-Bentz-Thomas (CBT) model
- CSV or IVEMC could play very important role and are not well constrained by data

ISOVECTOR DEPENDENCE IN NUCLEAR PDF

- Nuclear correction ratio for structure functions F_2^{Fe}/F_2^D
- Comparison between lepton/Drell Yan (I[±]A) and neutrino (vA) data show significant discrepancies in nuclear corrections using common PDFs
- The nuclear corrections for the I[±]A and vA processes are different: Flavor dependent nuclear effects?



I. Schienbein et al. PRD77 054013 (2008); I. Schienbein et al. PRD80 094004 (2009)

Beminiwattha — DIS2023 PVEMC 23/22

Drell-Yan and flavor-dependent EMC effect

- Preference in existing pion induced Drell-Yan production ratios for flavor-dependent models over flavor-independent models
- The impact of the flavor-dependent nuclear PDF modification was evaluated in the Cloët-Bentz-Thomas (CBT) model
- CSV or Isovector EMC (IVEMC) could play very important role and are not well constrained by data



D. Dutta, J. C. Peng, I. C. Cloet, and D. Gaskell. PRC, 83:042201, 2011

Beminiwattha — DIS2023 PVEMC 23/22

ISOVECTOR EMC EFFECTS FROM MARATHON



Preliminary Results

- The impact of the MARATHON data on the off-shell corrections is shown in left
- The strength of the isovector EMC (IVEMC) effect for u and d quarks
- A nonzero and opposite sign for u and d quarks strongly suggests the presence of an IVEMC effect.

Isovector EMC effect from global QCD analysis with MARATHON data. (2021) arXiv:2104.06946

SIDIS

- Semi-inclusive deep inelastic scattering provides access to quark flavors with an electromagnetic probe by tagging pions in the final state of the reaction.
- ► A super-ratio of π⁻/π⁺ between deuterium and an asymmetric nuclear target would be sensitive to variations in the flavors
- Proposal PR12-09-004 aimed to use a comparison of π⁺ and π⁻ production from Au to look for flavor dependence in the EMC effect.
 - The proposal was deferred, in large part due to questions about how well the data could be interpreted in terms of flavor dependence,
- A Letter of Intent for CLAS (LOI12-19-005) examined the possibility of making such a measurement via the comparison of π⁺ and π⁻ production in ³H and ³He.

SIDIS

- The prediction from a flavor-independent EMC effect (black curve) compared to the an extreme projection assuming that the EMC effect is carried entirely by the up (red curve) or down (blue curve) quarks (left plot)
- The same observable assuming the flavor dependence (magenta curve), indicating no sensitivity in this more realistic flavor dependence (right plot)



Modeling - CBT Model

- Cloet et al. make predictions based on mean field calculations which give reasonable reproductions of SFs
- Explicit isovector terms are included constrained by nuclear physics data such as the symmetry energy
- Few percent effect in a₁, larger at larger x



Cloet et al. PRL102 252301 (2009), Cloet et al. PRL109 182301 (2012)

Beminiwattha — DIS2023 PVEMC 23/22

simple scaling models yield a results varying from 50% to 110% of the CBT calculation



ISOVECTOR DEPENDENCE? - SRC

- SRC show strong preference to n-p pairs over p-p pairs
- Also show strong correlation to "plateau" parameter for x > 1 SFs



- The plan is to use the existing ⁴⁸Ca to form the new target.
- Target group estimates that recovery from existing supply would provide sufficient target material, but with 93% rather than 95%
- This would take some time, but work can begin after the experiment is approved
- No need to purchase any additional ⁴⁸Ca, If sufficient material is not recovered in which case a small additional amount may be purchased

BACKGROUND SUPPRESSION WITH BAFFLES

- raytraced electron trajectories used in baffle width design that was fine-tuned to the solenoid field such that acceptance is optimized to allow charge particles in the acceptance while disfavoring particles outside that range
- Baffle design on left was improved by opening up the slits in the even-numbered plates to have reduced background design shown on right



GEM plane	LD ₂ background	⁴⁸ Ca EM background	⁴⁸ Ca EM background (no baffles)
	$(\rm kHz/mm^2/\mu A)$	$({ m kHz}/{ m mm^2}/{ m \mu A})$	$(kHz/mm^2/\mu A)$
1	6.8	4.8	49.4
2	3.0	2.1	32.3
3	1.1	0.8	9.9
4	0.7	0.5	6.4

ECAL TRIGGER RATES

region	full	high	low	
	rate entering the EC (kHz)			
e	240	129	111	
π^{-}	$5.9 imes10^5$	$3.0 imes10^5$	$3.0 imes10^5$	
π^+	$2.7 imes10^5$	$1.5 imes10^5$	$1.2 imes10^5$	
$\gamma(\pi^0)$	$7.0 imes 10^7$	$3.5 imes10^7$	$3.5 imes10^7$	
p^+	$4.8 imes10^5$	$2.1 imes10^5$	$2.7 imes10^5$	
sum	7.1×10^{7}	$3.6 imes10^7$	$3.6 imes10^7$	
	Rate for p <	< 1 GeV (kH	z)	
sum	$8.4 imes10^8$	$4.2 imes 10^8$	$4.2 imes 10^7$	
tr	igger rate for	$p>1~{ m GeV}$ ((kHz)	
e	152	82	70	
π^{-}	$4.0 imes 10^{3}$	$2.2 imes 10^3$	$1.8 imes10^3$	
π^+	$0.2 imes10^3$	$0.1 imes10^3$	$0.1 imes10^3$	
$\gamma(\pi^0)$	3	3	0	
р	$1.6 imes 10^{3}$	$0.9 imes10^3$	$0.7 imes10^3$	
sum	$5.9 imes10^3$	$3.3 imes10^3$	$2.6 imes10^3$	
trigger rate for $p < 1$ GeV (kHz)				
sum	$2.8 imes10^3$	$1.4 imes 10^3$	$1.4 imes10^3$	
Total trigger rate (kHz)				
total	8.7×10^{3}	$4.7 imes 10^{3}$	$4.0 imes 10^{3}$	

CERENKOV TRIGGER RATES

	Total Rate for $p > 0.0 \text{ GeV}$	Rate for $p > 3.0 \text{ GeV}$	
	(kHz)	(kHz)	
DIS	240	73	
π^{-}	5.9×10^5	1.6×10^3	
π^+	2.7×10^5	40	
$\gamma(\pi^0)$	$7.0 imes 10^7$	40	
р	4.8×10^5	4	
Sum	7.1×10^7	1.7×10^3	
Trigger Rate from Cherenkov (kHz)			
	Trigger Rate for $p > 1.0 \text{ GeV}$ Trigger Rate for $p > 3.0 \text{ GeV}$		
	(kHz)	(kHz)	
DIS	223	66	
π^{-}	193	49	
π^+	22	1.6	
$\gamma(\pi^0)$	0	0	
р	0	0	
Sum	438	116	

Beminiwattha — DIS2023 PVEMC 23/22

RATES AND BACKGROUNDS

- Trigger defined by coincidence between Cherenkov and shower
 150 kHz total anticipated with background (well below SoLID spec)
- Pion contamination no worse than 4% in any given bin (worst at high x)
- GEM rates comparable to or smaller than design for LD₂



Particle	DAQ Coin. Trig.Rate (kHz)		
	P > 1 GeV	P > 3 GeV	
DIS e ⁻	144	61	
π^{-}	11	7	
π^+	0.4	0.2	
Total	155	68	

Systematics

- Higher twist effects will also be constrained by LD₂ using same kinematics, but also 6.6 GeV beam
- Charge symmetry violation will also be explored to better precision
- Nuclear dependence of R^{γZ} is an open question but we addressed with best possible information available at the moment in our response



SOLID-PVDIS ACCEPTANCE

- The useful kinematic range of the scattered electrons
- The acceptance in the scattering angle θ is limited at θ > 18° by the Q² > 6GeV² cut



- To aid with the determination of radiative effects, independent aluminum targets with x/X₀ = 1%, 5%, and 10% will be included. (SoLID-PVDIS LD2)
- These will aid in the verification of scattering rate distributions under different radiative conditions and the overall unfolding procedure

- We have a good momentum acceptance to measure these events to sufficient accuracy within the Q² acceptance of the measurement.
- Beam time includes lower beam energy systematic studies that have access to lower W and Q² regions
- We anticipate that A/Q^2 will be roughly constant everywhere.
- Using measurements and the theory for radiative corrections the error on the radiative corrections can be controlled
- We assign a 0.1% 0.5% bin-to-bin systematic, worse for small x

Weak radiative corrections will be calculated for our kinematics and are not likely to change in a way that is sensitive to this experiment.

- At low x values, the full range of the CJ12 fit provides uncertainties in a₁ around the ±0.2% level
- The combined uncertainty from the fit and model dependence at larger x (0.55-0.65) is 0.6-1.0% but
- Either PVDIS-hydrogen data by itself, or global analyses including MARATHON and BoNUS results, should provide the necessary reduction to reach ±0.2% level
- The PVDIS data on hydrogen will provide a measurement of d/u, free from nuclear corrections

Systematic: d/u

- Many potential nuclear effects come into play as this sector is not presently well constrained
- Requires measurements from LD₂ and LH₂ for information on size of nuclear effects
- Existing free PDFS (recent CJ12) have poor d/u constraint a, - No Modification, CJ12 pdf



Systematic: d/u, Free PDF Error and CSV



a1 - No Modification, CJ12 pdf

- Existing SoLID program has LD₂ planned which is sensitive to and constrains on a similar level effects such as charge symmetry violation
- ⁴⁰Ca would be useful if we need to search for effects such as modification-induced CSV - presently hard to argue for a commitment
- Would require similar beamtime commitment (60 days)
- ⁴⁰Ca tests isoscalar prediction but isoscalar PDFs significantly cancel! (⁴⁰Ca in CJ12 nPDF fit is green curve)

Systematic Errors: $R^{\gamma Z}/R^{\gamma}$

- The impact of target mass effects on the difference between R_{γZ} and R_γ is shown
- Expected difference is, at most 4% in the x range sampled by this proposal, corresponding to a 0.2% uncertainty on a₁.
- Caveat: There is some additional uncertainty due to the impact of non-perturbative contributions



Systematic Errors: $R^{\gamma Z}/R^{\gamma}$

- The impact of target mass effects on the difference between R_{γZ} and R_γ is shown
- Expected difference is, at most 4% in the x range sampled by this proposal, corresponding to a 0.2% uncertainty on a₁.
- Caveat: There is some additional uncertainty due to the impact of non-perturbative contributions



- Two independent polarimeters will be deployed for this experiment.
- A continuous monitoring by the upgraded Compton polarimeter is anticipated to give 0.4% systematic uncertainty
- The Møller polarimeter will provide an additional invasive measurements periodically with a projected uncertainty of about 0.8% (Will improve after MOLLER).

PVEMC vs. ⁴⁸Ca/⁴⁰Ca Ratios

PVDIS offers highest sensitivity and is required for full picture



	PVEMC	EMC
	(this prop.)	E12-10-008
Statistics	0.7-1.3%	0.8-1.1%
Systematics	0.5%	0.7%
Normalization	0.4%	1.4%
slope in x	3.7σ	2.0σ
slope at $x = 0.7$	5.5σ	2.1σ
IVEMC vs. naive hypothesis	6.2σ	$< 2\sigma$
min vs. max IVEMC	4.4σ	N/A

PVEMC vs. $^{48}CA/^{40}CA$ Ratios

PVDIS offers highest sensitivity and is required for full picture



- PVDIS naturally sensitive to flavor differences
- DIS and PVDIS allows for flavor determination
- Other processes such as tagged SIDIS and π Drell-Yan offer complementary information
- Experiments such as SRC help motivate and tie into this program

We define

$$R^{\gamma(\gamma Z)} \equiv \frac{\sigma_L^{\gamma(\gamma Z)}}{\sigma_T^{\gamma(\gamma Z)}} = r^2 \frac{F_2^{\gamma(\gamma Z)}}{F_1^{\gamma(\gamma Z)}} - 1$$
(1)
$$r^2 = 1 + \frac{Q^2}{\nu} = 1 + \frac{4M^2 x^2}{Q^2}$$
(2)

The full parity-violating asymmetry is in terms of the structure functions $F_1^{\gamma}(\gamma Z)$ and $F_2^{\gamma}(\gamma Z)$

$$A_{\rm PV} = -\left(\frac{G_F Q^2}{4\sqrt{2}\pi\alpha}\right) \frac{g_A^e \left(2xyF_1^{\gamma Z} - 2\left[1 - \frac{1}{y} + \frac{xM}{E}\right]F_2^{\gamma Z}\right) + g_V^e x(2-y)F_3^{\gamma Z}}{2xyF_1^{\gamma} - 2\left[1 - \frac{1}{y} + \frac{xM}{E}\right]F_2^{\gamma}}$$
(3)

QUARK PARTON MODEL

We can then write it in the reduced from by

$$A_{\rm PV} = -\left(\frac{G_F Q^2}{4\sqrt{2}\pi\alpha}\right) \left[g_A^e Y_1 \frac{F_1^{\gamma Z}}{F_1^{\gamma}} + \frac{g_V^e}{2} Y_3 \frac{F_3^{\gamma Z}}{F_1^{\gamma}}\right] \tag{4}$$

with

$$Y_{1} = \frac{1 + (1 - y)^{2} - y^{2} (1 - r^{2}/(1 + R^{\gamma Z})) - 2xyM/E}{1 + (1 - y)^{2} - y^{2} (1 - r^{2}/(1 + R^{\gamma})) - 2xyM/E} \left(\frac{1 + R^{\gamma Z}}{1 + R^{\gamma}}\right)$$

$$Y_{3} = \frac{1 - (1 - y)^{2}}{1 + (1 - y)^{2} - y^{2} (1 - r^{2}/(1 + R^{\gamma})) - 2xyM/E} \left(\frac{r^{2}}{1 + R^{\gamma}}\right)$$

and

$$F_{1}^{\gamma} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} e_{i}^{2} (q_{i}(x) + \bar{q}_{i}(x)); F_{2}^{\gamma} = 2xF_{1}^{\gamma}, \quad (5)$$

$$F_{1}^{\gamma Z} = \sum_{i} e_{i}g_{V}^{i} (q_{i}(x) + \bar{q}_{i}(x)); F_{2}^{\gamma Z} = 2xF_{1}^{\gamma Z}, \quad (6)$$
Begin inverting - DIS2023 PVEMC - 22/22

$a_1(x)$ TERM

The $F_{2A}^{\gamma Z}$ structure function has a different flavor structure to that of F_{2A}^{γ} and, as a consequence, $a_2(x_A)$ is sensitive to flavor-dependent effects. Expanding $a_2(x_A)$ about $u_A^+ \simeq d_A^+$ and assuming $s_A^+ \ll u_A^+ + d_A^+$ gives

$$a_2(x_A) \simeq \frac{9}{5} - 4\sin^2\theta_W - \frac{12}{25} \frac{u_A^+(x_A) - d_A^+(x_A) - s_A^+(x_A)}{u_A^+(x_A) + d_A^+(x_A)},$$
(6)

where we have ignored heavier quark flavors. The correction from strange quarks given in Eq. (6) may be of importance in the low-*x* region [14], however, recent HERMES data [15] has confirmed that $s^+(x)$ is negligible compared with $u^+(x) + d^+(x)$ in the region x > 0.1. Therefore, a measurement of $a_2(x_A)$ will provide information about the flavor dependence of the nuclear quark distributions and when coupled with existing measurements of F_{2A}^{γ} , a reliable extraction of the *u* and *d* quark Beminiwattha – DIS2028 – PVEMC – 22/22

EMC RATIO DEFINITION

The EMC effect can be defined for both the traditional DIS and γZ interference structure functions, via the ratio

$$R^{i} = \frac{F_{2A}^{i}}{F_{2A}^{i,\text{naive}}} = \frac{F_{2A}^{i}}{ZF_{2p}^{i} + NF_{2n}^{i}},$$
(9)

where $i \in \gamma$, γZ . The target structure function is labelled by F_{2A}^i , while $F_{2A}^{i,\text{naive}}$ is the naive expectation with no medium effects whatsoever, and can be expressed as a sum over the free proton and neutron structure functions. Therefore, if there were no medium effects R^i would be unity. Expressing the EMC effect in terms of the PDFs we find the parton model expressions

$$R^{\gamma} \simeq \frac{4u_A^+ + d_A^+}{4u_f^+ + d_f^+}, \qquad R^{\gamma Z} \simeq \frac{1.16u_A^+ + d_A^+}{1.16u_f^+ + d_f^+}, \tag{10}$$

The fact that $u_A/u_f < d_A/d_f$ and as a consequence $R^{\gamma} < R^{\gamma Z}$ in nuclei with a neutron excess is a direct consequence of the isovector mean field and is a largely model independent result. In Ref. [20] it was demonstrated that the isovector mean field leads to a small shift in quark momentum from the u to the d quarks, and hence, the in-medium depletion of u_A is stronger than that of d_A in the valence quark region. Because u_A is multiplied by a factor four in the ratio R^{γ} , the depletion is more pronounced for this ratio than for $R^{\gamma Z}$, where the d quark quickly dominates as Z/N becomes less than one.