

# UNPOLARIZED PROTON PDF AT NNLO. LAMET APPROACH

ARXIV:2212.12569




**Jack Holligan**<sup>1,2</sup>, Xiang Gao<sup>3</sup>, Yong Zhao<sup>3</sup>, Andrew Hanlon<sup>4</sup>, Nikhil Karthik<sup>5</sup>, Swagato Mukherjee<sup>4</sup>, Peter Petreczky<sup>4</sup>, Sergey Syritsyn<sup>4,6</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>University of Maryland. <sup>2</sup>Michigan State University. <sup>3</sup>Argonne National Lab.

<sup>4</sup>Brookhaven National Lab. <sup>5</sup>American Physical Society. <sup>6</sup>Stony Brook University.

30<sup>th</sup> March 2023




# OUTLINE

- Brief summary of large-momentum effective theory (LaMET).
- Parton distribution functions (PDFs).
- Calculation:
  - Renormalization.
  - Extrapolation to infinite distance.
  - Matching to the lightcone.
- Results.
- Conclusion.

# LARGE-MOMENTUM EFFECTIVE THEORY


Quasi matrix element



Lorentz boost  
 $\Lambda(\infty)$



Matrix element



*See, also, Yong Zhao's talk from Altarelli Prize Ceremony. 3/27 14:00.*




# UNPOLARIZED PDF

- Unpolarized pdf:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} f_u(x) - f_d(x) & x > 0 \\ f_{\bar{d}}(x) - f_{\bar{u}}(x) & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

- This was computed for the pion in 2021<sup>1</sup> using the method of LaMET.
- We apply the same method to the proton<sup>2</sup>.




<sup>1</sup>X. Gao et. al. Phys. Rev. Lett. **128**, 142003.

<sup>2</sup>X. Gao et al. arXiv:2212.12569.


# UNPOLARIZED PROTON PDF

- I applied the method of LaMET to compute the proton PDF.
- Process involves:



- The method of a deep-neural-network was used independently and the results were compared.
  - See Andrew Hanlon's talk: Thursday 3/30 15:00-15:20.

# RENORMALIZATION



- Self energy of the Wilson line  $\rightarrow$  linear divergence. **Independent of external state.**
- Handle the short-distance (UV) and long-distance (IR) behaviour separately.
- Use the hybrid renormalization scheme<sup>1</sup> in the same way as was computed in the pion case<sup>2</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>X. Ji et. al. Nucl. Phys. B. **964**, 115311.

<sup>2</sup>X. Gao et. al. PRL. **128**, 142003.

# HYBRID RENORMALIZATION

- In lattice regularization, we renormalize a bare operator  $O_{\Gamma}^B$  via

$$O_{\Gamma}^B(z, a) = e^{-\delta m(a)|z|} Z_O(a) O_{\Gamma}^R(z)$$

Linear div.      Logarithmic div.

- The  $\delta m(a)$  term includes the linear divergence as well as the renormalon ambiguity. Can be computed from the interquark potential<sup>1,2</sup>:

$$\delta m(a) = \frac{m_{-1}(a)}{a} + m_0.$$

- The  $m_0$  term is scheme-dependent.


<sup>1</sup>Bazavov et. al. Phys. Rev. D **90**, 094503

<sup>2</sup>Bazavov et. al. Phys. Rev. D **97**, 014510

# HYBRID RENORMALIZATION

- The data at short distances ( $z \leq 0.2$  fm) must agree with the operator product expansion (OPE):

$$h(z, P_z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-izP_z)^n}{n!} C_n(z^2 \mu^2) \langle x^n \rangle(\mu) + \mathcal{O}(z^2 \Lambda_{QCD}^2)$$



Lattice data                      Wilson coefficients                      Mellin moments

- At  $P_z = 0$ , only  $n = 0$  terms contribute:


$$h(z, 0) = C_0(z^2 \mu^2) + \mathcal{O}(z^2 \Lambda_{QCD}^2)$$



# HYBRID RENORMALIZATION

- Accounting for the scheme-conversion, linear divergence and using the OPE at  $P_z = 0$ :

$$e^{(\overline{m}_0 + \delta m(a))z} \tilde{h}^B(z, P_z = 0) \propto (C_0(z^2 \mu^2) - \Lambda z^2)$$




Lattice data                      Twist-2,  $P_z = 0$  Wilson coefficient                      Higher twist effects

- $C_0(z^2 \mu^2)$  can be computed “by hand”.
- The terms  $(\overline{m}_0, \Lambda)$  are fitting parameters.
- Can fit the data to  $P_z = 0$  Wilson coefficients since  $\delta m$  and the fitting parameters are independent of the external states.
- $a\delta m(a) = 0.1597(16)^1$

<sup>1</sup>Refs. [69-73] in 2212.12569v1

# HYBRID RENORMALIZATION



- Define  $\lambda = zP_z$ ,  $N = \frac{h^B(0,0,a)}{h^B(0,P_z,a)}$  and  $\delta m' = \delta m + \overline{m}_0$ .
- Ratio scheme at short distances. Remove self-energy at large distances.

$$\tilde{h}^R(z, z_s, \mu, P_z) = N \frac{\tilde{h}^B(z, P_z, a)}{\tilde{h}^B(z, 0, a)} \frac{C_0(z^2 \mu^2) - \Lambda z^2}{C_0(z_s^2 \mu^2)} \theta(z_s - z) + N e^{\delta m'(z - z_s)} \frac{\tilde{h}^B(z, P_z, a)}{\tilde{h}^B(z_s, 0, a)} \frac{C_0(z_s^2 \mu^2) - \Lambda z_s^2}{C_0(z_s^2 \mu^2)} \theta(z - z_s)$$


# LARGE- $\lambda$ EXTRAPOLATION

- To transform to momentum space, we require an extrapolation of  $\tilde{h}^R$  to  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ .

$$\tilde{f}(x, P_z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi} e^{i\lambda x} \tilde{h}^R(\lambda, P_z)$$

- $\tilde{h}^R(\lambda, P_z) \rightarrow \frac{Ae^{-m\lambda/P_z}}{|\lambda|^d}$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$  for both  $\Re$  and  $\Im$ . Fitting parameters are  $A, m$  and  $d$ .
- Constrain  $m > 0.1$  GeV to ensure suppression at large- $\lambda$ .

# LARGE- $\lambda$ EXTRAPOLATION



- Real and imaginary parts are each fitted separately to the extrapolation model derived from HQET.

$$\frac{Ae^{-m\lambda/P_z}}{|\lambda|^d}$$

- Green shaded area are the points used for curve-fitting.
- Choice of  $z$ -values is delicate.

# LIGHTCONE MATCHING

- The quasi-PDF is related to the lightcone PDF by

$$\tilde{f}(x, P_z) = \int_{-1}^1 \frac{dy}{|y|} \mathcal{C}\left(\frac{x}{y}, \frac{\mu}{yP_z}, |y|\lambda_s\right) f(x, \mu) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\Lambda_{QCD}^2}{x^2(1-x)P_z^2}\right)$$

- We introduce the shorthand

$$\tilde{f} = \mathcal{C} \otimes f + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\Lambda_{QCD}^2}{x^2(1-x)P_z^2}\right)$$




# LIGHTCONE MATCHING

- The matching kernel can be expanded in  $\alpha_s$ :

$$\mathcal{C}\left(\frac{x}{y}, \frac{\mu}{yP_z}, |y|\lambda_s\right) = \delta\left(\frac{x}{y} - 1\right) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_s^n \mathcal{C}^{(n)}\left(\frac{x}{y}, \frac{\mu}{yP_z}, |y|\lambda_s\right)$$

and the inverse as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}^{-1}\left(\frac{x}{y}, \frac{\mu}{yP_z}, |z|\lambda_s\right) &= \delta\left(\frac{x}{y} - 1\right) - \alpha_s \mathcal{C}^{(1)}\left(\frac{x}{y}, \frac{\mu}{yP_z}, |y|\lambda_s\right) \\ &+ \alpha_s^2 \mathcal{C}^{(1)}\left(\frac{x}{z}, \frac{\mu}{zP_z}, |z|\lambda_s\right) \otimes \mathcal{C}^{(1)}\left(\frac{z}{y}, \frac{\mu}{yP_z}, |y|\lambda_s\right) - \alpha_s^2 \mathcal{C}^{(2)}\left(\frac{x}{y}, \frac{\mu}{yP_z}, |z|\lambda_s\right) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3) \end{aligned}$$




## RESULTS

- Comparison of PDF as computed with LaMET, DNN and global analysis from NNP40<sup>1</sup>.
- The gray regions are those in which the LaMET expansion breaks down.
- $f(x, \mu) = \mathcal{C}^{-1} \left( \frac{x}{y} \right) \otimes \tilde{f}(y) + \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{\Lambda_{QCD}^2}{x^2(1-x)P_Z^2} \right)$ .

<sup>1</sup>Ball et. al. Eur. Phys J. C **82**, 428 (2022)

**THANK YOU.**



## (LC)PDF FOR DIFFERENT $P_z$

- No clear convergence.
- Source of disagreement between DNN and NNPDF40?

# PARTON PHYSICS

- Real time dependence. Lattice is Euclidean. ✗
- Cannot impose lightcone gauge:  $A_3 + iA_4 = 0$ . ✗
- How can we extract parton physics from lattice?

