# WG2: Summary

Sylvester Joosten and Feng Yuan

\*all mistakes or omissions in this summary come from Feng \*\* except for the ones made by Sylvester

# A snapshot of WG2

- □ Total of 42 talks (19 exp+23 theory)
- Topics range from small-x to threshold J/psi production
- Theory talks
  - □ Small-x spin physics: helicity, orbital angular momentum, Sivers function, ...
  - Precision on small-x saturation: forward pA, DIS, Diffractive DIS, vector meson
  - □ Collinear vs small-x CGC
- Experiment talks
  - Low-x physics, diffraction, and collectivity in small systems from LHC
  - Heavy quarkonium production from threshold to ultra-peripheral heavy ion collisions
  - General Addron productions in pA collisions
  - Baryon stopping in photonuclear reactions

# Theory: overall summary

- Very active field, great progress in the last few years, and well represented in WG2 sessions
- **Three major threads** 
  - Theory advances: spin structure at small-x, diffractive parton distributions, CGC vs collinear factorization, etc.
  - Precision computations in CGC: next-to-leading order for various small-x processes
  - Phenomenology to interpret the experimental data

# Theory I: proton spin at small-x

- Phenomenology very important to understand proton spin sum rule: all collider experiments, including EIC, have limits on the kinematics, where theory guidance may help to finally solve the spin puzzle
- Bartels, Ermolaev, Ryskin (BER) 1996, double logarithmic resummation for helicity distributions
  - Boussarie, Hatta, Yuan 2019, extend to parton orbital angular momenta
- □ Kovchegov, Pitonyak, Sievert (KPS) 2016-2019 applied dipole formalism
  - See talks by OSU group, Florian Cougoulic, Brandon Manley, Daniel Adamiak, Jeremy Borden, Ming Li, M Gabriel Santiago

## Proton spin at small-x: updates on helicity distributions

### New approach from Kovchegov et al agrees with previous BER approach (almost)



### Proton spin at small-x: other results

Orbital angular momenta

$$L_{q+\bar{q}}(x,Q^2) \sim L_G(x,Q^2) \sim \Delta\Sigma(x,Q^2) \sim \Delta G(x,Q^2) \sim \left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^{3.66\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2\pi}}}$$

**Brandon Manley** 

See also, Boussarie, Hatta, Yuan 2019

Quark Sivers function



**M** Gabriel Santiago

See also, Boer, Echevarria, Mulders, Zhou, 2016

### Proton spin at small-x: phenomenology



### CGC Playground: diffractive DIS

**Yoshitaka Hatta** 



Iancu, Mueller, Triantafyllopoulos (2021) Hatta, Xiao, Yuan (2022)

# CGC Playground: diffractive DIS (NLO)

Jani Penttala Henri Hänninen

Progress made toward a complete NLO calculations

We are completing the full NLO calculation

- Explicit cancellation of divergences
- One finite contribution still being calculated

Future:

- Numerical implementation of the full NLO result
- Comparisons to the existing HERA data and predictions for the EIC





## CGC Playground: Diffractive DIS (phenomenology)

#### **Proton shape: Optimal steepness** $O^2 = 200.0 \text{ GeV}^2$ — GBW- $q\bar{q}$ -MV, $\omega = 1.24$ $(\times 3^{7})$ **GBW-qq** result is fitted to diffractive - - GBW- $q\bar{q}$ - $MV^e$ , $\omega = 2.32$ $Q^2 = 80.0 \text{ GeV}^2$ HERA combined data for $\beta > 0.5$ (24 data GBW- $q\bar{q}$ - $MV^{\gamma}$ , $\omega = 2.31$ (×36) $10^{1}$ H1+ZEUS data points) using the chosen proton shape. $(\beta = 0.5620)$ $O^2 = 46.0 \text{ GeV}^2$ **Optimal steepness:** $(\times 3^5)$ • $\omega = 1.24 \ (\chi^2/dof \approx 1.87)$ for **MV** $O^2 = 26.5 \, GeV$ • $\omega = 2.32 \ (\chi^2/dof \approx 1.08)$ for **MV**<sup>e</sup> $(\times 3^4)$ $x_{I\!P}\sigma_r^{D(3)}$ $10^{0}$ $Q^2 = 15.3 \text{ GeV}^2$ • $\omega = 2.31 (\gamma^2/\text{dof} \approx 1.09)$ for $\mathbf{MV}^{\gamma}$ $(\times 3^{3})$ $O^2 = 8.8 \text{ GeV}$ 1.0 *MV*, $\sigma_0 = 37.62$ mb $MV^{e}, \sigma_{0} = 32.72 \text{ mb}$ $\cdots \omega = 0$ (hard sphere) $\omega = 0$ (hard sphere) = 1 (gaussian) $\omega = 1$ (gaussian) $10^{-1}$ $Q^2 = 5.1 \, GeV$ 0.8 $\omega = 1.24$ $\omega = 2.32$ $O^2 = 2.5 \, GeV$ 0.6 $T_p$ 0.4 $10^{-2}$ $10^{-4}$ $10^{-3}$ $10^{-2}$ XIP 0.2 Combined data from H1, ZEUS collaboration, EPJC 72, 2175 (2012) $2\pi b^{\prime}$ 0.0 $\overline{\omega}, \overline{\sigma}, \overline{\omega}$ $T_p(\mathbf{b}) =$ 0.0 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 0.0 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 11 b (fm) b (fm)

### **Anh-Dung Le**

# CGC Playground: single particle production in pA



# CGC Playground: two particle correlations, great effort in the last few years!!

#### Back-to-back dijets at NLO **Farid Salazar** Next-to-Fikonal corrections Complete small-x TMD factorization at NLO **Guillaume Beuf** $\mathrm{d}\sigma^{\gamma_{\lambda}^{*}+A\to\mathrm{dijet}+X} = \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{NLO}}^{ij,\lambda}(Q,\boldsymbol{P}_{\perp};\mu_{F};R) \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}\boldsymbol{b}_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^{2}} e^{-i\boldsymbol{q}_{\perp}\cdot\boldsymbol{b}_{\perp}} \frac{\alpha_{s}\widetilde{G}_{Y}^{ij}(\boldsymbol{b}_{\perp})}{\alpha_{s}\widetilde{G}_{Y}^{ij}(\boldsymbol{b}_{\perp})} e^{-S_{\mathrm{Sud}}(\boldsymbol{b}_{\perp},\mu_{F})}$ $+\mathcal{O}(\alpha_{2}^{2})$ One twist 2 term, interpreted as the first order expans fully analytic result phase from the gluon TMD definition $\mathrm{d}\sigma^{(0),\lambda=\mathrm{L}} = \alpha_{\mathrm{em}} \alpha_s e_f^2 \delta_z^{(2)} \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{LO}}^{0,\lambda=\mathrm{L}} \left\{ 1 + \frac{\alpha_s(\mu_h)}{\pi} \left[ \frac{N_c}{2} (\tilde{f}_1^{\lambda=\mathrm{L}}(\chi, z_f) + \frac{1}{2\pi N_c} \tilde{f}_2^{\lambda=\mathrm{L}}(\chi) \right] \right\}$ Analogous expression Kinematical twist 3 terms $imes \int rac{\mathrm{d}^2 oldsymbol{r}_{bb'}}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-ioldsymbol{q}_{\perp}\cdotoldsymbol{r}_{bb'}} \hat{G}^0_{Y_f}(oldsymbol{r}_{bb'}) \,\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}(\mu_h^2,oldsymbol{r}_{bb'}^2)$ for elliptic anisotropy $d\sigma^{(2),\lambda=L}$ $\left. + \alpha_{\rm em} \alpha_s e_f^2 \delta_z^{(2)} \mathcal{H}_{\rm LO}^{0,\lambda=\rm L} \frac{\alpha_s(\mu_h)}{\pi} \left\{ \frac{N_c}{2} \left[ 1 + \ln(R^2) \right] + \frac{1}{2N} \left[ -\ln(z_1 z_2 R^2) \right] \right\}$ • Twist 3 gluon TMDs, with one $\mathcal{F}_i^-$ replaced by $\mathcal{F}^{+-}$ $\times \int rac{\mathrm{d}^2 oldsymbol{r}_{bb'}}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-ioldsymbol{q}_\perp \cdot oldsymbol{r}_{bb'}} \hat{h}^0_{Y_f}(oldsymbol{r}_{bb'}) \,\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}(\mu_h^2,oldsymbol{r}_{bb'}^2)$ Twist 3 correlators of 3 field strengths $\chi = \frac{Q}{P_{\perp}}$ $f_1^{\lambda=L}(\chi, z_f) = 7 - \frac{3\pi^2}{2} - \frac{3}{2} \ln\left(\frac{z_1 z_2 R^2}{\chi^2}\right) - \ln(z_1) \ln(z_2) + 2\ln\left(\frac{(1+\chi^2) z_f}{z_1 z_2}\right)$ $-\ln(1+\chi^2)\ln\left(\frac{1+\chi^2}{z_1z_2}\right) + \left\{\operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{z_2-z_1\chi^2}{z_2(1+\chi^2)}\right) - \frac{1}{4(z_2-z_1\chi^2)}\right\}$ Similar expression $+\frac{(1+\chi^2)(z_2(2z_2-z_1)+z_1(2z_1-z_2)\chi^2)}{4(z_2-z_1\chi^2)^2}\ln\left(\frac{z_2(1+\chi^2)}{\chi^2}\right)+(1\leftrightarrow 2)\right\}$ for $f_2$

The first proof of TMD factorization at NLO at small-x (modulo the non-linear evolution of the WW) 15

# CGC Playground: Impact Factors at NLO

### Progress towards NLO



Heikki Mäntysaari (JYU)



- Evolution equation
  - NLO BK: Balitsky, Chirilli, 0710.4330
  - Resummation of transverse logs: lancu, et al, 1502.05642, 1507.03651
  - Numerical solution: Lappi, H.M, 1601.06598
- Impact factor ( $\gamma^*$  wave function at NLO)
  - m<sub>q</sub> = 0: Hänninen, Lappi, Paatelainen, 1711.08207; Beuf 1708.06557
  - With heavy quarks (mass renormalization in LCPT): Beuf, Lappi, Paatelainen 2103.14549 2112.03158, 2204.02486
  - Numerical implementation: <u>Hänninen</u>, H.M, Paatelainen, <u>Penttala</u>, 2211.03504

CGC NLO DIS

• Also many other processes, talks by Dumitru, Tawabutr, Mulian, Penttala, Salazar, Hänninen, ...

Small x resummation of photon impact factor and

the  $\gamma^*\gamma^*$  high energy scattering

Dimitri Colferai<sup>1</sup>, Wanchen Li<sup>2</sup>, and Anna M. Staśto<sup>2</sup>



### Heikki Mantysaari

3/10

28.3.2023

### Wanchen Li

## CGC Playground: Photon+Jet production in pA collisions

Next to leading order computation in progress

Yair Mulian



Next-to-Eikonal corrections

Arantxa Tymowska

# CGC vs Collinear factorization

### 3D gluon distribution

Boussarie, MT (2020-2021)

$$xG^{ij}(x,k_{\perp}) \equiv 2 \int_{s,s'} \int \frac{dz^{+}dr}{(2\pi)^{3}P^{-}} e^{ixP^{-}z^{+}-ik\cdot r} \langle P | \operatorname{Tr}[0,z^{+}]_{r} F^{j-}(z^{+},s'r)[z^{+},0]_{0} F^{i-}(0,sr) | P \rangle$$



- Top down approach to collinear region of phase space at small x: Minimal correction to the semi-classical approach to small x to restore x dependence using a partial twist expansion
- In the case of inclusive DIS: while the hard part is unchanged a new (gauge invariant) 3D gluon distribution that interpolates between the dipole operator at small x and the gluon PDF at leading twist
- We also recover the NLO cross-section for DIS, DDVCS, DVCS and TCS
- Quantum evolution requires the introduction of an additional kinematic variable  $k^-$
- Outlook: investigate relations to DGLAP and BK evolution in the non-linear regime

### Yacine Mehtar-Tani

x-dependent scale, motivated by saturation physics, applied to collinear PDF global fit

### Small-x resummation vs saturation scale



- We obtain the same level of agreement between data and theory
- Both approaches enhance (reduce) the gluon (singlet) PDF at small x and Q
- At a higher Q, the small-x effect disappear.

### **Keping Xie**

# Experiment

### **ATLAS forward proton detectors**

# New results from ATLAS



Differential elastic p-p cross section measured with ALFA

**Tomas Sykora** 



**Correlation of Y-meson production with the underlying event** - substantial differences in particle multiplicities

#### AFP detectors at -200m and +200m from IP A-side ATLAS detector Dipole magne 200 m Dipole magnet ~+70 m proton Magnetic field side ~-70 m **Tracking detector** ~-200 m Example: **Register protons** from light-by-light scattering р DIS 2023, A.Sopczał

Andre Spoczak

### **ATLAS ALFA detectors**



### **Peter John Bussey**

### **Claire Gwenlan**

# **Results from CMS**

Flattening in coherent J/ $\Psi$  production cross section for  $\gamma$ +Pb at high energies



- $\sigma(J/\Psi)$  vs. W not predicted by state of the art models
  - Gluon saturation? or black disk limit? or other physic effects?

### Zaochen Ye



- Jet gap jet events were observed for the 1st time by CMS! (Phys.Rev.D 104 (2021) 032009) Christophe Royon
  - First CMS measurements of two particle correlations in y-proton
    - $\circ$  ~ Significant v\_2 values consistent with non-flow model
    - $\circ$   $\;$  No evidence of ridge structure in near-side, long-range region
  - It adds to the plethora of small systems in which collectivity is being studied
  - arXiv:2204.13486





New measurement of  $D^\pm~R_{p\rm Pb}$  shows a backward deficit, while  $D_s^\pm$  agrees with nPDF predictions.

### **Thomas Beuttcher**

# CGC calculation to describe Pb+Pb at LHC



Haowu Duan

# Issues with the Good-Walker Approach

### Spencer R. Klein



Many examples where coherent-photoproduction happens where Good-Walker predicts it should not occur. The formalism needs to be extended to account for more complicated/realistic reactions with additional particles.

# Latest photoproduction results from Jefferson Lab

Gluon gravitational form factors and proton mass radius from  $J/\psi$  photoproduction in **Hall C**. Mass radius smaller than charge radius: the proton has a dense energetic core





Shivangi Prasad

Preliminary results on differential J/ $\psi$  cross section from **GlueX**, and first look at the  $\chi_c$  near threshold



**Lubomir Pentchev** 

# Future at Jefferson Lab

Basic Design

ALERT at Jefferson Lab (scheduled for summer 2024): access gluon GPD of <sup>4</sup>He through exclusive  $\phi$  production at CLAS with new recoil detector

• Detector will surround a ~3 atm gas target cell

which is 6 mm in radius and constructed with 25  $\mu$ m kapton walls • Hyperbolic drift chamber with 10° stereo angle. Outer scintillator hodoscope for PID <sup>1</sup> Normalized α 10<sup>-2</sup> < Xy >=0.165 x,,>=0.215 (v >=0.265 0.15 0.25 0.1 0.2 0.05 10-0.25 0.1 0.2 0.05  $10^{-4}$ E 0.15 0.1 10-5 0.05 10 <sup>1</sup> <sup>2</sup> b<sup>3</sup> <sup>4</sup> 0.8 0 0.2 0.4 0.6

Whitney Armstrong

Precision near-threshold J/ $\psi$  and beyond (TCS, DDVCS, ...) with SoLID - strongly endorsed by PAC, tentatively ~2029





**Zhiwen Zhao** 

# Future at EIC

Diffractive vector meson production at ePIC to study the gluon spatial distribution in nuclei





Projections for exclusive  $\eta_c$  and odderons - signature requires large-t for the proton but easier to see on nuclei



Sanjin Benić

### Kong Tu

# New UPC results from ALICE

New results for t-dependence for incoherent J/ $\psi$  cross section in  $\gamma$ Pb, data with sensitivity to "gluonic hot-spots"





New results on  $J/\psi$  elastic

photoproduction in y-Pb

### $\rho^0$ and di-kaon from UPC



### Valeri Pozdniakov

Abdennacer Hamdi

**Daniel Tapia Takaki** 

# New results from STAR

# J/ψ measurements in Au+Au UPC at RHIC. Strong suppression compared to H1 proton data.



**STAR** has made many **first-time**  $J/\psi$  measurements in UPCs at RHIC:

- ✓ Strong nuclear suppression seen for both coherent (~ 40%) and incoherent (~60%) production
- ✓ Bound nucleon and free proton have similar shape in p<sub>T</sub><sup>2</sup> up to ~ 2 (GeV/c)<sup>2</sup>

# Preliminary results on baryon stopping in $\gamma$ +Au-rich collisions

### Summary

- Observed baryon stopping in  $\gamma$  + Au-rich collisions with a qualitatively comparable (possibly steeper) slope to hadronic Au + Au collisions
- Observed more baryon stopping than charge stopping using isobar data
- Both are consistent with the baryon junction prediction: a Y-shaped configuration of low momentum gluons which carries the baryon number



### **Nicole Lewis**

### Kong Tu

# Thank you!!!