



Dipartimento di Scienze di Base e Applicate per l'Ingegneria



Collective effects

M. Migliorati

M. Behtouei, E. Carideo, A. Rajabi, Y. Zhang, M. Zobov

Acknowledgements: collimation, vacuum and RF groups



FCCIS: 'This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 951754.'

Outline

- FCC-ee main parameters (for mid-term review)
- Review of wakefields and impedance model
- Transverse coupled bunch instabilities and feedback system
- Interplay between beam-beam and coupling impedance

FCC-ee main parameters (for mid-term review)

Note:

lower single bunch intensity (1.5e11 with respect to 2.6e11) longer bunch (5.6 mm with respect to 4.37 mm) lower synchrotron tune (0.029 with respect to 0.037)

Beam energy	[GeV]	45.6				
Version		Apr. 20	Feb. 07			
Layout		PA31-3.0				
# of IPs	4					
Circumference	$[\mathrm{km}]$	90.658816				
Bending radius of arc dipole	[km]	9.936				
Energy loss / turn	[GeV]	0.0394				
SR power / beam	[MW]	50				
Beam current	[mA]	1270				
Colliding bunches / beam		15880	9200			
Colliding bunch population	$[10^{11}]$	1.51	2.60			
Horizontal emittance at collision ε_x	[nm]	0.71				
Vertical emittance at collision ε_y	[pm]	1.4				
Lattice vertical emittance $\varepsilon_{y,\text{lattice}}$	[pm]	0.75	< 0.3			
Arc cell		Long 90/90				
Momentum compaction α_p	$[10^{-6}]$	28.6				
Arc sextupole families		75				
$\beta_{x/y}^*$	[mm]	110 / 0.7	100 / 0.8			
Transverse tunes $Q_{x/y}$		214.158 / 214.200	$214.260 \ / \ 214.380$			
Chromaticities $Q'_{x/y}$		0 / +5	0 / 0			
Energy spread (SR/BS) σ_{δ}	[%]	$0.039 \ / \ 0.089$	$0.039 \ / \ 0.143$			
Bunch length (SR/BS) σ_z	[mm]	5.60 / 12.7	$4.37 \ / \ 15.9$			
RF voltage 400/800 MHz	[GV]	0.079 / 0 0.120 / 0				
Harmonic number for 400 MHz		121200				
RF freuqeuncy (400 MHz)	MHz	400.786684				
Synchrotron tune Q_s		0.0288	0.0370			
Long. damping time	[turns]	1158				
RF acceptance	[%]	1.05	1.6			
Energy acceptance (DA)	[%]	± 1.0				
Beam crossing angle at IP	[mrad]	± 15				
Crab waist ratio	[%]	70	97			
Beam-beam $\xi_x/\xi_y{}^a$		$0.0023 \ / \ 0.096$	$0.0023 \ / \ 0.139$			
Lifetime $(q + BS + lattice)$	[sec]	15000	20			
Lifetime $(lum)^b$	[sec]	1340	1010			
Luminosity / IP	$[10^{34}/{\rm cm}^2{\rm s}]$	140	186			

Table 1: FCC-ee collider parameters for Z as of Apr. 20, 2023.

^aincl. hourglass.

 b only the energy acceptance is taken into account for the cross section

Longitudinal impedance and wake potential of a 0.4 mm Gaussian bunch used as Green function in beam dynamics simulations



Transverse dipolar wake potential of a 0.4 mm Gaussian bunch used as Green function in beam dynamics simulations



In beam dynamics simulations we have also included the quadrupolar term (small contribution so far).

Main impedance sources

Resistive wall

It is the largest impedance source for FCC-ee evaluated so far. NEG coating is needed to mitigate the electron cloud build-up in the positron machine and for pumping reasons in both rings.



Contribution of the winglets: a 2D electromagnetic solver VACI (A. Rajabi) gives the RW impedance and wake for the geometry with the winglets. Very small differences have been obtained with respect to the circular beam pipe.



Main impedance sources

beam pipe radius reduction (35 mm \rightarrow 30 mm)

$$Z_{\parallel}(\omega) = C \frac{Z_0 \omega}{4\pi cb} \left\{ [sgn(\omega) - i] \delta_2 - 2i\Delta \left(1 - \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2} \right) \right\}$$

$$Z_{\perp}(\omega) = C \frac{Z_0}{2\pi b^3} \left\{ [sgn(\omega) - i] \delta_2 - 2i\Delta \left(1 - \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2}\right) \right\}$$

Since the transverse dipolar wake is proportional to $1/b^3$, passing from 35 to 30 mm means an increase in impedance and wake amplitude of $\frac{35^3}{30^3} = 1.6 \rightarrow 60\%$

Reduction of beam pipe radius only in short straight sections (quads and sexts): 10 km of pipe with 30 mm of radius:

the total RW passes from '1' to '1.06': an increase in the transverse impedance due to RW of 6%, but there are tapers ...

Tapers



If we multiply this by 2 (double taper) and by 1500 (number of sections), we have a peak at about 5000 V/pC/m.

This is about 12.5% of the total transverse dipolar wake that we have evaluated so far. Is it possible to reduce this geometric impedance?

Transverse dipolar vertical wake of a 0.4 mm bunch length for a single taper (in) once that the 'potential difference' term due to the different radii (which disappears for a double taper in-out) is subtracted



Collimation system

Table of the collimator settings for the Z machine and for the 4 IPs layout. The synchrotron collimators and masks upstream the IPs are not included in this table.

name	type	length[m]	nsigma	half-gap[m]	material	plane	angle[deg]	offset_x[m]	offset_y[m]	beta_x[m]	beta_y[m]
tcp.h.bl	primary	0.4	11.0	0.005504	MoGR	Н	0.0	0.0	0.0	352.578471	113.054110
tcp.v.bl	primary	0.4	65.0	0.002332	MoGR	v	90.0	0.0	0.0	147.026106	906.282898
tcs.hl.bl	secondary	0.3	13.0	0.004162	Mo	Н	0.0	0.0	0.0	144.372060	936.118623
tcs.vl.bl	secondary	0.3	75.5	0.00203	Mo	v	90.0	0.0	0.0	353.434125	509.320452
tcs.h2.bl	secondary	0.3	13.0	0.005956	Mo	Н	0.0	0.0	0.0	295.623450	1419.375106
tcs.v2.bl	secondary	0.3	75.5	0.002118	Mo	v	90.0	0.0	0.0	494.235759	554.055888
tcp.hp.bl	primary	0.4	29.0	0.005755	MoGR	Н	0.0	0.0	0.0	55.469637	995.306256
tcs.hpl.bl	secondary	0.3	32.0	0.01649	Mo	Н	0.0	0.0	0.0	373.994993	377.277726
tcs.hp2.bl	secondary	0.3	32.0	0.011597	Mo	Н	0.0	0.0	0.0	184.970621	953.229862



For the resistive wall contribution we suppose parallel plates with infinite thickness and use IW2D for the impedance and wakefield evaluation.

 $\sigma_{MoGR} = 10^6 S/m \qquad \sigma_{Mo} = 18.7 \times 10^6 S/m$

Collimation system

$$Z(\omega) \frac{\beta_{x,y}}{\langle \beta_{x,y} \rangle} < \langle \beta_{x,y} \rangle = \frac{1}{C} \oint \beta_{x,y} ds = 144.1, 241.5 m$$



Pag. 10

Main impedance sources

Bellows We're still using the SuperKEKB model with RF fingers with a total of 8700 bellows: 2900 dipole arcs 24 m long with bellows every 12 m plus 2900 quads/sexts arcs. But other geometries are under investigation in the vacuum group.





Single bunch collective effects in the longitudinal plane: comparison between old and new parameters

With beamstrahlung we have found that at 1.5e11 ppb:

 σ_z = 13.6 mm (w/o ZL) σ_z = 14.0 mm (w/ ZL)

 $\sigma_p =$ 9.45e-4 (w/o ZL), $\sigma_p =$ 9e-4 (w/ ZL)



Transverse coupled bunch instability and feedback system



From the real part of the transverse impedance at low frequency we see that only the RW contribution due to the beam pipe is important. Collimators do not seem to contribute much at such low frequencies

Transverse coupled bunch instability and feedback system

- To suppress the TCBI, a bunch-by-bunch feedback system is necessary.
- The damping time in the transverse plane should be of the order of 2 ms, similar to the damping time of SuperKEKB, for example (about 1 ms).
- However, 2 ms in FCC-ee corresponds to 6-7 turns. We must pay attention to the design of such a feedback system.
- The bunch-by-bunch feedback system is also useful to suppress the single bunch TMCI, even if it can excite the '-1 mode' instability.
- The good news is that its threshold has an intensity higher than that corresponding to the TMCI without the feedback.



Single bunch collective effects in the transverse plane: old parameters

no feedback



with feedback



Single bunch collective effects in the transverse plane: new parameters



with feedback

FCCIS week 2023

06/06/2023

Pag. 16

Single bunch collective effects in the transverse plane: new parameters and reduced beam pipe (from 35 mm to 30 mm of radius) with feedback

no feedback



FCCIS week 2023

06/06/2023

Work in progress: geometrical wakefield due to collimators



Work in progress: geometrical wakefield due to collimators



Work in progress: geometrical wakefield due to collimators

How to mitigate this geometrical contribution?

We tried to increase of the taper length, but the results were not satisfactory as expected.

We are investigating other geometries.



Work in progress: interplay between beam-beam and coupling impedance



Work in progress: interplay between beam-beam and coupling impedance



FCCIS week 2023

06/06/2023

Conclusions

- FCC-ee is an ongoing project, and, as we analyse new devices, we find a continuous increase of the total machine impedance.
- On the other hand, the impedance evaluated so far already shows that collective effects play an important role for the stability of the machine.
- Particular effort must be addressed to the impedance optimization.
- Beam instability thresholds and stability regions can change according to the new impedance sources that will be gradually added. In any case we need to look for diversified mitigation solutions.
- The studies carried out so far show a strong interplay between longitudinal wakefield, transverse wakefield, feedback system and beam-beam: each effect cannot be studied independently from the others.
- It is fundamental to have different available tools for counteracting collective effects.

Conclusions

- FCC-ee is an ongoing project, and, as we analyse new devices we find a continuous increase of the total machine impedance.
- On the other hand, the impedance evaluated so role for the stability of the machine.
- Particular effort must be address
- Beam instability thresh will be gradually added.

collective effects play an important

- Ange according to the new impedance sources that or for diversified mitigation solutions.
- The studies carried out so fa wakefield, feedback system a fam-beam: each effect cannot be studied independently from the others.
- It is fundamental to have different available tools for counteracting collective effects.

FCCIS week 2023