Progress on the IDEA vertex detector implementation in Key4hep full simulation

FCC Week 2023, London

Armin Ilg

University of Zürich

08.06.2023

Thanks to all the people who helped/are helping on the way!

University of Zurich[UZH]

FUTURE CIRCULAR COLLIDER

Goal of the FCC feasibility study

A lot of work to be done for the feasibility study...

For the experiments:

- Requirements to the accelerator? (backgrounds, space constraints, etc.)
- Expected performance? What can we do with the particles we get?
- What next-gen detector technologies can benefit the FCC-ee physics program? Different detector concepts?

Goal of the FCC feasibility study

A lot of work to be done for the feasibility study...
For the experiments:

- Requirements to the accelerator? (backgrounds, space constraints, etc.)
- Expected performance? What can we do with the particles we get?
- What next-gen detector technologies can benefit the FCC-ee physics program? Different detector concepts?

Goal: Establish feedback-loop

$$\text{Sensor perf.} \xrightarrow[\text{sim.}]{\text{detector}} \text{Subdetector perf.} \xrightarrow[\text{analysis}]{\text{sample}} \text{physics perf.} \xrightarrow[\text{input}]{\text{theory}} \text{sensor specification}$$

Need to perform simulation and analysis of *realistic* detectors at FCC-ee! → **Full simulation of complete detectors, using particle flow**
Our software toolkit should be...

Goal of the FCC feasibility study

A lot of work to be done for the feasibility study...
For the experiments:

- Requirements to the accelerator? (backgrounds, space constraints, etc.)
- Expected performance? What can we do with the particles we get?
- What next-gen detector technologies can benefit the FCC-ee physics program? Different detector concepts?

Goal: Establish feedback-loop

$$\text{Sensor perf.} \xrightarrow[\text{sim.}]{\text{detector}} \text{Subdetector perf.} \xrightarrow[\text{analysis}]{\text{sample}} \text{physics perf.} \xrightarrow[\text{input}]{\text{theory}} \text{sensor specification}$$

Need to perform simulation and analysis of *realistic* detectors at FCC-ee! → **Full simulation of complete detectors, using particle flow**
Our software toolkit should be...

- deploying new software and detector technologies, ease adaption by current experiments
- efficient and easy to learn, use and develop (people with limited time on future colliders)

Goal of the FCC feasibility study

A lot of work to be done for the feasibility study...
For the experiments:

- Requirements to the accelerator? (backgrounds, space constraints, etc.)
- Expected performance? What can we do with the particles we get?
- What next-gen detector technologies can benefit the FCC-ee physics program? Different detector concepts?

Goal: Establish feedback-loop

Goal now: Full simulation of IDEA in Key4hep/DD4hep! (see slides of B. Francois)

sim. analysis input

Need to perform simulation and analysis of *realistic* detectors at FCC-ee! → **Full simulation of complete detectors, using particle flow**
Our software toolkit should be...

- deploying new software and detector technologies, ease adaption by current experiments
- efficient and easy to learn, use and develop (people with limited time on future colliders)

IDEA vertex detector: Layout

Refer to F. Palla's slides for full layout details

Vertex inner barrel

- Small beam pipe of 10 mm inner radius
- Three barrel layers to cover down to $\theta = 140$ mrad
- Consisting of staves of dual ARCADIA DMAPS, with pixels of $25 \times 25\,\mu\text{m}^2$ ($\sim 3\,\mu$m single point resolution)

Outer Vertex

- Quad ATLASPix3 DMAPS with $150 \times 50\,\mu\text{m}^2$ pixels
- **Vertex outer barrel**
  - Intermediate layer at $r = 13$ cm, outer layer at $r = 31.5$ cm
- **Vertex disks**
  - Three disks per side
  - Disks of 8 petals with 4-6 staves going from small to large r





D_0 resolution in IDEA and CLD and p_T of b hadron tracks

IDEA vertex detector: Design





Vertex inner barrel



Vertex outer barrel



Vertex disks

- Vertex detector by F. Palla and F. Bosi (INFN- Pisa)
- Support tube done by F. Fransesini and M. Boscolo (INFN-LNF), see next talk by F. Fransesini. Holding:
  - Luminosity calorimeter
  - Vertex detector
  - Beam pipe ($R_{\text{inner}} = 1\,\text{cm}$)
- Rather advanced design, let's implement this in Key4hep full simulation!

IDEA vertex detector: Design





Vertex inner barrel



Vertex outer barrel



Vertex disks

- Vertex detector by F. Palla and F. Bosi (INFN- Pisa)
- Support tube done by F. Fransesini and M. Boscolo (INFN-LNF), see next talk by F. Fransesini. Holding:
  - Luminosity calorimeter
  - Vertex detector
  - Beam pipe ($R_{\text{inner}} = 1\,\text{cm}$)
- Rather advanced design, let's implement this in Key4hep full simulation!

Where to start?

Existing (vertex) full simulation in CLD

Detector model in k4geo/FCCDetectors (smaller beam pipe)

- Linear collider reconstruction (iLCSoft/CLICPerformance)
- Can generate EDM4hep output using k4MarlinWrapper



Access to all LC tools: PandoraPFA, LCFI+, etc.



CLD vertex barrel



D_0 resolution in CLD [1]



CLD endcap and vertex barrel

Existing (vertex) full simulation in CLD

Detector model in k4geo/FCCDetectors (smaller beam pipe)

- Linear collider reconstruction (iLCSoft/CLICPerformance)
- Can generate EDM4hep output using k4MarlinWrapper



Access to all LC tools:

Want full simulation for IDEA, but using native Key4hep/DD4hep and more detail!



CLD vertex barrel



D_0 resolution in CLD [1]



CLD endcap and vertex barrel

IDEA vertex detector in DD4hep

Vertex inner barrel





Adapted CLIC vertex barrel constructor to enable...

- individual sensors along stave, can have insensitive area in $r - \phi$ or z

→ ARCADIA duals implemented as 6.4 mm active + 2 mm periphery $(r - \phi) \times 32$ mm (z), with 0.2 mm distance between sensors in z

- multiple layers of support and include readout

→ Support e.g consists of 20 μm of carbon fleece, 120 μm of carbon fiber and 25 μm of paper graphite

- Use DDCAD [2] to import conical vertex support

→ Don't get correct X/X_0 yet, overlap check fails (investigating...)

Todo: Add stave holder and end-of-stave structures

Vertex outer barrel

Same detector construction code as inner barrel

- Correct readout flex and stave support material stacks
- Simplified ATLASPix3 periphery (only implemented in $r - \phi$)
- Missing cooling pipes
- Missing lightweight reticular support structure

→ Import using DDCAD or adding simplified support



Vertex disks

Most complicated system to implement in DD4hep, based on CLIC vertex endcaps

Building the disks:

- Build quad sensors out of four sensitive and many insensitive rectangles
- Place quads along stave support structure, add readout
- Place staves with correct number of sensors to build a petal
- Place all petals to form a disk, repeat for all disks

→ Correct orientation and arrangement of all staves/petals

Still missing:

- Non-stave supports and cooling pipes





Vertex detector: Overall system



Sensitive surfaces in IDEA vertex implementation in DD4hep

Vertex detector: Overall system



Complete geometry in IDEA vertex implementation in DD4hep

Vertex detector: Overall system



Complete geometry in IDEA vertex implementation in DD4hep

Missing parts:

- Complex support structures and cooling (use DDCAD or simplified shape with equivalent material budget)
- Off-detector cabling (not designed yet)

Vertex detector: Overall system



Complete geometry in IDEA vertex implementation in DD4hep

Missing parts:

- Complex support structures and cooling (use DDCAD or simplified shape with equivalent material budget)
- Off-detector cabling (not designed yet)

Such a detailed geometry description enables...

- Accurate material budget distribution in both θ and ϕ
- Accurate description of angular coverage, #hits in vertex: Are there cracks in the coverage?

Pull request in k4geo

First look at performance...

IDEA vertex detector: First results in DD4hep (preliminary!)

Particle gun to shoot 10 GeV muons, $\theta = 10^\circ$

Get Key4hep stack (latest has issues currently):

```
source /cvmfs/sw.hsf.org/spackages6/Key4hep-stack/2022-12-14/
x86_64-centos7-gcc11.2.0-opt/zkjui/setup.sh
```

Run simulation on detector compact file (xml), using FCC steering file to generate EDM4hep output:

```
ddsim --compactFile k4geo/FCCee/compact/FCCee_IDEA_o01_v01.xml
--enableGun --gun.thetaMin 9.999 --gun.thetaMax 10.001
--gun.distribution uniform --gun.energy 10*GeV --gun.particle
mu- --steeringFile fcc_steer.py --numberOfEvents 1000
--outputFile ddsim_edm4hep.root
```

Run linear collider reconstruction (iLCSoft/CLICPerformance) using k4MarlinWrapper:

```
k4run fccRec_e4h_input.py --EventDataSvc.input
ddsim_edm4hep.root -n 1000
```

CLD full simulation



D_0 resolution in mm.

...inserting IDEA vertex



D_0 resolution in mm.

IDEA vertex detector: First results in DD4hep (preliminary!)

Particle gun to shoot 10 GeV muons, $\theta = 10^\circ$

Get Key4hep stack (latest has issues currently):

```
source /cvmfs/sw.hsf.org/spackages6/Key4hep-stack/2022-12-14/
x86_64-centos7-gcc11.2.0-opt/zkjui/setup.sh
```

Run simulation on detector compact file (xml), using FCC steering file to generate EDM4hep output:

```
ddsim --compactFile k4geo/FCCee/compact/FCCee_IDEA_o01_v01.xml
--enableGun --gun.thetaMin 9.999 --gun.thetaMax 10.001
--gun.distribution uniform --gun.energy 10*GeV --gun.particle
mu- --steeringFile fcc_steer.py --numberOfEvents 1000
--outputFile ddsim_edm4hep.root
```

Run linear collider reconstruction (iLCSoft/CLICPerformance) using k4MarlinWrapper:

```
k4run fccRec_e4h_input.py --EventDataSvc.input
ddsim_edm4hep.root -n 1000
```

It runs! Performance to be assessed properly... (need IDEA drift chamber)

CLD full simulation



D_0 resolution in mm.

...inserting IDEA vertex



D_0 resolution in mm.

Preliminary (!) material budget estimation



Vertex inner barrel



Vertex disks



Vertex outer barrel



Complete vertex system

Preliminary (!) estimation of the material budget of IDEA vertex in DD4hep

- Best-described detector: Vertex inner barrel

→ F. Palla's slides: $3 \times 0.25\%$ X/X_0 for complete vertex → Roughly agreeing

- Vertex outer barrel clearly underestimated, expect truss support structure and cooling to have largest X/X_0 contribution

Todo:

- Add missing components or appropriate placeholder
- Remake plot in θ and $\cos(\theta)$, compare with standalone Geant4 description and CLD

Summary

Done

- First implementation of the IDEA vertex detector in DD4hep → Can get vertex simHits for other studies
- Track+vertex reconstruction using iLCSoft with k4MarlinWrapper → It's working!
- Preliminary material budget estimation

Next steps

- Complex services and support structures, reassess material budget
- Accurate sensor periphery description in barrels (done in disks already)
- Add digitisation inside Key4hep
- Implement silicon wrapper, aim to have complete IDEA description in DD4hep

Summary

Done

- First implementation of the IDEA vertex detector in DD4hep → Can get vertex simHits for other studies
- Track+vertex reconstruction using iLCSoft with k4MarlinWrapper → It's working!
- Preliminary material budget estimation

Next steps

- Complex services and support structures, reassess material budget
- Accurate sensor periphery description in barrels (done in disks already)
- Add digitisation inside Key4hep
- Implement silicon wrapper, aim to have complete IDEA description in DD4hep

What else can we do?

Summary and outlook!

Done

- First implementation of the IDEA vertex detector in DD4hep → Can get vertex simHits for other studies
- Track+vertex reconstruction using iLCSoft with k4MarlinWrapper → It's working!
- Preliminary material budget estimation

Next steps

- Complex services and support structures, reassess material budget
- Accurate sensor periphery description in barrels (done in disks already)
- Add digitisation inside Key4hep
- Implement silicon wrapper, aim to have complete IDEA description in DD4hep

What else can we do?

Goal: Establish feedback-loop

Sensor perf. $\xrightarrow[\text{sim.}]{\text{detector}}$ Subdetector perf. $\xrightarrow[\text{analysis}]{\text{sample}}$ physics perf. $\xrightarrow[\text{input}]{\text{theory}}$ sensor specification

R&D for better detectors

Should not close the eyes to new and unproven technologies.
$\rightarrow$ Estimate possible performance gain of such new technologies using full simulation!

R&D for better detectors

Should not close the eyes to new and unproven technologies.
$\rightarrow$ Estimate possible performance gain of such new technologies using full simulation!

Example: DMAPS in 65 nm TPSCo process

- More logic per cm^2
- Lower power consumption $\rightarrow$ Air cooling
- Enables 12" wafers $\rightarrow$ Wafer-scale bent sensors! See M. Mager's talk on Thursday!

R&D for better detectors

Should not close the eyes to new and unproven technologies.
→ Estimate possible performance gain of such new technologies using full simulation!

Example: DMAPS in 65 nm TPSCo process

- More logic per cm^2
- Lower power consumption → Air cooling
- Enables 12" wafers → Wafer-scale bent sensors! See M. Mager's talk on Thursday!



Layer assembly concept for ALICE ITS3 [3]





Material budget in ALICE ITS2 (left, [4]) and silicon only (M. Mager)



L. Freitag (BSc. thesis [5]) and A.I @ Krakow 2023

R&D for better detectors

Should not close the eyes to new and unproven technologies.

→ Estimate possible performance gain of such new technologies using full simulation!

Example: DMAPS in 65 nm TPSCo process

- More logic per cm^2
- Low
- Ena

sensors! See M. Mager's talk on Thursday!

Plan to do *full simulation* performance study of ALICE ITS3-like vertex detector for (parts of) the IDEA vertex detector



Layer assembly concept for ALICE ITS3 [3]





Material budget in ALICE ITS2 (left, [4]) and silicon only (M. Mager)



L. Freitag (BSc. thesis [5]) and A.I @ Krakow 2023

R&D for better detectors

Should not close the eyes to new and unproven technologies.

→ Estimate possible performance gain of such new technologies using full simulation!

Example: DMAPS in 65 nm TPSCo process

- More logic per cm^2
- Low
- Ena

sens

Plan to do *full simulation* performance study of ALICE ITS3-like vertex detector for (parts of) the IDEA vertex detector

Contributing to testing of 65 nm test structures as well



ITS3 [3]





Material budget for ALICE ITS2 (left, [4]) and silicon only (M. Mager)



L. Freitag (BSc. thesis [5]) and A.I @ Krakow 2023

Thanks!

References I

[1] N. Bacchetta, et al., *CLD – A Detector Concept for the FCC-ee*, arXiv:1911.12230 [physics.ins-det].

[2] M. Frank, F. Gaede, M. Petrič, and A. Sailer, *CAD support and new developments in DD4hep*, in *EPJ Web of Conferences*, p. , 03015, EDP Sciences. 2021.

[3] M. Mager, *On the "bendable" ALPIDE-inspired MAPS in 65 nm technology*, 11, 2021. https://indico.ihep.ac.cn/event/14938/session/6/contribution/196. 2021 International Workshop on High Energy Circular Electron Positron Collider.

[4] F. Reidt, *Upgrading the Inner Tracking System and the Time Projection Chamber of ALICE*, Nuclear Physics A **1005** (2021) 121793, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2020.121793.

[5] L. Freitag, *Benefits of Minimizing the Vertex Detector Material Budget at the FCC-ee*, 2023. http://cds.cern.ch/record/2851362. Presented 01 Feb 2023.

[6] FCC Collaboration, *FCC-ee: The Lepton Collider*, The European Physical Journal Special Topics **228** (2019) 261–623.

Vertex detector module

Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare

Module concept inspired by ARCADIA INFN R&D

- Depleted Monolithic Active Pixel Detectors (DMAPS) sensor and back-side processing already tested on silicon
- Pixel size 25x25 μm^2 , 50 µm thick
- Active area 640 pixel (16 mm) in z and 256 pixels (6.4 mm) in $r - \varphi$
- Chip periphery plus an inactive zone: total of 2 mm in $r - \varphi$
- Chips are side-abuttable in z

Composed of 2 pixelated parts: total of 8.4 mm $(r - \varphi)$ $\times$ 32 mm (z)

- Power budget not established yet: assume (reasonably) $50\ mW/cm^2$





F. Palla , see talk at FCC US week at BNL

Outer tracker module

- Based on ATLASPIX3 R&D
  - DMAPS
  - 50 x 150 μm^2
  - Up to 1.28 Gb/s downlink
  - TSI 180 nm process
  - 132 columns of 372 pixels
  - Active (total) length (r-phi x z)
    - 18.6 (21) mm x 19.8 (20.2) mm
  - Module is made of 2x2 chips – total length:
    - size 42.2 mm x 40.6 mm
  - Power budget not established yet:
    assume $100\ \mathrm{mW/cm^2}$



o Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare



F. Palla , see talk at FCC US week at BNL

The common software vision: Key4hep

Key4hep is a huge ecosystem of software packages adopted by all future collider projects, complete workflow from generator to analysis, see also PE&D: Software and Computing / Detectors session

- Event data model: EDM4hep for exchange among framework components
  - Podio as underlying tool, for different collision environments
  - Including truth information
- Data processing framework: Gaudi
- Geometry description: DD4hep, ability to include CAD files
- Package manager: Spack: `source /cvmfs/sw.hsf.org/Key4hep/setup.sh`







The common software vision: Key4hep

Key4hep is a huge ecosystem of software packages adopted by all future collider projects, complete workflow from generator to analysis, see also PE&D: Software and Computing / Detectors session

- Event data model: EDM4hep for exchange among framework components
  - Podio as underlying tool, for different collision environments
  - Including truth information
- Standalone full simulation of IDEA in Geant4 available
- Geometry description: DD4hep, ability to include CAD files
- Package manager: Spack: `source /cvmfs/sw.hsf.org/Key4hep/setup.sh`







The common software vision: Key4hep

Key4hep is a huge ecosystem of software packages adopted by all future collider projects, complete workflow from generator to analysis, see also PE&D: Software and Computing / Detectors session

- Event data model: EDM4hep for exchange among framework components
  - Podio as underlying tool, for different collision environments
  - Including truth information
- D
- Geome
- Packag

Standalone full simulation of IDEA in Geant4 available

Goal now: Full simulation in Key4hep/DD4hep!







IDEA: Innovative Det. for e^+e^- Accelerators



Schematic layout of the IDEA detector concept for FCC-ee [6]

- Vertex detector adopting DMAPS (depleted monolithic active pixel sensor) to minimise material budget
- Tracker consisting of light-weight drift chamber ($dN_{\text{ionisation}}/dx$) and silicon wrapper with timing information (time-of-flight)
- Dual-readout calorimeter with preshower
- Low-mass 2 T solenoid coil inside calorimeter system
- Muon system composed of μRWell in the return yoke

Status of simulation: Full simulation in Geant4
Goal now: Full simulation in native Key4hep!

IDEA: Innovative Det. for e^+e^- Accelerators



Schematic layout of the IDEA detector concept for FCC-ee [6]

- **Vertex detector** adopting DMAPS (depleted monolithic active pixel sensor) to minimise material budget
- Tracker consisting of light-weight **drift chamber** ($dN_{\text{ionisation}}/dx$) and silicon wrapper with timing information (time-of-flight)
- **Dual-readout calorimeter** with preshower
- Low-mass 2 T solenoid coil inside calorimeter system
- Muon system composed of μRWell in the return yoke

Status of simulation: Full simulation in Geant4

Goal now: Full simulation in native Key4hep!

Focus on these!

More: P. Azzi @ FCC US Workshop