Hammers & Nails 2023

Swiss Edition

TURBO: The Swiss Knife of Auto-Encoders

Guillaume Quétant*, Yury Belousov, Vitaliy Kinakh, Slava Voloshynovskiy*



*guillaume.quetant@unige.ch, *svolos@unige.ch

Intro	ducti	n
	uucu	UH
		••••

A rigorous interpretation of the concepts and principles behind ma	achine learning metho	ds, unifying as ma	iny models as pos	sible. Two com	plementary method	ls:
 Bounded Information Bottleneck Auto-Encoder (BIB-AE) 						
 Two-way Uni-directional Representations by Bounded Opti 	misation (TURBO)					

	BIB-AE	TURBO		
Daradiam	Minimising the mutual information between the input space and the latent space, while maximising the mutual information between the latent space and the output space	Maximising the mutual information between the input space and the latent space, and maximising the mutual information between the latent space and the output space		
Faladigili	One-way encoding	Two-way encoding		
	Data and latent space distributions are considered independently	Data and latent space distributions are considered jointly		
Targeted tasks	Data compression, privacy, classificationRepresentation learning	Linking relevant modalitiesTranscoding/translation between modalities		
Advantages	Theoretical basis for both supervised and unsupervised tasksAllows for easy sampling	 Interpretable latent space Seamlessly handles paired, unpaired and partially paired data The encoder can represent a physical system, while the decoder can represent a learnable model 		
Drawbacks	 Not suited for data translation Enforces a distribution for the latent space Struggles to map discontinuous data distributions to continuous latent space distributions 	 More hyperparameters to tune More modules increases training complexity 		
Particular cases	VAE, GAN, VAE/GAN	AAE, GAN, pix2pix, SRGAN, CycleGAN, Flows		
Related models	InfoVAE, CLUB	ALAE		

TURBO

Maximising four lower bounds to mutual information gives rise to the following two-way auto-encoder setup.



Reverse





Physical Latent Space

Auto-encoders with a virtual latent space or a physical latent space.

- In the virtual setting, the latent variable does not have any physical meaning (e.g. random noise, category, etc.).
- In the physical setting, the latent variable represents a part of the physical observation or measurement chain.



Composition of different latent space settings and several auto-encoder-like networks as internal components of a global auto-encoder architecture.

• The global latent variable can contain both a virtual and a physical parts.

• The global encoder and decoder can be nested auto-encoders with internal latent variables of any kind.



Applications

Highlights of three practical applications where TURBO shows interoperability, superior performance with respect to the models compared, more stable and efficient training.

- The top row shows a high-energy physics example, where particles with given four-momenta are created in a collider experiment and detected by a detector.
- The middle row shows a galaxy imaging example, where two pictures of the same portion of the sky are taken by two different telescopes.
- The bottom row shows a counterfeiting detection example, where a digital template is acquired by a phone camera.



Generalisation of Many Models

Turning on and off the TURBO loss terms lead to many well-known machine learning models.



Adversarial Auto-Encoder

- Only one-way setup
- Gaussian latent \rightarrow no pairs in latent space
- Could add distribution loss in reconstruction space

GAN & WGAN



(Wasserstein) Generative Adversarial Network

- No encoder → only decoder
- No pairs

TURBO in High-Energy Physics: Turbo-Sim

Transform the real four-momenta of a set of particles created by the collision of two protons in a collider experiment into the observed four-momenta of the particles captured by detectors, and vice versa. A clever interpretation of the problem is to think of the real and the observed spaces as two different representations of the same physical system.



The Kolmogorov–Smirnov distance between the original data simulation and samples generated by the model. The energy of a real particle, a b-quark and the energy of the leading jet are shown, as well as the invariant mass of the top-quarks pair, which are unstable particles decaying into the real ones before flying through the detectors to be observed.

	Z space	X space	Rec. space
Model	E ^b	E ^{jet1}	m _{tt}
OTUS	2.76	5.75	15.8
Turbo-Sim	3.96	4.43	2.97

TURBO in Astronomy: Hubble-to-Webb

Using TURBO as an image-to-image translation framework to generate simulated images of the James Webb Space Telescope from observed images of the Hubble Space Telescope and vice versa.



Model	MSE ↓	SSIM ↑	PSNR ↑	LPIPS \downarrow	FID ↓
CycleGAN	0.0097	0.83	20.11	0.48	128.1
pix2pix	0.0021	0.93	26.78	0.44	54.58

pix2pix & SRGAN





pix2pix & Super-Resolution GAN

 No encoder → only decoder But pairs!

<u>CycleGAN</u>

<u>Flow</u>



 $\supset \mathcal{D}_{\hat{\tau}}$



Cycle-Consistent GAN

- Two GANs with cycle consistency
- \rightarrow Or a two-way AAE!
- X and Z from two sets of data (e.g. horse vs zebra)



TURBO 0.0026 0.92 25.88 0.41 43.36

TURBO in Anti-Counterfeiting: Digital Twin

Accurately estimate the complex stochastic process of printing and generate predictions of how a digital template would appear once printed, as well as reversing the process and predict the original digital template from the printed one.



Model	$FID_{\mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}} \downarrow$	$\text{FID}_{\textbf{Z} \rightarrow \textbf{X}} \downarrow$	Hamming ↓	MSE ↓	SSIM ↑
w/o processing	304	304	0.24	0.18	0.48
CycleGAN	3.87	4.45	0.15	0.05	0.73
pix2pix	3.37	8.57	0.11	0.05	0.76
TURBO	3.16	6.60	0.09	0.04	0.78





Encoder = Decoder⁻¹

- It's like GAN!
- \rightarrow But other parametrisations and KLD computation



UMAP visualisation of synthetically generated templates superimposed on the corresponding real counterparts

References

1. Quétant G., Belousov Y., Kinakh V., Voloshynovskiy S. TURBO: The Swiss Knife of Auto-Encoders. Entropy, 2023, 25, 1471. https://doi.org/10.3390/e25101471

- 2. Quétant G., Drozdova M., Kinakh V., Golling T., Voloshynovskiy S. Turbo-Sim: A generalised generative model with a physical latent space. In Proceedings of the Workshop on Machine Learning and the Physical Sciences, NeurIPS, 2021. https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.10629
- 3. Belousov Y., Pulfer B., Chaban R., Tutt J., Taran O., Holotyak T., Voloshynovskiy S. Digital twins of physical printing-imaging channel. In Proceedings of the IEEE International Workshop on Information Forensics and Security, 2022. https://doi.org/10.1109/WIFS55849.2022.9975439



- Adversarial Latent Auto-Encoder
- (Slightly) modified TURBO term Still maximising mutual information