Resistive wall impedance for pipes with arbit any cross-section

VACI Suite

Ali Rajabi



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under grant agreement No 951754



HELMHOLTZ

Contents

01 Resistive Wall Impedance (Basic theory behind the code)

02 VACI Suite and Benchmarks

03 Results

04 Future plans

Electromagnetic field carried by an ultra-relativistic point charge

A simplified concept of resistive wall wake field



Chao, Alexander Wu. "Physics of collective beam instabilities in high energy accelerators." Wiley series in beam physics and accelerator technology (1993)

NEG coating

Why NEG is important

- To achieve ultra high vacuum (UHV) in accelerators people usually use Non-Evaporable Getter (NEG) coating on the inner side of vacuum chambers. Many accelerators such as CERN LHC, ESRF, etc. utilize this method and they successfully reached to UHV.
- One of the NEG coating that I know is TiZrV ternary alloy (such as 30% Titanium, 30% Zirconium and 40% Vanadium). A typical conductivity of such chamber materials is around $\sigma = 1.098e6$ which is around ~50 times less than copper conductivity of $\sigma = 5.87e7$.
- NEG coating may increase the resistive-wall impedance of the machine significantly.

Electric Field patterns

Due to Monopole, Dipole and Quadrupole electron distribution



A mathematical approach to RW impedance

Solving maxwell's equations

Wake field (for uniform ring)





Resistive Wall Impedance Simulation

Existing Simulation Codes

Maxwell Solvers vs Analytical Solvers

Maxwell's Equations solvers:

- ImpedanceWake2D by Mounet * (free)
- BeamImpedance2D by Niedermayer ** (free)
- Yokoya's Code *** (free)
- ECHO -1 / 2 / 3D code by Zagorodnov **** (free)
- CST Microwave Studio (commercially available)
- GDFIDL (commercially available)
- VACI Suite

Analytical formulas solvers:

- ReWall developed by Mounet et al CERN
- Numerical impedance calculations by Doliwa et al and Niedermayer
- Mathematica code developed in DESY
- CETA by Chao Li @ DESY for RW Impedance
- And ...

* https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/ABPComputing/ImpedanceWake2D

** Niedermayer, Uwe, Oliver Boine-Frankenheim, and Herbert De Gersem. "Space charge and resistive wall impedance computation in the frequency domain using the finite element method." *Physical Review Special Topics-Accelerators and Beams* 18.3 (2015): 032001.

*** Yokoya, Kaoru. "Resistive wall impedance of beam pipes of general cross section." Part. Accel. 41.KEK-Preprint-92-196 (1993): 221-248.

**** https://echo4d.de/

VACI suite a versatile tool to calculate RW impedance

Introduction

VACI (VAcuum Chamber Impedance) suite



Maxwell's Equations

 $\rho(r,z;\omega)=J_z(r,z;\omega)$

$$J_n = \frac{Q_n}{A} \sigma(r; a, b) e^{in\theta} e^{-iks}$$

where:

 $\sigma(r; a, b)$ means particles are in a ring with a thicknes of (b-a) A is the ring area

 $\boldsymbol{ heta}$ is the angle distribution of electrons around the ring

$$\vec{E} = -\vec{\nabla}\varphi - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\vec{A}$$
 And $\vec{B} = \vec{\nabla}\times\vec{A}$

$\vec{\nabla}.\vec{A}=0$	Coulomb gauge
$\partial_t \Longrightarrow -i\omega$	Fourier Transform
$\partial_z \Longrightarrow -i \omega / v$	Long pipe Appr.

$$\begin{cases} \vec{\nabla}. \left(\varepsilon \vec{\nabla} \varphi \right) = \rho_m \\ \vec{\nabla} \times \left(\frac{1}{\mu} \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A} \right) - \varepsilon \omega^2 \vec{A} = -J_n \hat{e}_z + i\varepsilon \omega \vec{\nabla} \varphi \end{cases}$$

Based on: Robert L. Gluckstern, Elias Métral, and Uwe Niedermayer

$$W_0'(z) = -\frac{1}{q Q} \int_0^L F_s \, ds = -\frac{1}{Q} \int_0^L E_s \, ds$$

$$Z_m^{\prime\prime}(\omega) = -\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} W_m^{\prime}(z) e^{jkz} \frac{dz}{\upsilon} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} W_m^{\prime}(t) e^{jks} e^{-j\omega t} dt$$

$$W_{1}(z) = -\frac{1}{q Q a} \int_{0}^{L} F_{x} ds = -\frac{1}{Q a} \int_{0}^{L} (E_{x} - v B_{y}) ds$$

$$Z_m^{\perp}(\omega) = j \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} W_m(z) e^{jkz} \frac{dz}{\upsilon} = -j \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} W_m(t) e^{jks} e^{-j\omega t} dt$$

Based on: Robert L. Gluckstern, Elias Métral, and Uwe Niedermayer

$$\underline{\vec{Z}}(\vec{r}_{1}^{\perp},\vec{r}_{2}^{\perp},\omega) = -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \vec{W}(\vec{r}_{1}^{\perp},\vec{r}_{2}^{\perp},s)e^{-i\omega s/\nu} \frac{ds}{\nu}. \qquad \underline{\vec{Z}}(\vec{r}_{1}^{\perp},\vec{r}_{2}^{\perp},\omega) = -\frac{1}{q_{1}q_{2}}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \underline{\vec{F}}(\vec{r}_{1}^{\perp},\vec{r}_{2}^{\perp},z,\omega)e^{+i\omega z/\nu} dz,$$
Single particle
one should note that the integral is not a Fourier transform, but
the wake integration in the frequency domain.
$$\begin{bmatrix} \vec{J}_{s}(\vec{r}_{\perp},z,t) = q_{1}\sigma(\vec{r}_{\perp})\delta(z-\nu t)\vec{v} \\ \underline{\vec{J}}_{s}(\vec{r}_{\perp},z,\omega) = q_{1}\sigma(\vec{r}_{\perp})e^{-i\omega z/\nu}\vec{e}_{z}.
\end{bmatrix}$$
Integrating over the beam in FD
Like Convolution in TD
$$\underline{\vec{Z}}(\omega,\vec{r}_{2}^{\perp}) = -\frac{1}{q_{1}q_{2}}\int_{\text{beam}}\underline{\vec{E}}(\vec{r}_{1}^{\perp},\vec{r}_{2}^{\perp},z,\omega)e^{i\omega z/\nu}\sigma(\vec{r}_{1}^{\perp})dr_{1}^{\perp}dz.$$

$$\underline{Z}_{\parallel}(\omega) = -\frac{1}{q^{2}}\int_{\text{beam}}\underline{\vec{E}}\cdot\underline{\vec{J}}_{s}^{*}dV.$$

Based on: Robert L. Gluckstern, Elias Métral, and Uwe Niedermayer

Non axis-symmetric structures => A current density with some azimuthal Fourier component may create an electromagnetic field with various different azimuthal Fourier components => A more general beam coupling impedance is defined in order to treat coupling of different azimuthal Fourier components

$$Z_{m,n}(\omega) = \int d\nu E_m * J_n^* \qquad \text{over the beam area}$$
$$J_n = \frac{Q}{2 \pi a^{|n|+1}} \,\delta(r-a) e^{jn\vartheta} e^{-jks} \qquad \text{and } m, n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$$

assuming the principle of superposition:

$$\overline{J}_{m} = J_{m} + J_{-m}$$

$$\overline{E}_{m} = E_{m} + E_{-m}$$

$$\overline{Z}_{m} (\omega) = -\frac{1}{Q^{2}} \int dV (E_{m} + E_{-m}) (J_{m}^{*} + J_{-m}^{*})$$

$$\overline{Z}_{m} = Z_{m,m} + Z_{m,-m} + Z_{-m,m} + Z_{-m,-m}$$

Based on: Robert L. Gluckstern, Elias Métral, and Uwe Niedermayer

Applying Panofksy-Wenzel theorem, one can obtain transverse impedance based on Longitudinal one:

$$k \ Z^{\perp} = \nabla_{2}^{\perp} \ Z \longrightarrow \text{Here, Indice of 2 means witness particle}$$

$$k \ Z_{x} = (Z_{0,1} + Z_{0,-1}) + (x_{1}) \overline{Z}_{x} + j \ y_{1} (-Z_{1,-1} - Z_{1,1} + Z_{-1,-1} + Z_{-1,1}) + 2 (Z_{0,2} + Z_{0,-2}) (x_{2}) + 2 (Z_{0,2} - Z_{0,-2}) j \ y_{2}$$

$$k \ \overline{Z_{y}} = j (Z_{0,1} - Z_{0,-1}) + (y_{1}) \overline{Z}_{y} + j \ x_{1} (-Z_{1,-1} + Z_{1,1} - Z_{-1,-1} + Z_{-1,1}) - 2 (Z_{0,2} + Z_{0,-2}) (y_{2}) + 2 (Z_{0,2} - Z_{0,-2}) j \ x_{2}$$

$$Z_{x}^{\text{driving}} = \overline{Z}_{x} \ / \ k \qquad Z_{y}^{\text{driving}} = \overline{Z}_{y} \ / \ k \qquad Z^{\text{detuning}} = -2 (Z_{0,2} + Z_{0,-2}) \ / \ A$$

VACI Results

VACI results for Space-Charge

Round pipe



Gluckstern, Robert L. "Analytic methods for calculating coupling impedances." (2000).

VACI results for Round pipe

Impedance calculation



Energy: 15 GeV, Round Pipe: r = 35 mm Length = 1 m

1012

1012

VACI results for Oval pipe

Impedance calculation

Energy: 15 GeV, Ellipese pipe: r1 =35 mm- r2=20 mm, Round Pipe: r = 20 mm

Yokoya's Form Factors:

R [mm]	Long	X dip	Y dip	X quad	Y quad
20	1.0	0.46323	0.84038	-0.37701	0.38219



Detuning

Monopole



Dipole

VACI results for Semi-Round pipe

Detuning Impedance calculation

Energy: 15 GeV, Ellipese pipe: r1 =35.00 mm- r2=34.99 & 34.999 mm Round Pipe: r = 35.00 mm





DESY. | Resistive Wall Impedance| Ali Rajabi, FCCIS, 07.12.2022

VACI results for Multi-Layer vacuum chamber

Impedance calculation of Round pipe With NEG coating

DESY. | Resistive Wall Impedance | Ali Rajabi, FCCIS, 07.12.2022

VACI also can give results in time domain

Longitudinal Wake field (E_z)

iFFT method:

uneven sampling and a piecewise polynomial interpolation (cubic Hermite interpolation) {Based on <u>Nicolas Mounet</u> Ph.D. thesis + some small upgrades}

Mounet, Nicolas. The LHC transverse coupled-bunch instability. No. THESIS. EPFL, 2012.

VACI also \rightarrow Wakefields with and without NEG

-25

-30 -

100

200

300

400

length [μ m]

600

500

700

800

VACI also → Wakefields with and without NEG

Undulator chamber PETRAIV

VACI results for PETRA IV

Monopole and Dipole impedances

DESY. | Resistive Wall Impedance| Ali Rajabi, FCCIS, 07.12.2022

VACI results for PETRA IV

Dipole and Detuning impedances

DESY. | Resistive Wall Impedance| Ali Rajabi, FCCIS, 07.12.2022

Undulator APPLE III PETRA IV

APPLE II, APPLE III [1], DELTA [2], proposed SwissFEL UE40 [3].

[1] J. Bahrdt et al., "Undulators for the BESSY Soft XRay-FEL", FEL2004, Trieste, 2004, pp.610-613.

[2] A. Temnykh, "Delta undulator for Cornell energy recovery linac", Physical Review Special Topics Accelerators and Beams 11, 120702 (2008).

[3] Schmidt, Th, et al. "Magnetic design of an APPLE III undulator for SwissFEL." Proceedings of FEL. 2014.

DESY. | Resistive Wall Impedance| Ali Rajabi, FCCIS, 07.12.2022

VACI results for Star shape pipe

Copper Vacuum chamber Length: 1m No NEG considered.

Monopole and dipole impedance

VACI results for star shape vs Petra IV

Dipole and Detuning impedance

0.04

FCC Booster elements and vacuum chamber

FCC booster and main rings Geometries

Impedance sources

- I. Beam pipes and Resistive Wall Impedance
- II. RF Cavities (No. 56 in a 4-cell array)
- III. RF Cavity Tapers (No. 14 double tapers)
- IV. Synchrotron Radiation (SR) absorbers
- V. Collimators (No. 20)
- VI. Beam Position Monitors (No. 4000)
- VII. Comb-Type RF shielding for bellows (No. 8000)

RW impedance

RW wakefield

RW wakefield

Resistive Wall Impedance | Ali Rajabi, FCCIS, 07.12.2022

RW compare

DESY. | Resistive Wall Impedance | Ali Rajabi, FCCIS, 07.12.2022

Copper Vacuum chamber Length: 1m No NEG considered.

Star shape

| Resistive Wall Impedance | Ali Rajabi, FCCIS, 07.12.2022

Page 38

Conclusion

And Future plans

- I. Code can calculate 2D Impedance and wakefield in General Cross Section
- II. 3D solver is under development.
- III. GPU acceleration is going to be added to the code for 3D version.
- IV. For FCC main ring and booster:
 - 1. Obtaining wakefields for the correct geometry
 - 2. Studying the NEG thickness in main ring and copper thickness in booster ring
 - 3. Using X-suite for beam dynamic simulation based previous step.

Thank you for your Attention

Please feel free to share any Ideas, discussion, suggestions?

Contact

Deutsches Elektronen-Ali RajabiSynchrotron DESYMPY – PE

www.desy.de

Ali Rajabi MPY – PETRA III Ali.Rajabi@desy.de