Diffeomorphism Covariance and the Quantum Schwarzschild Interior

Published on Universe 2024, 10(2), 89. [3]

Rafael Guolo Dias

with Jonathan Engle and Ian Bornhoeft

Florida Atlantic University

LOOPS'24, May 2024

Rafael G. Dias

Diffeomorphism Covariance and the Quantum Schwarzschild Interior

LOOPS'24, May 2024

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

Goal:

To derive a family of dynamics for the Schwarzschild interior model by requesting the quantum framework to be covariant under residual diffeomorphisms.

1 Introduction

2 Diffeomorphism Covariance and Quantization

3 Literature

4 Conclusion

Rafael G. Dias

Diffeomorphism Covariance and the Quantum Schwarzschild Interior

LOOPS'24, May 2024

- Background independence (diffeomorphism covariance) is a key feature of the formulation of General Relativity.
- Loop Quantum Gravity (LQG) is built based on this principle.
- Loop Quantum Cosmology (LQC) applies quantization techniques analogous to LQG to symmetry-reduced models, but does not require diffeomorphism covariance a priori.
- Engle & Vilensky (2018,2019) show for homogeneous isotropic LQC and Bianchi I models that a family of dynamics can be derived from residual diffeomorphism covariance [5, 6],
 - uniqueness can be achieved by requiring the Hamiltonian to have a minimal number of terms.
- Requiring the same for Schwarzschild interior model contributes to reduce ambiguities in its construction.

- Background independence (diffeomorphism covariance) is a key feature of the formulation of General Relativity.
- Loop Quantum Gravity (LQG) is built based on this principle.
- Loop Quantum Cosmology (LQC) applies quantization techniques analogous to LQG to symmetry-reduced models, but does not require diffeomorphism covariance a priori.
- Engle & Vilensky (2018,2019) show for homogeneous isotropic LQC and Bianchi I models that a family of dynamics can be derived from residual diffeomorphism covariance [5, 6],
 - uniqueness can be achieved by requiring the Hamiltonian to have a minimal number of terms.
- Requiring the same for Schwarzschild interior model contributes to reduce ambiguities in its construction.

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

Really fast-track Kantowski-Sachs

• Homogeneous model with spatial section of topology $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, equivalent to Schwarzschild, and geometry described by pairs (b, p_b) and (c, p_c) , such that

$$\begin{split} \{b, p_b\} &= G\gamma \quad \text{and} \quad \{c, p_c\} = 2G\gamma, \\ ds^2 &= -N^2 d\tau^2 + \frac{p_b^2}{|p_c|L_0^2} dx^2 + |p_c| d\Omega^2, \\ V &= 4\pi |p_b| \sqrt{|p_c|}. \end{split}$$

Ashtekar-Barbero variables:

$$\begin{aligned} A_a^1 &= -b\sin\theta\partial_a\phi, & E_1^a &= -\frac{p_b}{L_0}\phi^a \\ A_a^2 &= b\partial_a\theta, & E_2^a &= \frac{p_b}{L_0}\sin\theta\theta^a \\ A_a^3 &= \frac{c}{L_0}\partial_a x + \cos\theta\partial_a\phi, & E_3^a &= p_c\sin\theta x^a \end{aligned}$$

• Hamiltonian Constraint (with choice of lapse $N = V^n$)

$$H_{c\ell}[N] = -\frac{V^{n+1}}{8\pi G\gamma^2} \operatorname{sgn} p_b \left[\frac{b^2 + \gamma^2}{p_c} + \frac{2bc}{p_b}\right]$$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨ

Really fast-track Kantowski-Sachs

• Homogeneous model with spatial section of topology $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, equivalent to Schwarzschild, and geometry described by pairs (b, p_b) and (c, p_c) , such that

$$\begin{split} \{b, p_b\} &= G\gamma \quad \text{and} \quad \{c, p_c\} = 2G\gamma, \\ ds^2 &= -N^2 d\tau^2 + \frac{p_b^2}{|p_c|L_0^2} dx^2 + |p_c| d\Omega^2, \\ V &= 4\pi |p_b| \sqrt{|p_c|}. \end{split}$$

Ashtekar-Barbero variables:

$$\begin{aligned} A_a^1 &= -b\sin\theta\partial_a\phi, \qquad & E_1^a &= -\frac{p_b}{L_0}\phi^a \\ A_a^2 &= b\partial_a\theta, \qquad & E_2^a &= \frac{p_b}{L_0}\sin\theta\theta^a \\ A_a^3 &= \frac{c}{L_0}\partial_a x + \cos\theta\partial_a\phi, \qquad & E_3^a &= p_c\sin\theta x^a \end{aligned}$$

• Hamiltonian Constraint (with choice of lapse $N = V^n$)

$$H_{c\ell}[N] = -\frac{V^{n+1}}{8\pi G\gamma^2} \operatorname{sgn} p_b \left[\frac{b^2 + \gamma^2}{p_c} + \frac{2bc}{p_b} \right]$$

LOOPS'24, May 2024

イロト イボト イヨト イヨ

Covariance equation

• Residual diffeomorphisms: group of transformations preserving the form of (A, E).

$$\mathcal{L}_{\vec{v}} A_a^i(t) = \dot{A}_a^i = \frac{\partial A_a^i}{\partial b} \dot{b}(t) + \frac{\partial A_a^i}{\partial c} \dot{c}(t)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\vec{v}} E_i^a(t) = \dot{E}_i^a = \frac{\partial E_i^a}{\partial p_b} \dot{p}_b(t) + \frac{\partial E_i^a}{\partial p_c} \dot{p}_c(t)$$

Flow equations result in

$$\dot{b}=0$$
 , $\dot{p}_b=p_b$, $\dot{c}=c$, $\dot{p}_c=0$

and the Hamiltonian transforms as

$$\dot{H}_{c\ell} = (n+1)H_{c\ell}.$$

- Transformations are <u>non-canonical</u>
 - We cannot directly apply the canonical recipe $\dot{F} = \{\Lambda, F\} \Rightarrow \hat{F} = \frac{1}{i\hbar} \left| \hat{F}, \hat{\Lambda} \right|$.
 - Nevertheless, variables can be cast in a related form

$$\dot{F} = \frac{p_b}{\gamma G} \left\{ b, F \right\} - \frac{c}{2\gamma G} \left\{ p_c, F \right\}.$$

Covariance equation for \hat{H} (choosing the Weyl ordering for quantizing products):

$$(n+1)\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2i\gamma\ell_p^2} \left\{ \hat{p}_b \left[\hat{b}, \hat{H} \right] + \left[\hat{b}, \hat{H} \right] \hat{p}_b \right\} - \frac{1}{4i\gamma\ell_p^2} \left\{ \hat{c} \left[\hat{p}_c, \hat{H} \right] + \left[\hat{p}_c, \hat{H} \right] \hat{c} \right\}.$$

Covariance equation

• Residual diffeomorphisms: group of transformations preserving the form of (A, E).

$$\mathcal{L}_{\vec{v}} A_a^i(t) = \dot{A}_a^i = \frac{\partial A_a^i}{\partial b} \dot{b}(t) + \frac{\partial A_a^i}{\partial c} \dot{c}(t)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\vec{v}} E_i^a(t) = \dot{E}_i^a = \frac{\partial E_i^a}{\partial p_b} \dot{p}_b(t) + \frac{\partial E_i^a}{\partial p_c} \dot{p}_c(t)$$

Flow equations result in

$$\dot{b}=0$$
 , $\dot{p}_b=p_b$, $\dot{c}=c$, $\dot{p}_c=0$

and the Hamiltonian transforms as

$$\dot{H}_{c\ell} = (n+1)H_{c\ell}.$$

- Transformations are <u>non-canonical</u>
 - We cannot directly apply the canonical recipe $\dot{F} = \{\Lambda, F\} \Rightarrow \dot{\hat{F}} = \frac{1}{i\hbar} \left[\hat{F}, \hat{\Lambda}\right].$
 - Nevertheless, variables can be cast in a related form

$$\dot{F} = \frac{p_b}{\gamma G} \left\{ b, F \right\} - \frac{c}{2\gamma G} \left\{ p_c, F \right\}.$$

• Covariance equation for \hat{H} (choosing the Weyl ordering for quantizing products):

$$(n+1)\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2i\gamma\ell_p^2} \left\{ \hat{p}_b \left[\hat{b}, \hat{H} \right] + \left[\hat{b}, \hat{H} \right] \hat{p}_b \right\} - \frac{1}{4i\gamma\ell_p^2} \left\{ \hat{c} \left[\hat{p}_c, \hat{H} \right] + \left[\hat{p}_c, \hat{H} \right] \hat{c} \right\}.$$

Covariance equation

• Residual diffeomorphisms: group of transformations preserving the form of (A, E).

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\vec{v}} A_a^i(t) &= \dot{A}_a^i = \frac{\partial A_a^i}{\partial b} \dot{b}(t) + \frac{\partial A_a^i}{\partial c} \dot{c}(t) \\ \mathcal{L}_{\vec{v}} E_i^a(t) &= \dot{E}_i^a = \frac{\partial E_i^a}{\partial p_b} \dot{p}_b(t) + \frac{\partial E_i^a}{\partial p_c} \dot{p}_c(t) \end{aligned}$$

Flow equations result in

$$\dot{b}=0$$
 , $\dot{p}_b=p_b$, $\dot{c}=c$, $\dot{p}_c=0$

and the Hamiltonian transforms as

$$\dot{H}_{c\ell} = (n+1)H_{c\ell}.$$

- Transformations are <u>non-canonical</u>
 - We cannot directly apply the canonical recipe $\dot{F} = \{\Lambda, F\} \Rightarrow \dot{F} = \frac{1}{i\hbar} \left[\hat{F}, \hat{\Lambda} \right]$.
 - Nevertheless, variables can be cast in a related form

$$\dot{F} = \frac{p_b}{\gamma G} \left\{ b, F \right\} - \frac{c}{2\gamma G} \left\{ p_c, F \right\}.$$

• Covariance equation for \hat{H} (choosing the Weyl ordering for quantizing products):

$$(n+1)\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2i\gamma\ell_p^2} \left\{ \hat{p}_b \left[\hat{b}, \hat{H} \right] + \left[\hat{b}, \hat{H} \right] \hat{p}_b \right\} - \frac{1}{4i\gamma\ell_p^2} \left\{ \hat{c} \left[\hat{p}_c, \hat{H} \right] + \left[\hat{p}_c, \hat{H} \right] \hat{c} \right\}.$$

• Covariance equation for \hat{H} :

$$(n+1)\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2i\gamma\ell_p^2} \left\{ \hat{p}_b \left[\hat{b}, \hat{H} \right] + \left[\hat{b}, \hat{H} \right] \hat{p}_b \right\} - \frac{1}{4i\gamma\ell_p^2} \left\{ \hat{c} \left[\hat{p}_c, \hat{H} \right] + \left[\hat{p}_c, \hat{H} \right] \hat{c} \right\}.$$

- **I** *b* and *c* are not properly defined in the Bohr Hilbert space arising from loop quantization (only exponentials), so first find the general solution for the matrix elements $\langle p''_b, p''_c | \hat{H} | p'_b, p'_c \rangle$ in the standard Schrödinger representation, and use completeness of momentum basis to obtain the action of the \hat{H} on a general state $|p'_b, p'_c\rangle$.
- Impose of preservation of the Bohr Hilbert space of LQC + hermiticity and parity symmetries of (b, p_b) and (c, p_c) ,
- Physical assumption: quantization is resultant from the fact that holonomies can only be shrank to a minimum area Δ, which is dependent only on the absolute values of the momentum variables,
- Define the classical analogue (effective Hamiltonian) H of the operator H as the preimage under quantization map.

$$\begin{split} I &= |p_b|^{n+1} a_0 \operatorname{sgn}(p_b p_c) + |p_b|^{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^{M} \left(a_k \operatorname{sgn}(p_b p_c) \cos(A_k(|p_c|)b) \cos\left(B_k(|p_c|)\frac{c}{|p_b|}\right) \\ &+ b_k \operatorname{sgn}(p_b) \cos(A_k(|p_c|)b) \sin\left(B_k(|p_c|)\frac{c}{|p_b|}\right) + c_k \operatorname{sgn}(p_c) \sin(A_k(|p_c|)b) \cos\left(B_k(|p_c|)\frac{c}{|p_b|}\right) \\ &+ d_k \sin(A_k(|p_c|)b) \sin\left(B_k(|p_c|)\frac{c}{|p_b|}\right) \right) \end{split}$$

• Covariance equation for \hat{H} :

$$(n+1)\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2i\gamma\ell_p^2} \left\{ \hat{p}_b \left[\hat{b}, \hat{H} \right] + \left[\hat{b}, \hat{H} \right] \hat{p}_b \right\} - \frac{1}{4i\gamma\ell_p^2} \left\{ \hat{c} \left[\hat{p}_c, \hat{H} \right] + \left[\hat{p}_c, \hat{H} \right] \hat{c} \right\}.$$

- **I** b and c are not properly defined in the Bohr Hilbert space arising from loop quantization (only exponentials), so first find the general solution for the matrix elements $\langle p_b'', p_c'' | \hat{H} | p_b', p_c' \rangle$ in the standard Schrödinger representation, and use completeness of momentum basis to obtain the action of the \hat{H} on a general state $|p_b', p_c'\rangle$,
- Impose of preservation of the Bohr Hilbert space of LQC + hermiticity and parity symmetries of (b, p_b) and (c, p_c) ,
- Physical assumption: quantization is resultant from the fact that holonomies can only be shrank to a minimum area \(\Delta\), which is dependent only on the absolute values of the momentum variables,
- Define the classical analogue (effective Hamiltonian) H of the operator H as the preimage under quantization map.

$$\begin{split} H &= |p_b|^{n+1} a_0 \operatorname{sgn}(p_b p_c) + |p_b|^{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^{M} \left(a_k \operatorname{sgn}(p_b p_c) \cos(A_k(|p_c|)b) \cos\left(B_k(|p_c|)\frac{c}{|p_b|}\right) \\ &+ b_k \operatorname{sgn}(p_b) \cos(A_k(|p_c|)b) \sin\left(B_k(|p_c|)\frac{c}{|p_b|}\right) + c_k \operatorname{sgn}(p_c) \sin(A_k(|p_c|)b) \cos\left(B_k(|p_c|)\frac{c}{|p_b|}\right) \\ &+ d_k \sin(A_k(|p_c|)b) \sin\left(B_k(|p_c|)\frac{c}{|p_b|}\right) \end{split}$$

Covariance equation for *Ĥ*:

$$(n+1)\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2i\gamma\ell_p^2} \left\{ \hat{p}_b \left[\hat{b}, \hat{H} \right] + \left[\hat{b}, \hat{H} \right] \hat{p}_b \right\} - \frac{1}{4i\gamma\ell_p^2} \left\{ \hat{c} \left[\hat{p}_c, \hat{H} \right] + \left[\hat{p}_c, \hat{H} \right] \hat{c} \right\}.$$

- **I** b and c are not properly defined in the Bohr Hilbert space arising from loop quantization (only exponentials), so first find the general solution for the matrix elements $\langle p_b'', p_c'' | \hat{H} | p_b', p_c' \rangle$ in the standard Schrödinger representation, and use completeness of momentum basis to obtain the action of the \hat{H} on a general state $|p_b', p_c'\rangle$,
- **2** Impose of preservation of the Bohr Hilbert space of LQC + hermiticity and parity symmetries of (b, p_b) and (c, p_c) ,
- Physical assumption: quantization is resultant from the fact that holonomies can only be shrank to a minimum area \(\Delta\), which is dependent only on the absolute values of the momentum variables,
- Define the *classical analogue* (*effective Hamiltonian*) H of the operator H as the preimage under quantization map.

$$\begin{split} H &= |p_b|^{n+1} a_0 \operatorname{sgn}(p_b p_c) + |p_b|^{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^M \left(a_k \operatorname{sgn}(p_b p_c) \cos(A_k(|p_c|)b) \cos\left(B_k(|p_c|)\frac{c}{|p_b|}\right) \right. \\ &+ b_k \operatorname{sgn}(p_b) \cos(A_k(|p_c|)b) \sin\left(B_k(|p_c|)\frac{c}{|p_b|}\right) + c_k \operatorname{sgn}(p_c) \sin(A_k(|p_c|)b) \cos\left(B_k(|p_c|)\frac{c}{|p_b|}\right) \\ &+ d_k \sin(A_k(|p_c|)b) \sin\left(B_k(|p_c|)\frac{c}{|p_b|}\right) \end{split}$$

Covariance equation for *Ĥ*:

$$(n+1)\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2i\gamma\ell_p^2} \left\{ \hat{p}_b \left[\hat{b}, \hat{H} \right] + \left[\hat{b}, \hat{H} \right] \hat{p}_b \right\} - \frac{1}{4i\gamma\ell_p^2} \left\{ \hat{c} \left[\hat{p}_c, \hat{H} \right] + \left[\hat{p}_c, \hat{H} \right] \hat{c} \right\}.$$

- **I** b and c are not properly defined in the Bohr Hilbert space arising from loop quantization (only exponentials), so first find the general solution for the matrix elements $\langle p_b'', p_c'' | \hat{H} | p_b', p_c' \rangle$ in the standard Schrödinger representation, and use completeness of momentum basis to obtain the action of the \hat{H} on a general state $|p_b', p_c'\rangle$,
- **2** Impose of preservation of the Bohr Hilbert space of LQC + hermiticity and parity symmetries of (b, p_b) and (c, p_c) ,
- Physical assumption: quantization is resultant from the fact that holonomies can only be shrank to a minimum area Δ, which is dependent only on the absolute values of the momentum variables,
- Define the *classical analogue* (effective Hamiltonian) H of the operator H as the preimage under quantization map.

$$\begin{split} H &= |p_b|^{n+1} a_0 \operatorname{sgn}(p_b p_c) + |p_b|^{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^{M} \left(a_k \operatorname{sgn}(p_b p_c) \cos(A_k(|p_c|)b) \cos\left(B_k(|p_c|)\frac{c}{|p_b|}\right) \\ &+ b_k \operatorname{sgn}(p_b) \cos(A_k(|p_c|)b) \sin\left(B_k(|p_c|)\frac{c}{|p_b|}\right) + c_k \operatorname{sgn}(p_c) \sin(A_k(|p_c|)b) \cos\left(B_k(|p_c|)\frac{c}{|p_b|}\right) \\ &+ d_k \sin(A_k(|p_c|)b) \sin\left(B_k(|p_c|)\frac{c}{|p_b|}\right) \end{split}$$

Covariance equation for *Ĥ*:

$$(n+1)\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2i\gamma\ell_p^2} \left\{ \hat{p}_b \left[\hat{b}, \hat{H} \right] + \left[\hat{b}, \hat{H} \right] \hat{p}_b \right\} - \frac{1}{4i\gamma\ell_p^2} \left\{ \hat{c} \left[\hat{p}_c, \hat{H} \right] + \left[\hat{p}_c, \hat{H} \right] \hat{c} \right\}.$$

- **I** b and c are not properly defined in the Bohr Hilbert space arising from loop quantization (only exponentials), so first find the general solution for the matrix elements $\langle p_b'', p_c'' | \hat{H} | p_b', p_c' \rangle$ in the standard Schrödinger representation, and use completeness of momentum basis to obtain the action of the \hat{H} on a general state $|p_b', p_c'\rangle$,
- **2** Impose of preservation of the Bohr Hilbert space of LQC + hermiticity and parity symmetries of (b, p_b) and (c, p_c) ,
- Physical assumption: quantization is resultant from the fact that holonomies can only be shrank to a minimum area Δ, which is dependent only on the absolute values of the momentum variables,
- 4 Define the classical analogue (effective Hamiltonian) H of the operator H as the preimage under quantization map.

$$\begin{split} H &= |p_b|^{n+1} a_0 \operatorname{sgn}(p_b p_c) + |p_b|^{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^M \left(a_k \operatorname{sgn}(p_b p_c) \cos(A_k(|p_c|)b) \cos\left(B_k(|p_c|)\frac{c}{|p_b|}\right) \right. \\ &+ b_k \operatorname{sgn}(p_b) \cos(A_k(|p_c|)b) \sin\left(B_k(|p_c|)\frac{c}{|p_b|}\right) + c_k \operatorname{sgn}(p_c) \sin(A_k(|p_c|)b) \cos\left(B_k(|p_c|)\frac{c}{|p_b|}\right) \\ &+ d_k \sin(A_k(|p_c|)b) \sin\left(B_k(|p_c|)\frac{c}{|p_b|}\right) \end{split}$$

LOOPS'24, May 2024

Classical asymptotic behavior

S Expanding H for the limit of low curvatures $(b, c \rightarrow 0)$, and matching terms of same order with H_{cl} , result in a system of equations to find a family of Hamiltonians, depending on the parameter M chosen

$$\mathcal{O}(1): \qquad -\frac{(4\pi)^{n}}{2G}|p_{c}|^{\frac{n-1}{2}} = a_{0} + \sum_{k=1}^{M} a_{k}$$
$$\mathcal{O}(b): \qquad 0 = \sum_{k=1}^{M} c_{k}A_{k}$$
$$\mathcal{O}(c): \qquad 0 = \sum_{k=1}^{M} b_{k}B_{k}$$
$$\mathcal{O}(bc): \qquad -\frac{(4\pi)^{n}}{G\gamma^{2}}|p_{c}|^{\frac{n+1}{2}} = \sum_{k=1}^{M} d_{k}A_{k}B_{k}$$
$$\mathcal{O}(b^{2}): \qquad \frac{(4\pi)^{n}}{G\gamma^{2}}|p_{c}|^{\frac{n-1}{2}} = \sum_{k=1}^{M} a_{k}A_{k}^{2}$$
$$\mathcal{O}(c^{2}): \qquad 0 = \sum_{k=1}^{M} a_{k}B_{k}^{2}$$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨ

Minimality matching literature

1 Minimality: Requiring Hamiltonian to have a minimum number of shifts (M = 2) results in

$$H = -\frac{V^{n+1}\operatorname{sgn}(b)}{8\pi G\gamma^2 p_c} \left(\gamma^2 + 2p_c \operatorname{sgn} p_b \frac{\sin(A_1b)}{A_1} \frac{\sin\left(B_1 \frac{c}{|p_b|}\right)}{B_1} + \frac{4\sin^2\left(\frac{A_2}{2}b\right)}{A_2^2}\right),$$

where A_1,A_2,B_1 are arbitrary functions of $\left|p_c\right|$ only.

- \rightarrow Given the presence of the ratio $\frac{|p_{b}|}{|p_{b}|}$, formulations based on μ_{0} prescription are not covariant under residual diffeomorphisms (as expected).
- → Choosing the $\bar{\mu}$ prescription $\left(A_1 = \sqrt{\frac{1}{|p_c|}}, B_1 = \sqrt{\frac{|p_c|}{\Delta}}, A_2 = 2A_1\right) \Rightarrow H$ matches Chiou (2008) [4] for n = 1 (harmonic time gauge), and Joe & Singh (2015) [7] for n = 0 (proper time).
- → It is worth to stress that minimality is not a physical requirement: it selects a <u>unique</u> result and does not allow different possible dynamics of the full theory to be represented.

Minimality matching literature

1 Minimality: Requiring Hamiltonian to have a minimum number of shifts (M = 2) results in

$$H = -\frac{V^{n+1}\operatorname{sgn}(b)}{8\pi G\gamma^2 p_c} \left(\gamma^2 + 2p_c \operatorname{sgn} p_b \frac{\sin(A_1b)}{A_1} \frac{\sin\left(B_1 \frac{c}{|p_b|}\right)}{B_1} + \frac{4\sin^2\left(\frac{A_2}{2}b\right)}{A_2^2}\right),$$

where A_1,A_2,B_1 are arbitrary functions of $\left|p_c\right|$ only.

- \rightarrow Given the presence of the ratio $\frac{c}{|p_b|}$, formulations based on μ_0 prescription are not covariant under residual diffeomorphisms (as expected).
- → Choosing the $\bar{\mu}$ prescription $\left(A_1 = \sqrt{\frac{\Delta}{|p_c|}}, B_1 = \sqrt{|p_c|\Delta}, A_2 = 2A_1\right) \Rightarrow H$ matches Chiou (2008) [4] for n = 1 (harmonic time gauge), and Joe & Singh (2015) [7] for n = 0 (proper time).
- → It is worth to stress that minimality is not a physical requirement: it selects a <u>unique</u> result and does not allow different possible dynamics of the full theory to be represented.

Minimality matching literature

1 Minimality: Requiring Hamiltonian to have a minimum number of shifts (M = 2) results in

$$H = -\frac{V^{n+1}\operatorname{sgn}(b)}{8\pi G\gamma^2 p_c} \left(\gamma^2 + 2p_c \operatorname{sgn} p_b \frac{\sin(A_1b)}{A_1} \frac{\sin\left(B_1 \frac{c}{|p_b|}\right)}{B_1} + \frac{4\sin^2\left(\frac{A_2}{2}b\right)}{A_2^2}\right),$$

where A_1,A_2,B_1 are arbitrary functions of $\left|p_c\right|$ only.

- \rightarrow Given the presence of the ratio $\frac{c}{|p_b|}$, formulations based on μ_0 prescription are not covariant under residual diffeomorphisms (as expected).
- → Choosing the $\bar{\mu}$ prescription $\left(A_1 = \sqrt{\frac{\Delta}{|p_c|}}, B_1 = \sqrt{|p_c|\Delta}, A_2 = 2A_1\right) \Rightarrow H$ matches Chiou (2008) [4] for n = 1 (harmonic time gauge), and Joe & Singh (2015) [7] for n = 0 (proper time).
- \rightarrow It is worth to stress that minimality is not a physical requirement: it selects a unique result and does not allow different possible dynamics of the full theory to be represented.

イロン イ団 とく ヨン イヨン

 Ashtekar, Olmedo & Singh (2018): The most well-developed and physically viable model proposed so far in the literature ([1, 2] + Ashtekar's lecture on Summer School)

Conditions:

- **1** Regularizing loops enclose a physical area equal the area gap Δ at the transition surface that replaces the classical singularity
- **2** Parameters δ_b and δ_c of regularization are Dirac observables (constant on dynamical trajectories),

Consequences:

- \checkmark Expansion and shear diverge at the horizon just as in classical GR,
- \checkmark Transition surface always occurs in a regime where quantum gravity effects are expected to be relevant (Kretschmann scalar \sim Planck scale),
- ✓ Radii and Komar masses of trapped and anti-trapped surfaces nearly symmetric.
- \checkmark Extension of the analysis to the exterior of the black hole.

Ashtekar, Olmedo & Singh (2018): The most well-developed and physically viable model proposed so far in the literature ([1, 2] + Ashtekar's lecture on Summer School)

Conditions:

- **1** Regularizing loops enclose a physical area equal the area gap Δ at the transition surface that replaces the classical singularity
- **2** Parameters δ_b and δ_c of regularization are Dirac observables (constant on dynamical trajectories),

Consequences:

- \checkmark Expansion and shear diverge at the horizon just as in classical GR,
- $\checkmark\,$ Transition surface always occurs in a regime where quantum gravity effects are expected to be relevant (Kretschmann scalar \sim Planck scale),
- ✓ Radii and Komar masses of trapped and anti-trapped surfaces nearly symmetric.
- \checkmark Extension of the analysis to the exterior of the black hole.

Comments on AOS Model

- * Attention point: polymerized version of the classical lapse $N_{cl} = \frac{\gamma}{b} \operatorname{sgn}(p_c) \sqrt{|p_c|}$
 - $\checkmark\,$ Simplifies the solution by decoupling (b,p_b) and (c,p_c) components;

$$H_{c\ell}[N] = -\frac{1}{2G\gamma} \left(p_b \left(b + \frac{\gamma^2}{b} \right) + 2cp_c \right) = H_b[N_{c\ell}] + H_c[N_{c\ell}].$$

Polymerized version replaces $\frac{1}{b}$ by $f(b) = \frac{\delta_b}{\sin(\delta_b b)}$, keeping the decouple.

- $\times \delta_b$ depends only on (b, p_b) and δ_c only on (c, p_c) , thus H is <u>not covariant</u> under residual diffeomorphisms;
 - ✓ however, key physical predictions calculated so far <u>are invariant</u> under residual diffeomorphisms → good motivation to seek an effective Hamiltonian that is exactly covariant under residual diffeomorphisms, even if it is more mathematically complex.
- imes f(b) needs an infinite number of terms to be quantized in \mathcal{H}_{Bohr} :
 - Casting f(b) into countable linear combination of shift operators requires its decomposition into a Fourier series;
 - f (b) is not absolutely integrable \rightarrow Fourier series exists only in the distributional sense, with infinite number of non-zero terms;
 - interpreting it as the distribution defined by its Cauchy principal value, the Fourier series includes only sine terms, with coefficients

$$b_n := \frac{2\mu^2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi/\mu} \frac{\sin(n\mu b)}{\sin(\mu b)} db = \begin{cases} 2\mu & \text{for } n \text{ odd} \\ 0 & \text{for } n \text{ even} \end{cases}$$
(1)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Comments on AOS Model

- \star Attention point: polymerized version of the classical lapse $N_{cl}=\frac{\gamma}{b}\,{\rm sgn}\,(p_c)\sqrt{|p_c|}$
 - $\checkmark~$ Simplifies the solution by decoupling (b,p_b) and (c,p_c) components;

$$H_{c\ell}[N] = -\frac{1}{2G\gamma} \left(p_b \left(b + \frac{\gamma^2}{b} \right) + 2cp_c \right) = H_b[N_{c\ell}] + H_c[N_{c\ell}].$$

Polymerized version replaces $\frac{1}{b}$ by $f(b) = \frac{\delta_b}{\sin(\delta_b b)}$, keeping the decouple.

- $\times~\delta_b$ depends only on (b,p_b) and δ_c only on $(c,p_c),$ thus H is not covariant under residual diffeomorphisms;
 - ✓ however, key physical predictions calculated so far <u>are invariant</u> under residual diffeomorphisms → good motivation to seek an effective Hamiltonian that is exactly covariant under residual diffeomorphisms, even if it is more mathematically complex.
- imes ~f(b) needs an infinite number of terms to be quantized in ${\cal H}_{Bohr}$:
 - Casting f(b) into countable linear combination of shift operators requires its decomposition into a Fourier series.
 - f (b) is not absolutely integrable \rightarrow Fourier series exists only in the distributional sense, with infinite number of non-zero terms;
 - Interpreting it as the distribution defined by its Cauchy principal value, the Fourier series includes only sine terms, with coefficients

$$b_n := \frac{2\mu^2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi/\mu} \frac{\sin(n\mu b)}{\sin(\mu b)} db = \begin{cases} 2\mu & \text{for } n \text{ odd} \\ 0 & \text{for } n \text{ even} \end{cases}$$
(1)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Comments on AOS Model

- * Attention point: polymerized version of the classical lapse $N_{cl} = \frac{\gamma}{b} \operatorname{sgn}{(p_c)} \sqrt{|p_c|}$
 - $\checkmark~$ Simplifies the solution by decoupling (b,p_b) and (c,p_c) components;

$$H_{c\ell}[N] = -\frac{1}{2G\gamma} \left(p_b \left(b + \frac{\gamma^2}{b} \right) + 2cp_c \right) = H_b[N_{c\ell}] + H_c[N_{c\ell}].$$

Polymerized version replaces $\frac{1}{b}$ by $f(b) = \frac{\delta_b}{\sin(\delta_b b)}$, keeping the decouple.

- $\times~\delta_b$ depends only on (b,p_b) and δ_c only on $(c,p_c),$ thus H is not covariant under residual diffeomorphisms;
 - ✓ however, key physical predictions calculated so far <u>are invariant</u> under residual diffeomorphisms → good motivation to seek an effective Hamiltonian that is exactly covariant under residual diffeomorphisms, even if it is more mathematically complex.
- \times f(b) needs an infinite number of terms to be quantized in \mathcal{H}_{Bohr} :
 - **I** Casting f(b) into countable linear combination of shift operators requires its decomposition into a Fourier series;
 - 2 f(b) is not absolutely integrable → Fourier series exists only in the distributional sense, with infinite number of non-zero terms;
 - interpreting it as the distribution defined by its Cauchy principal value, the Fourier series includes only sine terms, with coefficients

$$b_n := \frac{2\mu^2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi/\mu} \frac{\sin(n\mu b)}{\sin(\mu b)} db = \begin{cases} 2\mu & \text{for } n \text{ odd} \\ 0 & \text{for } n \text{ even} \end{cases}$$
(1)

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Comments on AOS Model

- \star Attention point: polymerized version of the classical lapse $N_{cl}=\frac{\gamma}{b}\,{\rm sgn}\,(p_c)\sqrt{|p_c|}$
 - $\checkmark~$ Simplifies the solution by decoupling (b,p_b) and (c,p_c) components;

$$H_{c\ell}[N] = -\frac{1}{2G\gamma} \left(p_b \left(b + \frac{\gamma^2}{b} \right) + 2cp_c \right) = H_b[N_{c\ell}] + H_c[N_{c\ell}].$$

Polymerized version replaces $\frac{1}{b}$ by $f(b) = \frac{\delta_b}{\sin(\delta_b b)}$, keeping the decouple.

- $\times~\delta_b$ depends only on (b,p_b) and δ_c only on $(c,p_c),$ thus H is not covariant under residual diffeomorphisms;
 - ✓ however, key physical predictions calculated so far <u>are invariant</u> under residual diffeomorphisms → good motivation to seek an effective Hamiltonian that is exactly covariant under residual diffeomorphisms, even if it is more mathematically complex.
- \times f(b) needs an infinite number of terms to be quantized in \mathcal{H}_{Bohr} :
 - **I** Casting f(b) into countable linear combination of shift operators requires its decomposition into a Fourier series;
 - 2 f(b) is not absolutely integrable → Fourier series exists only in the distributional sense, with infinite number of non-zero terms;
 - interpreting it as the distribution defined by its Cauchy principal value, the Fourier series includes only sine terms, with coefficients

$$b_n := \frac{2\mu^2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi/\mu} \frac{\sin(n\mu b)}{\sin(\mu b)} db = \begin{cases} 2\mu & \text{for } n \text{ odd} \\ 0 & \text{for } n \text{ even} \end{cases}$$
(1)

イロト イヨト イヨト

Requiring Diffeomorphism Covariance:

- ✓ allows to derive the quantum dynamics of the framework;
- ✓ mitigates ambiguities related to regularizing prescription;
- ✓ matches literature proposals when minimality is required;

2 AOS model:

- lapse decoupling the components lead to attention points;
- given the great results so far, there is good motivation to seek an effective Hamiltonian that is exactly covariant under residual diffeomorphisms, even if it is more mathematically complex.

Thank You!

Questions, suggestions, job offers??

A (1) > A (1) > A

References

References

- Ashtekar, A., Olmedo, J. & Singh, P. Quantum extension of the Kruskal spacetime. *Phys. Rev. D* 98, 126003. arXiv: 1806.02406 [gr-qc] (2018).
- Ashtekar, A., Olmedo, J. & Singh, P. in (ed Bambi, C.) 235–282 (Springer Nature Singapore, 2023). arXiv: 2301.01309 [gr-qc].
- Bornhoeft, I. W., Dias, R. G. & Engle, J. S. Diffeomorphism Covariance and the Quantum Schwarzschild Interior. Universe 10. ISSN: 2218-1997. https://www.mdpi.com/2218-1997/10/2/89 (2024).
- 4. Chiou, D.-W. Phenomenological dynamics of loop quantum cosmology in Kantowski-Sachs spacetime. *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 044019. arXiv: 0803.3659 [gr-qc] (2008).
- Engle, J. & Vilensky, I. Deriving loop quantum cosmology dynamics from diffeomorphism invariance. *Phys. Rev. D* 98, 023505. arXiv: 1802.01543 [gr-qc] (2018).
- Engle, J. & Vilensky, I. Uniqueness of minimal loop quantum cosmology dynamics. *Phys. Rev.* D 100, 121901. arXiv: 1902.01386 [gr-qc] (2019).
- Joe, A. & Singh, P. Kantowski-Sachs spacetime in loop quantum cosmology: bounds on expansion and shear scalars and the viability of quantization prescriptions. *Class. Quant. Grav.* 32, 015009. arXiv: 1407.2428 [gr-qc] (2015).

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト