Uniqueness Fock quantization of a massless scalar field inside of a nonrotating black hole

Alvaro Torres-Caballeros¹

In collaboration with Guillermo A. Mena Marugán¹, Jerónimo Cortez², Beatriz Elizaga Navascués³ and José M. Velhinho⁴.

Loops'24 Conferences

May 7, 2024

Instituto de Estructura de la Materia, CSIC, Spain¹ Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México ² Louisiana State University, USA ³ Universidade da Beira Interior, Portugal ⁴



• One of the main focuses of Loop Quantum Cosmology nowadays is the study of BH.

- One of the main focuses of Loop Quantum Cosmology nowadays is the study of BH.
- The interior region of a nonrotating BH is isometric to the Kantowski-Sachs cosmology (homogeneous but anisotropic).

- One of the main focuses of Loop Quantum Cosmology nowadays is the study of BH.
- The interior region of a nonrotating BH is isometric to the Kantowski-Sachs cosmology (homogeneous but anisotropic).
- How to treat perturbations and matter content in the interior of the BH?

- One of the main focuses of Loop Quantum Cosmology nowadays is the study of BH.
- The interior region of a nonrotating BH is isometric to the Kantowski-Sachs cosmology (homogeneous but anisotropic).
- How to treat perturbations and matter content in the interior of the BH?
- A possible strategy: Hybrid LQC. The background is quantized à la Loops and the fields à la Fock.

- One of the main focuses of Loop Quantum Cosmology nowadays is the study of BH.
- The interior region of a nonrotating BH is isometric to the Kantowski-Sachs cosmology (homogeneous but anisotropic).
- How to treat perturbations and matter content in the interior of the BH?
- A possible strategy: Hybrid LQC. The background is quantized à la Loops and the fields à la Fock.
- Even in QFT in CS there is no a unique way to proceed. Intrinsic ambiguities!

- One of the main focuses of Loop Quantum Cosmology nowadays is the study of BH.
- The interior region of a nonrotating BH is isometric to the Kantowski-Sachs cosmology (homogeneous but anisotropic).
- How to treat perturbations and matter content in the interior of the BH?
- A possible strategy: Hybrid LQC. The background is quantized à la Loops and the fields à la Fock.
- Even in QFT in CS there is no a unique way to proceed. Intrinsic ambiguities!
- The infinite number of degrees of freedom and the lack of symmetries opens the possibility of different and inequivalent representations.

- One of the main focuses of Loop Quantum Cosmology nowadays is the study of BH.
- The interior region of a nonrotating BH is isometric to the Kantowski-Sachs cosmology (homogeneous but anisotropic).
- How to treat perturbations and matter content in the interior of the BH?
- A possible strategy: Hybrid LQC. The background is quantized à la Loops and the fields à la Fock.
- Even in QFT in CS there is no a unique way to proceed. Intrinsic ambiguities!
- The infinite number of degrees of freedom and the lack of symmetries opens the possibility of different and inequivalent representations.
 - Different criteria have been put forward, in particular for isotropic cosmologies.

- One of the main focuses of Loop Quantum Cosmology nowadays is the study of BH.
- The interior region of a nonrotating BH is isometric to the Kantowski-Sachs cosmology (homogeneous but anisotropic).
- How to treat perturbations and matter content in the interior of the BH?
- A possible strategy: Hybrid LQC. The background is quantized à la Loops and the fields à la Fock.
- Even in QFT in CS there is no a unique way to proceed. Intrinsic ambiguities!
- The infinite number of degrees of freedom and the lack of symmetries opens the possibility of different and inequivalent representations.
 - Different criteria have been put forward, in particular for isotropic cosmologies.
- Unitary quantum dynamics prescription (come back later on).

- One of the main focuses of Loop Quantum Cosmology nowadays is the study of BH.
- The interior region of a nonrotating BH is isometric to the Kantowski-Sachs cosmology (homogeneous but anisotropic).
- How to treat perturbations and matter content in the interior of the BH?
- A possible strategy: Hybrid LQC. The background is quantized à la Loops and the fields à la Fock.
- Even in QFT in CS there is no a unique way to proceed. Intrinsic ambiguities!
- The infinite number of degrees of freedom and the lack of symmetries opens the possibility of different and inequivalent representations.
 - Different criteria have been put forward, in particular for isotropic cosmologies.
- Unitary quantum dynamics prescription (come back later on).
- Can we extend the prescription to the KS-anisotropic scenario?

Overview of the prescription

• Real massless scalar field minimally coupled to the background.

- Real massless scalar field minimally coupled to the background.
 - Line element:

- Real massless scalar field minimally coupled to the background.
 - Line element:

 $ds^2 = -A^2(t)dt^2 + P^2(t)dr^2 + Q^2(t)(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2)$

• For mathematical convenience: Compactify *r* in the circle, with period 2*π*.

- Real massless scalar field minimally coupled to the background.
 - Line element:

- For mathematical convenience: Compactify *r* in the circle, with period 2*π*.
- Decompose the field in modes.

- Real massless scalar field minimally coupled to the background.
 - Line element:

- For mathematical convenience: Compactify *r* in the circle, with period 2*π*.
- Decompose the field in modes.
- Determine the Hamiltonian: Infinite sum of harmonic oscillators

$$\mathbf{H} = \frac{A}{PQ^2} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{nlm} \left(\Pi_{nlm}^2 + P^2 Q^4 W_{nl} \phi_{nlm}^2 \right)$$
(1)

- Real massless scalar field minimally coupled to the background.
 - Line element:

- For mathematical convenience: Compactify *r* in the circle, with period 2*π*.
- Decompose the field in modes.
- Determine the Hamiltonian: Infinite sum of harmonic oscillators

$$\mathbf{H} = \frac{A}{PQ^2} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{nlm} \left(\Pi_{nlm}^2 + P^2 Q^4 W_{nl} \phi_{nlm}^2 \right)$$
(1)

•
$$\phi_{nlm}$$
 time-dependence of field.

- Real massless scalar field minimally coupled to the background.
 - Line element:

- For mathematical convenience: Compactify *r* in the circle, with period 2*π*.
- Decompose the field in modes.
- Determine the Hamiltonian: Infinite sum of harmonic oscillators

$$\mathbf{H} = \frac{A}{PQ^2} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{nlm} \left(\Pi_{nlm}^2 + P^2 Q^4 W_{nl} \phi_{nlm}^2 \right)$$
(1)

- ϕ_{nlm} time-dependence of field.
- Π_{nlm} time-dependence of the conjugate canonical momentum.

- Real massless scalar field minimally coupled to the background.
 - Line element:

- For mathematical convenience: Compactify *r* in the circle, with period 2*π*.
- Decompose the field in modes.
- Determine the Hamiltonian: Infinite sum of harmonic oscillators

$$\mathbf{H} = \frac{A}{PQ^2} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{nlm} \left(\Pi_{nlm}^2 + P^2 Q^4 W_{nl} \phi_{nlm}^2 \right)$$
(1)

- ϕ_{nlm} time-dependence of field.
- Π_{nlm} time-dependence of the conjugate canonical momentum.
- Set the Lapse function so that the prefactor is equal to one.

- Real massless scalar field minimally coupled to the background.
 - Line element:

- For mathematical convenience: Compactify *r* in the circle, with period 2*π*.
- Decompose the field in modes.
- Determine the Hamiltonian: Infinite sum of harmonic oscillators

$$\mathbf{H} = \frac{A}{PQ^2} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{nlm} \left(\prod_{nlm}^2 + P^2 Q^4 W_{nl} \phi_{nlm}^2 \right)$$
(1)

- ϕ_{nlm} time-dependence of field.
- Π_{nlm} time-dependence of the conjugate canonical momentum.
- Set the Lapse function so that the prefactor is equal to one.
- $W_{nl}(t) = \frac{n^2}{P^2(t)} + \frac{l(l+1)}{Q^2(t)}$ eigenvalues of LB (time-dependent!)

- Real massless scalar field minimally coupled to the background.
 - Line element:

 $ds^{2} = -A^{2}(t)dt^{2} + P^{2}(t)dr^{2} + Q^{2}(t)(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta d\varphi^{2})$

- For mathematical convenience: Compactify *r* in the circle, with period 2*π*.
- Decompose the field in modes.
- Determine the Hamiltonian: Infinite sum of harmonic oscillators

$$\mathbf{H} = \frac{A}{PQ^2} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{nlm} \left(\prod_{nlm}^2 + P^2 Q^4 W_{nl} \phi_{nlm}^2 \right)$$
(1)

- ϕ_{nlm} time-dependence of field.
- Π_{nlm} time-dependence of the conjugate canonical momentum.
- Set the Lapse function so that the prefactor is equal to one.
- $W_{nl}(t) = \frac{n^2}{P^2(t)} + \frac{l(l+1)}{Q^2(t)}$ eigenvalues of LB (time-dependent!)
- How to identify the high energy sector? \rightarrow CT!

- (1). Canonical transformation.
- (2). Preservation of the field equation symmetries.

- (1). Canonical transformation.
- (2). Preservation of the field equation symmetries.
- (3). Imposition of unitary quantum dynamics.

• Zero-mode \rightarrow Background ("tilde").

- Zero-mode \rightarrow Background ("tilde").
- Goal: Characterize what can be regarded as a frequency in the system and decouple it from the time-dependent metric functions.

- Zero-mode \rightarrow Background ("tilde").
- Goal: Characterize what can be regarded as a frequency in the system and decouple it from the time-dependent metric functions.
- Define:

- Zero-mode \rightarrow Background ("tilde").
- Goal: Characterize what can be regarded as a frequency in the system and decouple it from the time-dependent metric functions.
- Define:
 - Wavenumber label: $k^2 = n^2 + l(l+1)$

- Zero-mode \rightarrow Background ("tilde").
- Goal: Characterize what can be regarded as a frequency in the system and decouple it from the time-dependent metric functions.
- Define:
 - Wavenumber label: $k^2 = n^2 + l(l+1)$
 - Unit vector component label: $\hat{l} = \sqrt{l(l+1)}/k$

Zero-mode → Background ("tilde").

- Goal: Characterize what can be regarded as a frequency in the system and decouple it from the time-dependent metric functions.
- Define:

• Wavenumber label: $k^2 = n^2 + l(l+1)$

• Unit vector component label: $\hat{l} = \sqrt{l(l+1)}/k$

• CT (time- and mode-dependent):

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\phi}_{nlm} \\ \tilde{\Pi}_{nlm} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{b_{\hat{l}}} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} \frac{b_{\hat{l}}'}{b_{\hat{l}}^{3/2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{b_{\hat{l}}}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{nlm} \\ \Pi_{nlm} \end{pmatrix},$$
(2)

• $b_{\hat{l}}^2 = Q^4 \left[1 + \hat{l}^2 \left(\frac{P^2}{Q^2} - 1 \right) \right] = \frac{P^2 Q^4}{k^2} W_{nl}.$

• Zero-mode \rightarrow Background ("tilde").

- Goal: Characterize what can be regarded as a frequency in the system and decouple it from the time-dependent metric functions.
- Define:

• Wavenumber label: $k^2 = n^2 + l(l+1)$

- Unit vector component label: $\hat{l} = \sqrt{l(l+1)}/k$
- CT (time- and mode-dependent):

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\phi}_{nlm} \\ \tilde{\Pi}_{nlm} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{b_{\hat{l}}} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} \frac{b_{\hat{l}}^{i}}{b_{\hat{l}}^{3/2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{b_{\hat{l}}}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{nlm} \\ \Pi_{nlm} \end{pmatrix},$$
(2)

Hamiltonian:

$$\tilde{\mathbf{H}} = \sum_{nlm}^{\infty} \frac{b_{\hat{l}}}{2} \left[\tilde{\Pi}_{nlm}^2 + \left(k^2 + s_{\hat{l}}(\tau) \right) \tilde{\phi}_{nlm}^2 \right].$$
(3)

•
$$s_{\hat{l}}(\tau) = \frac{3(b_{\hat{l}}')^2}{4b_{\hat{l}}^4} - \frac{b_{\hat{l}}''}{2b_{\hat{l}}^3}$$
, Mass function.

• Respect the symmetries of the field equations. Independence of the label *m* and do not mix modes.

- Respect the symmetries of the field equations. Independence of the label *m* and do not mix modes.
- Focus on Fock representations that also respect these symmetries.

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{nlm} \\ a_{nlm}^* \end{pmatrix}_{\tau} = \mathcal{F}_{nl}^{[\tau_0]}(\tau) \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\phi}_{nlm} \\ \tilde{\Pi}_{nlm} \end{pmatrix}_{\tau_0}$$
(4)

where

$$\mathcal{F}_{nl}^{[\tau_0]}(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} f_{nl}(\tau) & g_{nl}(\tau) \\ f_{nl}^*(\tau) & g_{nl}^*(\tau) \end{pmatrix}.$$

• f_{nl} and g_{nl} respect the symmetries.

- Respect the symmetries of the field equations. Independence of the label *m* and do not mix modes.
- Focus on Fock representations that also respect these symmetries.

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{nlm} \\ a_{nlm}^* \end{pmatrix}_{\tau} = \mathcal{F}_{nl}^{[\tau_0]}(\tau) \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\phi}_{nlm} \\ \tilde{\Pi}_{nlm} \end{pmatrix}_{\tau_0}$$
(4)

where

$$\mathcal{F}_{nl}^{[\tau_0]}(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} f_{nl}(\tau) & g_{nl}(\tau) \\ f_{nl}^*(\tau) & g_{nl}^*(\tau) \end{pmatrix}.$$

- f_{nl} and g_{nl} respect the symmetries.
- CCR: $f_{nl}(\tau)g_{nl}^{*}(\tau) g_{nl}(\tau)f_{nl}^{*}(\tau) = -i.$

- Respect the symmetries of the field equations. Independence of the label *m* and do not mix modes.
- Focus on Fock representations that also respect these symmetries.

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{nlm} \\ a_{nlm}^* \end{pmatrix}_{\tau} = \mathcal{F}_{nl}^{[\tau_0]}(\tau) \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\phi}_{nlm} \\ \tilde{\Pi}_{nlm} \end{pmatrix}_{\tau_0}$$
(4)

where

$$\mathcal{F}_{nl}^{[\tau_0]}(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} f_{nl}(\tau) & g_{nl}(\tau) \\ f_{nl}^*(\tau) & g_{nl}^*(\tau) \end{pmatrix}.$$

- f_{nl} and g_{nl} respect the symmetries.
- CCR: $f_{nl}(\tau)g_{nl}^{*}(\tau) g_{nl}(\tau)f_{nl}^{*}(\tau) = -i.$
 - Spacetime evolve in time: Motivation to introduce a

time-evolution splitting

Heissenberg evolution - Background evolution (unfixed and come back later).

• We can write the dynamics (the evolution of the c-a operators) as a Bogoliubov transformation $\mathcal{B}_{nlm}(\tau, \tau_0)$.

- We can write the dynamics (the evolution of the c-a operators) as a Bogoliubov transformation $\mathcal{B}_{nlm}(\tau, \tau_0)$.
 - For unitary dynamics we use a result: antilinear part of *B_{nlm}*(τ, τ₀) be square summable.

- We can write the dynamics (the evolution of the c-a operators) as a Bogoliubov transformation $\mathcal{B}_{nlm}(\tau, \tau_0)$.
 - For unitary dynamics we use a result: antilinear part of *B_{nlm}*(τ, τ₀) be square summable.
- Unitary dynamics prescription successfully selects a family of unitary equivalent representations.

• Until what extent do we need the time-evolution splitting?

- Until what extent do we need the time-evolution splitting?
 - Time-independent functions: $H = \tilde{\sum}_{nlm} \left(-\frac{b_{\tilde{l}}}{2} \right) \left\{ a_{nlm}^* a_{nlm}^* \left[f_{nl}^2 + g_{nl}^2 \left(k^2 + s_{\tilde{l}} \right) \right] + h.c. -2a_{nlm}^* a_{nlm} \left[|f_{nl}|^2 + |g_{nl}|^2 \left(k^2 + s_{\tilde{l}} \right) \right] \right\}.$

- Until what extent do we need the time-evolution splitting?
 - Time-independent functions: $H = \tilde{\sum}_{nlm} \left(-\frac{b_{\hat{l}}}{2} \right) \left\{ a_{nlm}^* a_{nlm}^* \left[f_{nl}^2 + g_{nl}^2 \left(k^2 + s_{\hat{l}} \right) \right] + h.c. \\ -2a_{nlm}^* a_{nlm} \left[|f_{nl}|^2 + |g_{nl}|^2 \left(k^2 + s_{\hat{l}} \right) \right] \right\}.$
 - Hamiltonian action onto the class of vacua: The state does not have a finite norm in the high energy sector.

- Until what extent do we need the time-evolution splitting?
 - $= \frac{\text{Time-independent functions:}}{H = \tilde{\sum}_{nlm} \left(-\frac{b_{\tilde{l}}}{2} \right) \left\{ a_{nlm}^* a_{nlm}^* \left[f_{nl}^2 + g_{nl}^2 \left(k^2 + s_{\tilde{l}} \right) \right] + h.c. -2a_{nlm}^* a_{nlm} \left[|f_{nl}|^2 + |g_{nl}|^2 \left(k^2 + s_{\tilde{l}} \right) \right] \right\}}.$
 - Hamiltonian action onto the class of vacua: The state does not have a finite norm in the high energy sector.
 - Time-dependent functions: change of the dynamics by the time-dependent CT: $\mathring{H} = H + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\sum}_{nlm} \left\{ 2\Re \left\{ g'_{nl} f^*_{nl} - f'_{nl} g^*_{nl} \right\} a^*_{nlm} a_{nlm} + \left(f'_{nl} g_{nl} - f'_{nl} g'_{nl} \right) a^*_{nlm} a^*_{nlm} + h.c. \right\}.$

- Until what extent do we need the time-evolution splitting?
 - $= \frac{\text{Time-independent functions:}}{H = \tilde{\sum}_{nlm} \left(-\frac{b_{\tilde{l}}}{2} \right) \left\{ a_{nlm}^* a_{nlm}^* \left[f_{nl}^2 + g_{nl}^2 \left(k^2 + s_{\tilde{l}} \right) \right] + h.c. -2a_{nlm}^* a_{nlm} \left[|f_{nl}|^2 + |g_{nl}|^2 \left(k^2 + s_{\tilde{l}} \right) \right] \right\}}.$
 - Hamiltonian action onto the class of vacua: The state does not have a finite norm in the high energy sector.
 - Time-dependent functions: change of the dynamics by the time-dependent CT: $\mathring{H} = H + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\sum}_{nlm} \left\{ 2\Re \left\{ g'_{nl} f^*_{nl} - f'_{nl} g^*_{nl} \right\} a^*_{nlm} a_{nlm} + (f'_{nl} g_{nl} - f'_{nl} g'_{nl}) a^*_{nlm} a^*_{nlm} + h.c. \right\}.$
 - Asymptotic analysis $\xrightarrow{\sim}$ the sum can converge at the dominant order.

- Until what extent do we need the time-evolution splitting?
 - $= \frac{\text{Time-independent functions:}}{H = \tilde{\sum}_{nlm} \left(-\frac{b_{\tilde{l}}}{2} \right) \left\{ a_{nlm}^* a_{nlm}^* \left[f_{nl}^2 + g_{nl}^2 \left(k^2 + s_{\tilde{l}} \right) \right] + h.c. -2a_{nlm}^* a_{nlm} \left[|f_{nl}|^2 + |g_{nl}|^2 \left(k^2 + s_{\tilde{l}} \right) \right] \right\}}.$
 - Hamiltonian action onto the class of vacua: The state does not have a finite norm in the high energy sector.
 - Time-dependent functions: change of the dynamics by the time-dependent CT: $\mathring{H} = H + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\sum}_{nlm} \left\{ 2\Re \left\{ g'_{nl} f^*_{nl} - f'_{nl} g^*_{nl} \right\} a^*_{nlm} a_{nlm} + (f'_{nl} g_{nl} - f'_{nl} g'_{nl}) a^*_{nlm} a^*_{nlm} + h.c. \right\}.$
 - Asymptotic analysis \rightarrow the sum can converge at the dominant order.
 - Remaining freedom → extra criterion: Self-interaction terms become zero order by order.

Asymptotic Hamiltonian diagonalization

.

• Proceed recursively. Each subdominant term will be smaller in *k*. In the ultraviolet limit, we obtain:

$$\mathring{H} = \tilde{\sum_{nlm}} b_{\widehat{l}} \Lambda_{nl}(s_{\widehat{l}}) a^*_{nlm} a_{nlm}$$

Λ_{nl} is a function of the mass and its time derivatives. Can be determined by a recursion formula.

Asymptotic Hamiltonian diagonalization

.

• Proceed recursively. Each subdominant term will be smaller in *k*. In the ultraviolet limit, we obtain:

$$\mathring{H} = \tilde{\sum_{nlm}} b_{\hat{l}} \Lambda_{nl}(s_{\hat{l}}) a^*_{nlm} a_{nlm}$$

- Λ_{nl} is a function of the mass and its time derivatives. Can be determined by a recursion formula.
- The time-dependent part of the scalar field:

$$\Phi = \sum_{nlm} \tilde{A}(s_{\hat{j}}) e^{-i \int d\bar{\tau} b_{\hat{j}} \Lambda_{nl}} a_{nlm}(\tau_0) + \text{h.c.},$$

where the time evolution splitting becomes evident.

Conclusions

- By the unitary quantum dynamics prescription we were able to select a unitary equivalent class of representations.
- We were able to eliminate all possible ambiguities in the process and obtained a preferred proposal for a unique choice of a vacuum.
- By introducing an asymptotic Hamiltonian diagonalization, we could completely fix the time-evolution splitting of the scalar field and have good physical properties of the resulting Hamiltonian.