### **MUCCA** Multi-disciplinary Use Cases for Convergent new Approaches to AI explainability

Joseph Carmignani, Monica D'Onofrio, Cristiano Sebastiani





### The MUCCA Project

Ultimate Goal: *quantifying strengths* and solving weaknesses of new and state of the art xAI methods different data, learning tasks, scientific questions

**Strategy**: study xAI in *heterogeneous use cases* from High Energy Physics (HEP), medical imaging, diagnosis of pulmonary, tracheal and nasal disease, neuroscience

**Collaboration:** that brings together researchers from different fields

- High Energy Physics
- Applied Physics to Medicine
- Neuroscience
- Computer science



### CHIST-ERA 2022 1<sup>st</sup> Prize winning Video:

http://widgixeu-responseuploads.s3.amazonaws.com/fileuploads/90010018/90420529/140-2f7727dc2c9debd53715877981b60bce\_MUCCA\_videO\_720p.mp4

Three phases: 1. Apply xAI techniques 2. Identify shortcomings and metrics 3. Get new transparent algorithms

### The Consortium

Sapienza University of Rome (IT) Departments of Physics, Physiology, and Information Engineering



HEP: data-analysis, detectors, simulation AI: ML/DL methods in basic/applied research and industry, intelligent signal processing. Neurosciences: brain encoding of complex behaviours, ML in electrophysiology, multi-scale modelling approaches

#### Istituto Nazionale Fisica Nucleare (IT) Rome group



Fundamental research with cutting edge technologies and instruments, applications in several fields (HEP, medicine imaging/diagnosis/prognosis/therapy)

### Medlea S.r.l.s (IT)



High tech startup, with an established track record in medical image analysis and high-performance simulation and capabilities of developing and deploying industry-standard software solutions

#### University of Sofia St.Kl.Ohridski (BG) Faculty of Physics

extended expertise in detector development, firmware, experiment software in HEP

Polytechnic University of Bucharest (RO) Department of Hydraulics, Hydraulic Equipment and Environmental Engineering

for airflow predictions in respiratory conducts



#### University of Liverpool (UK) Department of Physics



physics data analysis at hadron colliders experiments, simulation, ML and DL methods in HEP

#### Istituto Superiore di Sanità (IT)

expertise in neural networks modeling, cortical network dynamics, theory inspired data analysis



### The Work Plan



### High-Energy physics work-packages



### HEP Uses Case 1, 2: offline data analysis

### WP1 (HEP Case 1) M. D'Onofrio (PI), J. Carmignani, C. Sebastiani:

*application and development of AI algorithms* (CNN, Graph NN), targeted to event classification and process discrimination, for new physics (dark sector) and dark matter searches at the ATLAS experiment at the CERN LHC



#### Liverpool leads WP1, more details in the following of this talk 6

### HEP Uses Case 1, 2: offline data analysis

WP2 (HEP Case 2): *AI algorithms* (CNN, autoencoder) for identification of pulses and other specific parameters of detector responses, in particular of the calorimeter (ECAL)

*Use PADME apparatus:* PADME is a fixed target experiment located at the Beam Test Facility at the Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati designed to search for a massive dark photon in the process, using a positron beam of energy up to 550 MeV.

Dark matter targeted: dark sector (low masses)

Positron Annihilation into Dark Matter Experiment





#### Al algorithms successfully developed and applied to identify pulses, determine amplitude and time of arrival for signal (1 g) and bkg (2 g) events in simulated data of the ECAL



### HEP Uses Case 3: real time analysis

**WP3 (HEP Case 3):** focus on the ability of reconstructing in **real time** unconventional signatures e.g. from dark sector particles that traverse the detector before decaying (long-lived particle or LLP) as those searched for in WP1. *Status: developed complete pipeline for an AI based event selection* algorithm. CNN model with compression and simplification strategies to make easier to interpret, and faster to execute the AI model, for the conversion and implementation in the firmware of FPGA accelerators. Obtained CNN inference in 80/150ns/image



Must suppress not-interesting events that is several orders of magnitude larger than the LLP signal One of the outcomes: transfer knowledge learned by a larger neural network pre-trained for the same task to a smaller and quantised (4-bits per activations and weights) model



Health-care (medical-based) work packages

Samples and xAI-tools exchange Management and communication exchange

#### Publication, Real-time application tools

WP1: HEP physics Application of Al-methods to searches for new physics at ATLAS. Provide samples and tools to allow testing of xAI. Improve explainability. Deliverables: HEP

### publications

xAl tools,

Meetings

stakeholders

#### ベフ WPO: Management Project and reports coordination,

planning of meetings, networking and Kaggle challenge exploitation of results (publications,

**Diagnostic tools** 

#### WP7: xAI-Tools

WP2: HEP detectors

Application of Al-methods to calorimeter

electromagnetic showers, benchmarking

and tools for xAI. Deliverables: samples

Survey of all available xAI methods relevant for use-cases; develop xAI usage pipelines; analysis of results. Deliverables: document xAI procedures and engineering pipelines for general use. Kaggle challenge for exploitation.

#### WP6: Neuro-science

Test xAI techniques to uncover computational brain strategies on NHP and selection of dynamical neural models Deliverables: reports on saliency maps from DNNs trials, quantification of quality and model selection.

WP3: HEP real-time systems Develop AI-based real-time selection algorithms understand complex systems. Deliverables: real-time applications, publication.





#### WP4: Medical imaging

Develop xAI pipeline to segmentation of brains in magnetic resonance imaging. Use publicly available databases for xAI developments, focusing on explainability of training strategy. Deliverables: xAl algorithms and stability evaluation.

#### WP5: Functional Imaging

Test xAI methodology in respiratory system. Analyse complex systems (passage of air and mucus, expected nonlinear responses) to derive model and test xAI. Deliverables: prototype of xAI algorithm implementation, assessment of produced predictions.

3

## Brain in magnetic resonance imaging

**WP4 (MED-1)**: aims to develop a pipeline to provide xAI tools suitable for medical applications, proven to work in a specific task, the segmentation of the brain in magnetic resonance imaging (MRI).

Status: Implemented *AI models for the brain lesion segmentation* in the Brats17 MRI dataset (Unet2D, Resnet 3D). Data augmentation techniques to enhance performances tested. Selected state-of the art xAI algorithms, several under implementation

**UNet pipeline** 







## Functional imaging for respiratory system

**WP5 (MED-2)**: Aim to develop an integrated approach for 3D reconstruction from medical images to perform simulation & experiments on respiratory tracts (airways) and assess airflow and air+mucus dynamics in respiratory tracts. Validation of simulation results with idealized and real data from patients, reaching a high level of automation to handle several geometries (patients)

Status: procedure for the realization of the prototypes of the trachea bifurcation (reconstruction of the geometry from the CT scan, numerical code) completed. Study of the GNN model for the simulation of the air-flow and explainability steps in progress





**xAI:** Understand system outputs simply and quickly (e.g. why certain regions are not ventilated). Give confidence in the AI diagnosis by providing clarity of why.

### Neuroscience use-case

**WP6 (MED-3, NS1)**: A twofold exploitation of xAI techniques, to: 1) uncover computational brain strategies while non human primates (NHP) perform tasks requiring the inhibition of planned movements; 2) optimally select dynamical neural models that will be developed to explain the observed task-related cortical dynamics.

Status: designed and realized a specific CNN (fed by electrophysiological signals) based on a ResNet to uncover an inner decision value increasing in time as a linear ramp eventually allowing to predict at single-trial level the onset timing of overt movements. Test of various xAI algorithms underway



map (XAI)

Good prediction and good understanding of source of errors and how the NN learns..



### WP7: xAI Tools

**WP7** liaises with all other work-packages in iterative mode:

1. Survey of xAl methods (done)



2. Tools delivery to use-cases (in progress)



- XAI tools can be categorized depending on whether they provide global or local explanations.
- Some methods are **model-agnostic** (they only need the outputs of the models), other are **model-specific**.
- Finally, methods can be categorized depending on what type of information they provide in output.

E.g. for WP1, iterating on usage of Tools such as Captum to understand the way NN learns from high-level and low-level inputs in data analysis, but also hands—on tutorial and school/hackathon to be organised in 2023.

### 3. Engineering pipelines for general xAI applications ultimate goal of the consortium for all use cases

A few of the tested xAI models: Learning most important features for a given prediction -> <u>Saliency maps</u> Estimating training data influence -> <u>Gradient tracing</u>, <u>Datamodels</u>, <u>TracIn</u>

## A closer look to WP1



**Two benchmark analyses:** Searches for Supersymmetry Searches for dark photons



### Searches for Supersymmetric particles

**Benchmark-1:** Search for dark matter candidates resulting from the decay of new particles predicted by Supersymmetry – the typical HEP case:

- Extract small signal of interest from large SM background
- Subtle/complex differences in variable correlations distinguish signal from background
- Complex numerical instance data, well-defined categories (underlying physics processes)
- $\rightarrow$ This is the classic use-case for ML classification.

Build ML discriminator to distinguish backgrounds from SUSY signals, trained on simulated Monte Carlo samples, use classifier output score as discriminant variable for hypothesis testing



9 0.91 0.92 0.93 0.94 0.95 0.96 0.97 0.98 0.99 1 XGB Signal Score

Tested multiple ML classifiers: BDT, NN. Use BDT (**XGBoost**) for reduced complexity, constructed from regression tree functions, using multi-classification with output scores containing the predicted probability of an event being in each class.

**So far, used SHAP** (SHapley Adaptive exPlanations, 2017) to identify variables with largest impact for signal

In progress:

- finalisation of the data analysis
- Building eXplainable Graph data, Use GNNs and New SOTA Metrics

Goal: Reduce dependencies on modelling from input variables



### Searches for dark photons

**Benchmark-2:** Search for "dark" photons, light particles belonging to a new hidden sector not yet discovered because too feebly interacting with ordinary matter:

- In this case, signal leaves different signature in the detector wrt background
  - signal signature is effectively an unknown study of systematics on the signal is non-trivial
- ML discriminator use image classification trained to distinguish background processes from signal mapping clusters of hadrons (jets) in 3D coordinates

### More advanced, also because publication has been finalised



In the ATLAS data-analysis:

Build a map of jet energy deposits in ATLAS detector from: calorimeter cell positions (eta, phi, sampling layer) and energy



### Low level inputs for jet discrimination

Extract low level information from the ATLAS calorimeter from a single jet in either 3D images or graphs



Additional higher level variable can can be added as features to further improve the network performance, although the goal is to have them already 'learned' by the network by using only the low level inputs

## **Optimisation and training**

Process RAW data information from ATLAS calorimeter: energy deposits relative position and energy distribution

Dataset building:

- Node for every cluster in the calorimeter
- Normalised cluster energy as node attribute
- Edge built if spatial covariant distance between two nodes is within an optimised distance parameter
- Covariant distance as node weight

### Pre-processing:

- Remove isolated and self-connected nodes
- Retain largest subgraph only to remove calorimeter noise

Model optimisation and xAI:

- Test multiple models with Pytorch Geometric libraries
- Performance evaluation and comparison with CNN
- Add xAI layers



### A quick model comparison...

> The GNN model out-performed the CNN model on all **performance metrics** tested at same signal efficiency score



As expected graph dataset are proven very effective for classification of sparse image of HEP calorimeter detectors!

### the X in X-AI

Let's try estimating training data influence by tracing gradient descent with TracIn! The model yields dynamic results producing best scoring Proponents/Opponents from training to explain predictions







This class of methods explore the influence of single **data points** on the prediction, e.g., how much training on a certain point has influenced the prediction on a separate point (computed across the entire training run)

## Summary

The MUCCA consortium aims to study xAI in *heterogeneous use cases* from High Energy Physics, medical imaging, diagnosis of pulmonary, tracheal and nasal disease and neuroscience, with the ultimate goal to *quantifying strengths* and solving weaknesses of new state of the art methods.

### Status so far:

- successfully implement appropriate AI algorithms for all the use cases
  - for WP1 the ATLAS analyses have been either published or are being finalised. For the dark-photon (published) a GNN that outperforms the current setup has been developed, xAI tools have been used to identify first shortcomings and ways to improve. We are now finalising this study aiming for publication in 2023
- perform an extensive survey and analysis of state-of-the art xAI methods by WP7
- identify suitable xAI algorithms for the next phase, that now are under implementation

**Expected results will allow a** systematic understanding of which xAI methods better adapt to specific applications as well as skill development and training for young researchers.



Additional slides

### **Performance checks**



Arma-GNN model using Jet selective Graph Dataset out-performed on all most common performance metrics at same Efficiency level

# Some Captum Results

050.

71.173

Su

59.821

95.052

328

000 CO.

30

58.21

37.912

07.391

21.014

5

51.38

51.00

30.

ont

53

63.140

do.

60.103

8

29.538

Nº.

\$3.

923

S.

An

3.

50.

161.09 .0.

.ç.

20

.cv

N

120.00

825.5V

200

.28

. Su

50.00 V.V.

.2

Soo

SEV 21.5

.ch

.0

24

.02

.2

. co

e10.6V

250

Sp.

av

29.65

204 .00

686

262

.6

16.91 V10

110.18

.0.

2

South

.du

00

2

025.91

.cy

0

20

. Su

682

.0

.co

.du

50.00

S

200

60'

600

02-000

.co.

201

.10

120

50.00

10.07

9

050.050

.~

100 V 00.

civ

0,20

00 65 120.57

6.60

.60 14

8

.~

55

.10

665 92.60

.12

3

29621

So.

0.

200

290

0.

8

00

120.00 0000

54.15

182

.29

.2

12.18 162

.2

1001

.18

29.91 000

20

.05

c.0?

·v

282.02 101

.2 ST 250.11

224

cyl

.20

86.44

.2

00000 20

09.20

LYC LYC

115.48

10.02

· V

200 01002

.2

629.

.

.2

6960

.18

00

16.01

S.V.

3

124

.20

.04

67

55

60.05

220

55.70

6.0000

.02

000

206.26

60. 600 04.

.19

210

.02

10.02 V ist

-05-051

.0

00 20 B 999.VI

0.09

S

50.25

267

.gv

264

3

S.

0.10

.Se

68.02

N

096.21

.du

153.10

810 Sto

18

168.16

.20

C.V .cr

2

c20.02 650

.6

257

62

.50

1º

.8

250

63.032

3

P.

619 61.00

0001.10

17. 00. 1V

0.

1º 350

V18.14

50,50

3C Sich

192.02

250

10 ST - 5

24.65

.0

2.02 6.02

810 C. 05 102103

.02

000 .01

60.62

.0

151-56

299.22

262

00.

Si

12.000

001.01

20r

200 10.

20.00

5.

25CS

100,00

18

2502

.cv

55

.05

.20

229

60.92

.0.

-01 -07 -07

e.

.cs

si

.2. 10

62

.0

210.01

.60

652

57.0.

.2 6.00. 00.

200

260

.24

168.28

17.050

14.08

310

13. CV

w

.2

40 - C2-

.0

11.11

253.16

169.24

50.02

.60

600

15.15

.0

262.28

20.00

60.00

121.01

500

.20

987. V2

6 3 26300

1, 52 - 52 600 - 52

151-69

0000

c69.02

125

5. co.

.2

120.01

.28

01001 sho

.

10:19 00.

286

819.95

.05

6001

1000

80.00

~ SZS

S. S.

6.96 542

.00

.02

842

SS

00

3.

S

8

20

3

2005

55

250

2

55

Nº.

100

17 000

16.372

0.

10

20.

073

0.

63.001 5.

69.63

010

29.4

10.00

02.008

85.08

10.102

6.

2.2.

282

12.07

01:01

7.321

222

1.

32.029

38.13

688

P.

S.

198

0.

3

23.323

12.030

51.00

10.198

17.653

83.150

1.

13:01

0.

50.00

15

~

5-12

v 200 026301

22.02

000

192.68

Se

.c.

60

.6

V

. 20

02

1.12

612.10

No

.10

N.

5. 20. 20 00 - 20 - 20

4 CC

2100

250 - 69

V ogs 40.02

80 m

1.000

50

15.026

5.

25

2.2.00

1.

10,000

20.148

15.11

0

20. 22. 11

5

6.129

5.

So.

672

19. 4.30

- Con

000

34.609

38.131

\$

2.

100

.6.

22.

P.

3

61.00

35.013

23.98

80

53.53

P.

32.121

3 200 635.24

8.

81.25

SY 11 10° 24

.28

.0. 57

S SN

.<del></del>%

6

04.00

.07

201

230.123

.07

960

. So

VYV

127.02

102.18

8 000 50,01

.c.V

S. 867 861.67

20:00

1.

2.

08.013

68. 68.

23.972

5

01.

v

15

17.609

0.

S

620

9.228

3

3.

120

1423.051

.2

0

Sto

83.122

170

66.98

16.020

10.00

55

12.394

6.

SV.

1.000

20.932

5

324

12.

019

291

.1.

Test example:Data(edge\_index=[2, 146], x=[14, 4], edge\_attr=[146, 1], y=[1])
True label: 0
Predicted label: 0
Predicted prob: 1.6132928521983558e-06















#### ArmaGNN Jet tagger trained on 0.6\_0.6 Full dataset

Test example:Data(edge\_index=[2, 135], x=[11, 4], edge\_attr=[135, 1], y=[1])
True label: 0
Predicted label: 0
Predicted prob: 5.9570318455826055e-08















ArmaGNN Jet tagger trained on 0.6\_0.6 Main Subgraphs Test example: Data(edge\_index=[2, 79], x=[11, 4], edge\_attr=[79, 1], y=[1]) True label: 0 Predicted label: 0 Predicted prob: 0.48466432094573975









Label:1





#### ArmaGNN Jet tagger trained on 0.3\_0.3 Full dataset

Test example: Data(edge\_index=[2, 68], x=[8, 4], edge\_attr=[68, 1], y=[1])
True label: 0
Predicted label: 0
Predicted prob: 0.2386256456375122



Proponents:

Opponents:

Label:0

Label:1





ArmaGNN Jet tagger trained on 0.3\_0.3 Main Subgraphs