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## A hot research topic




## What this lecture is about

Aiming at the particle physicist who uses GNNs from an engineering point of view

## What this lecture is about

Aiming at the particle physicist who uses GNNs from an engineering point of view

Mainly discussing the core ideas

## What this lecture is about

Aiming at the particle physicist who uses GNNs from an engineering point of view

Mainly discussing the core ideas

After this lecture you will hopefully have a clear idea:

## What this lecture is about

Aiming at the particle physicist who uses GNNs from an engineering point of view

Mainly discussing the core ideas

After this lecture you will hopefully have a clear idea:

1. Why GNNs are a powerful tool

## What this lecture is about

Aiming at the particle physicist who uses GNNs from an engineering point of view

Mainly discussing the core ideas

After this lecture you will hopefully have a clear idea:

1. Why GNNs are a powerful tool
2. How to build a graph

## What this lecture is about

Aiming at the particle physicist who uses GNNs from an engineering point of view

Mainly discussing the core ideas

After this lecture you will hopefully have a clear idea:

1. Why GNNs are a powerful tool
2. How to build a graph
3. How to choose an appropriate GNN for your problem
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## Outline

1. Data structures and relational inductive biases
2. Elements of Graph Theory
3. Graph Neural Mechanisms
4. Applications in HEP

## A general recipe for supervised machine learning



## Machine Learning
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## Combinatorial generalization

A key signature of human intelligence is the ability to make "infinite use of finite means" (Chomsky N.)

## Universal approximation theorem

A feed-forward neural network with a linear output and at least one hidden layer can approximate any continuous function to arbitrary precision with a finite number of nodes.

1. Good: A neural network can solve any problem.
2. Bad: Does not specify the number of nodes.

Combinatorial generalization requires enormous computational power
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## Example 2

A Bayesian model expresses inductive bias through the choice and parameterization of the prior distribution

Relational inductive bias may be enforced by the choice of data structure
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## entity

an element with attributes

## relation

a property between entities

## rule

a function that maps entities and relations to other entities and relations. e.g. is entity $X$ heavier than entity $Y$ ?
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Locality: the arguments to the relational rule are entities in close proximity.
Translation invariance: reusage of the same rule across localities in the input.
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## Graph

A set with pair-wise relations


A relational inductive bias arises from the absence of canonical order

Exploit it by allowing predictions to depend on symmetric functions

## Symmetries of the function

## Permutation equivariance

The output of the function is permuted in the same way as the input.


## Permutation invariance

The output of the function is the same independantly of the permutation of the input.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Invariance } \\
f\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)=y_{k} \\
f\left(x_{j}, x_{i}\right)=y_{k}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Examples of graphs in real life



Energy deposits in a detetor
Any complex set of elements can be represented as a graph. Constructing the graph depends on several factors.

More on this will follow.

Social Networks Complex pairwise connections

Phylogenetic trees
Trees are a particular type of graphs (directed and acyclic graphs)

## GRIPHB, GRIPHETUEBYWHERE



Molecules and their dynamics naturally represented as graphs


## PHYLOGENETIC TREE
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## Take home messages

1. Relational inductive biases can improve a learning algorithm.
2. The relational inductive bias in graphs is the absence of canonical order of the entities.
3. This relational inductive bias manifests itself as permutation invariance and permutation equivariance.

## What is a graph ?

## Graph (Computer Science)

A non-linear data structure consisting of a set of elements and their relations.
$G=(u, V, E)$


## A non exhaustive graph taxonomy

## Some typical graph types you may encounter




Directed Graph
The edged have a direction


Fully Connected Graph All nodes are interconnected


Acyclic Graph
No cyclic paths in the graph

## How to represent a graph

## Adjacency matrix

A square matrix whose elements indicate whether pairs of nodes are adjacent or not in the graph.

## Feature matrix

A matrix with individual measurable properties or characteristics of a phenomenon.


|  | A | B | C | D | E | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| B | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| C | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| D | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| E | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| F | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  | Adjacency matrix ( $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{N}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{x}} \quad \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}} \quad \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{z}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A | $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{Ax}}$ | ${ }_{\text {f }} \mathrm{y}$ | ${ }_{\text {f }} \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{f}_{\text {Aw }}$ |  |
|  | B | $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{Bx}}$ | $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{By}}$ | $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{Bz}}$ | $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{Bw}}$ |  |
|  | C | ${ }_{\text {f }} \mathrm{x}$ | $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{C}}$ | ${ }_{\mathrm{Czz}}{ }^{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{w}}$ |  |  |
|  | D | $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{Dx}}$ | $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{Dy}}$ | $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{Dz}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{Dw}}$ |  |  |
|  | E | $\mathrm{f}_{\text {Ex }}$ | $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{Ey}}$ | $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{Ez}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{Ew}}$ |  |  |
|  | F | $\mathrm{f}_{\text {Fx }}$ | $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{Fy}}$ | $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{Fz}}$ | $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{Fw}}$ |  |

Feature matrix ( $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{F}$ )

## Other graph representations



Adjacency list
$A--->\{B\}$
$B$---> $\{A, C\}$
C $--->\{B\}$

Weighted matrix


Degree matrix D

|  | A |  | $B$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C |  |  |  |
| $A$ | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| B | 0 | 2 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |

Laplacian matrix L = D - A Coordinate List (COO)


## Take home messages
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## Take home messages

1. Entities = nodes; Relations = edges
2. A graph at it simplest form can be defined by an adjacency matrix and a feature matrix.

## Message passing

This is the core idea behind every graph neural network architecture! target node


INPUT GRAPH

Let $h_{u}^{k}$ be the state of node $u$ in step $k$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{u}}^{\mathrm{k}+1}=\operatorname{UPDATE}^{\mathrm{k}}\left(\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{u}}^{(\mathrm{k})}, \operatorname{AGGREGATE}^{\mathrm{k}}\left(\left\{\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{v}}^{\mathrm{k}}, \forall \mathrm{v} \in \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{u})\right\}\right)\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Symmetries



Two operations stacked together, one invariant and one equivariant.

## Neural Message passing

The simplest choice is the SUM aggregator.



$$
\mathbf{H}^{k+1}=\sigma\left(\mathbf{A H}^{k} \mathbf{W}^{k}\right)
$$

## Node embeddings



The node embeddings can be further mapped using feed forward layers.

## Graph Convolution
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## Question

Is message passing the equivalent of convolution on graphs?

## Answer

Not really strictly speaking. Graphs can be strongly heterogeneous.
Kipf and Welling added a normalization term in the aggregation function

$$
\mathbf{h}^{k+1}=\sigma\left(\mathbf{W}_{n e i g h}^{(k)} \sum_{v \in \mathcal{N}(u)} \frac{\mathbf{h}_{v}^{k}}{\sqrt{\left|\mathcal{N}_{u}\right|\left|\mathcal{N}_{v}\right|}}\right)
$$

Strong theoretical background based on spectral graph convolution theory

## Graph Attention

Attention Is All You Need

Ashish Vaswani* Ashish Vaswan
Google Brain avaswani@google.com Llion Jones
Google Research

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Aidan N. Gomez* } \dagger \\
& \text { University of Toronto }
\end{aligned}
$$

aidan@cs.toronto.edu

## Niki Parmar*

Google Research nikip@google.com usz@google.com

> Illia Polosukhin ${ }^{*} \ddagger$
> illia.polosukhin@gmail.com


Now the normalization terms are trainable

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{h}^{k+1}=\sigma\left(\bigoplus_{\forall k}\left(\mathbf{W}_{n e i g h}^{(k)} \sum_{v \in \mathcal{N}(u)} a_{u, v, k} \mathbf{h}_{v}^{k}\right)\right) \\
& a_{u, v}=\frac{\exp \left(\mathbf{a}^{\top}\left[\mathbf{W h}_{\mathbf{u}} \oplus \mathbf{W h}_{\mathbf{v}}\right]\right)}{\sum_{v^{\prime} \in \mathcal{N}(u)} \exp \left(\mathbf{a}^{\top}\left[\mathbf{W} \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{u}} \oplus \mathbf{W} \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{v}}\right)\right.}
\end{aligned}
$$

## k-hop neighbourhood

If message passing applied k-times a node is aggregating information from its k-hop neighborhood.


Can we use this recipe to aggregate information even from the far distant nodes ?

## Oversmoothing
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## Oversmoothing

## The oversmoothing problem

after several iterations of GNN message passing, the representations for all the nodes in the graph can become very similar to one another.

Mean Average Distance (MAD)
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1. k-nearest neighbor graph by approximation

## Graph rewiring

## Oversquashing

As the number of GNN layers increases, the number of nodes in each node's receptive field grows exponentially. This information is then compressed
 into fixed-length node vectors

## Graph rewiring

## Oversquashing

As the number of GNN layers increases, the number of nodes in each node's receptive field grows exponentially. This information is then compressed
 into fixed-length node vectors

## Graph rewiring

it attempts to produce a new graph with a different edge structure that reduces the bottleneck
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## Take home messages

1. Message passing is the fundamental operation of a GNN.
2. Message passing respects permutation invariance and permutation equivariance.
3. Three main tasks: Node, edge and graph classification.
4. Graph convolution can be used when the edges reflect node similarity (graph homophily).
5. Graph attention allows to learn which edges matter.
6. If an adjacency matrix is not given:

- Construct a fully connected graph if the number of nodes is small.
- Construct a k-nearest graph using Euclidean distances or infer it from different representations.

7. Oversmoothing and oversquashing are the most prominent problems with GNNs.

## GNN libraries


deepmind/ graph_nets

Build Graph Nets in Tensorflow

Forks
©

## ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ Spektral

## Why GNNs in HEP?



CMS Public
Total CPU HL-LHC (2029/No R\&D Improvements) fractions 2021 Estimates


1. Improve algorithm performance

Three main objectives:
2. Accelerate algorithm inference
3. Accelerate data generation/simulation

## Particle flow



Event as input set
$X=\left\{x_{i}\right\}$
-・ロ

-     - track, - calorimeter cluster
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## MLPF: a node classification task

| Event as input set | Event as graph | Transformed inputs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $X=\left\{x_{i}\right\}$ | $X=\left\{x_{i}\right\}, A=A_{i j}$ | $H=\left\{h_{i}\right\}$ |



Target set $Y=\left\{y_{j}\right\}$

$$
\text { Output set } Y^{\prime}=\left\{y_{j}^{\prime}\right\}
$$

DecodingElementwise loss $L\left(y_{j}, y_{j}^{\prime}\right)$ classification \& regression
$\square$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { elementwise } \\
\text { FFN }
\end{array} \\
& \mathscr{D}\left(x_{j}, h_{j} \mid w\right)=y_{j}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

$x_{i}=\left[\right.$ type $\left., p_{\mathrm{T}}, E_{\mathrm{ECAL}}, E_{\mathrm{HCAL}}, \eta, \phi, \eta_{\text {outer }}, \phi_{\text {outer }}, q, \ldots\right]$, type $\in\{$ track, cluster $\}$ $y_{j}=\left[\mathrm{PID}, p_{\mathrm{T}}, E, \eta, \phi, q, \ldots\right], \mathrm{PID} \in\left\{\right.$ none, charged hadron, neutral hadron, $\left.\gamma, e^{ \pm}, \mu^{ \pm}\right\}$

$$
h_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{256}
$$

Trainable neural networks: $\mathscr{F}, \mathscr{G}, \mathscr{D}$

- track, $\quad$ - calorimeter cluster, - - encoded element
$\square$ - target (predicted) particle, - no target (predicted) particle


## MLPF: a node classification task

Event as input set

$$
X=\left\{x_{i}\right\}
$$

Event as graph

$$
X=\left\{x_{i}\right\}, A=A_{i j}
$$

Transformed inputs

$$
H=\left\{h_{i}\right\}
$$




$$
\text { Output set } Y^{\prime}=\left\{y_{j}^{\prime}\right\}
$$

$$
\text { Elementwise loss } L\left(y_{j}, y_{j}^{\prime}\right)
$$

$$
\underset{\longleftrightarrow}{\text { classification } \& ~ r e g r e s s i o n ~}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Decoding } \\
\text { elementwise } \\
\text { FFN } \\
\mathscr{D}\left(x_{j}, h_{j} \mid w\right)=y_{j}^{\prime}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{i}=\left[\text { type }, p_{\mathrm{T}}, E_{\mathrm{ECAL}}, E_{\mathrm{HCAL}}, \eta, \phi, \eta_{\text {outer }}, \phi_{\text {outer }}, q, \ldots\right], \text { type } \in\{\text { track, cluster }\} \\
y_{j}=\left[\mathrm{PID}, p_{\mathrm{T}}, E, \eta, \phi, q, \ldots\right], \text { PID } \in\left\{\text { none, charged hadron, neutral hadron, } \gamma, e^{ \pm}, \mu^{ \pm}\right\} \\
h_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{256} \\
\text { Trainable neural networks: } \mathscr{F}, \mathscr{G}, \mathscr{D} \\
\text { - track, - calorimeter cluster, }- \text { encoded element } \\
\text { - tredicted) particle, }- \text { no target (predicted) particle }
\end{gathered}
$$



## MLPF: a node classification task

Event as input set

$$
X=\left\{x_{i}\right\}
$$

Event as graph

$$
X=\left\{x_{i}\right\}, A=A_{i j}
$$

Transformed inputs

$$
H=\left\{h_{i}\right\}
$$



Target set $Y=\left\{y_{j}\right\}$

$$
\text { Output set } Y^{\prime}=\left\{y_{j}^{\prime}\right\}
$$



$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{i}=\left[\text { type }, p_{\mathrm{T}}, E_{\mathrm{ECAL}}, E_{\mathrm{HCAL}}, \eta, \phi, \eta_{\text {outer }}, \phi_{\text {outer }}, q, \ldots\right], \text { type } \in\{\text { track, cluster }\} \\
y_{j}=\left[\mathrm{PID}, p_{\mathrm{T}}, E, \eta, \phi, q, \ldots\right], \text { PID } \in\left\{\text { none, charged hadron, neutral hadron, } \gamma, e^{ \pm}, \mu^{ \pm}\right\} \\
h_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{256} \\
\text { Trainable neural networks: } \mathscr{F}, \mathscr{G}, \mathscr{D} \\
- \text { - track, }- \text { calorimeter cluster, }- \text { encoded element } \\
- \text { target (predicted) particle, }- \text { no target (predicted) particle }
\end{gathered}
$$




|  | Charged hadrons |  | Neutral hadrons |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Metric | Rule-based PF | MLPF | Rule-based PF | MLPF |
| Efficiency | 0.953 | 0.953 | 0.883 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 0 8}$ |
| Fake rate | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.071 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 6 8}$ |
| $p_{\mathrm{T}}(E)$ resolution | 0.213 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 3 7}$ | 0.350 | $\mathbf{0 . 3 2 3}$ |
| $\eta$ resolution | $\mathbf{0 . 2 4 0}$ | 0.245 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 5 0}$ | 0.058 |
| $N$ resolution | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.014 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1 3}$ |

## Jet tagging



No: \# of constituents
P: \# of features
$N_{E}=N_{o}\left(N_{o}-1\right)$ : \# of edges


No: \# of constituents
P: \# of features
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{E}}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{O}}\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{O}}-1\right)$ : \# of edges
$\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{E}}$ : size of internal representations





FPR (W boson)

| Model | Number of <br> parameters | Number <br> of FLOP | Inference <br> time/batch (ms) |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| DNN | 14725 | 27 k | $1.0 \pm 0.2$ |
| CNN | 205525 | 400 k | $57.1 \pm 0.5$ |
| GRU | 15575 | 46 k | $23.2 \pm 0.6$ |
| JEDI-net | 33625 | 116 M | $121.2 \pm 0.4$ |
| JEDI-net | 8767 | 458 M | $402 \pm 1$ |
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## Conclusions

I hope we've established:

- Graphs are:

1. cool
2. everywhere
3. permutation invariant

- Basic representations of graphs
- Many different graph architectures, but they are all conceptually doing message passing.
- Constructing a graph and predicting node/edges/graph labels is possible in HEP.


## Further reads

- Graph convolution theoretical motivations 1, 2, 3
- k-nearest graph inference 1, 2, 3
- Generative models and unsupervised learning 1, 2, 3
- How powerful are GNNs ? Graph isomorphism and the WL algorithm 1, 2

BACK-UP
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## Graph Fourier Tranformation

The graph Fourier transformation is defined as:
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## Graph Fourier Tranformation

The graph Fourier transformation is defined as:

$$
\mathcal{F}(x)=\mathbf{U}^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{x}, \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\hat{x})=\mathbf{U} \hat{\mathbf{x}}
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where $U$ is the eigenvector matrix of the graph Laplacian.
The Laplacian matrix can be factored as

$$
\mathbf{L}=\mathbf{U} \Lambda U^{T}
$$

where $\Lambda$ are the eigenvalues of $L$.

## Graph Fourier Tranformation

The graph Fourier transformation is defined as:
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$$

where $U$ is the eigenvector matrix of the graph Laplacian.
The Laplacian matrix can be factored as
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\mathbf{L}=\mathbf{U} \Lambda U^{T}
$$

where $\Lambda$ are the eigenvalues of $L$.
Graph convolution

$$
g_{\theta} \star x=U g_{\theta} U^{T} x
$$

where $g_{\theta}$ is a function of $\Lambda$.

## Graph Fourier Tranformation

The graph Fourier transformation is defined as:

$$
\mathcal{F}(x)=\mathbf{U}^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{x}, \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\hat{x})=\mathbf{U} \hat{\mathbf{x}}
$$

where $U$ is the eigenvector matrix of the graph Laplacian.
The Laplacian matrix can be factored as

$$
\mathbf{L}=\mathbf{U} \Lambda U^{T}
$$

where $\Lambda$ are the eigenvalues of $L$.
Graph convolution

$$
g_{\theta} \star x=U g_{\theta} U^{T} x
$$

where $g_{\theta}$ is a function of $\Lambda$.
Problems:

1. Computing the eigencomposition of $L$ can be expensive for large graphs
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## Graph Convolution Approximation

Kipf and Welling approximated $g_{\theta}(\Lambda)$ as an expansion of Chebyshev coefficients of the adjacency matrix up to 2 nd order.

$$
g_{\theta} \star x=\theta_{0}{ }^{\prime} x+\theta_{1}{ }^{\prime}\left(L-I_{N}\right) x=\theta_{0}{ }^{\prime} x-\theta_{1}{ }^{\prime} D^{-\frac{1}{2}} A D^{-\frac{1}{2}} x
$$

After some empirical tricks:

$$
Z=D^{-\frac{1}{2}} A D^{-\frac{1}{2}} X \Theta
$$

with $\Theta \in \mathcal{R}^{C \times F}$ and $Z \in \mathcal{R}^{N \times F}$. Now the filtering operation has complexity $\mathcal{O}(|\mathcal{E}| F C)$.

input layer

output layer

We added a normalization term in the aggregation function

$$
\mathbf{h}^{k+1}=\sigma\left(\mathbf{W}_{n e i g h}^{(k)} \sum_{v \in \mathcal{N}(u)} \frac{\mathbf{h}_{v}^{k}}{\sqrt{\left|\mathcal{N}_{u}\right|\left|\mathcal{N}_{v}\right|}}\right)
$$

## Tracking



## Track fitting as edge classification



Unrolled r-z View


Hitgraph View


## Track fitting as edge classification


$a_{i j}^{(1)}=\phi_{R, 1}\left(x_{i}^{(0)}, x_{j}^{(0)}, a_{i j}^{(0)}\right) \quad w_{i j}^{(1)}=\phi_{R, 2}\left(x_{i}^{(1)}, x_{j}^{(1)}, a_{i j}^{(1)}\right)$



