CMS overview with first Run 3 experience 24 November 2022

Henning Kirschenmann (Helsinki Institute of Physics)



HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI





CMS (in Finland)

Tracker

Electromagnetic Calorimeter (ECAL)

CMS specifics

- Very precise tracker and ECAL
- Highly granular ECAL
- Tracking and calorimeters contained within superconducting magnet
- Strong magnetic field (3.8 T)

2



Key contributions to

- hardware (pixel) tracker, PPS diamond)
- Detector operations (alignment, jet calibration)
- Analyses (searches: H+, SUSY, BSM with PPS;

measurements: top mass, jet cross sections, VBS)

Forward (TOTEM+PPS)





CMS (in time)

CMS Integrated Luminosity, pp



4

Exploiting Run 2

- Run 2 analyses in full swing
- Many new results also during this year

56th Rencontres de Moriond 2022



Run 2 ended in 2018 and CMS collected an integrated luminosity good for all physics of almost 140 fb⁻¹ at 13 TeV



5





19th June 2020: 1000



Heavy Ion data, mainly PbPb and pPb

CMS Integrated Luminosity Delivered, PbPb, $\sqrt{s}=$ 5.02 TeV/nucleon





Exploring Run 3

- Surpassing Run 2 data-taking when running: max. 1.2/fb/day in 2022 vs. 0.9/fb/day in 2018
- Already first physics result available (!)







Physics objects and performance

- No sense to "just" wait for more data
- Improve performance on existing and upcoming data

Precision luminosity measurement at CMS

- and further reduce the overall uncertainty



Prompt calibration loop





- Automatization, can significantly 400 mprove data quality right after data 200 taking
 - Framework for quick calibration
 Prompt Calibration Loop (PCL)
 exists since Run 1, new calibrations are being implemented
 - Medium-term plan to also include jet-energy calibration

Prompt calibration loop





HIP effort on jet-energy corrections in the news

CMS Jet-energy corrections blaze a trail

Understanding hadronic final states is key to a successful physics programme at the LHC. The quarks and gluons flying out from proton-proton collisions instantly hadronise into sprays of particles called jets. Each jet has a unique composition that makes their flavour identification and energy calibration challenging. While the performance of jet-classification schemes has been increased by the fast-paced evolution of machine-learning algorithms, another, more subtle, revolution is ongoing in terms of precision jet-energy corrections.

CMS physicists have taken advantage of the data collected during LHC Run 2 to observe jets in many different final states and systematically understand their differences in detail. The main differences originate from the varying fractions of gluons making up the jets and the different amounts of final-state radiation (FSR) in the events, causing an imbalance between the leading ting the Z+jet sample by flavour, using a combination of quark-gluon likelihood and b/c-quark tagging, while FSR was constrained by combining the missing-E_T projection fraction (MPF) and direct balance (DB) methods. The MPF and DB methods have been well established at the LHC since Run 1: while in the DB method the jet response is evaluated by comparing the reconstructed jet momentum directly to the momentum of the reference object,

10



Fig. 1. The measurement of particle-flow jet to particle-jet momentum ratio (or response) with multiple different final jet and its companions. The gluon states, combining two complementary techniques (DB+MPF) uncertainty was constrained by split- to explicitly account for biases from initial- and final-state radiation. The ratio between data and simulation is shown after accounting for systematic biases in a global fit.

> the MPF method considers the response of the whole hadronic activity ence object. Figure 1 shows the agree- ness that each jet flavour is unique. ment achieved with the Run 2 data after carefully accounting for these **Further reading** biases for samples with different jet- CMS Collab. 2021 CERN-CMS-DP-2021-033. flavour compositions.

critical for some of the recent highprofile measurements by CMS, such as an intriguing double dijet excess at high mass (CERN Courier May/June 2022 p15), a recent exceptionally accurate top-quark mass measurement (CERN Courier July/August 2022 p8), and the most precise extraction of the strong coupling constant at hadron colliders using inclusive jets.

The expected increase of pileup in Run 3 and at the High-Luminosity LHC will pose additional challenges in the derivation of precise jet-energy corrections, but CMS physicists are well prepared: CMS will adopt the next-generation particle-flow algorithm (PUPPI, for PileUp Per Particle Id) as the default reconstruction algorithm to tackle pileup effects within jets at the singleparticle level.

Jets can be used to address some of the most intriguing puzzles of the Standard Model (SM), in particular: is the SM vacuum metastable, or do some new particles and fields stabilise it? The top-quark mass and strong-couplingconstant measurements address the former question via their interplay with the Higgs-boson mass, while dijetresonance searches tackle the latter. Underlying these studies are the in the event, recoiling versus the refer- jet-energy corrections and the aware-

CMS Collab. 2021 CERN-CMS-DP-2021-001 Precise jet-energy corrections are CMS Collab. 2022 CMS-PAS-TOP-20-008.



Several highlights during past year:

Public note on Run 2 legacy results -> paper in preparation and featured in **CERN** Courier

HIP effort on jet-energy corrections in the news

CMS Jet-energy corrections blaze a trail

Understanding hadronic final states is key to a successful physics programme at the LHC. The quarks and gluons flying out from proton-proton collisions instantly hadronise into sprays of particles called jets. Each jet has a unique composition that makes their flavour identification and energy calibration challenging. While the performance of jet-classification schemes has been increased by the fast-paced evolution of machine-learning algorithms, another, more subtle, revolution is ongoing in terms of precision jet-energy corrections.



critical for some of the recent highprofile measurements by CMS, such as an intriguing double dijet excess at high mass (CERN Courier May/June 2022 p15), a recent exceptionally accurate top-quark mass measurement (CERN *Courier* July/August 2022 p8), and the most precise extraction of the strong coupling constant at hadron colliders using inclusive jets.

The expected increase of pileup in Run 3 and at the High-Luminosity LHC will pose additional challenges in the derivation of precise jet-energy corrections, but CMS physicists are well prepared: CMS will adopt the next-generation particle-flow algorithm (PUPPI, for

CMS physicists have taken advantage of the data collected during LHC Run 2

Mikko Voutilainen @skvarkki · Mar 17

Good news from ERC! My Consolidator Grant for Jet Energy Corrections at High-Luminosity LHC was approved as one of the 313:



Q 1 1J ♡ 5

Faculty of Science, University of Helsi... @KumpulaScien... · Mar 29 Celebrating associate professor Mikko Voutilainen @ERC Research Consolidator Grant for Jet Energy Corrections at High-Luminosity LHC. Happy @HIPhysics CMS Experiment project team. Cheers! Congratulations! @skvarkki @helsinkiuni blog.hip.fi/jetit-hiukkasf...





Several highlights during past year:

Public note on Run 2 legacy results -> paper in preparation and featured in **CERN** Courier

ERC-CoG by Mikko to further expand and consolidate the effort \rightarrow ultimate goal 0.1% precision

HIP effort on jet-energy corrections in the news

CMS Jet-energy corrections blaze a trail

Understanding hadronic final states is key to a successful physics programme at the LHC. The quarks and gluons flying out from proton-proton collisions instantly hadronise into sprays of particles called jets. Each jet has a unique composition that makes their flavour identification and energy calibration challenging. While the performance of jet-classification schemes has been increased by the fast-paced evolution of machine-learning algorithms, another, more subtle, revolution is ongoing in terms of precision jet-energy corrections.



CMS physicists have taken advantage of the data collected during LHC Run 2

Mikko Voutilainen @skvarkki · Mar 17

Good news from ERC! My Consolidator Grant for Jet Energy Corrections at High-Luminosity LHC was approved as one of the 313:



 Q_1 17 ♡ 5 <u>1</u>



critical for some of the recent highprofile measurements by CMS, such as an intriguing double dijet excess at high mass (CERN Courier May/June 2022 p15), a recent exceptionally accurate top-quark mass measurement (CERN Courier July/August 2022 p8), and the most precise extraction of the strong coupling constant at hadron colliders using inclusive jets.

The expected increase of pileup in Run 3 and at the High-Luminosity LHC will pose additional challenges in the derivation of precise jet-energy corrections, but CMS physicists are well prepared: CMS will adopt the next-generation particle-flow algorithm (PUPPI, for

Faculty of Science, University of Helsi... @KumpulaScien... · Mar 29 Celebrating associate professor Mikko Voutilainen @ERC Research Consolidator Grant for Jet Energy Corrections at High-Luminosity LHC. Happy @HIPhysics CMS Experiment project team. Cheers! Congratulations! @skvarkki @helsinkiuni blog.hip.fi/jetit-hiukkasf...







- First calibrations in public note
- Exercising streamlined workflows and proving readiness
- Some lower-level miscalibration in PromptReco (but understood)





MEASURING THE HIGGS BOSON DECAY TO WW IS 90% PHYSICS. THE OTHER HALF IS TEAMWORK! 04 NOV 2022

It was a bit more than 10 years ago that together with our colleagues from the ATLAS experiment, we at CMS announced the discovery of this new (and quite amazing) particle. Now, detecting a new fundamental particle is no easy feat; in these 10 years... READ MORE



[Just a few] physics results

All preliminary results All physics briefings



TOP QUARKS FAST TO ARRIVE AT NEW ENERGY FRONTIER 24 OCT 2022

On 5 July 2022, the LHC surpassed the previous energy limits of experimental particle physics, breaking its own record by achieving stable proton-proton collisions at a center-of-mass energy of \sqrt{s} = 13.6 TeV. This marked the start of Run 3, the...



SEARCHING FOR TOP SQUARKS WITH CMS DATA 14 OCT 2022

What is the Universe made of? Searching for the answer to this question has been the main quest of particle physicists. Part of the answer is provided by the highly-successful Standard Model (SM) of particle physics, whose last achievement is the... READ MORE

SM overview

- Exploring process rates over 9 orders of magnitude from inclusive W, Z, and top pairs, to the smallest measured multiboson processes
- Evolving theory calculations. **Deviations** may indicate new physics effects

				CMS preliminary
~	W	7 TeV	JHEP 10 (2011) 132	
eak	W	8 TeV	PRL 112 (2014) 191802	
MO	W	13 TeV	SMP-15-004	
ctr	Z	7 TeV	JHEP 10 (2011) 132	
Ele	Z	8 TeV	PRL 112 (2014) 191802	
	Z	12 164	SMP-13-011	
	Wγ	7 TeV	PRD 89 (2014) 092005	~
	Wγ	13 TeV	PRL 126 252002 (2021)	
	Zγ	7 TeV	PRD 89 (2014) 092005	
	Zγ	8 TeV	JHEP 04 (2015) 164	
c	WW	7 TeV	EPJC 73 (2013) 2610	
050				
-Be	WZ	7 TeV	FPIC 77 (2017) 236	
q	WZ	8 TeV	EPJC 77 (2017) 236	
	WZ	13 TeV	Submitted to JHEP	
	ZZ	7 TeV	JHEP 01 (2013) 063	
	ZZ	8 TeV	PLB 740 (2015) 250	
	ZZ	13 TeV	EPJC 81 (2021) 200	
	VVV	13 TeV	PRI 125 151802 (2020)	
	www	13 TeV	PRL 125 151802 (2020)	
	WWZ	13 TeV	PRL 125 151802 (2020)	
E C	WZZ	13 TeV	PRL 125 151802 (2020)	
oso	ZZZ	13 TeV	PRL 125 151802 (2020)	
Ë	WVγ	8 TeV	PRD 90 032008 (2014)	
4	ννγγ Wiziz		JHEP 10 (2017) 072	
	Zvv	8 TeV	JHEP 10 (2021) 174 IHEP 10 (2017) 072	
	Ζγγ	13 TeV	JHEP 10 (2021) 174	
	VBF W	8 TeV	JHEP 11 (2016) 147	
	VBF W	13 TeV	EPJC 80 (2020) 43	
		7 TeV	JHEP 10 (2013) 101	
	VBF Z VBF 7		EPJC 75 (2015) 66 EPIC 78 (2018) 589	
	EW WV	13 TeV	Submitted to PLB	
VBS	ex.γγ→WV	V8 TeV	JHEP 08 (2016) 119	
PL PL	EW qqW γ	8 TeV	JHEP 06 (2017) 106	
ai	EW qqW γ	13 TeV	SMP-21-011	
VBI	EW os WW	13 TeV	Submitted to PLB	
			PRL 114 051801 (2015)	
	EW ggZ γ	8 TeV	PLB 770 (2017) 380	-
	EW qqZγ	13 TeV	PRD 104 072001 (2021)	
	EW qqWZ	13 TeV	PLB 809 (2020) 135710	
	EW qqZZ	13 TeV	PLB 812 (2020) 135992	σ(EW q
	++	7 ToV		u
			IHEP 08 (2016) 029	
	tt	alev	J (,	
	tt tt	13 TeV	Accepted by PRD	
	tt tt tt	13 TeV 13.6 TeV	Accepted by PRD TOP-22-012	
	tt tt tt t _{t-ch}	8 TeV 13 TeV 13.6 TeV 7 TeV	Accepted by PRD TOP-22-012 JHEP 12 (2012) 035	
	tt tt tt tt t_{t-ch}	8 TeV 13 TeV 13.6 TeV 7 TeV 8 TeV	Accepted by PRD TOP-22-012 JHEP 12 (2012) 035 JHEP 06 (2014) 090	
	tt tt tt t_{t-ch} t_{t-ch} t_{t-ch}	8 TeV 13 TeV 13.6 TeV 7 TeV 8 TeV 13 TeV 7 TeV	Accepted by PRD TOP-22-012 JHEP 12 (2012) 035 JHEP 06 (2014) 090 PLB 72 (2017) 752 PRI 110 (2013) 022002	
	tt tt tt $t_{\ell-ch}$ $t_{\ell-ch}$ $t_{\ell-ch}$ tW tW	 a rev 13 TeV 13.6 TeV 7 TeV 8 TeV 13 TeV 7 TeV 8 TeV 	Accepted by PRD TOP-22-012 JHEP 12 (2012) 035 JHEP 06 (2014) 090 PLB 72 (2017) 752 PRL 110 (2013) 022003 PRL 112 (2014) 231802	
	tt tt tt t_{t-ch} t_{t-ch} t w tW tW	 a TeV 13 TeV 13.6 TeV 7 TeV 8 TeV 13 TeV 7 TeV 8 TeV 13 TeV 13 TeV 	Accepted by PRD TOP-22-012 JHEP 12 (2012) 035 JHEP 06 (2014) 090 PLB 72 (2017) 752 PRL 110 (2013) 022003 PRL 112 (2014) 231802 JHEP 10 (2018) 117	
đ	tt tt tt t_{t-ch} t_{t-ch} t w tW tW tW ts-ch	 a TeV 13 TeV 13.6 TeV 7 TeV 8 TeV 13 TeV 7 TeV 8 TeV 13 TeV 8 TeV 8 TeV 	Accepted by PRD TOP-22-012 JHEP 12 (2012) 035 JHEP 06 (2014) 090 PLB 72 (2017) 752 PRL 110 (2013) 022003 PRL 112 (2014) 231802 JHEP 10 (2018) 117 JHEP 09 (2016) 027	
Top	tt tt tt tt t_{t-ch} t_{t-ch} t_{t-ch} tW tW tW tW tW tw ts-ch	 a TeV 13 TeV 13.6 TeV 7 TeV 8 TeV 13 TeV 8 TeV 13 TeV 8 TeV 	Accepted by PRD TOP-22-012 JHEP 12 (2012) 035 JHEP 06 (2014) 090 PLB 72 (2017) 752 PRL 110 (2013) 022003 PRL 112 (2014) 231802 JHEP 10 (2018) 117 JHEP 09 (2016) 027 JHEP 10 (2017) 006	
Top	tt tt tt tt $t_{\ell-ch}$ $t_{\ell-ch}$ $t_{\ell-ch}$ tW tW tW tW tW ty tty tt7 t72	 a TeV 13 TeV 13.6 TeV 7 TeV 8 TeV 13 TeV 8 TeV 8 TeV 8 TeV 13 TeV 8 TeV 8 TeV 8 TeV 	Accepted by PRD TOP-22-012 JHEP 12 (2012) 035 JHEP 06 (2014) 090 PLB 72 (2017) 752 PRL 110 (2013) 022003 PRL 112 (2014) 231802 JHEP 10 (2018) 117 JHEP 09 (2016) 027 JHEP 10 (2017) 006 Submitted to JHEP	
Top	tt tt tt tt t_{t-ch} t_{t-ch} t w tW tW tW tW ts-ch tt γ tt γ tt γ tt γ tZq tZq tZa	 a TeV 13 TeV 13.6 TeV 7 TeV 8 TeV 13 TeV 8 TeV 13 TeV 8 TeV 8 TeV 13 TeV 8 TeV 13 TeV 8 TeV 13 TeV 13 TeV 	Accepted by PRD TOP-22-012 JHEP 12 (2012) 035 JHEP 06 (2014) 090 PLB 72 (2017) 752 PRL 110 (2013) 022003 PRL 112 (2014) 231802 JHEP 10 (2018) 117 JHEP 09 (2016) 027 JHEP 10 (2017) 006 Submitted to JHEP JHEP 07 (2017) 003 Submitted to IHEP	
Top	tt tt tt tt t_{t-ch} t_{t-ch} t W tW tW tW t_{s-ch} tt γ tt γ tt γ tt γ tt γ tt γ tt γ ttZq ttZq	 a TeV 13 TeV 13.6 TeV 7 TeV 8 TeV 13 TeV 7 TeV 	Accepted by PRD TOP-22-012 JHEP 12 (2012) 035 JHEP 06 (2014) 090 PLB 72 (2017) 752 PRL 110 (2013) 022003 PRL 112 (2014) 231802 JHEP 10 (2018) 117 JHEP 09 (2016) 027 JHEP 10 (2017) 006 Submitted to JHEP JHEP 07 (2017) 003 Submitted to JHEP PRL 110 (2013) 172002	
Top	tt tt tt tt t_{t-ch} t_{t-ch} t_{t-ch} tW tW tW tW t_{s-ch} tty tty tZq tZq ttZ ttZ	 a TeV 13 TeV 13.6 TeV 7 TeV 8 TeV 13 TeV 8 TeV 	Accepted by PRD TOP-22-012 JHEP 12 (2012) 035 JHEP 06 (2014) 090 PLB 72 (2017) 752 PRL 110 (2013) 022003 PRL 112 (2014) 231802 JHEP 10 (2018) 117 JHEP 09 (2016) 027 JHEP 10 (2017) 006 Submitted to JHEP JHEP 07 (2017) 003 Submitted to JHEP PRL 110 (2013) 172002 JHEP 01 (2016) 096	
Top	tt tt tt tt tt $t_{\ell-ch}$ $t_{\ell-ch}$ t W tW tW tW tW tW tW tV tY tZq tZq ttZ ttZ ttZ	 a TeV 13 TeV 13.6 TeV 7 TeV 8 TeV 13 TeV 	Accepted by PRD TOP-22-012 JHEP 12 (2012) 035 JHEP 06 (2014) 090 PLB 72 (2017) 752 PRL 110 (2013) 022003 PRL 112 (2014) 231802 JHEP 10 (2018) 117 JHEP 09 (2016) 027 JHEP 10 (2017) 006 Submitted to JHEP JHEP 07 (2017) 003 Submitted to JHEP PRL 110 (2013) 172002 JHEP 01 (2016) 096 JHEP 03 (2020) 056	
Top	tt tt tt tt t_{t-ch} t_{t-ch} t_{t-ch} tW tW tW tW tW ty tZq tZq ttZ ttZ ttZ ttZ ttZ ttZ	 a TeV 13 TeV 13.6 TeV 7 TeV 8 TeV 13 TeV 7 TeV 8 TeV 13 TeV 8 TeV 13 TeV 7 TeV 8 TeV 13 TeV 13 TeV 13 TeV 	Accepted by PRD TOP-22-012 JHEP 12 (2012) 035 JHEP 06 (2014) 090 PLB 72 (2017) 752 PRL 110 (2013) 022003 PRL 112 (2014) 231802 JHEP 10 (2018) 117 JHEP 09 (2016) 027 JHEP 09 (2016) 027 JHEP 10 (2017) 006 Submitted to JHEP JHEP 07 (2017) 003 Submitted to JHEP PRL 110 (2013) 172002 JHEP 01 (2016) 096 JHEP 03 (2020) 056 PRL 121 221802 (2018)	
Top	tt tt tt tt t_{t-ch} t_{t-ch} t w tW tW tW tW tS-ch tt γ tt γ tt γ tt γ tt z ttZ ttZ ttZ ttZ ttZ ttZ ttZ tt	 a TeV 13 TeV 13.6 TeV 7 TeV 8 TeV 13 TeV 	Accepted by PRD TOP-22-012 JHEP 12 (2012) 035 JHEP 06 (2014) 090 PLB 72 (2017) 752 PRL 110 (2013) 022003 PRL 112 (2014) 231802 JHEP 10 (2018) 117 JHEP 09 (2016) 027 JHEP 10 (2017) 006 Submitted to JHEP JHEP 07 (2017) 003 Submitted to JHEP PRL 110 (2013) 172002 JHEP 01 (2016) 096 PRL 121 221802 (2018) JHEP 01 (2016) 096	
Top	tt tt tt tt tt t_{t-ch} t_{t-ch} t_{t-ch} tW tW tW tW tW tW tV tV tZq tZq ttZ ttZ ttZ ttZ ttZ ttZ	 a TeV 13 TeV 13.6 TeV 7 TeV 8 TeV 13 TeV 	Accepted by PRD TOP-22-012 JHEP 12 (2012) 035 JHEP 06 (2014) 090 PLB 72 (2017) 752 PRL 110 (2013) 022003 PRL 112 (2014) 231802 JHEP 10 (2018) 117 JHEP 09 (2016) 027 JHEP 10 (2017) 006 Submitted to JHEP JHEP 07 (2017) 003 Submitted to JHEP PRL 110 (2013) 172002 JHEP 01 (2016) 096 JHEP 03 (2020) 056 PRL 121 221802 (2018) JHEP 01 (2016) 096 TOP-21-011 EPJC 80 (2020) 75	
Top	tt tt tt tt tt t_{t-ch} t_{t-ch} t w t W t W t W t W t W t W t Y t Zq t Zq t Zq t Zq t Zq t Z t Z	 a TeV 13 TeV 13.6 TeV 7 TeV 8 TeV 13 TeV 7 TeV 8 TeV 13 TeV 	Accepted by PRD TOP-22-012 JHEP 12 (2012) 035 JHEP 06 (2014) 090 PLB 72 (2017) 752 PRL 110 (2013) 022003 PRL 112 (2014) 231802 JHEP 10 (2018) 117 JHEP 09 (2016) 027 JHEP 09 (2016) 027 JHEP 10 (2017) 006 Submitted to JHEP PRL 110 (2017) 003 Submitted to JHEP PRL 110 (2013) 172002 JHEP 01 (2016) 096 JHEP 03 (2020) 056 PRL 121 221802 (2018) JHEP 01 (2016) 096 TOP-21-011 EPJC 80 (2020) 75	
Top	tt tt tt tt t_{t-ch} t_{t-ch} t_{t-ch} tW tW tW tW tW tW tV tZq tZq ttZ ttZ ttZ ttZ ttZ ttZ	 a TeV 13 TeV 13.6 TeV 7 TeV 8 TeV 13 TeV 7 TeV 	Accepted by PRD TOP-22-012 JHEP 12 (2012) 035 JHEP 06 (2014) 090 PLB 72 (2017) 752 PRL 110 (2013) 022003 PRL 112 (2014) 231802 JHEP 10 (2018) 117 JHEP 09 (2016) 027 JHEP 10 (2017) 006 Submitted to JHEP JHEP 07 (2017) 003 Submitted to JHEP PRL 110 (2013) 172002 JHEP 01 (2016) 096 JHEP 03 (2020) 056 PRL 121 221802 (2018) JHEP 01 (2016) 096 TOP-21-011 EPJC 80 (2020) 75	
Top	tt tt tt tt tt t_{t-ch} t_{t-ch} t v tW tW tW tW tW tW tW tV tV tZq tZq tZq tZq ttZ ttZ ttZ ttZ	 a TeV 13 TeV 13.6 TeV 7 TeV 8 TeV 13 TeV 14 TeV 15 TeV	Accepted by PRD TOP-22-012 JHEP 12 (2012) 035 JHEP 06 (2014) 090 PLB 72 (2017) 752 PRL 110 (2013) 022003 PRL 112 (2014) 231802 JHEP 10 (2018) 117 JHEP 09 (2016) 027 JHEP 10 (2017) 006 Submitted to JHEP JHEP 07 (2017) 003 Submitted to JHEP PRL 110 (2013) 172002 JHEP 01 (2016) 096 JHEP 03 (2020) 056 PRL 121 221802 (2018) JHEP 01 (2016) 096 TOP-21-011 EPJC 80 (2020) 75	
Top	tt tt tt tt tt $t_{\ell-ch}$ $t_{\ell-ch}$ t W tW tW tW tW tW tW tV tT tZq tZq tZq tZq ttZ ttZ ttZ ttZ	 a TeV 13 TeV 13.6 TeV 7 TeV 8 TeV 13 TeV 13 TeV 8 TeV 13 TeV 13 TeV 8 TeV 13 TeV 	Accepted by PRD TOP-22-012 JHEP 12 (2012) 035 JHEP 06 (2014) 090 PLB 72 (2017) 752 PRL 110 (2013) 022003 PRL 112 (2014) 231802 JHEP 10 (2018) 117 JHEP 09 (2016) 027 JHEP 10 (2017) 006 Submitted to JHEP JHEP 07 (2017) 003 Submitted to JHEP PRL 110 (2013) 172002 JHEP 01 (2016) 096 JHEP 03 (2020) 056 PRL 121 221802 (2018) JHEP 01 (2016) 096 TOP-21-011 EPJC 80 (2020) 75 EPJC 75 (2015) 212 EPJC 75 (2015) 212 Nature 607 60-68 (2022)	
Top	tt tt tt tt tt $t_{\ell-ch}$ $t_{\ell-ch}$ t ψ tW tW tW tW tW tW tW tW tV tV tZq tZq ttZ ttZ ttZ ttZ ttZ ttZ	 a TeV 13 TeV 13.6 TeV 7 TeV 8 TeV 13 TeV 7 TeV 8 TeV 13 TeV 7 TeV 8 TeV 	Accepted by PRD TOP-22-012 JHEP 12 (2012) 035 JHEP 06 (2014) 090 PLB 72 (2017) 752 PRL 110 (2013) 022003 PRL 112 (2014) 231802 JHEP 10 (2018) 117 JHEP 09 (2016) 027 JHEP 09 (2016) 027 JHEP 10 (2017) 006 Submitted to JHEP JHEP 07 (2017) 003 Submitted to JHEP PRL 110 (2013) 172002 JHEP 01 (2016) 096 JHEP 03 (2020) 056 PRL 121 221802 (2018) JHEP 01 (2016) 096 TOP-21-011 EPJC 80 (2020) 75 EPJC 75 (2015) 212 EPJC 75 (2015) 212 Nature 607 60-68 (2022) EPJC 75 (2015) 212	
Top	tt tt tt tt t_{t-ch} t_{t-ch} t_{t-ch} tW tW tW tW tW tW tV tZq tZq tZq ttZ ttZ ttZ ttZ ttZ	 a TeV 13 TeV 13.6 TeV 7 TeV 8 TeV 13 TeV 	Accepted by PRD TOP-22-012 JHEP 12 (2012) 035 JHEP 06 (2014) 090 PLB 72 (2017) 752 PRL 110 (2013) 022003 PRL 112 (2014) 231802 JHEP 10 (2018) 117 JHEP 09 (2016) 027 JHEP 10 (2017) 006 Submitted to JHEP JHEP 07 (2017) 003 Submitted to JHEP PRL 110 (2013) 172002 JHEP 01 (2016) 096 JHEP 03 (2020) 056 PRL 121 221802 (2018) JHEP 01 (2016) 096 TOP-21-011 EPJC 80 (2020) 75 EPJC 75 (2015) 212 EPJC 75 (2015) 212 Nature 607 60-68 (2022) EPJC 75 (2015) 212	
ggs	tt tt tt tt tt t_{t-ch} t_{t-ch} t W tW tW tW tW tW tW tV tZq tZq tZq ttZ ttZ ttZ ttZ ttZ	 a TeV 13 TeV 13.6 TeV 7 TeV 8 TeV 13 TeV 8 TeV 13 TeV 8 TeV 13 TeV 8 TeV 13 TeV 14 TeV 15 TeV<td>Accepted by PRD TOP-22-012 JHEP 12 (2012) 035 JHEP 06 (2014) 090 PLB 72 (2017) 752 PRL 110 (2013) 022003 PRL 112 (2014) 231802 JHEP 10 (2018) 117 JHEP 09 (2016) 027 JHEP 10 (2017) 006 Submitted to JHEP JHEP 07 (2017) 003 Submitted to JHEP PRL 110 (2013) 172002 JHEP 01 (2016) 096 JHEP 03 (2020) 056 PRL 121 221802 (2018) JHEP 01 (2016) 096 TOP-21-011 EPJC 80 (2020) 75 EPJC 75 (2015) 212 EPJC 75 (2015) 212 Nature 607 60-68 (2022) EPJC 75 (2015) 212 Nature 607 60-68 (2022)</td><td></td>	Accepted by PRD TOP-22-012 JHEP 12 (2012) 035 JHEP 06 (2014) 090 PLB 72 (2017) 752 PRL 110 (2013) 022003 PRL 112 (2014) 231802 JHEP 10 (2018) 117 JHEP 09 (2016) 027 JHEP 10 (2017) 006 Submitted to JHEP JHEP 07 (2017) 003 Submitted to JHEP PRL 110 (2013) 172002 JHEP 01 (2016) 096 JHEP 03 (2020) 056 PRL 121 221802 (2018) JHEP 01 (2016) 096 TOP-21-011 EPJC 80 (2020) 75 EPJC 75 (2015) 212 EPJC 75 (2015) 212 Nature 607 60-68 (2022) EPJC 75 (2015) 212 Nature 607 60-68 (2022)	
Higgs	tt tt tt tt tt tt $t_{\ell-ch}$ $t_{\ell-ch}$ tW tW tW tW tW tW tV tT tZq tZq tZq tZq tZq ttZ ttZ ttZ	 a TeV 13 TeV 13.6 TeV 7 TeV 8 TeV 13 TeV 	Accepted by PRD TOP-22-012 JHEP 12 (2012) 035 JHEP 06 (2014) 090 PLB 72 (2017) 752 PRL 110 (2013) 022003 PRL 112 (2014) 231802 JHEP 10 (2018) 117 JHEP 09 (2016) 027 JHEP 10 (2017) 006 Submitted to JHEP JHEP 07 (2017) 003 Submitted to JHEP PRL 110 (2013) 172002 JHEP 01 (2016) 096 JHEP 03 (2020) 056 PRL 121 221802 (2018) JHEP 01 (2016) 096 TOP-21-011 EPJC 80 (2020) 75 EPJC 75 (2015) 212 EPJC 75 (2015) 212 EPJC 75 (2015) 212 Nature 607 60-68 (2022) EPJC 75 (2015) 212 Nature 607 60-68 (2022)	
Higgs	tt tt tt tt tt tt t_{t-ch} t_{t-ch} t v tW tW tW tW tW tW tV tZq tZq tZq ttZ ttZ ttZ ttZ ttZ	 a TeV 13 TeV 13.6 TeV 7 TeV 8 TeV 13 TeV 7 TeV 8 TeV 13 TeV 13 TeV 13 TeV 	Accepted by PRD TOP-22-012 JHEP 12 (2012) 035 JHEP 06 (2014) 090 PLB 72 (2017) 752 PRL 110 (2013) 022003 PRL 112 (2014) 231802 JHEP 10 (2018) 117 JHEP 09 (2016) 027 JHEP 10 (2017) 006 Submitted to JHEP JHEP 07 (2017) 003 Submitted to JHEP PRL 110 (2013) 172002 JHEP 01 (2016) 096 JHEP 03 (2020) 056 PRL 121 221802 (2018) JHEP 01 (2016) 096 TOP-21-011 EPJC 80 (2020) 75 EPJC 75 (2015) 212 EPJC 75 (2015) 212 Nature 607 60-68 (2022) EPJC 75 (2015) 212 Nature 607 60-68 (2022) EPJC 75 (2015) 212	
Higgs	tt tt tt tt tt tt t_{t-ch} t_{t-ch} t W tW tW tW tW tW tV tZq tZq tZq tZq tZZ ttZ ttZ ttZ	 a TeV 13 TeV 13.6 TeV 7 TeV 8 TeV 13 TeV 7 TeV 8 TeV 13 TeV 	Accepted by PRD TOP-22-012 JHEP 12 (2012) 035 JHEP 06 (2014) 090 PLB 72 (2017) 752 PRL 110 (2013) 022003 PRL 112 (2014) 231802 JHEP 10 (2018) 117 JHEP 09 (2016) 027 JHEP 10 (2017) 006 Submitted to JHEP JHEP 07 (2017) 003 Submitted to JHEP PRL 110 (2013) 172002 JHEP 01 (2016) 096 JHEP 01 (2016) 096 TOP-21-011 EPJC 80 (2020) 75 EPJC 75 (2015) 212 EPJC 75 (2015) 212 EPJC 75 (2015) 212 Nature 607 60-68 (2022) EPJC 75 (2015) 212	
Higgs	tt tt tt tt tt tt t_{t-ch} t_{t-ch} tw tW tW tW tW tW tW tW tV tZq tZq tZq tZZ ttZ ttZ ttZ ttZ	 a TeV 13 TeV 13.6 TeV 7 TeV 8 TeV 13 TeV 7 TeV 8 TeV 13 TeV 	Accepted by PRD TOP-22-012 JHEP 12 (2012) 035 JHEP 06 (2014) 090 PLB 72 (2017) 752 PRL 110 (2013) 022003 PRL 112 (2014) 231802 JHEP 10 (2018) 117 JHEP 09 (2016) 027 JHEP 10 (2017) 006 Submitted to JHEP JHEP 07 (2017) 003 Submitted to JHEP PRL 110 (2013) 172002 JHEP 01 (2016) 096 TOP-21-011 EPJC 80 (2020) 056 PRL 121 221802 (2018) JHEP 01 (2016) 096 TOP-21-011 EPJC 80 (2020) 75 EPJC 75 (2015) 212 EPJC 75 (2015) 212 Nature 607 60-68 (2022) EPJC 75 (2015) 212 Nature 607 60-68 (2022) EPJC 75 (2015) 212 Nature 607 60-68 (2022) Nature 607 60-68 (2022) EPJC 75 (2015) 212 Nature 607 60-68 (2022) Nature 607 60-68 (2022) EPJC 75 (2015) 212 Nature 607 60-68 (2022) Nature 607 60-68 (2022) Nature 607 60-68 (2022) Nature 607 60-68 (2022) Nature 607 60-68 (2022)	
Higgs	tt tt tt tt tt tt $t_{\ell-ch}$ $t_{\ell-ch}$ t W tW tW tW tW tW tV tT tZq tZq tZq tZq tZq tZq tZq tZZ tZ tZ tZ tZ tZ tZ tZ tZ t	 a TeV 13 TeV 13.6 TeV 7 TeV 8 TeV 13 TeV 	Accepted by PRD TOP-22-012 JHEP 12 (2012) 035 JHEP 06 (2014) 090 PLB 72 (2017) 752 PRL 110 (2013) 022003 PRL 112 (2014) 231802 JHEP 10 (2018) 117 JHEP 09 (2016) 027 JHEP 10 (2017) 006 Submitted to JHEP JHEP 07 (2017) 003 Submitted to JHEP PRL 110 (2013) 172002 JHEP 01 (2016) 096 JHEP 03 (2020) 056 PRL 121 221802 (2018) JHEP 01 (2016) 096 TOP-21-011 EPJC 80 (2020) 75 EPJC 75 (2015) 212 EPJC 75 (2015) 212 EPJC 75 (2015) 212 EPJC 75 (2015) 212 Nature 607 60-68 (2022) EPJC 75 (2015) 212 Nature 607 60-68 (2022) Nature 607 60-68 (2022) EPJC 75 (2015) 212 Nature 607 60-68 (2022) EPJC 75 (2015) 212 Nature 607 60-68 (2022) EPJC 75 (2015) 212 Nature 607 60-68 (2022) Nature 607 60-68 (2022) Nature 607 60-68 (2022) Nature 607 60-68 (2022)	



Inner colored bars statistical uncertainty, outer narrow bars statistical+systematic uncertainty σ [fb] Light colored bars: 7 TeV, Medium: 8 TeV, Dark: 13 TeV, Darkest: 13.6 TeV, Black bars: theory prediction

1.0e+09

13,13	8.6 TeV)	
3 fb		36 pb ⁻¹ 18 pb ⁻¹ 43 pb ⁻¹ 36 pb ⁻¹ 18 pb ⁻¹ 2 fb ⁻¹
		5 fb ⁻¹ 137 fb ⁻¹ 5 fb ⁻¹ 20 fb ⁻¹ 5 fb ⁻¹ 19 fb ⁻¹ 36 fb ⁻¹ 5 fb ⁻¹ 20 fb ⁻¹ 137 fb ⁻¹ 20 fb ⁻¹ 137 fb ⁻¹ 137 fb ⁻¹
		137 fb ⁻¹ 137 fb ⁻¹ 137 fb ⁻¹ 137 fb ⁻¹ 137 fb ⁻¹ 19 fb ⁻¹ 19 fb ⁻¹ 19 fb ⁻¹ 19 fb ⁻¹
		19 fb ⁻¹ 36 fb ⁻¹ 20 fb ⁻¹ 36 fb ⁻¹ 138 fb ⁻¹ 20 fb ⁻¹ 20 fb ⁻¹ 138 fb ⁻¹ 138 fb ⁻¹ 138 fb ⁻¹ 137 fb ⁻¹ 137 fb ⁻¹ 137 fb ⁻¹
		5 fb ⁻¹ 20 fb ⁻¹ 137 fb ⁻¹ 2 fb ⁻¹ 2 fb ⁻¹ 5 fb ⁻¹ 20 fb ⁻¹ 36 fb ⁻¹ 20 fb ⁻¹ 138 fb ⁻¹ 20 fb ⁻¹ 138 fb ⁻¹ 20 fb ⁻¹ 138 fb ⁻¹ 20 fb ⁻¹ 36 fb ⁻¹ 20 fb ⁻¹ 138 fb ⁻¹ 36 fb ⁻¹ 20 fb ⁻¹ 138 fb ⁻¹ 36 fb ⁻¹ 20 fb ⁻¹
		5 fb ⁻¹ 20 fb ⁻¹ 139 fb ⁻¹ 5 fb ⁻¹ 20 fb ⁻¹ 138 fb ⁻¹ 138 fb ⁻¹ 138 fb ⁻¹ 138 fb ⁻¹ 138 fb ⁻¹ 138 fb ⁻¹

September 2022

Top masses done differently x3 this year



- Direct measurement with 5D fit (TOP-20-008)
- ▶ m_t = 171.77±0.38 GeV
- 2016 data, <u>Physics Briefing</u>, most precise
- Cf. <u>Hannu's thesis</u> (defended two days ago) for 2017/2018 preview (towards 0.2 GeV) uncertainty)
- Measurement of mass distribution and m_t in hadronic decay to boosted jets (TOP-21-012)

- XCone to reconstruct a large jet with three subjets inside and then use jet mass





Physics Briefing

m_t = 172.76±0.81 GeV



- Measurement from tt+jet cross section (TOP-21-008)
- $m_t^{pole} = 172.94 \pm 1.37 \text{ GeV}$
- Complementary to direct measurement, avoids MC mass definition ambiguity

Top masses done differently x3 this year



- Direct measurement with 5D fit (TOP-20-008)
- ▶ m_t = 171.77±0.38 GeV
- 2016 data, <u>Physics Briefing</u>, most precise
- Cf. <u>Hannu's thesis</u> (defended two days ago) for 2017/2018 preview (towards 0.2 GeV) uncertainty)

ELECTROWEAK Top quark weighs in with unparalleled precision

The CMS collaboration has substantially improved on its measurement of 0 the top-quark mass. The latest result, 171.77 ± 0.38 GeV, presented at CERN on 5 April, represents a precision of about 0. 0.22% - compared to the 0.36% obtained in 2018 with the same data. The gain comes from new analysis methods and 0. improved procedures to consistently treat uncertainties in the measurement simultaneously.

As the heaviest elementary particle, precise knowledge of the top-quark mass 0. is of paramount importance to test the internal consistency of the Standard Model. Together with accurate knowledge of the masses of the W and Higgs bosons, the top-quark mass is no longer a free parameter but a clear prediction of the Standard Model. Since the top-quark mass dominates higher-order corrections to the Higgs-boson mass, a precise measurement of the top mass also places strong constraints on the stability of the electroweak vacuum (see p59).

Since its discovery at Fermilab in 1995, the mass of the top quark has been measured with increasing precision using the invariant mass of different combinations of its decay products. Measurements by the Tevatron experiments resulted in a combined value of 174.30 ± 0.65 GeV, while the ATLAS and CMS collaborations measured 172.69 ± 0.48 GeV and 172.44±0.48 GeV, respectively, from the combination of their most precise results from LHC Run 1 recorded at a centre-of-





Top marks The classic signature of a top-quark pair at the LHC is four jets (yellow cones), one muon (red line and boxes) and missing energy from a neutrino (pink arrow).

mass energy of 8 TeV. The latter measurement achieved a relative precision of about 0.28%. In 2019, the CMS collaboration also experimentally investigated the running of the top quark mass - a prediction of QCD that causes the mass to vary as a function of energy - for the first time at the LHC.

The LHC produces top quarks predominantly in quark-antiquark pairs via gluon approach is applied to the more extenfusion, which then decay almost exclusively to a bottom quark and a W boson. Each tt event is classified by the subsequent decay of the W bosons. The latest

CMS analysis uses semileptonic events where one W decays into jets and the other into a lepton and a neutrino - selected from 36 fb⁻¹ of Run 2 data collected at a centre-of-mass energy of 13 TeV. Five kinematical variables, as opposed to up to three in previous analyses, were used to extract the top-quark mass. While the extra information in the fit improved the precision of the measurement in a novel and unconventional way, it made the analysis significantly more complicated. In addition, the measurement required an extremely precise calibration of the CMS data and an in-depth understanding of the remaining experimental and theoretical uncertainties and their interdependencies.

The final result, 171.77 ± 0.38 GeV, which includes 0.04 GeV statistical uncertainty, is a considerable improvement compared to all previously published top-quark mass measurements and supersedes the previously published measurement in this channel using the same data set. "The cutting-edge statistical treatment of uncertainties and the use of more information have vastly improved this new measurement from CMS," says Hartmut Stadie of the University of Hamburg, who contributed to the result. "Another big step is expected when the new sive dataset recorded in 2017 and 2018."

Further reading

CMS Collab. 2022 CMS-PAS-TOP-20-008.

EWK Results Summary in 2022



15

- Many new measurements available this year M_H, M_t (CMS result just shown), M_W (CDF in April)
- Before CDF M_w 2022 result consistent agreement between the world average masses in the presence of the measured M_H
- Now tensions in global EWK fits only to be resolved with new precision results and possible effects of new heavier particles
- W mass measurements from LHC eagerly awaited

Higgs 10 years: 4 July 2022



- All details in our <u>Nature paper</u>
- Combination of multiple results fitting for coupling modifiers • Combination of HH results for the three most sensitive channels (4b, 2b2 τ , 2b2 γ) Reaching ~3x SM sensitivity, expect SM sensitivity with HL-LHC





Higgs 10 years: 4 July 2022



- All details in our <u>Nature paper</u>
- Combination of multiple results fitting for coupling modifiers \triangleright Combination of HH results for the three most sensitive channels (4b, 2b2 τ , 2b2 γ) Reaching ~3x SM sensitivity, expect SM sensitivity with HL-LHC





Observations on the way to $H \rightarrow cc$



- Coupling to charm is extremely challenging to measure at SM value
- CMS developed new charm tagging techniques based on Graph Neural Networks (ParticleNet)
- Sizeable sensitivity improvement (~10x SM sensitivity)
- Calibration candle is the $Z \rightarrow cc$ decay (bonus 5σ observation of $Z \rightarrow cc$)

17

0L

1L

3 (exp) ±0.029 (stat)



Some way to go for $H \rightarrow cc$, still



Hints for new physics with Higgs



- ► H→WW ; excess 3.8 σ (local), 2.6 σ (global) at M_H = 650 GeV
- ► $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$; excess 2.8 σ (local), 1.3 σ (global) at $M_H = 95$ GeV.

Dijet excess intrigues at CMS (cf. last year's PPD)

2022: dedicated paired dijet search - second very similar event

CMS Highlight: Search for high mass dijet resonances

Four-jet resonance m = 8.6 TeV, 3.9σ(1.6σ) local (global).
Non resonant dijet m = 0.95 TeV, 3.6σ(2.5σ) local (global).

HEP (05) 2020, 033 137 fb⁻¹ (13 TeV) • Data • Fit Method χ^2 /NDF = 40.34 / 38 • Ratio Method χ^2 /NDF = 40.33 / 32 • gg (2.0 TeV) • qg (4.0 TeV) • qq (6.0 TeV) • qq (6.0 TeV) • Data • Dijet mass [TeV]

E Dijet excess intrigues at CMS

The Standard Model (SM) has been extremely successful in describing the behaviour of elementary particles. Nevertheless, conundrums such as the nature of dark matter and the cosmological matter-antimatter asymmetry strongly suggest that the theory is incomplete. Hence, the SM is widely viewed as an effective low-energy limit of a more fundamental underlying theory that must be modified to describe particles and their interactions **T** at higher energies.

A powerful way to discover new particles expected from physics beyond the SM is to search for high-mass dijet or multi-jet resonances, as these are expected to have large production cross-sections at hadron colliders. These searches look for a pair of jets originating from a pair of quarks or gluons, coming from the decay of a new particle "X" and appearing as a narrow bump in the invariant dijet-mass distribution. Since the energy scale of new physics is most likely high, it is natural to expect these new particles to be massive.

CMS and ATLAS have performed a suite of single-dijet-resonance searches. The next step is to look for new identical-mass particles "X" that are produced in pairs, with (resonant mode) or without (non-resonant mode) a new intermediate, heavier particle "Y" being produced and decaying to pairs of X. Such processes would yield two dijet resonances and four jets in the final state: the dijet mass would correspond to particle X and the four-jet mass to particle Y.

The CMS experiment was also motivated to search for $Y \rightarrow XX \rightarrow four$

Fig. 1. Display of the highest mass event with a four-jet mass of 8 TeV, in which each pair of jets has a dijet mass of 1.9 TeV.

Fig. 2. Number of events observed (colour scale) within bins of the four-jet mass and the average mass of the two dijets. Purple ellipses show the 1 and 2σ resolution contours from a signal simulation of a four-jet resonance, with a mass of 8.4 TeV, decaying to a pair of dijet resonances, each with a mass of 2.1 TeV.

· 10³ events/bin

jets by a candidate event recorded in 2017, which was presented by a previous CMS search for dijet resonances (figure 1). This spectacular event has four high-transverse-momentum jets forming two dijet pairs, each with an invariant mass of 1.9 TeV and a four-jet invariant mass of 8 TeV.

The CMS collaboration recently found another very similar event in a new search optimised for this specific $Y \rightarrow XX \rightarrow$ fourjet topology. These events could originate from quantum-chromodynamic processes, but those are expected to be extremely rare (figure 2). The two candidate events are clearly visible at high masses and distinct from all the rest. Also shown in the figure (in purple) is a simulation of a possible new-physics signal – a diquark decaying to vector-like quarks - with a four-jet mass of 8.4 TeV and a dijet mass of 2.1 TeV, which very nicely describes these two candidates.

The hypothesis that these events originate from the SM at the observed X and Y masses is disfavoured with a local significance of 3.9σ . Taking into account the full range of possible X and Y mass values, the compatibility of the observation with the SM expectation leads to a global significance of 1.6σ .

High-Luminosity LHC runs will be crucial in telling us whether these events are statistical fluctuations of the SM expectation, or the first signs of yet another groundbreaking discovery at the LHC.

Further reading

CMS Collab. 2022 CMS-PAS-EXO-21-010.

CMS Highlight: Search for high mass dijet resonances

Search for resonances with m>1.8 TeV decaying to jets

 Exclusion mediator

· One four-jet topology event found at high

· No significant evidence (yet) for production of new particles, high prospects for Run 3!

The upcoming LHC Run 3 and future 3 e m = 8.6 TeV, global). tm = 0.95 TeV, global).

HEP (05) 2020, 033 137 fb⁻¹ (13 TeV) Data Fit Method χ^2/NDF = 40.34 / 38 Ratio Method χ^2 /NDF = 40.33 / 32 gg (2.0 TeV) gg (4.0 TeV)

Other searches

Overview of CMS EXO results

Selection of observed exclusion limits at 95% C.L. (theory uncertainties are not included).

	16-140 fb ⁻¹ (13 7	ГeV)
05-79 1911.039 035-4 1712.03143 (2µ+1y; 2e+1y; 2j+1 15-8 2106.105 072-3.25 1808.01257 (1j+1y) 05-3.7 1911.03947 (2j) 05-7.5 1911.03947	47 (2j) γ) i09 (1j + 1γ) '(2j)	137 fb 36 fb ⁻ 137 fb 36 fb ⁻ 137 fb 137 fb 137 fb
0.2-5.6 2001.04521 (2e + 2j) 0.2-5.7 2001.04521 (2µ + 2j)	<24 2103.02708 (21) <36 2103.02708 (21)	140 fb 140 fb 77 fb ⁻ 77 fb ⁻
$103.02708 (2e, 2\mu)$ $0.5-2.8 1911.03947 (2j)$ $107.13021 (\ge 1j + p_{T}^{mim})$ $0.2-4.64 2103.02708 (2e, 2\mu)$ $1 (\ge 1j + p_{T}^{mim})$ $9 (4j)$ $15-51 2112.11125 (2j + p_{T}^{mim})$ $13 (h + p_{T}^{mim})$ $0.5-3.1 1908.01713 (h + p_{T}^{mim})$		18 fb ⁻ 140 fb 137 fb 101 fb 36 fb ⁻ 101 fb 36 fb ⁻ 16 fb ⁻ 138 fb 36 fb ⁻ 36 fb ⁻ 77 fb ⁻
2j) 2(6j)		36 fb 38 fb 38 fb 36 fb
<pre><9.1 181 <10.6 <8.2 1803.08 <5.6 2205.06709 (eµ) <5.2 2205.06709 (er) <5 2205.06709 (µr) <4.78 2103.02708 (2ℓ) <4.1 1809.00327 (2γ) 05-2.6 1911.03947 (2j) <5.9 1803.08030 (2j) <9.7 1 2-4.3 2201.02140 (2j) 0.4-2.8 2202.06075 (ℓ + p_T^{ren})</pre>	<12 1803.08030 (2j) 2.10443 (2γ, 2 <i>l</i>) 2.107.13021 (≥1j + p ^{ertm}) 030 (2j) 805.06013 (≥7j(<i>l</i> , γ))	36 fb ⁻ 36 fb ⁻ 101 fb 36 fb ⁻ 137 fb 137 fb 140 fb 36 fb ⁻ 137 fb 36 fb ⁻ 137 fb 137 fb 137 fb
05-6.3 1911.03947 (2j) 0.25-3.9 1811.03052 (γ + 2e) 025-3.8 1811.03052 (γ + 2μ)		137 fb 36 fb 36 fb
$1806.10905 (3l(\mu, e); \ge 1j + 2l(\mu, e))$ $05 (\ge 1j + \mu + e)$ $0l, 2\tau + 2l, 3\tau + 1l, 1\tau + 2l, 2\tau + 1l)$ $+ 3l, 2\tau + 2l, 3\tau + 1l, 1\tau + 2l, 2\tau + 1l)$		36 fb ⁻ 36 fb ⁻ 137 fb 137 fb 137 fb
2e + 2j} 2j; e + 2j + p_T^{mins}) 2 (2µ + 2j) (1µ + 1j + p_T^{mins}) 2j; µ + 2j + p_T^{mins}) 16 (2 τ + 2j) 21 (≥ 1j + p_T^{min})		36 fb ⁻ 36 fb ⁻ 36 fb ⁻ 77 fb ⁻ 36 fb ⁻ 137 fb 101 fb 137 fb
02-5.15 2103.02708 (2e, 2μ) 0.5-2.9 1911.03947 (2j) 0.2-4.6 2103.02708 (2e, 2μ) 0.2-5 2205.06709 (eμ) 0.2-4.3 2205.06709 (eτ) 0.2-4.1 2205.06709 (eτ) 0.2-4.1 2205.06709 (μτ) 0.4-5.7 2202.06075 (<i>l</i> + p _T ^{rise}) 0.6-4.8 CMS-PAS-EXO-21-009 (τ + p _T ^{rise}) 0.5-3.6 1911.03947 (2j) <5 2112.03949 (2μ + 2j) <4.7 2112.03949 (2μ + 2j) <3.5 1811.00806 (2τ + 2j) 0.5-6.6 1911.03947 (2j)		137 fb 137 fb 140 fb 137 fb 36 fb ⁻ 140 fb 137 fb 137 fb 137 fb 137 fb 137 fb 36 fb ⁻ 36 fb ⁻ 36 fb ⁻ 36 fb ⁻

- Most standard searches have been carried out with Run 2 data
- Two things to do:
 - Follow up excesses (there are quite a few around, did not cover all)
 - Also target even more exotic signatures and models which have not yet been covered
- Also expanding searches for Long Lived Particles (LLP)

21

- Full Run 2 CMS result expanding [full Run 2] ATLAS limits by up to 150 GeV
- Relying on DNN-based heavy object (t/
- submitted last week

Continuous high luminosity data taking with CMS in Run 2: ~ 100 fb⁻¹ in 2016-18 Roman Pots (RPs) with proton tracking detectors: 3D Si pixels detectors RPs with proton Time-of-flight (TOF) detectors: double-layered diamond sensors

Exploiting the Precision Proton Spectrometer (PPS) @Helsinki

Continuous high luminosity data taking with CMS in Run 2: ~ 100 fb⁻¹ in 2016-18 Roman Pots (RPs) with proton tracking detectors: 3D Si pixels detectors RPs with proton Time-of-flight (TOF) detectors: double-layered diamond sensors

CMS central detector

More recent PPS physics highlights

Long Shutdown 2 recap and Run 3 as test ground (and more)

- Run 3 ongoing
- Testground for techniques crucial for HL-LHC and new ideas

LS2 recap

- Hardware upgrades, but also a lot of efforts under the software and FPGA hood
- For example:
- Heterogeneous computing (GPU in use at HLT for Run-3: more tracking, more PF at HLT, 30% offloaded)
- HLS4ML (machine) learning inference in FPGAs) already in use with current L1trigger. Full gain for upcoming Phase-2 system.

BEAM PIPE

Replaced with an entirely new one compatible with the future tracker upgrade for HL-LHC, improving the vacuum and reducing activation.

HADRON CALORIMETER

New on-detector electronics installed to reduce noise and improve energy measurement in the calorimeter.

PIXEL TRACKER

All-new innermost barrel pixel layer, in addition to maintenance and repair work and other upgrades.

BRIL

New generation of detectors for monitoring LHC beam conditions and luminosity.

CATHODE STRIP CHAMBERS (CSC)

Read-out electronics upgraded on all the 180 CSC muon chambers allowing performance to be maintained in HL-LHC conditions.

SOLENOID MAGNET

New powering system to prevent full power cycles in the event of powering problems, saving valuable time for physics during collisions and extending the magnet lifetime.

GAS ELECTRON MULTIPLIER (GEM) DETECTORS

An entire new station of detectors installed in the endcap-muon system to provide precise muon tracking despite higher particle rates of HL-LHC.

Run 3: Unconventional data-taking methods to increase opportunities

- B-parking
 - in 2018 we used low p_T displaced triggers to save a sample of unbiased B hadron decays recoiling wrt the triggered muon
 - Parked trigger rate ~2kHz was reconstructed after the end of the run
 - Enables several analyses on LFU violation currently in progress
 - Expect first approved results soon
- Scouting
 - Analysis based on a reduced data format and on the online reconstruction in the HLT farm (do not save the full event data)
 - In Run 2 all analyses based about 5 kHz (~1 kHz of Particle) Flow scouting)
 - -For Run 3 running PF on higher rate, adding additional L1 triggers (use GPUs and pixel tracks)
- LLP improvements
 - Developments in the L1 trigger area with the aim to increase efficiency for displaced signatures
 - Increase efficiency for displaced muons
 - Extend muon triggers to hadronic showers
 - Out of time ECAL and HCAL at L1
 - Using HCAL depth information

27

Collected billions of unbiased B decays 12 billion events total

Mode	N_{2018}	f_B	B				
Generic b hadrons							
$B_{ m d}^0$	$4.0 imes10^9$	0.4	1.0				
B^{\pm}	$4.0 imes 10^9$	0.4	1.0				
$B_{ m s}$	$1.2 imes 10^9$	0.1	1.0				
b baryons	$1.2 imes 10^9$	0.1	1.0				
B_{c}	$1.0 imes 10^7$	0.001	1.0				
Total	$1.0 imes 10^{10}$	1.0	1.0				
Events for R_K and R_{K^*} analyses							
$B^0 ightarrow K^* \ell^+ \ell^-$	2600	0.4	6.6×10^{-7}				
$B^{\pm} ightarrow K^{\pm} \ell^+ \ell^-$	1800	0.4	4.5×10^{-7}				

Kalman filter at L1

tested in parallel in 2018 and commissioned with cosmic rays

SMARTHEP-ITN @smarthep · Nov 21

The @smarthep ITN kick-off is starting today! We will welcome the 12 #MSCA Early Career Researchers, their supervisors and our industrial & academic partners at @UoMparticle for a week of discussions, training, visits and social interactions @MSCActions

Summary

Exploiting Run 2 LS2+Run 3

- Wealth of new results analysing Run 2 data
- Keep up to date with all <u>preliminary results</u> and physics briefings
- Full Run 2 analyses with highest precision "Legacy" reconstruction starting to appear
 - New baseline for Run 3 and sizeable improvements
- Run 3 is there and Phase-II upgrades/HL-LHC just 150/fb away
- Use Run 3 as training ground and to make a discovery

28

Upgrades and HL-LHC

- Upgrades "everywhere", personal highlights for "central CMS": Extended tracking coverage and HGCAL, more in following talk
- New HL-LHC projections in context of Snowmass process
- And keep in mind CMS Open Data
- Growing community to make it even more useful
 - Kati in central role

CMS Open Data Workshop Aug 1st - 4th, 2022 CERN, Geneva, CH