# The effect of the large-scale structure on cosmological parameter extraction

Olli Väisänen

University of Jyväskylä Results based on work in progress with Kimmo Kainulainen (University of Jyväskylä) and Enrico Schiappacasse (Rice University)

24.11.2022



### An inhomogeneous universe

- Cosmological principle: On large enough length scales the universe is homogeneous and isotropic.
- This breaks down at the about 100 Mpc.
- How does this affect the metric of the spacetime?
- How does this affect observable cosmological parameters?



Source: Millenium Simulation, (Springel et al. 2005)

#### Extracting cosmological parameters

- Most observations are received via electromagnetic radiation.
- An important example: The luminosity distance -redshift relation.
- Inhomogeneities can be treated using various approximations...
- ...but we are entering the era of precision cosmology.



### What now?

#### Questions:

- How do inhomogeneities at different scales and geometries affect the observed luminosity distances and redshifts?
- How do common approximation methods compare to a full relativistic calculation?

#### How hard can it be?

- 1. Solve the metric from the Einstein equations.
- 2. Calculate the observed redshifts and luminosity distances by tracing light rays in this background metric.
- 3. Comparison with observations and other methods, constraints on the inhomogeneities.

#### Solving the Einstein equations

• The Einstein equations

$$R_{\mu\nu}-\frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R=8\pi T_{\mu\nu}$$

in their usual form cannot be solved on a computer.

- The equations must be expressed as an initial value problem. The most common approach is the ADM-formalism.
- Stability issues force various transformations of equations known as the BSSN-formalism.



#### Solving the Einstein equations

$$\begin{split} ds^2 &= -dt^2 + e^{4\phi} \bar{\gamma}_{ij} dx^i dx^j & \text{Synchronous gauge} \\ \partial_t \phi &= -\frac{1}{6} K \\ \partial_t K &= \bar{A}_{ij} \bar{A}^{ij} + \frac{1}{3} K^2 + 4\pi\rho \\ \partial_t \bar{\gamma}_{ij} &= -2\bar{A}_{ij} \\ \partial_t \bar{A}_{ij} &= e^{-4\phi} R^{TF}_{ij} + K\bar{A}_{ij} - 2\bar{A}_{ik} \bar{A}^k{}_j \\ \partial_t \bar{\Gamma}^i &= 2\bar{\Gamma}^i_{jk} \bar{A}^{kj} - \frac{4}{3} \bar{\gamma}^{ij} \partial_j K + 12\bar{A}^{ij} \partial_j \phi \\ \dot{\rho} &= K\rho. \end{split}$$

$$\bar{\gamma}^{ij}\bar{D}_i\bar{D}_je^{\phi} - \frac{e^{\phi}}{8}\bar{R} + \frac{e^{5\phi}}{8}\bar{A}_{ij}\bar{A}^{ij} - \frac{e^{5\phi}}{12}K^2 = -2\pi e^{5\phi}\rho.$$

$$\bar{D}_j\bar{A}^{ij} = -6\bar{A}^{ij}\partial_j\phi + \frac{2}{3}\bar{\gamma}^{ij}\partial_jK.$$

$$for all intervals of the second second$$

- Obtained by solving the linearized constraint equations at z = 100.
- Sufficient resolution can be achieved by demanding cubic lattice symmetries.
- For now, we assume energy content is just cold dark matter.



- Obtained by solving the linearized constraint equations at z = 100.
- Sufficient resolution can be achieved by demanding cubic lattice symmetries.
- For now, we assume energy content is just cold dark matter.



### Solving the trajectories of light rays

- The light trajectories and redshift are solved from the geodesic equation.
- Luminosity distance and apparent magnitude:

$$D_L := \sqrt{\frac{L}{4\pi F}}$$
$$m = M + 5 \log_{10} \frac{D_L}{Mpc} + 25$$

 Luminosity distances can be calculated by considering congruences of nearby geodesics.



#### Solving the trajectories of light rays

$$\frac{dx'}{dt} = (k^{0})^{-1}k^{i}$$

$$k^{\mu}\nabla_{\mu}k^{\nu} = 0$$

$$D_{L} = (1+z)^{2}D_{A}$$

$$\frac{d}{du} \ln D_{A} = \frac{1}{2}\theta$$

$$\frac{d\theta}{du} = -\frac{1}{2}\theta^{2} - 2\sigma^{2} - R_{\mu\nu}k^{\mu}k^{\nu}$$

$$\frac{d}{du}\sigma_{AB} = -\theta\sigma_{AB} - \left[R_{\alpha\mu\beta\nu}s^{\alpha}_{A}s^{\beta}_{B}k^{\mu}k^{\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\delta_{AB}R_{\mu\nu}k^{\mu}k^{\nu}\right]$$

$$k^{\mu}\nabla_{\mu}s^{\nu}_{A} = 0$$

- Sinusoidal configuration with the length scale  $\approx$  100 Mpc
- End density contrast  $\approx 10$  %, almost linear evolution.
- Work in progress, take any numbers with a grain of salt.













- Literature so far has studied mostly very symmetric models, such as LTB-models. Do the results differ for less symmetric spacetimes?
- The effects of at the scale of 100 Mpc appear small. What about larger structures?
- How much dark energy changes the picture? Initial estimates can be obtained using ALTB-models.
- What are the effects on the CMB-dipole?

Thank you

# Backup slides

#### ADM-formalism

$$\begin{split} \partial_t \gamma_{ij} &= -2\alpha K_{ij} + D_i \beta_j + D_j \beta_i, \\ \partial_t K_{ij} &= -D_i D_j \alpha + \alpha (R_{ij} - 2K_{ik} K^k_{\ j} + KK_{ij}) - 8\pi \alpha (S_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{ij} (S - \tilde{\rho})) \\ &+ \beta^k D_k K_{ij} + K_{ik} D_j \beta^k + K_{jk} D_i \beta^k, \\ R + K^2 - K_{ij} K^{ij} &= 16\pi \tilde{\rho}, \\ D_j (K^{ij} - \gamma^{ij} K) &= 8\pi S^i. \end{split}$$



- Discretizations of the ADM-equations are numerically unstable due to mixed second derivatives in the Ricci tensor.
- The solution is to cancel the problem terms by adding zero in the form of a suitable multiple of the constraint equations. This involves introducing an auxiliary field  $\overline{\Gamma}^i = \overline{\gamma}_{jk} \overline{\Gamma}^i_{ik}$ .
- In addtion, stability is improved by conformally transforming the metric.

$$\Im \Im \rightarrow c^{4\phi} \overline{s} \Im$$
  
 $\kappa_{ij} \rightarrow c^{4\phi} (\overline{\lambda}_{ij} + \frac{1}{3} \overline{s} \overline{\eta} K)$ 

## **BSSN**-formalism

$$\begin{split} \partial_t \phi &= -\frac{1}{6} \alpha K + \frac{1}{6} \partial_i \beta^i + \beta^k \partial_k \phi \\ \partial_t K &= -D^2 \alpha + \alpha (\bar{A}_{ij} \bar{A}^{ij} + \frac{1}{3} K^2) + 4\pi \alpha (\tilde{\rho} + S) + \beta^i \partial_i K \\ \partial_t \bar{\gamma}_{ij} &= -2\alpha \bar{A}_{ij} - \frac{2}{3} \bar{\gamma}_{ij} \partial_k \beta^k - 4 \bar{\gamma}_{ij} \beta^k \partial_k \phi + e^{-4\phi} (D_i \beta_j + D_j \beta_i) \\ \partial_t \bar{A}_{ij} &= e^{-4\phi} \left( (-D_i D_j \alpha)^{TF} + \alpha (R_{ij}^{TF} - 8\pi S_{ij}^{TF}) \right) + \alpha (K \bar{A}_{ij} - 2 \bar{A}_{ik} \bar{A}^k_j) \\ &+ \beta^k \partial_k \bar{A}_{ij} + \bar{A}_{ik} \partial_j \beta^k + \bar{A}_{jk} \partial_i \beta^k - \frac{2}{3} \bar{A}_{ij} \partial_k \beta^k \\ \partial_t \bar{\Gamma}^i &= -\bar{A}^{ij} \partial_j \alpha + 2\alpha \left( \bar{\Gamma}^i_{jk} \bar{A}^{kj} - \frac{2}{3} \bar{\gamma}^{ij} \partial_j K - 8\pi \bar{\gamma}^{ij} S_j + 6 \bar{A}^{ij} \partial_j \phi \right) \\ &+ \beta^j \partial_j \bar{\Gamma}^i - \bar{\Gamma}^j \partial_j \beta^i + \frac{2}{3} \bar{\Gamma}^i \partial_j \beta^j + \frac{1}{3} \bar{\gamma}^{ki} \partial_k \partial_j \beta^j + \bar{\gamma}^{kj} \partial_k \partial_j \beta^i \end{split}$$

#### Linearized initial conditions

- Choose K = constant and  $\bar{A}_{ij} = 0$  at the initial time. This satisfies the momentum constraint. Also choose  $h_{ij} = 0$  at the initial timeslice.
- The Hamiltonian constraint in the synchronous gauge:

$$\nabla^2 \psi = \left(\frac{1}{12} \mathcal{K}^2 - 2\pi\rho\right) \psi^5,$$

where  $\psi = e^{\phi}$ .

Expanding linearly around the flat FLRW-background results in

$$abla^2\psi=-rac{3}{4}\dot{a}^2\delta$$

• Consider a sinusoidal density perturbation  $\delta \propto \sin(2\pi x/L)$ . The resulting metric perturbation is  $\delta \psi \propto L^2 \sin(2\pi x/L)$ .