



28.) Insertions





Layout of a Storage Ring

Arc: regular (periodic) magnet structure:

*bending magnets → define the energy of the ring
main focusing & tune control, chromaticity correction,
multipoles for higher order corrections*

Straight sections:


*drift spaces for injection, dispersion suppressors,
low beta insertions, RF cavities, etc....*

... and the high energy experiments if they cannot be avoided


General Aspects:

Fixed target < — > beam-beam collisions

Fixed Target



*high event rate,
limited energy reach*




fixed target event $p + W \rightarrow \text{xxxxx}$

$$E_{lab} \propto \sqrt{E_{beam}}$$


Collider experiments:

$$E=mc^2$$



*low event rate (luminosity)
high energy reach*

$$E_{lab} = E_{beam 1} + E_{beam 2}$$



$Z_0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$ pair
(white dashed lines)

Insertions

... the most complicated one: *the drift space*

Question to the audience: what will happen to the beam parameters α , β , γ if we *stop focusing for a while ...?*


$$\begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ \alpha \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix}_s = \begin{pmatrix} C^2 & -2SC & S^2 \\ -CC' & SC' + S'C & -SS' \\ C'^2 & -2S'C' & S'^2 \end{pmatrix} * \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ \alpha \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix}_0$$

transfer matrix for a drift:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} C & S \\ C' & S' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & s \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow$$

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(s) &= \beta_0 - 2\alpha_0 s + \gamma_0 s^2 \\ \alpha(s) &= \alpha_0 - \gamma_0 s \\ \gamma(s) &= \gamma_0 \end{aligned}$$

location of the waist:



given the initial conditions $\alpha_0, \beta_0, \gamma_0$: where is the point of smallest beam dimension in the drift ... or at which location occurs the beam waist ?

beam waist:

$$\alpha(s) = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad \alpha_0 = \gamma_0 * s$$

$$\underline{\underline{\ell = \frac{\alpha_0}{\gamma_0}}}$$

beam size at that position:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \gamma(\ell) = \gamma_0 \\ \alpha(\ell) = 0 \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow \gamma(\ell) = \frac{1 + \alpha^2(\ell)}{\beta(\ell)} = \frac{1}{\beta(\ell)}$$

$$\underline{\underline{\beta(\ell) = \frac{1}{\gamma_0}}}$$

β -Function in a Drift:

let's assume we are at a **symmetry point** in the center of a drift.

$$\beta(s) = \beta_0 - 2\alpha_0 s + \gamma_0 s^2$$

as $\alpha_0 = 0$, $\rightarrow \gamma_0 = \frac{1 + \alpha_0^2}{\beta_0} = \frac{1}{\beta_0}$

and we get for the β function in the neighbourhood of the symmetry point

$$\beta(s) = \beta_0 + \frac{s^2}{\beta_0} \quad !!!$$

Nota bene:

- 1.) *this is very bad !!!*
- 2.) *this is a direct consequence of the conservation of phase space density (... in our words: $\varepsilon = \text{const}$) ... and there is no way out.*
- 3.) *Thank you, Mr. Liouville !!!*



*Joseph Liouville,
1809-1882*


A bit more in detail: β -Function in a Drift

If we cannot fight against Liouville's theorem ... at least we can optimise

Optimisation of the beam dimension at position $s = \ell$:

$$\beta(\ell) = \beta_0 + \frac{\ell^2}{\beta_0}$$

Find the β at the center of the drift that leads to the lowest maximum β at the end:



$$\frac{d\hat{\beta}}{d\beta_0} = 1 - \frac{\ell^2}{\beta_0^2} = 0$$

$$\rightarrow \beta_0 = \ell$$


$$\rightarrow \hat{\beta} = 2\beta_0$$

If we choose $\beta_0 = \ell$ we get the smallest β at the end of the drift and the maximum β is just twice the distance ℓ

*In any case: **keep ℓ as SMALL as possible !!!***

... clearly there is another problem !!!

*But: ... unfortunately ... in general
high energy detectors that are
installed in that drift spaces
are a little bit bigger than a few centimeters ...*




29.) The Mini- β Insertion:

$$R = L * \Sigma_{react}$$

*production rate of (scattering) events is determined by the cross section Σ_{react} and a parameter L that is given by the design of the accelerator:
... the luminosity*

$$L = \frac{1}{4\pi e^2 f_0 b} * \frac{I_1 * I_2}{\sigma_x^* * \sigma_y^*}$$



ZEUS detector: inelastic scattering event of e^+/p

B. J. Holzer, CERN


Insertions:

Prepare for Beam collisions


... there is just a little problem

Problem: Our particles are *VERY* small !!

Overall cross section of the Higgs:



$$\Sigma_{react} \approx 1pb^{-1}$$





$$1b = 10^{-24}cm^2$$

$$1pb = 10^{-12} * 10^{-24}cm^2$$

$$1pb = \frac{1}{mio} \cdot \frac{1}{mio} \cdot \frac{1}{mio} \cdot \frac{1}{mio} \cdot \frac{1}{mio} \cdot \frac{1}{10000}mm^2$$

The only chance we have:
compress the transverse beam size ... at the IP




LHC typical:

$$\sigma = 0.1 mm \rightarrow 16 \mu m$$

Luminosity

$$R = L * \Sigma_{react}$$



Example: Luminosity run at LHC

$$\beta_{x,y} = 0.55 \text{ m}$$

$$f_0 = 11.245 \text{ kHz}$$

$$\varepsilon_{x,y} = 5 * 10^{-10} \text{ rad m}$$

$$n_b = 2808$$

$$\sigma_{x,y} = 17 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$$

$$L = \frac{1}{4\pi e^2 f_0 n_b} * \frac{I_{p1} I_{p2}}{\sigma_x \sigma_y}$$

$$I_p = 584 \text{ mA}$$

$$L = 1.0 * 10^{34} \text{ } \frac{1}{\text{cm}^2 \text{ s}}$$

Mini- β Insertions: Betafunctions

A mini- β insertion is always a kind of **special symmetric drift space**.


—> greetings from Liouville

$$\alpha^* = 0$$

$$\gamma^* = \frac{1 + \alpha^2}{\beta} = \frac{1}{\beta^*}$$

$$\sigma'^* = \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon}{\beta^*}}$$

$$\beta^* = \frac{\sigma^*}{\sigma'^*}$$



at a symmetry point β is just the ratio of beam dimension and beam divergence.

Mini- β Insertions: Phase advance


By definition the phase advance is given by:

$$\Phi(s) = \int \frac{1}{\beta(s)} ds$$

Now in a mini β insertion:

$$\beta(s) = \beta_0 \left(1 + \frac{s^2}{\beta_0^2}\right)$$

$$\rightarrow \Phi(s) = \frac{1}{\beta_0} \int_0^L \frac{1}{1 + s^2 / \beta_0^2} ds = \arctan \frac{L}{\beta_0}$$



Consider the drift spaces on both sides of the IP:

the phase advance of a mini β insertion is approximately π ,

in other words: the tune will increase by half an integer.


Mini- β Insertions: practical guide lines

- * calculate the periodic solution in the arc
- * introduce the drift space needed for the insertion device (detector ...)
- * put a quadrupole doublet (triplet ?) as close as possible
- * introduce additional quadrupole lenses to match the beam parameters to the values at the beginning of the arc structure

parameters to be optimised & matched to the periodic solution:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \alpha_x, \beta_x & D_x, D_x' \\ \alpha_y, \beta_y & Q_x, Q_y \end{array}$$

8 individually powered quad magnets are needed to match the insertion (... at least)



30.) Lattice Design

General Remark:

Whenever we combine two different lattice structures we need a

“matching section”

in between to adapt the optics functions between the two lattices.

One word about Mini-Beta Insertions:

Mini Beta Insertions must be installed in

... straight sections (no dipoles that drive dispersion)

... that are dispersion free

*... that are connected to the arc lattice by
dispersion suppressors*

*if not, the dispersion dilutes the particle density and increases
the effective transverse beam size.*

Are there any problems ??



sure there are ...

** aperture of mini β quadrupoles
limit the luminosity*

** remember: large quads are weak quads*

*gradient of a quadrupole
magnet:*

$$g = \frac{2\mu_0 n I}{r^2}$$




*beam envelope at the first mini β
quadrupole lens in the HERA proton
storage ring*

—> keep distance „s“ to the first mini β quadrupole as small as possible

... and now back to the Chromaticity

$$Q' = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \oint k(s) \beta(s) ds$$

question: main contribution to Q' in a lattice ... ?



Mini-Beta Insertion

Whenever we collide the beams the beam size has to be small to get highest luminosity.



*This adds **a large contribution to the chromaticity.***

Which needs to be corrected by (non-linear) sextupole fields.

Non-linear fields however disturb the harmonic motion and can lead to particle losses.

Correction of Q' :

Sextupole Magnets:




k_1 normalised quadrupole strength

k_2 normalised sextupole strength

$$k_1(\text{sext}) = \frac{\oint \mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{x}}{p/e} = k_2 * x$$

$$k_1(\text{sext}) = k_2 * D * \frac{\Delta p}{p}$$



corrected chromaticity

counter acting effect in the two planes

$$Q'_x = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \oint \beta_x(s) [+k_q(s) - S_F D_x(s) + S_D D_x(s)] ds$$

$$Q'_y = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \oint \beta_y(s) [-k_q(s) + S_F D_x(s) - S_D D_x(s)] ds, \quad \text{with} \quad S_F = k_2^F \cdot l_{\text{sext}}, \quad S_D = k_2^D \cdot l_{\text{sext}}$$

“natural” chromaticity

B. J. Holzer, CERN

sextupole correction of chromaticity



JUAS 2023, Transverse Beam Dynamics 5

31.) Particle Tracking Calculations

Again: the phase space ellipse

for each turn write down – at a given position „s“ in the ring – the single particle amplitude x and the angle x' ... and plot it.

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ x' \end{pmatrix}_{s1} = M_{turn} * \begin{pmatrix} x \\ x' \end{pmatrix}_{s0}$$



*4 particles,
each having a slightly
different emittance:
observed in phase space,
in a linear lattice*

Particle Tracking Calculations

$$\text{particle vector: } \begin{pmatrix} x \\ x' \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{field: } B = \begin{pmatrix} g'xz \\ \frac{1}{2} g'(x^2 - z^2) \end{pmatrix}$$

Idea:

And if you encounter a **nonlinear element** (e.g. sextupole): **stop and calculate explicitly** the magnetic field at the particles coordinate.
 —> **determine the Lorentz-force and thus the new x' .**

... calculate kick on the particle ...

$$\Delta x'_1 = \frac{B_z l}{p/e} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{g'}{p/e} l (x_1^2 - z_1^2) \quad \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x'_1 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x'_1 + \Delta x'_1 \end{pmatrix}$$



$$\Delta z'_1 = \frac{B_x l}{p/e} = \frac{g' x_1 z_1}{p/e} l \quad \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z'_1 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z'_1 + \Delta z'_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

... and continue with the linear matrix transformations

Installation of a *weak* (!!!) sextupole magnet



The good news: sextupole fields in accelerators cannot be treated analytically anymore.

—> no equations; instead: Computer simulation „particle tracking“



Installation of a **strong** (!!!) sextupole magnet

The non-linear field effects are so strong, that
- from a certain amplitude x - they destroy the stability of the motion and the particles are lost.



“Dynamic Aperture”

Than'x for your attention