EIC Accelerator New Technologies and Challenges

Todd Satogata, Jefferson Lab

For the EIC Project and EIC Collaboration

JUAS Lecture

24 January 2023

EIC: Electron-Ion Collider

BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LABORATORY · Jefferson Lab · U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY | Office of Science

Outline

- The EIC accelerator
  - Requirements and present design
- Accelerator technology challenges
- Some project technology R&D
- Luminosity limiting factors
- Collider luminosity experience



“Not Like The Others” (LHC, FCC…)

- The **electron-ion collider (EIC)** is:
  - a **nuclear physics** (NP) collider
    - nuclear physics scattering experiments
    - includes “deep inelastic” scattering, or EM-intermediated scattering of electrons and partons
    - collective and single-particle effects in the strong interaction sector
  - NOT a high-energy physics collider
- Addresses **three fundamental nuclear physics questions:**
  - How does nuclear mass arise?
  - How does nuclear spin arise?
  - What are emergent properties of dense gluon systems?



Ultimately, nuclear tomography

EIC Requirements

- **EIC design goals**
  - High luminosity: **L = (0.1-1)·10^{34} cm^{-2} s^{-1}**
    - ➔ 10-100 fb^{-1}
  - Collisions of highly **polarized (>70%)** e and p (and light ion) beams
    - with flexible bunch by bunch spin patterns
  - Large range of CM energies:
    - **E_{cm} = 20-140 GeV**
  - Large range of ion species:
    - Protons – Uranium
  - Ensure accommodation of a second IR
  - Large detector acceptances; good background
    - Hadron particle loss
    - IR synchrotron radiation backgrounds

EIC Requirements

- **EIC design goals**
  - High luminosity: **$L = (0.1\text{-}1)\cdot 10^{34}$ cm^{-2} s^{-1}**
    - ➔ 10-100 fb^{-1} — "High"
  - Collisions of highly **polarized (>70%)** e and p (and light ion) beams — Unique
    - with flexible bunch by bunch spin patterns
  - Large range of CM energies:
    - **E_{cm} = 20-140 GeV** — "Low"
  - Large range of ion species:
    - Protons – Uranium — Diverse
  - Ensure accommodation of a second IR
  - Large detector acceptances; good background
    - Hadron particle loss
    - IR synchrotron radiation backgrounds

uniquely challenging

EIC Accelerator Design Overview

- **Hadron storage ring (HSR): 40-275 GeV (existing)**
  - up to 1160 bunches, 1A beam current (3x RHIC)
  - bright vertical beam emittance (1.5 nm); new vac sleeves
  - strong cooling (coherent electron cooling, ERL)
- **Electron storage ring (ESR): 2.5–18 GeV (new)**
  - up to 1160 polarized bunches
    - high polarization by continual reinjection from RCS
  - large beam current (2.5 A) ➔ 9 MW SR power
  - superconducting RF cavities
- **Rapid cycling synchrotron (RCS): 0.4-18 GeV (new)**
  - 2 bunches at 1 Hz; spin transparent due to high periodicity
- **High luminosity interaction region(s) (new)**
  - L = 10^{34} $cm^{-2}s^{-1}$ = 10 kHz-uba, superconducting magnets
  - 25 mrad crossing angle with crab cavities
  - spin rotators (produce longitudinal spin at IP)

Comparable to new mature B-factory, e.g. SuperKEKB



Luminosity (Lumi) Limits In One Slide™

$$L \propto f_{\text{coll}} N_1 N_2 / \sigma_x^\star \sigma_y^\star$$

f_{coll} : collision frequency

$N_{1,2}$: particles per bunch

$\sigma_{x,y}^\star$: (equal) beam sizes at IP

Every parameter optimized separately and collectively in the EIC design

Try multiplying out the given numbers – should be very close to 10^{34} cm^{-2}s^{-1}

- **Maximize collision frequency (~90 MHz)**
  - Limited by kicker rise times
  - Limited by parasitic collisions (crabbing)
- **Maximize particles per bunch (~10^{11})**
  - Limited by sources, space charge
  - Limited by collective effects
    - Interaction of beam with impedances
    - Also total currents: $I_{1,2}=q_{1,2}N_{1,2}f_{\text{coll}}$ ~1-3A
- **Minimize beam sizes at IP (~250/25 um)**
  - Limited by IR focusing, magnets
  - Limited by chromatic dynamic aperture
  - Limited by emittance growth (IBS)

EIC Accelerator Technology Challenges, R&D

Existing tunnel and experiment halls



Electron-Ion Collider

EIC Accelerator Technology Challenges, R&D

Existing tunnel and experiment halls



Electron-Ion Collider

EIC Primary Interaction Region

Existing tunnel and experiment halls

Different axis scales!





Focusing (quads) as close to IP as possible (~5m!)

Tensions in magnet requirements:
- high field
- large apertures
- e/p magnet proximity near IP

Chromaticity: focusing dependence on particle energy

25 mrad crab crossing



EIC R&D: IR Superconducting Magnets



Forward superconducting magnet integration



Multiple function spectrometer magnet at the forward hadron side



EIC R&D: Crab Cavities

- **Hadron collider crabbing unprecedented**
  - Collaborating with HL-LHC
    - Beam dynamics, RF control stability
    - SPS tests: Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams 24, 062001 (2021)
  - **Electron crabbing** was performed at KEKB (arXiv:1410.4036)
- **Superconducting "RF dipole" cavity**
  - **ODU design**
  - High electric field and overall field quality requirements
- **197 MHz HSR crab cavity being prototyped**
  - Jefferson Lab/ODU/(BNL) collaboration
  - Two possible HOM damping schemes: Waveguide loaded and coaxial couplers
  - Stress analysis near completion, with stiffeners

Higher Order Mode (HOM) damping schemes









Electrons and Hadrons in Synchrotrons (EIC)

- Electrons
  - **Larger charge/mass ratio**
    - Smaller B to bend/focus (E, crab)
    - Normal conducting magnets
    - Polarization time dependence
  - **Synchrotron radiation**
    - Photonic backgrounds
    - Damping
    - Dynamic aperture: Touschek
    - Large RF power needs
    - **Flat beam aspect ratio**
  - Harder collimation (multi-stage)

- Hadrons
  - **Smaller charge/mass ratio**
    - Larger B to bend/focus (E, crab)
    - Superconducting magnets
    - No depolarization (in principle)
  - **No synchrotron radiation**
    - Hadronic backgrounds
    - Negligible damping (EIC energies)
    - Dynamic aperture: "Diffusion"
    - Modest RF power needs
    - **Round beam aspect ratio**
  - Easier collimation (single-stage)

Lumi Limits In (more than) One Slide$^{\text{NoTM}}$

$$L \propto f_{\text{coll}} N_1 N_2 / \sigma_x^\star \sigma_y^\star$$

f_{coll} : collision frequency

$N_{1,2}$: particles per bunch

$\sigma_{x,y}^\star$: (equal) beam sizes at IP

Challenge: colliding asymmetric beams

- **Maximize collision frequency (~90 MHz)**
  - Limited by kicker rise times
  - Limited by parasitic collisions (crabbing)
- **Maximize particles per bunch (~10^{11})**
  - Limited by sources, space charge
  - Limited by collective effects
    - Interaction of beam with impedances
    - Also total currents: $I_{1,2}=q_{1,2}N_{1,2}f_{\text{coll}}$ ~1-3A
- **Minimize beam sizes at IP (~250/25 um)**
  - Limited by IR focusing, magnets
  - Limited by chromatic dynamic aperture
  - Limited by emittance growth (IBS)

EIC CDR (CD-1) Parameters for E_{cm} and Luminosity

	Electrons	Protons
Beam energies	2.5 - 18 GeV	41- 275 GeV
Center of mass energy range	E_{cm} = 20-140 GeV	

	Electrons	Protons
Beam energies	10 GeV	275 GeV
Center of mass energy	E_{cm} = 105 GeV	
number of bunches	nb =1160	
crossing angle	25 mrad	
Bunch Charge	$1.7\cdot10^{11}$e	$0.7\cdot10^{11}$e
Total beam current	2.5 A	1 A
Beam emittance, horizontal	20 nm	9.5 nm
Beam emittance, vertical	1.2 nm	1.5 nm
β- function at IP, horizontal	43 cm	90 cm
β- function at IP, vertical	5 cm	4 cm
Beam-beam tuneshift, horizontal	0.073	0.014
Beam-beam tuneshift, vertical	0.1	0.007
Luminosity at E_{cm} = 105 Gev	**$1\cdot10^{34}\text{cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$**	



$L = 10^{34}\,\text{cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ = 10 kHz-uba

Lumi Limitations: Space-Charge (low E_{cm})

- Dense charged particle bunches **electrostatically repel** in rest frame
- Creates **nonlinear** space charge force and equation of motion in lab frame
- Fortunately scales with $1/\gamma^3$ so worst at low energies
  - Great example of time dilation
  - Limits high-intensity injector emittances
  - Force applies continuously within beam
- Tolerable linear "space charge tune spread" of 0.05 limits total current of 41 GeV proton beam to ~0.4A.
- (IBS: intra-beam hard scattering also contributes)

$$\frac{d^2y}{d\theta^2} + Q_V^2 y = \frac{2Nr_0R^2}{l\beta^2\gamma^3}\left[\frac{1-\exp\left(-\frac{y^2}{2\sigma_V^2}\right)}{y}\right]$$



Lumi Limitations: Beam-Beam (mid E_{cm})

- Colliding beams see each other's collective charge distributions
- Creates **nonlinear** beam-beam force and equation of motion similar to space charge
  - **BUT** now the fields and force are in the lab frame already
  - **NO** benefit of relativistic scaling
  - **Force applies only once per turn**
- Tolerable "beam-beam tune spead" of 0.015 limits highest EIC luminosity

$$F(r) = \frac{Nq^2}{2\pi\epsilon_0 l}\frac{1+\beta^2}{r}\left[1-\exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)\right]$$



Lumi Limitations: Electron SR Power (high E_{CM})

- Accelerated charged particles emit photons
  - Electrons in synchrotron: radially accelerated
  - **Synchrotron radiation** emitted in forward cone
    - Cone opening angle $\propto 1/\gamma$
    - Radiated power $P_\gamma = \frac{2}{3}\frac{e^2 c}{4\pi\epsilon_0}\frac{(\gamma\beta)^4}{\rho^2}$
  - γ scaling **much** worse for electrons
    - 18 GeV e: γ=3.5x10^4 vs 255 GeV p: γ=3x10^2
- **Design: 9 MW** @ 18 GeV (facility limit 10 MW)
- **Expensive:** Power must be provided by SRF
- Raise electron energy last (e current limit)



Collider Luminosity Ramp-Up

- Luminosity ramp-up to design takes **years**
  - Useful paper: arxiv 1202.3950 (V. Shiltsev)
  - Contextualizes Tevatron Run-II and early LHC
  - Luminosity ramp-up parameter C: **complexity**
    - **C: time (years) to increase lumi by e**
    - C=2: factor of e luminosity increase in 2 years
  - Early commissioning can make quick strides
    - C<1 (or <<1) but do not get too exuberant
  - Long-term commissioning usually C~2-3
- **EIC will very likely take years to reach design luminosities**
  - **But we will get there!**

Tevatron Run-II: design 275x10^{30}





Summary

- EIC design meets all design requirements
- EIC luminosity is **highly optimized**
  - Balances several individual parameter optimizations
- EIC R&D progressing
  - Focus: challenging technical components
- e/p beam differences drive EIC choices
  - e.g. luminosity vs E_{cm}, IP aspect ratio
- Luminosity ramp-up will take time
  - will last substantially beyond project end
  - project provides excellent basis to get there!



Backup Slides

Table 3.3: EIC beam parameters for different center-of-mass energies $\sqrt{s}$, with strong hadron cooling. High divergence configuration.

Species	proton	electron	proton	electron	proton	electron	proton	electron	proton	electron
Energy [GeV]	275	18	275	10	100	10	100	5	41	5
CM energy [GeV]	140.7		104.9		63.2		44.7		28.6	
Bunch intensity [10^{10}]	19.1	6.2	6.9	17.2	6.9	17.2	4.8	17.2	2.6	13.3
No. of bunches	290		1160		1160		1160		1160	
Beam current [A]	0.69	0.227	1	2.5	1	2.5	0.69	2.5	0.38	1.93
RMS norm. emit., h/v [μm]	5.2/0.47	845/71	3.3/0.3	391/26	3.2/0.29	391/26	2.7/0.25	196/18	1.9/0.45	196/34
RMS emittance, h/v [nm]	18/1.6	24/2.0	11.3/1.0	20/1.3	30/2.7	20/1.3	26/2.3	20/1.8	44/10	20/3.5
β^*, h/v [cm]]	80/7.1	59/5.7	80/7.2	45/5.6	63/5.7	96/12	61/5.5	78/7.1	90/7.1	196/21.0
IP RMS beam size, h/v [μm]	119/11		95/8.5		138/12		125/11		198/27	
K_x	11.1		11.1		11.1		11.1		7.3	
RMS $\Delta\theta$, h/v [μrad]	150/150	202/187	119/119	211/152	220/220	145/105	206/206	160/160	220/380	101/129
BB parameter, h/v [10^{-3}]	3/3	93/100	12/12	72/100	12/12	72/100	14/14	100/100	15/9	53/42
RMS long. emittance [10^{-3}, eV·s]	36		36		21		21		11	
RMS bunch length [cm]	6	0.9	6	0.7	7	0.7	7	0.7	7.5	0.7
RMS $\Delta p/p$ [10^{-4}]	6.8	10.9	6.8	5.8	9.7	5.8	9.7	6.8	10.3	6.8
Max. space charge	0.007	neglig.	0.004	neglig.	0.026	neglig.	0.021	neglig.	0.05	neglig.
Piwinski angle [rad]	6.3	2.1	7.9	2.4	6.3	1.8	7.0	2.0	4.2	1.1
Long. IBS time [h]	2.0		2.9		2.5		3.1		3.8	
Transv. IBS time [h]	2.0		2		2.0/4.0		2.0/4.0		3.4/2.1	
Hourglass factor H	0.91		0.94		0.90		0.88		0.93	
Luminosity [10^{33}cm^{-2}s^{-1}]	1.54		10.00		4.48		3.68		0.44	

ollider