# Sandwich calorimeters with fully-embedded electronics: Hadronic section

Katja Krüger (DESY)

ECFA Detector R&D Roadmap Task Force 6: Calorimetry Community Meeting 12 January 2023





## Intro

### **Requirements for the Hadronic Section**

**Differences and common aspects with EM section** 

- Hadronic sections have larger volume than EM sections, and similar or higher number of layers
  - $\rightarrow$  Larger area to be covered
  - $\rightarrow$  Cost/area is more important
- Some requirements are less stringent than for EM section
  - Smaller channel density
  - Compactness not as critical
  - Hadronic energy distributed over large volume and many channels, with large flucutations: less sensitive to single-cell precision
- Many similar challenges:
  - Integration
  - Industrialisation of production, QC
  - Cooling
  - Considerations in terms of power pulsing vs. continuous running



<sup>•</sup> 

### **Detection Technologies for the Hadronic Section**

	Optical Readout	Gaseous	Readout
Technology	Plastic scintillator tiles	RPCs / µMe	gas / GEMs
Readout concept	Analog	Semi-Digital	Digital
HCAL prototype	AHCAL Physics & technological prototype	SDHCAL Technological prototype	DHCAL Physics prototype



## **State of the Art**

### **SDHCAL Technological Prototype**

#### **Achievements in Beam Tests**

1\*1\*1 m<sup>3</sup> prototype based on RPCs with 1 cm<sup>2</sup> pads

- 48 layers with ~440.000 channels
- built 2011

Successfully operated in many beam tests 2012 – 2022

• publications on operation, energy reconstruction, simulation

Tested also with a few  $\mu$ Megas layers







### **AHCAL technological prototypes**

#### **Achievements in Beam Tests**

#### AHCAL prototype for ILC

- 0.72\*0.72\*1 m<sup>3</sup> prototype based 3\*3\*0.3 cm<sup>3</sup> scintillator tiles
- 38 layers with ~22.000 channels
- built 2017-2018
- Several successful beam tests 2018 2022
- First publication on construction & operation

#### AHCAL prototype for CEPC

- 0.72\*0.72\*1 m<sup>3</sup> prototype based 4\*4\*0.3 cm<sup>3</sup> scintillator tiles
- 43 layers with ~14.000 channels
- built 2021-2022

Successful first beam test in 2022



Both prototypes use electronics developed for ILC (power pulsing)





### **AHCAL technological prototypes**

#### **Achievements in Beam Tests**

#### AHCAL prototype for ILC

- 0.72\*0.72\*1 m<sup>3</sup> prototype based 3\*3\*0.3 cm<sup>3</sup> scintillator tiles
- 38 layers with ~22.000 channels
- built 2017-2018

Several successful beam tests 2018 – 2022

• First publication on construction & operation



#### AHCAL prototype for CEPC

- 0.72\*0.72\*1 m<sup>3</sup> prototype based 4\*4\*0.3 cm<sup>3</sup> scintillator tiles
- 43 layers with ~14.000 channels
- built 2021-2022

Successful first beam test in 2022





### **CMS HGCAL**

#### **High granularity for HL-LHC**

- CMS calorimeter endcap will be replaced for HL-LHC by High-Granularity calorimeter
  - High granularity for pile-up rejection & particle flow
- Synergy with high granularity calorimeter concepts developed for electron-positron colliders
  - silicon in the front and close to the beam pipe
  - scintillator tiles wherever radiation levels allow
    - ~400 m<sup>2</sup> in ~4000 boards
    - ~240k scintillator channels, 4-30 cm<sup>2</sup> cell size
- New challenges compared to e+e-
  - Radiation levels
  - Operation at  $-35^{\circ} \text{ C} \rightarrow \text{CO2}$  cooling
  - Data rates, continuous running
- Needs to be ready for installation in 2026/27
  - Transition from R&D phase to production ongoing
  - Setting up full production and assembly infrastructure

Valuable experience for the construction of a highly granular calorimeter as part of any future collider detector

DESY. Sandwich calorimeters with fully embedded electronics: Hadronic section | ECFA TF 6: Calorimetry Community Meeting | Katja Krüger | 12 January 2023



CMS p-p collisions at 7 TeV per beam

MeV-neutron equivalent fluence in Silicon at 3000 fb

### **CMS HGCAL**

#### **High granularity for HL-LHC**

- CMS calorimeter endcap will be replaced for HL-LHC by High-Granularity calorimeter
  - High granularity for pile-up rejection & particle flow
- Synergy with high granularity calorimeter concepts developed for electron-positron colliders
  - silicon in the front and close to the beam pipe
  - scintillator tiles wherever radiation levels allow
    - ~400 m<sup>2</sup> in ~4000 boards
    - ~240k scintillator channels, 4-30 cm<sup>2</sup> cell size
- New challenges compared to e+e-
  - Radiation levels
  - Operation at  $-35^{\circ} \text{ C} \rightarrow \text{CO2}$  cooling
  - Data rates, continuous running
- Needs to be ready for installation in 2026/27
  - Transition from R&D phase to production ongoing
  - Setting up full production and assembly infrastructure

Valuable experience for the construction of a highly granular calorimeter as part of any future collider detector

DESY. Sandwich calorimeters with fully embedded electronics: Hadronic section | ECFA TF 6: Calorimetry Community Meeting | Katja Krüger | 12 January 2023



## Plans

### **Relevant objectives**

- DRDT 6.2 Develop high-granular calorimeters with multi-dimensional read-out for optimised use of particle flow methods.
- DRDT 6.3 Develop calorimeters for extreme radiation, rate and pile-up environments.

Calorimeters base	d on Gaseous Readout		
R&D Need	Main direction	Target facilities	Related DRDT
Scalability of technol-	Large area PCBs with robust inter-	ILC, FCC-ee, CLIC,	6.2, 6.3
ogy	connection, large scale precise ab-	FCC-hh	
	sorber structures		
Rate capability	Semi-conductive Glass RPC	FCC-hh	6.3
Control of pad mul-	Avoid/reduce double counting on	ILC, FCC-ee, CLIC,	6.2, 6.3
tiplicity	cell edges	FCC-hh	

Table 6.3: Overview of main R&D needs and corresponding directions of development for calorimeters based on gaseous readout connected to facilities and DRDTs.

R&D Need	Main Directions	Target facilities	Related DRDT
Optimisation of	Novel SiPMs with large spectral	ILC, FCC-ee, CLIC,	6.2, 6.3
Photon detectors	sensitivity and high-band semi- conductors for higher radiation tol- erance, Digital SiPMs	FCC-hh, Muon Collider	
Novel crystal tech- nologies	Co-doped garnet crystal fibres	HL-LHC, ILC, FCC-ee, CLIC, FCC-hh, Muon Collider	6.1, 6.2, 6.3
Longitudinal infor- mation	Longitudinal segmen-tation of crystals, z-position from timing	HL-LHC, ILC, FCC-ee, CLIC, FCC-hh, Muon Collider	6.1, 6.2, 6.3
Novel plastic scintil- lators	Radiation hardness, implementa- tion of dual readout	ILC, FCC-ee, CLIC, FCC-hh, Muon Collider	6.2, 6.3

Calorimeters based on Optical Readout

Table 6.4: Overview of main R&D needs and corresponding directions of development for calorimeters based on Optical Readout connected to facilities and DRDTs.

- For more details see also afternoon session
- Synergies with TF4 (Particle Identification and Photon Detectors)

### Gaseous Readout Technologies: main R&D needs

#### **Detection technology**

- Environment-friendly gases
  - Strong synergies with TF1 (Gaseous Detectors)
    - Special focus here on use in environment of hadronic showers
  - Option being investigated: Hybrid (1 glass) RPCs
    - Add layer of secondary emitter to ease requirements on charge multiplication in gas gap
    - Status: first promising results, more studies needed
- Rate capability
  - Rate capability of RPC is limited by resistivity of the electrodes
    - Low resistivity needed for high rates
  - Option being investigated: thermoplastic with low bulk resistivity ( $10^{11-12} \Omega$ .cm achieved for PVdF,  $10^{8-9} \Omega$ .cm for PEEK)
    - Status:
      - First small test detectors: too high resistivity (PVdF), homogeneity issues (PEEK)
      - More studies needed
  - Other options: semi-conductive glass RPCs
  - Important ingredient: low noise electronics
  - Collaboration with industry needed



G10 board with signal pad(s) Secondary emitter coating 1.3 mm gas gap 2 mm glass Resistive paint Mylar



### Gaseous Readout Technologies: main R&D needs

#### **Scalability I**

Large layers of several m<sup>2</sup> are challenging in several aspects, especially for good signal homogeneity across the whole area

- Homogeneous gas distribution needed
  - Status: optimising detector layout (spacers, ...), studies ongoing
- Reproducibility of RPC construction
  - Controlled spacers, uniformity of the colloidal coating, ...
  - Effect can be reduced by optimising the threshold to reduce the impact of the gain difference due to the detector geometrical inhomogeneity
- Precise mechanics
  - Develop procedures to build and assemble absorber structure with needed precision at reasonable cost
  - Status: large prototype built with electron beam welding; further studies and optimization of absorber structure desirable

_	_	-	_		_	_	_	_	
•	•	•	•	•	۰	۰	٠	٠	۰
8	8	8		8	•	•		•	8
8	8	8		•	•				
	8				8				8
•	8	8			8				8
•					8				
	8				ð		8		
	8								8
	8				8				8
8					8		8		
•					8				
	8								



### Gaseous Readout Technologies: main R&D needs

#### **Scalability II**

Large layers of several m<sup>2</sup> are challenging in several aspects, especially for good signal homogeneity across the whole area

- Large area PCBs
  - Inhomogeneous signals observed at PCB boundaries
    - Can be reduced by using larger PCBs
  - Large area PCBs would reduce the number of components to handle
  - Status: produced PCBs of ~1m length
  - For full detector: industry
  - Shorter term: prototyping capabilities in labs (CERN) needed
  - Also relevant to other readout technologies



#### Optical Readout Technologies: main R&D needs Scalability III

Hadronic section of a full collider detector will require two orders of magnitude more tiles than AHCAL prototype, one order more than CMS HGCAL

- Megatiles would allow larger units for mechanical assembly
- Challenge: reach good uniformity while keeping the cell-tocell light cross talk small
- Status:
  - Several generations of Megatiles produced, reaching reasonable uniformity and light yield
  - Plan to build a large layer and include it in the AHCAL prototype







### **Common R&D topics for Gaseous and Optical Readout**

#### **Integration & Readout Electronics**

- Large number of channels of highly granular hadron calorimeter sections make electronics embedded
  in the active layer essential
- The details of the integration and readout concept depend on the environment
  - Linear e+e-: Low rate, low radiation, power pulsing
  - Circular e+e-: High rate, low radiation, continuous power
  - Hadron collider: very high rate, high radiation, continuous power
- Dedicated embedded very-frontend readout ASICs
  - Synergies with TF7 (Electronics and Data Processing)
  - Can profit a lot from synergies between calorimeter concepts
  - Linear e+e-: HARDROC (RPC), SPIROC (SiPMs), SKIROC (Si), ...
  - Circular e+e-: KLauS (SiPM), PETIROC as starting block (RPC)
  - Hadron collider: HGCROC (Si and SiPM)
- Depending on data rates, several stages of data concentration and selection might be needed
  - For existing concepts: work on miniaturization ongoing
    - AHCAL plans to exploit synergies with SiW ECAL developments of interface boards
  - For other future applications: will likely need dedicated studies
    - FCCee: Need simulation to estimate impact of high rate Z pole running on data rates and readout needs
  - Interesting field for new concepts like DNNs on ASICs (implemented for HGCAL)

### **Common R&D topics: Gaseous and Optical Readout**

#### Continuous running, active cooling

- For circular e+e- (and hadron) colliders, readout ASICs will need to run continuously
- Higher power consumption will likely require cooling within the active layers
  - Need to study impact in simulation
    - Started for SDHCAL
  - Need to develop a suitable cooling (that introduces minimal non-homogeneity)
  - Test it in prototypes, both for SDHCAL and AHCAL



### **Common R&D topics: Gaseous and Optical Readout**

#### Timing

- Precise hit time measurement can be beneficial in several areas
- In high rate environments, interesting for pile-up rejection
- Could use "time" as additional information in particle flow algorithms to improve 2-particle separation
  - Simulation studies needed to determine what resolution is really needed
    - Might depend on detector and on algorithm
  - Status: first studies for SDHCAL and April PFA
- Particle ID with time-of-flight:
  - needs very good resolution O(10-30 ps)
- Current calorimeter prototypes reach O(1 ns) for MIP hits
- The technologies have a lot of potential for better time resolution
  - RPC: multi-gap RPCs have demonstrated ~60ps for MIP hits
    - Plan: build a timing layer for SDHCAL, more effort needed on electronics developments
  - Scintillator: tiles/strips with high light yield reach ~30 ps resolution for MIP hits
    - Requires small tiles, crystal scintillator
- Also readout electronics contributes to time resolution  $\rightarrow$  synergies with TF7



purity for neutral particle

### **Beam tests of calorimeter systems**

#### Putting it all together

In order to determine the capabilities of a calorimeter concept, we need to test the complete system of EM section and hadronic section (and tail catcher)

- For the large CALICE prototypes of the concepts for the hadronic section, these tests have just started
  - Very limited data for SiW ECAL together with SDHCAL or AHCAL
  - 2 weeks of data taking in 2022 for Sci ECAL + AHCAL (CEPC prototypes)
- More beam tests planned in the coming years
- Data can not only be used to determine calorimeter energy resolution, but also provide important input to other areas
  - Tuning of particle flow algorithms
  - Tuning of hadronic shower models, in Geant4 or with fast generative approaches (ML)





### **Summary**

- Highly granular hadronic sections foreseen and under study for lepton and hadron colliders
- Some challenges specific to the technology being addressed
  - Gaseous: rate capability, scalability
  - Optical: see also afternoon session
  - Synergies with TF1 and TF4
- Common challenges, to be addressed in the coming years
  - Integration & Readout electronics  $\rightarrow$  synergies with TF7
  - Continuous running & active cooling
  - Timing
- Optimisation depends on software: simulation tools, particle flow algorithms, detector concept full simulation models, ...
- Testing the developed technologies in beam is essential

## Thank you!

## Backup

### **Publications**

#### AHCAL

- Physics prototype
  - Construction and Commissioning of the CALICE Analog Hadron Calorimeter Prototype, C. Adloff et al., <u>JINST 5 (2010)</u> <u>P05004</u>; e-print: <u>arXiv:1003.2662</u>
  - Electromagnetic response of a highly granular hadronic calorimeter, C. Adloff et al., <u>JINST 6 (2011) P04003</u>; eprint: <u>arXiv:1012.4343</u>
  - Hadronic energy resolution of a highly granular scintillator-steel calorimeter using software compensation techniques, C. Adloff et al., <u>JINST 7 (2012) P09017</u>; e-print: <u>arXiv:1207.4210</u>
  - Track segments in hadronic showers in a highly granular scintillator-steel hadron calorimeter, C. Adloff et al., <u>JINST 8 (2013)</u> <u>P09001</u>; e-print: <u>arXiv:1305.7027</u>
  - Validation of GEANT4 Monte Carlo Models with a Highly Granular Scintillator-Steel Hadron Calorimeter, C. Adloff et al., <u>JINST</u> 8 (2013) P07005; e-print: <u>arXiv:1306.3037</u>
  - Pion and proton showers in the CALICE scintillator-steel analogue hadron calorimeter, B. Bilki et al., <u>JINST 10 (2015)</u> <u>P04014</u>; e-print: <u>arXiv:1412.2653</u>
  - Hadron shower decomposition in the highly granular CALICE analogue hadron calorimeter, G. Eigen et al., <u>JINST 11 (2016)</u> <u>P06013</u>; e-print: <u>arXiv:1602.08578</u>
  - Shower development of particles with momenta from 1 to 10 GeV in the CALICE Scintillator-Tungsten HCAL, C. Adloff et al., JINST 9 (2014) P01004; e-print: arXiv:1311.3505
  - Shower development of particles with momenta from 15 GeV to 150 GeV in the CALICE scintillator-tungsten hadronic calorimeter, M. Chefdeville et al., <u>JINST 10 (2015) P12006</u>; e-print: <u>arXiv:1509.00617</u>
- Technological prototype
  - Design, Construction and Commissioning of a Technological Prototype of a Highly Granular SiPM-on-tile Scintillator-Steel Hadronic Calorimeter, e-Print: 2209.15327 [physics.ins-det]

### **Publications**

#### SDHCAL

- Construction and commissioning of a technological prototype of a high-granularity semi-digital hadronic calorimeter, G. Baulieu et al., <u>JINST 10 (2015) P010039</u>; e-print: <u>arXiv:1506.05316</u>
- First results of the CALICE SDHCAL technological prototype, V. Buridon et al., <u>JINST 11 (2016) P04001</u>; eprint: <u>arXiv:1602.02276</u>
- Resistive Plate Chamber Digitization in a Hadronic Shower Environment, Z.Deng et al., <u>JINST 11 (2016) P06014</u>; e-print: <u>arXiv:1604.04550</u>
- Tracking within Hadronic Showers in the CALICE SDHCAL prototype using a Hough Transform Technique, Z.Deng et al., <u>JINST</u> <u>12 (2017) P05009</u>; e-print: <u>arXiv:1702.08082</u>
- Particle identification using Boosted Decision Trees in the Semi-Digital Hadronic Calorimeter prototype, the CALICE Collaboration, <u>JINST 15 (2020) P10009</u>.
- Energy reconstruction of hadronic showers at the CERN PS and SPS using the Semi-Digital Hadronic Calorimeter, the CALICE Collaboration, <u>JINST 17 (2022) P07017</u>
- Energy reconstruction for a hadronic calorimeter using multivariate data analysis methods, B. Liu et al., JINST 14 (2019) 10, P10034

#### DHCAL

- DHCAL with Minimal Absorber: Measurements with Positrons, B. Freund et al., <u>2016 JINST 11 P05008;</u> eprint: <u>arXiv:1603.01652</u>
- Analysis of Testbeam Data of the Highly Granular RPC-Steel CALICE Digital Hadron Calorimeter and Validation of Geant4 Monte Carlo Models, M. Chefdeville et al., <u>NIM A939 (2019) 89-105</u>; e-print: <u>arXiv:1901.08818</u>

### **Other gaseous technologies**

4 microMegas layers have been built and tested in the SDHCAL prototype •

RPC units #10, 20, 35 and 50



In addition, within the ANR-Blanc, 4 units of SDHCAL-MM 1m x 1m each were produced, tested in a muon beam. The 4 units of SDHCAL-MM were inserted in the SDHCAL-RPC prototype replacing the





2500

First studies also with MicroWell technology •

### Differences

#### Linear and Circular Higgs/EW/top factories

- Higgs/EW/top factory options differ in various aspects
- Highest centre-of-mass energy
  - Impacts highest expected particle and jet energies
     → calorimeter thickness, absorber material, granularity
- Beam structure
  - Linear colliders have bunch trains, circular colliders not

     → readout electronics (power pulsing or continuous
     running), cooling
- High statistics Z pole running at FCC-ee and CEPC
  - Very high rates

 $\rightarrow$  trigger system, rate capability of the detector technology

More interest in detector capabilities for flavour physics
 → b/c tagging, particle ID

