# **Picosecond scintillating sampling electromagnetic calorimeters**



### Philipp Roloff (CERN)



ECFA Detector R&D Roadmap Task Force 6: Calorimetry Community Meeting CERN, 12/01/2023



THE 2021 ECFA DETECTOR RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ROADMAP

The European Committee for Future Accelerators Detector R&D Roadmap Process Group





# **Content of the presentation**

- Introduction
- Status of R&D
- Input for DRD discussion

# Introduction: picosecond scintillating sampling ECALs

### Most common approaches:

- Shashlik
- SpaCal
- $\rightarrow$  Molière radius tunable in both cases
- $\rightarrow$  Lower cost than homogenous crystal





### Key R&D challenges for future experiments:

- <u>Radiation hardness:</u>
- $\rightarrow$  Crystal scintillators suitable for future experiments at hadron colliders
- $\rightarrow$  No radiation-hard WLS fibres available (yet)
- Small cell sizes: dense absorber, e.g. tungsten
- Picosecond time resolutions:
- $\rightarrow$  Fast photon detectors (e.g. MCD PMTs, SiPM, ...) needed for O(10-20) ps time resolutions

# **Typical ECAL physics requirements**

#### LHCb Upgrade II:

- Sustain radiation doses up to 1 MGy and  $\leq 6 \times 10^{15}$  1 MeV neq / cm<sup>2</sup> in the centre
- Keep current energy resolution of  $\sigma(E)/E \approx 10\%/\sqrt{E} \oplus 1\%$
- Pile-up mitigation crucial
- $\rightarrow$  Timing capabilities with O(10-20) ps precision for electromagnetic particles, preferably directly in the calorimeter modules
- $\rightarrow$  Increased granularity in the central region with denser absorber

#### Future e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> Higgs/top/EW factory:

- Stochastic term of 15-20% good enough for ≈3% jet energy resolution at 50 GeV using particle flow analysis
- $\rightarrow$  However, 5% /  $\sqrt{E}$  or better desirable for flavour physics
- Timing for hadronic particles can help with PID (e.g. cover region where gaseous tracking not sensitive for K/ $\pi$  separation)
- $\bullet$  Small Molière radius with tungsten absorber  $\rightarrow$  preferred for particle flow analysis
- Tight requirements on cell size from high-energy  $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ , e.g. for  $\tau$ -lepton polarisation measurement, or low-mass ALPs

#### FCC-hh:

- Radiation doses of O(1) MGy and 1 MeV neg fluence of O(10<sup>16</sup>) cm<sup>-2</sup>
- Energy resolution target of  $\sigma(E)/E \approx 10\%/\sqrt{E} \oplus 1\%$
- O(30) ps timing for pile-up mitigation

→ Scintillating sampling ECAL technology can be adapted to fulfil these requirements

# SpaCal: tuning of X<sub>0</sub>, R<sub>M</sub> and energy resolution

### Example: Variation of fibre size with constant pitch in SpaCal-W/GAGG





 $\rightarrow$  Similar variations also possible for polystyrene fibres or Shashlik modules!

# **Overview of ongoing activities**

### Future LHCb Upgrades:

- SpaCal with polystyrene (LS3) and GAGG crystal (LS4) fibres in W absorber,
- polystyrene fibres in Pb absorber (LS3 / LS4)
- Shashlik with fast WLS fibres
- $\rightarrow$  examples on the following few slides

### RADiCAL:

- R&D in view of FCC-hh ECAL, based on work for CMS phase 2 upgrade, focus on radiation hardness
- Initially, Shashlik-like approach with LYSO:Ce crystal, W absorber and SiPM readout

### **GRAiNITA**:

• Novel approach: small grains of heavy scintillator in heavy liquid, light collected by WLS fibres

### NanoCal:

Shashlik using scintillating nanocrystals
→ see presentation by Etiennette Auffray



# **Content of the presentation**

- Introduction
- Status of R&D
- Input for DRD discussion

# SpaCal-W: prototype with garnet crystals

Configuration used at DESY in 2020 and 2021

Crytur

YAG

GAGC

Fomos

GAGG

Crytur

YAG

ILM

GAGG

Fomos

#### SpaCal prototype module with W absorber and garnet crystal fibres:

- Pure tungsten absorber with 19 g/cm<sup>3</sup>
- 9 cells of 1.5x1.5 cm<sup>2</sup> (R<sub>M</sub> ≈ 1.45 cm)
- 4+10 cm long (7+18 X<sub>0</sub>)
- Reflective mirror between sections

#### Crystal garnets from several producers:

- Crytur YAG
- Fomos GAGG
- ILM GAGG
- C&A GFAG
- $\rightarrow$  Characterised with laboratory measurements

#### Photon detectors used:

- Hamamatsu R12421 for energy resolution
- Hamamatsu R7600U-20 metal channel dynode (MCD) PMT for timing due to better time resolution



Crvtur

YAG

C&A

GFAG

Crytur

YAG



#### Effective Decay Time vs Light Output





12/01/2023

#### Picosecond scintillating sampling ECALs

### Philipp Roloff

# SpaCal-W with crystal fibres: test beam results



 Better energy resolution with larger incidence angles • Data up to 5 GeV give  $(10.2 \pm 0.1)\%$  sampling term and 1-2% constant term for  $\theta_x = \theta_y = 3^\circ$ 

#### NIM A 1045, 167629 (2022)

12/01/2023



**Energy Resolution** 

Energy resolution (DESY 2020, R12421)



Time resolution (DESY 2021, R7600U-20)

Time Resolution C&A GEAG

constant fraction discrimination (CFD)

• Time resolution at 5 GeV for GFAG: better than 20 ps

#### Picosecond scintillating sampling ECALs

# **SpaCal with organic scintillator fibres**

### With lead absorber:

- 9 cells of 3x3 cm<sup>2</sup> (R<sub>M</sub> ≈ 3 cm)
- 8+21 cm long (7+18 X<sub>0</sub>)
- Split fibres (Kuraray SCSF-78, round, 1 mm diameter)
- Reflective mirror between sections



Fibres bundle





 $\rightarrow$  comparison of different photon detectors



Time resolution below 30 ps for energies above 10 GeV

#### Energy Resolution at 3°+3°



12/01/2023

#### Picosecond scintillating sampling ECALs

### Philipp Roloff

With tungsten absorber:

• 4 cells of 2x2 cm<sup>2</sup>

Continuous fibres

(Kuraray SCSF-78,

square, 1 mm)

19 cm long

# Shashlik: Test beam results and R&D plans

• Current LHCb Shashlik modules have good time properties, further improvement by replacing WLS fibres by faster ones:

- Y11 (7 ns decay time)  $\rightarrow$  current LHCb
- YS2 (3 ns decay time)
- YS4 (1.1 ns decay time)
- Measurements at DESY and SPS with R7600-20 PMT,  $\theta_{X}$  =  $\theta_{Y}$  = 3°
- Better than 20 ps achieved above 30 GeV with double-sided readout
- Somewhat worse performance with single-sided readout





12/01/2023

# **Tungsten absorber: R&D on 3D printing**

- R&D on 3D printed tungsten prototype
- $\rightarrow$  produced 1.5x1.5 cm<sup>2</sup> cells with up to 10 cm length (and 4.5x4.5 cm<sup>2</sup> pieces) used in test beams
- Smooth surface mandatory to avoid damaging the fibres during insertion
- $\rightarrow$  Very good mean roughness of Ra = 5 µm achieved
- Pieces for full-size prototype (up to 12 x 12 x 5 cm<sup>3</sup>) to arrive at CERN soon









12/01/2023

### Picosecond scintillating sampling ECALs

Philipp Roloff

# **Content of the presentation**

- Introduction
- Status of R&D
- Input for DRD discussion

# Ideas for the next 5 years

# Continuation of the technology R&D, e.g.:

- Faster scintillating crystals and WLS fibres
- Photon detectors
- Irradiation tests

# **Optimisation of ECAL modules:**

- Simulations are a key tool
- Optical coupling between between SpaCal fibres and photon detector
- Mechanical integration issues

# Performance validation in test beams:

- Move from small prototypes to ECAL modules
- Calibration is a particular topic of importance

# **Potential synergies within DRD**

### **R&D** topics:

• Photon detectors with good energy resolution, time resolution and linearity over large dynamic range (+ radiation tolerance where relevant)

- $\rightarrow$  also relevant for other light-based calorimeters (homogenous crystals , CALICE ScECAL, ...)
- Absorber production (e.g. 3D-printed tungsten)
- $\rightarrow$  also interesting for dual readout calorimetry (IDEA), CALICE SiW-ECAL, ...
- R&D on scintillating crystals, organic scintillating fibres and WLS fibres
- Generic simulation software
- $\rightarrow$  e.g. faster transport of optical photons in Geant4, local reconstruction based on machine learning

## Test beams:

- Test beam infrastructure:
- $\rightarrow$  Shared hodoscopes, reference time detectors where requirements are in line
- $\rightarrow$  For discussion: read-out electronics, DAQ system?
- Common test beam requests
- $\rightarrow$  Seem beneficial in case joint activities like ECAL+HCAL test are relevant

# Summary and conclusions

- The flexibility of picosecond scintillating sampling ECALs allows to meet the requirements for a variety of future experiments
- Better than 20 ps time resolution achieved for SpaCal and Shashlik technology with high-energy electron beams
- Many potential synergies with other DRD activities in the next 5 years identified  $\rightarrow$  Looking forward to the following discussions and exchange of experience!

Thank you!

# **Backup slides**

# **Reminder:** SpaCal/Shashlik ECAL for Upgrade II



#### ۲ [cm] 300 ECAL doses --4 x 10<sup>4</sup> Gv 250 $-1 \times 10^4 \text{ Gy}$ 12x12 cm<sup>2</sup> 200 150 6x6 cm<sup>2</sup> 100 4x4 cm 150 250 300 350 200 X [cm]

#### **Requirements for the Upgrade II:**

- Sustain radiation doses up to 1 MGy and  $\leq 6 \times 10^{15}$  1 MeV neq / cm<sup>2</sup> in the centre
- Keep current energy resolution of  $\sigma(E)/E \approx 10\%/\sqrt{E} \oplus 1\%$
- Pile-up mitigation crucial
- $\rightarrow$  Timing capabilities with O(10) ps precision, preferably directly in the calorimeter modules
- $\rightarrow$  Increased granularity in the central region with denser absorber

#### SpaCal technology for inner region:

- Innermost modules with scintillating crystal fibres and W absorber
- $\rightarrow$  Development of radiation-hard scintillating crystals
- $\rightarrow$  1.5x1.5 cm<sup>2</sup> cell size
- 40-200 kGy region with scintillating plastic fibres and Pb absorber
- $\rightarrow$  Need radiation-tolerant organic scintillators
- $\rightarrow$  3x3 cm<sup>2</sup> cell size

#### Shashlik technology:

- Timing with new WLS fibres, long. segmentation (double-sided readout)
- $\rightarrow$  Cost optimisation by refurbishing <code>~2000</code> existing modules for timing
- $\rightarrow$  Adapt to the required cell sizes by adding  $\approx$ 1300 new modules

**LS3 consolidation:** W absorber for innermost modules equipped with scintillating plastic fibre for 2x2 cm<sup>2</sup> cell size

CERN/LHCC 2021-012

Radiation limit of current Shashlik technology

12/01/2023

### Picosecond scintillating sampling ECALs

# LHCb ECAL upgrade strategy





#### Run 3 in 2022-2025:

Run with unmodified ECAL Shashlik modules at L =  $2 \times 10^{33} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ 

#### LS3 consolidation in 2026-2028:

Introduce single-section rad. tolerant SpaCal (2x2 and 3x3 cm<sup>2</sup> cells) in inner regions and rebuilt ECAL in rhombic shape to improve performance at L = 2(4) x  $10^{33}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>  $\rightarrow$  32 SpaCal-W & 144 SpaCal-Pb modules with plastic fibres compliant with Upgrade II conditions  $\rightarrow$  Could include timing information with single-sided readout to inner regions

#### LS4 Upgrade II in ≥2035:

Introduce double-section rad. hard SpaCal (1.5x1.5 & 3x3 cm<sup>2</sup> cells) and improve timing of Shashlik modules for a luminosity of up to  $L = 1.5 \times 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ 

- $\rightarrow$  Innermost SpaCal-W modules equipped with crystal fibres
- $\rightarrow$  Include timing information and double-sided readout to full ECAL for pile-up mitigation



# **Energy resolution and longitudinal separation**



- In SpaCal prototypes produced for test beams, the longitudinal separation (front/back sections) is not optimised
- This is due to the need for flexibility to perform several tests (calibration, additional timing layer, ...)
- Material budget between SpaCal sections not negligible → energy resolution is degraded

# SpaCal energy resolution of optimised modules

## SpaCal-W

Energy Resolution - 3°+3°



# SpaCal-Pb

	Measured with TB module [%]	MC simulation of TB module [%]	MC simulation of optimised module [%]
Sampling term	10.0 ± 0.6	10.3 ± 0.1	9.7 ± 0.1
Constant term	1.16 ± 0.06	$0.94 \pm 0.04$	0.62 ± 0.06

 Hybrid-MC framework reproduces well the test beam measurements (if material between front and back is taken into account)
In SpaCal modules designed for the LHCb ECAL, front/back separation will be optimised (e.g. thin reflector foil)
→ The MC allows to predict the energy resolution of these optimised modules

→ Expected energy resolution in optimised modules well in line with the requirements

#### arXiv:2205.02500

12/01/2023