

Incorporating quarkonium data into nuclear PDF fits

Stéphane Delorme

(work supported by Narodowe Centrum Nauki under grant no. 2019/34/E/ST2/00186)


Quarkonia as Tools 2023



THE HENRYK NIEWODNICZAŃSKI
INSTITUTE OF NUCLEAR PHYSICS
POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES

PDFs


- ▶ Probability densities to find a parton with a momentum fraction x inside of a hadron of momentum p



- ▶ Enter calculations involving hadrons in the initial state
- ▶ Non-perturbative, Universal objects
- ▶ Fitted on experimental data
- ▶ Only focus on collinear PDFs


Nuclear PDFs

- ▶ PDFs are modified when nuclei are involved
- ▶ The nuclear PDF is not just Z times the proton PDF + N times the neutron PDF




- ▶ $f_i^A(x, Q^2) = \frac{Z}{A} f_i^{p/A}(x, Q^2) + \frac{A-Z}{A} f_i^{n/A}(x, Q^2)$
- ▶ $f_i^{p/A}(x, Q^2) = R_i^{p/A}(x, Q^2) f_i^p(x, Q^2)$
- ▶ Isospin symmetry to obtain $f_i^{n/A}(x, Q^2)$

"Standard" fitting procedure



Current status of nPDFs

- ▶ More precise (n)PDFs → more precise predictions for observables measured at colliders (notably the future EIC)




- ▶ Very large gluon uncertainties, especially at low x
→ large uncertainties on other flavors via DGLAP evolution


Won't be an exhaustive overview, for a more detailed one see I. Schienbein talk at QCD@LHC 2022

nNNPDF3.0 (2201.12363)

- ▶ Parametrization of the bound proton PDFs $f_i^{p/A}$ using neural networks at scale $Q_0 = 1 \text{ GeV}$
(see Valerio's lecture on collinear PDFs)
- ▶ 256 parameters
- ▶ 2188 data points (1467 old, 721 new)
- ▶ Processes:
 - (ν)DIS (411 new points)
 - DY (146 new points)
 - WZ
 - γ prompt production from ATLAS 8 TeV
 - Dijet (New data from CMS 5 TeV)
 - D meson data from LHCb 5 TeVIncluded via Bayesian reweighting (not fitted)




nNNPDF3.0 (2201.12363)




- Large reduction of gluon uncertainties thanks to LHCb D meson data.

EPPS21 (Eur. Phys. J. C 82, 413 (2022))

- ▶ Parametrization of the nuclear modification factor $R_i^{p/A}$ at $Q_0 = 1.3$ GeV
- ▶ 24 free parameters (from 20 in EPPS16)
- ▶ 2077 data points (1742 old, 335 new)
- ▶ Processes:
 - (ν)DIS (New data from JLAB)
 - DY
 - SIH
 - WZ (New W^\pm data from CMS 8 TeV)
 - Dijet (New data from CMS 5 TeV)
 - D meson data from LHCb 5 TeV




EPPS21 (Eur. Phys. J. C 82, 413 (2022))




- Important reduction of gluon and strange quark uncertainties. Due to D meson data for the gluon and due to W data and gluon uncertainty reduction for the strange quark

nCTEQ15WZSIH (Phys. Rev. D 104, 094005)

- ▶ Parametrization of the bound proton PDFs $f_i^{p/A}$ at $Q_0 = 1.3$ GeV
- ▶ 19 parameters
- ▶ 936 data points (860 old, 76 new)
- ▶ Processes:
 - DIS
 - DY
 - WZ
 - SIH (π^0, π^\pm, K^\pm) from RHIC/LHC




nCTEQ15WZSIH (Phys. Rev. D 104, 094005)



- ▶ Flattening of the gluon nPDF around $x = 0.05$ and reduction of uncertainties thanks to SIH meson data

Why quarkonia? (And open heavy flavors)

- ▶ Large data sets from LHC experiments
- ▶ Sensitivity to gluon nPDFs down to very low x ($\approx 10^{-4.5}$)



nCTEQ15HQ (Phys. Rev. D 105, 114043)

- ▶ Data-driven approach to include D/quarkonium data (implemented from Phys. Rev. Lett. 121, 052004, Phys. Rev. D 104, 014010)
- ▶ Parametrization of the nPDFs at $Q_0 = 1.3$ GeV
- ▶ 19 parameters (same as nCTEQ15WZSIH)
- ▶ 1484 data points (936 old, 548 new)
- ▶ Processes:
 - DIS
 - DY
 - WZ
 - SIH
 - D meson data from LHCb
 - Quarkonium data from LHC

$$xf_i^{p/A}(x, Q_0) = c_0 x^{c_1} (1-x)^{c_2} e^{c_3 x} (1 + e^{c_4 x})^{c_5}$$

$$c_k \Rightarrow c_k(A) \equiv p_k + a_k(1 - A^{-b_k})$$

Heavy flavor fitting procedure

- ▶ Cross-section parametrized as:

$$\sigma(AB \rightarrow \Phi + X) = \int dx_1 dx_2 f_{1,g}(x_1, \mu) f_{2,g}(x_2, \mu) \frac{1}{2\hat{s}} \overline{|\mathcal{A}(gg \rightarrow \Phi + X)|^2} dPS$$

$$\overline{|\mathcal{A}(gg \rightarrow \Phi + X)|^2} = \frac{\lambda^2 \kappa \hat{s}}{M_Q^2} e^{a|y|} \times \begin{cases} e^{-\kappa \frac{p_T^2}{M_Q^2}} & p_T \leq \langle p_T \rangle \\ e^{-\kappa \frac{p_T^2}{M_Q^2}} \left(1 + \frac{\kappa}{n} \frac{p_T^2 - \langle p_T \rangle^2}{M_Q^2}\right)^{-n} & p_T > \langle p_T \rangle \end{cases}$$


- ▶ 2 assumptions:

- gg-channel dominant
- Only consider 2→2 kinematics

- ▶ 5 additional parameters

- ▶ a parameter added to include rapidity dependence

Heavy flavor fitting procedure









Proton-proton baseline

► Cuts imposed on data




- $p_T < 3 \text{ GeV}$
- Data outside $-4 \leq y_{cms} \leq 4$

	D^0	J/ψ	$B \rightarrow J/\psi$	$\Upsilon(1S)$	$\psi(2S)$	$B \rightarrow \psi(2S)$
κ	0.33457	0.47892	0.15488	0.94524	0.21589	0.45273
λ	1.82596	0.30379	0.12137	0.06562	0.07528	0.13852
$\langle p_T \rangle$	2.40097	5.29310	-7.65026	8.63780	8.98819	7.80526
n	2.00076	2.17366	1.55538	1.93239	1.07203	1.64797
a	-0.03295	0.02816	-0.08083	0.22389	-0.10614	0.06179
N_{points}	34	501	375	55		
χ^2/N_{dof}	0.25	0.88	0.92	0.77		

Proton-proton baseline



Comparison with NRQCD calculations (J/ψ)



- ▶ NRQCD from M. Butenschön and B. Kniehl

[Phys. Rev. Lett. 106 \(2011\) 022003](#)

- ▶ NRQCD uncertainties due to scale variation from $\frac{\mu_{NRQCD,0}}{2}$ to $2 \mu_{NRQCD,0}$.


- ▶ $\mu_{NRQCD,0} = \sqrt{p_T^2 + 4m_c^2}$

- ▶ Very good agreement, smaller uncertainties for the Crystal ball fit

nPDFs data sets and cuts


- ▶ Includes data sets from nCTEQ15WZSIH
- ▶ Same 19 parameters as nCTEQ15WZSIH
- ▶ 499 additional data points for $pPb \rightarrow D^0 + X$, $pPb \rightarrow J/\psi + X$, $pPb \rightarrow \psi(2S) + X$ and $pPb \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S) + X$
- ▶ Same cuts as the proton-proton baseline with additional cuts:
 - D^0 data with $p_T > 15$ GeV is excluded (no baseline data)
 - 2 points from the 2018 LHCb $\Upsilon(1S)$ dataset are excluded (high χ^2 , may be explained by their presence at the high- p_T edge of the experiment)

nPDFs fit









- Gluon uncertainties greatly reduced, especially at very low x

nPDFS fit χ^2



Data comparison



Conclusions

- ▶ Global effort towards the determination of more precise (n)PDFs
- ▶ Data-driven approach reducing the uncertainties on the low x gluon nPDF
- ▶ Compatible with other data sets
- ▶ Fast calculation, compared against rigorous pQCD calculations

- ▶ However, does the collinear factorization still holds? (effect of saturation at low x and moderately hard scales)
- ▶ What about effects like fully coherent energy loss?