Probing *R*-parity violation in *B*-meson decays to a baryon and a light neutralino

Zeren Simon Wang (NTHU)

arXiv:2208.06421 with C. O. Dib, JC Helo, V. E. Lyubovitskij, N. A. Neill, A. Soffer

Feb. 13th, 2023 Mini-workshops in Theory & Experiment and Detector Hong Kong University of Science and Technology





Probing RPV in $B \to \mathcal{B}\tilde{\chi}$

Motivation

- Supersymmetry, R-parity-violation, rich phenomenology
- RPV-SUSY: light neutralinos allowed, naturally long-lived
- Belle II: $\Upsilon(4S)$, 50 ab⁻¹, 55 × 10⁹ B-mesons, study rare B decays
- $B \to \mathcal{B} \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ via $\lambda_{ijk}'' \bar{U}_i \bar{D}_j \bar{D}_k$
- Constrain RPV-SUSY

◆母 ▶ ▲ ■ ▶ ▲ ■ ▶ ▲ ■ ▲ ● ◆ ● ◆

RPV-MSSM

$$W_{\mathcal{R}_{p}} = \mu_{i}H_{u} \cdot L_{i} + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{ijk}L_{i} \cdot L_{j}\bar{E}_{k} + \lambda'_{ijk}L_{i} \cdot Q_{j}\bar{D}_{k} + \lambda''_{ijk}\bar{U}_{i}\bar{D}_{j}\bar{D}_{k}$$

- RPV-MSSM allows light (O(GeV)) neutralinos (binolike)
- $B
 ightarrow \mathcal{B} \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ decays mediated by sfermions
- Assume $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ LSP, degenerate sfermion masses, diagonal squarks
- $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ heavier than a proton, to prevent proton decays

$\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ production and RPV couplings (benchmark scenarios)



• $\lambda_{113}'': B^+ \to p \tilde{\chi}_1^0$

•
$$\lambda_{123}'': B^0 \to \Lambda^0 \tilde{\chi}_1^0, B^+ \to \Sigma^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^0, B^0 \to \Sigma^0 \tilde{\chi}_1^0$$

•
$$\lambda_{213}'': B^+ \to \Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^0, B^+ \to \Sigma_c^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^0, B^0 \to \Sigma_c^0 \tilde{\chi}_1^0$$

• $\lambda_{223}'': B^+ \to \Xi_c^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^0, B^0 \to \Xi_c^0 \tilde{\chi}_1^0$

${\tilde \chi}_1^0$ production rate computation

$$\mathcal{M} = ar{u}_p(p',s') \left[W_0^{LL}(q^2) + rac{\not q}{m_{ ilde{\chi}_1^0}} W_1^{LL}(q^2)
ight] P_L v_{ ilde{\chi}_1^0}(q,s)$$

• To compute W_0^{LL} and W_1^{LL} , use an SU(3) phenomenological Lagrangian for matrix elements involving a proton and light pseudoscalar mesons, and extend it to the bottom sector



 $G^2 = \lambda_{1j3}^{''2} imes (1 \ {
m TeV}/m_{\widetilde{q}})^4$ The proton mode dominates

• Similarly for the other benchmark scenarios

Z.S. Wang

$\tilde{\chi}_1^{\rm 0}$ decay in RPV

With

- small RPV couplings
- GeV-scale neutralino mass
- absence of squark mixing

the neutralino decay is kinematically suppressed by 3 off-shell propagators:

- a squark
- a bottom quark
- a W-boson

Analysis technique

- Search strategy inspired by searches for $B^+ \to K^+ \nu \bar{\nu} \& B^+ \to \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$
- Reconstructing/tagging the decay of one of the *B*-mesons: tag *B*
- Tagging method: hadronic, semileptonic, inclusive
- hadronic: highest signal purity and lowest efficiency; inclusive: opposite
- All methods allow for obtaining distribution of $m_{\rm miss}$ peaking at $m_{\tilde{\chi}^0_1}$, distinct from background events
- Requirements:
 - Only one charged-particle track not associated with the tag B
 - Track identified as a proton for $B^+ o p \tilde{\chi}_1^0$
 - The energy deposition in calorimeter clusters not associated with the proton or the tag-B decay products must be small in order to suppress background from neutrons and K_L^0 mesons.
- The three methods have similar sensitivities; we use hadronic

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ●|三 ◇◇◇

Background estimate

- Estimate the $m_{\rm miss}$ -dependent background in hadronic-tagging analysis of BABAR search for $B^+ \to K^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$
- Background numbers given in bins of width 100-MeV, then rescaled according to total number of $B\bar{B}$ events' ratio between BABAR and Belle II
- The estimated background is reduced relative to that in $B^+ \to K^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$ by the fact that the proton production rate in B^+ decays is about $R_{p/K} \approx 1/16$ of K^+ production
- Background from light-quark events is smaller and ignored
- Multiplication by $R_{p/K}$ gives N_b^{100}
- $N_b = N_b^{100} \frac{2\sigma(m_{\text{miss}})}{100 \text{ MeV}}$
- $\sigma(m_{\text{miss}}) \sim \sigma(p_T^p) = \left(0.0019 \frac{pT}{\text{GeV}}\right)^2 + \left(0.003 \frac{1}{\beta}\right)^2$ at Belle, assumed the same at Belle II
- A smaller contribution to $\sigma(m_{\rm miss})$ arises from the spread of the collider COM energy taken to be 5 MeV.

Z.S. Wang

Sensitivities for $B^+ \rightarrow p \tilde{\chi}_1^0$

90% C.L. upper limits:
$$\mathcal{B}(B^0 o p ilde{\chi}_1^0) < rac{1.64 \sqrt{N_b}}{N_{BB}(ext{Belle II}) \ \epsilon}$$



• $\epsilon \approx 95 \times 10^{-5}$: total reconstruction efficiency

• For the other benchmarks, similar strategies based on other searches

JOC ELE

A B M A B M

Current bounds

For a representative value of $m_{ ilde{\chi}_1^0}=$ 2.5 GeV:

• from
$$\Xi_b^0 - \Xi_b^0$$
 oscillation:
• $\lambda_{123}''/m_{\tilde{q}}^2 < 4 \times 10^{-4} \text{ GeV}^{-2}$

• di-nucleon decays:

•
$$\lambda_{113}''/m_{ ilde{q}}^2 < 6 imes 10^{-4} \ {
m GeV^{-2}}$$

•
$$\lambda_{123}''/m_{ ilde{q}}^2 < 2 imes 10^{-2} \; {
m GeV^{-2}}$$

•
$$\lambda_{213}''/m_{\tilde{q}}^2 < 5 \times 10^{-6} \text{ GeV}^{-2}$$

•
$$\lambda_{223}''/m_{\tilde{q}}^2 < 2 imes 10^{-4} \ {
m GeV^{-2}}$$

<ロ> <日> <日> <日> <日> <日> <日> <日</p>

Bounds on RPV parameter λ_{113}'' at Belle II, 50 ab⁻¹



▶ ★ E ▶ ★ E ▶ E = のQO

Bounds on RPV parameter λ_{123}''



Reinterpreted from preliminary BABAR limits on $B^0 \rightarrow \Lambda^0 \Psi_D$

Search for Baryogenesis and Dark Matter in B-meson Decays at BABAR, Poster presented at ICHEP 2022 (2022)

Summary

- RPV-SUSY with long-lived light neutralinos produced from rare *B*-decays at Belle II, for $m_{\tilde{\chi}^0_1}$ between 1 GeV and 4.5 GeV
- λ_{113}'' , λ_{123}'' , λ_{213}'' , λ_{223}'' , leading to $B o \mathcal{B} \tilde{\chi}_1^0$
- Background estimates based on existing searches
- Belle II sensitivities are orders of magnitude stronger than current limits
- Further similar searches can be performed at *B*-factories

Thank You!

A ∃ ► A ∃ ► ∃ | ∃

Back-up slides

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

R-parity and the RPV-MSSM

In general, the MSSM superpotential includes the following operators:

$$W_{\mathcal{R}_{p}} = \mu_{i}H_{u} \cdot L_{i} + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{ijk}L_{i} \cdot L_{j}\bar{E}_{k} + \lambda_{ijk}^{\prime}L_{i} \cdot Q_{j}\bar{D}_{k} + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{ijk}^{\prime\prime}\bar{U}_{i}\bar{D}_{j}\bar{D}_{k}$$

Lepton Number Violation & Baryon Number Violation

- \Rightarrow too fast proton decay rate!
- \Rightarrow An implicit ingredient of the MSSM: R_p conservation (RPC)

$$R_p = (-1)^{3(B-L)+2S}$$

- B: baryon number, L: lepton number, S: spin
 - SM fields: $R_{p} = +1$, superpartners: $R_{p} = -1$
 - Forbids all the terms in $W_{\mathcal{R}_p}$
 - Renders the lightest supersymmetric particle (LSP) a stable cold DM candidate

RPV & long-lived $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$

However, RPC dim-5 operators could lead to proton decays

- Alternative: impose discrete symmetries allowing only LNV or BNV
- $\bullet~\mathsf{RPV} \to \mathsf{the}~\mathsf{LSP}$ decays, no longer a DM candidate
- RPV-MSSM allows light (O(GeV)) neutralinos (binolike)
- Assume $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ LSP
- Small RPV couplings & $m_{ ilde{\chi}_1^0}
 ightarrow {
 m long-lived} \ ilde{\chi}_1^0$'s

> < = > = = < < <