# **Tools: A Theory Overview**

Joshua Berger Colorado State University



December 14, 2022

PITT PACC Nu Tools Workshop

### Lessons from the LHC

At colliders, we have a pipeline:



# What It Means for Theory

- Thousands of theory models
   SUSY to long-lived particles to dark showers
- Theorists can easily generate model for pheno study or to hand to experimentalists
- "Basic" models are fairly hands-off
- ► Flexible enough for complex topologies
- Can swap out tools at any stage

### What It Means for Experiment



#### ATLAS: ATL-PHYS-PUB-2022-013

#### CMS: ICHEP 2022

### **Neutrino Experiments**

Accelerator  $\nu$  exp'ts are a flagship program in US & Japan

SB/ND	(100s m)	LB/FD (100s-1000s km)		
SBND	MicroBooNE			
ICARUS	DUNE ND	DUNE	NoVA	
NOvA ND	T2K ND280	Super-K	Hyper-K	
JSNS <sup>2</sup>				
ntonco proton	boom on torget	10s of kto	n detectors	

# What Kinds of Models?

### Unstable Dark Sector States

- ▶ Portal to the SM:  $\mathcal{L} \propto \theta \phi_{\text{DS}} \phi_{\text{SM}}$
- ▶ New interactions, e.g. axions, new force
- ▶ Produce in beam: 100s of MeV mass range

### Dark Matter

- ▶ Canonical example: vector portal mediated
- ▶ More "exotic": inelastic DM, induced nucleon decay
- ▶ Produce in beam *or* astrophysically

### A Pipeline $\nu$ Experiments

Is this necessary/possible?





- Simulate p- $^{A}Z$  collisions w/ DS production
- ► Direct production: Dark brem., DIS, etc.
- ► Also: production in meson decay
- ▶ Long-lived mesons possible (e.g.  $\pi^{\pm}$ ,  $K^{\pm}$ ,  $K_L^0$ )
- Secondary interactions possible



### • Magnetic focusing horn steers $\pi^+$ , $K^+$



- Include propagation of particles to get flux
- ► Account for unstable DS state decay



- Catch protons that didn't interact in target
- ► Signals from meson decay at rest (DAR)



- ▶ DS decay, scatter off  $e^-$ , scatter off  $^AZ$
- Secondary signals possible (e.g. iDM decay)
- ► Keep position/time as well as momentum
- ► Also: simulate detector response & reco

# Survey of Existing Tools

	Production				$Dark \to Standard$						
Process	Brem	Direct	Promp	t LL	Flux	Decay	ye	N EI.	N Inel.	Det.	Reco.
MadDump		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$		<		
BdNMC	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	✓	$\checkmark$		
GENIE							$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<		
Geant4			$\checkmark$	✓	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	✓				<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	
ACHILLES						✓	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	✓		
FORESEE	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	✓			

Batell, JB, et. al. (Snowmass): 2207.06898

### **Some Generator Details**

Generator	Model(s)	Features
MadDump	General UFO	Flexible model, some missing processes
BdNMC	Vector Med.	Many processes, no FSI
GENIE	Vector Med.	Focused on detection side, integrates with $\ensuremath{exp't}$
Geant4	HP, HNL	Used for mesons & det. sim., basis for ${\tt MeVPrtl}$
ACHILLES	General	Rapidly developing detection-side alternative
FORESEE	Vector, Scalar	Collider-focused, full-featured flux

#### See several talks throughout workshop!



# **Challenges: Diversity of Production**

### ► Beam production:

	Dark brem	Vector portal	BdNMC		
	DIS	Heavier mediators	MadDump		
	Prompt meson decay	Heavy axions	Multiple tools		
	Long-lived mesons	Higgs Portal	MeVPrtl		
	Astrophysical prod	duction: See ta	lk by G. Putnam		
	Halo DM	Hylogenesis	"By hand?"		
	Sun	Nuclear BDM	GenSolFlux		
	Galactic Center	Leptonic BDM	"By hand?"		
	Cosmic Ray Accel.	Light BDM	"By hand?"		
deNiverville et. al.: Phys.Rev.D 95 (2017) 3, 035006, oshua Berger Buonocore et. al.: JHEP 05 (2019) 028, JB: 1812.05616					

# **Challenges: Diversity of Detection**

- ▶ Decay vs. Scattering vs. Scattering + Decay
- Decay: carefully sample beam geom.
- Scattering off  $e^-$ : usually  $2 \rightarrow 2$
- ► Scattering off nuclei: challenging GeV regime Elastic:  $\chi + N \rightarrow \chi + N$  Form factors, FSI

 $\mbox{Resonant:} \qquad \chi + \textit{N} \rightarrow \chi + \textit{N}^* \ \mbox{Model}$ 

Deep Inelastic:  $\chi + q \rightarrow \chi + q$  FSI

 Scattering + Decay: Need to combine w/ detailed spatial info

See talks by S. Dytman and J. Isaacson Joshua Berger

# **Challenges: Nuclear Modeling**



- ► Three challenging processes (w/ uncertainties)
- Include nucleon motion:  $p \leq p_F \approx 240$  MeV
- ► Include Pauli blocking

See talk by S. Li

### **Challenges: Final State Interactions**



- Rescattering as nucleons/mesons escape nuclear remnant
- ► Multiple (semi-empirical) models

# **Challenges: Complex Beam Structure**

- ► Thin target: Both target and absorber possible
- Secondary interactions: p + <sup>A</sup>Z can give energetic protons/pions that can rescatter
- Magnetic horns: Primarily a worry for long-lived mesons
- Timing: Complex beam bunch structure, but interesting targets for background reduction
- Flux systematics: Can we propagate uncertainties (also from nuclear)? See talk by W. Jang

# **Challenges: Complex Topologies**

Even "simple" topologies can be complicated:  $u_{\mu} + Ar \rightarrow \mu + \pi^{0} + X$ 



- Studies of efficiency/resolution in progress
- Need to store detailed positional info as well as momentum
- How do we do a parameterized or fast sim.?

# **Challenges: Standards**

- ► In collider world, some standard formats
  - ▶ Model format: LHA, UFO
  - ▶ Event format: LHE, HepMC
- ▶ In neutrino world, a bit of a free-for-all
  - Model implementation by hand
  - ► GENIE: ROOT tree w/ GENIE libraries
  - Plug into LArSoft at some stage

# **Challenges: Accessibility**

- Q: I just came up with a cool new model. How do I simulate it?
- A: Best case scenario: hack it into Pythia

Worst case scenario: code by hand

- Q: My friend generated some events for me. How do I look at them?
- A: First, install ROOT, GENIE, Geant4, and dk2nu...

### What We Want To See

- Possible simulation of production, flux, and interaction models
- ▶ Propagation of nuclear/flux uncertainties
- Multiple models for nuclear effects
- ▶ Integration of beam geom. when necessary
- Detailed event info, including positions
- Seamless flow from theorist brain through BSM simulation through detector simulation

### **Computational Challenges**

► Neutrino events are really big

Detector Event Size

ATLAS Few MB

DUNE FD Few GB

How do we generate and store signal samples across many DS models and nuclear models?

## Connecting to LArSoft

- LArSoft: Framework for connecting sim. and reco. tools at LArTPC experiments
- If you want you want experimentalists to look for your model: must connect to LArSoft
- ► Two options:
  - (1) Build gen. into LArSoft (see MeVPrtl)
  - (2) Generate format readable by LArSoft
- ► 1: Hard for theorists
- ► Track metadata like uncertainties?

# **Beyond Background Free**

- √: finite number of bkg. sources
   Atmo. ν, Beam ν, Cosmics, Radioactivity?
- ► ×: complex bkg. topologies possible
- ×: samples are not readily available and accessible to theorists
- ► ×: large systematics in some bkgs.

# Outlook

- Current and future neutrino experiments are sensitive to many dark sector models (see B. Dutta's talk)
- Need a flexible & accessible framework for BSM event generation to achieve a broad-based program
- Tools are being developed rapidly, but many challenges remain, particularly across simulation stages
- More discussion/collaboration needed between members of this community to tackle them: this workshop!