# **Cross-Section Modeling on the NOVA Experiment** NuFact 2023: The 24th International Workshop on Neutrinos From Accelerators

NuFact 2023: The 24th International Workshop Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea August 22nd, 2023

> NOvA - FNAL E929 Run: 161 / 26 Event: 1 / --UTC Thu Jan 1, 1970 00:00:0.00500000

Bryan Ramson, Mat Muether, & Kirk Bays, on behalf of the NOvA Collaboration





### Introduction to Neutrinos and Oscillations Physics Paths to Beyond-the-Standard-Model Physics



$$|U| = egin{bmatrix} |U|_{e1} & |U|_{e2} & |U|_{e3} \ |U|_{\mu 1} & |U|_{\mu 2} & |U|_{\mu 3} \ |U|_{\mu 3} \ |U|_{ au 1} & |U|_{ au 2} & |U|_{ au 3} \end{bmatrix} = egin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \ 0 & c_{23} \ 0 & -s_{23} \ 0 & -s_{23} \end{bmatrix}$$

PMNS matrix depends on four independent parameters and resolution of all the three Euler angles gives access to CP-violating term. Mass hierarchy is tied into measurements of oscillation probability.







### Introduction to the NOvA Experiment The NuMI Off-Axis $\nu_{e}$ Appearance Experiment Long-baseline neutrino oscillation experiment





Two functionally equivalent detectors, differ only in size, acceptance, and distance from beam.

14.6 mrad Off-axis  $\nu_{\mu}$  beam gives narrow peak around 2 GeV for (anti)neutrinos.



Fermilab	

### **Far Detector**



**Tracking calorimeter made of PVC** cells filled with a liquid scintillator and a plastic scintillating light guide connected to an APD, each about 4cm x 6cm x 15.5m.

С	CI	Η	Ο	
65.9%	16.1%	10.7%	3.0%	

WI

Fermilab







## How to Do an Oscillations Analysis **A Brief Conceptual Overview for Extrapolative Oscillations Measurements**



NOvA extrapolative style analysis exploits the similarity of detectors to propagate systematic uncertainties through the analysis. Extrapolative style makes evident the tolerance of results relative to systematic uncertainties within the analysis.









## **Current Generation of Long-Baseline Experiments Current State-of-the-Art Measurements**



**Detector Calibration** Neutron Uncertainty Neutrino Interaction Model Near-Far Differences **Detector Response** Lepton Reconstruction Total Syst. Unc.

Latest 3-flavor results from NEUTRINO 2022 show large uncertainties on measurement on the atmospheric neutrino angle ( $\theta_{23}$ ) and CP-Violating parameter.

Largest uncertainties are controllable because analysis style however, interaction model systematic will become more important as other sources are reduced.







### The Neutrino-Nucleus Cross Section Problem Where is the Problem?



DIS, MEC).

To second order, must also deal with FSI effects (nuclear matter effects, absorption, interaction with cold nuclear matter)! 6

### **Starting Point for the 2020 3-Flavor Analysis Strategy and Initial Impressions**



Initial comparisons show consistent underprediction of data.

Approach is broken into two parts: Central Value and Systematics over the initial state, final state, and four processes:

### **Tuning Philosophy**

- Are there external data or theory explanations for our choices?
- How much do the data agree with the model?
- Do our systematics cover differences?









# **Definition of Simulation Descriptions of GENIE Configuration**

We choose GENIE v3.0.6 as was the most up to date as of the last model freeze and included considerable fixes over GENIE 2.12 (2018 Analysis).

CMC	Initial State	QE	MEC	Res	DIS	Final State	Tune
N18_10j_02_ 11a	Local Fermi Gas	Valencia	Valencia	Berger- Seghal	Bodek-Yang	IntraNuke- hN2018	Free-Nucle

Initial State: Local Fermi Gas, traditionally well motivated but not perfect.

QE: Valencia 1p1h suitable Central Value model, slight updates (N18\_10j) include the use of the zexpansion formalism. Uncertainties treated with RPA knobs, and Z-Expansion knobs (Axial Mass and coefficients of the expansion).

Res/DIS: Berger-Seghal and Bodek-Yang well understood, 02\_11a tune sets central value to bubble chamber data.

Final State Interactions and MEC are challenges in modeling for NOvA!







Final State Interactions for 2020 3F Analysis **Semi-Classical Intranuclear Cascade model** classical model, hN2018. We chose the latter.

First we developed the central value tune.

Divided reactive pion cross-section into three topological processes, charge exchange, absorption, and quasi-elastic.

Tune fate fractions to better match available data.

40% decrease in absorption with 40% increase in mean free path based on reaction channel.



# Choice between empirical "effective" cascade model IntraNuke hA2018 or semi-

![](_page_8_Picture_9.jpeg)

### **Final State Interactions for 2020 3F Analysis Semi-Classical Intranuclear Cascade model** Choice between empirical "effective" cascade model IntraNuke hA2018 or semiclassical model, hN2018. We chose the latter.

![](_page_9_Figure_1.jpeg)

Reweightable uncertainties had not been properly implemented and systematics had to be developed.

We use T2Ks fate fractions along with a mean free path scan to bracket uncertainties in the data. Reweighting implemented using a BDT.

https://doi.org/10.1103/ PhysRevD.99.052007

![](_page_9_Picture_8.jpeg)

### Meson Exchange Currents/2p2h for 2020 3F Analysis **Central Value Tuning Method Explanation** MEC model is a large source of uncertainty in neutrino scattering; generally not well understood for neutrinos.

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

Approach: save MEC tuning for last and assume all leftover differences between data and simulation are due to MEC.

Apply purely empirical adjustments to Valencia model; better supported theoretically.

Method inspired by MINERvA's approach.

![](_page_10_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_10.jpeg)

# Meson Exchange Currents/2p2h for 2020 3F Analysis **Central Value Tuning Method Application**

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

Fit is performed to Near Detector data using dual 2D-Gaussians in energy and momentum transfer space.

Central Value shifted upwards by about 50%. Robust systematics are applied to assess remaining differences.

Component	Parameter	Fitte
Gaussian 1	Normalization	14.85
	Mean $q_0$	0.36
	Mean $ \vec{q} $	0.86
	Sigma $q_0$	0.13
	Sigma $ \vec{q} $	0.35
	Correlation	0.89
Gaussian 2	Normalization	42.0
	Mean $q_0$	0.034
	Mean $ \vec{q} $	0.45
	Sigma $q_0$	0.044
	Sigma $ \vec{q} $	0.31
	Correlation	0.75
Base model	Normalization	-0.08

![](_page_11_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_8.jpeg)

### Meson Exchange Currents/2p2h for 2020 3F Analysis **Shape Tune and Struck Pair Composition**

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

Systematic 1: We use a set of GENIE knobs (Z-Expansion norm and coefficients, CCQE RPA, and **Resonant Production Axial/Vector** Mass and Suppression) to create a **RES-like and QE-like template.** 

Systematic 2: We add a model spread systematic based on struck nucleon pairs, expanding on previous work done in the last analysis.

$$\frac{np}{np+nn}=0.69\begin{cases}+0.15\sigma\\-0.05\sigma\end{cases}$$

$$\frac{np}{np+pp} = 0.66 \begin{cases} +0.15\sigma\\ -0.05\sigma \end{cases}$$

![](_page_12_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_9.jpeg)

### Meson Exchange Currents/2p2h for 2020 3F Analysis **Neutrino Cross-Section/Hadronic Energy Scale** Systematic 3: We scale the Martini and SuSA predictions to the Valencia model at 10 GeV

Finally, we construct an envelope to bound the model spread.

![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_5.jpeg)

## Meson Exchange Currents/2p2h for 2020 3F Analysis **Final Tune and Systematics**

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_7.jpeg)

### **Developments in GENIE Since 2020 Relevant Updates Since the 2020 Analysis** New developments in Meson Exchange Currents/2p2h Modeling! arXiv:1905.08556v3

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

SuSAv2 is well motivated theoretically and has larger coverage in our tuning phase space!

Implementation of Short Range Correlations in Initial State.

Quantum Cascade FSI model, INCL++ available and Full implemented in GENIE.

Would like to use in NOvA, but unlikely because of effort to provide adequate systematic uncertainty treatment.

![](_page_15_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_11.jpeg)

# **Future Model Selection Strategy Moving to New Models for Future Analyses**

configuration.

physics) and future-proof (large coverage of phase space).

These two goals may not align but we can improve accuracy later.

We have a two part approach:

- Compare simulated truth information of different models to judge applicability to 1. experiment.
- 2. Generate a new fully reconstructed test configuration and assess coverage.

- Engaging in first part of prepping new models for deciding on a Central Value
- Two goals here for Central Value: choices must be accurate (close to or motivated by

![](_page_16_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_12.jpeg)

# **Possible Future NOvA Productions Models Considered for Future Analyses**

Final comparison reduces total list to core short list of models we consider seriously for "miniproduction". Model will be fully validated in fully reconstructed test sample.

CMC	Initial State	QE	Res	MNI/MEC	DIS	FSI	Tune
N18_10j_02_11a (3.0.6)	LFG	Valencia	Berger-Seghal	Valencia	Bodek-Yang*	ItraNuke hN	Free-Nuke
N21_11b_02_11b* (3.2)	LFG	SuSAv2 1p1h	Berger-Seghal	SuSav2 2p2h	Bodek-Yang*	ItraNuke hN	Free-Nuke
AR23_20i_00_000 (3.4)	LFG*	SuSAv2 1p1h*	Berger-Seghal	SuSAv2 2p2h*	Bodek-Yang*	hA2018	Free-Nuke

central value tuning and systematics options.

# DUNE model is a welcome addition and comes with many benefits besides the

![](_page_17_Picture_6.jpeg)

# **Models Considered for Future Analyses Considerations for Initial State and QE**

CMC	Initial State	QE	MEC	Res	DIS	Final State	Tune
AR23_20i_00_000 (3.4)	LFG*	SuSAv2 1p1h*	SuSAv2 2p2h*	Berger-Seghal	Bodek-Yang*	hA2018*	Free-Nuke

Quasi Elastic Scattering in SuSAv2 is similar to the Valencia model!

Above 1.2 GeV model behaves somewhat differently.

Actively considering the effect of the initial state on low energy transfer regions.

![](_page_18_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_9.jpeg)

# **Models Considered for Future Analyses Considerations for Meson Exchange Currents**

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

momentum transfer!

Significant reduction of events in the lowest MEC bins for energy and momentum transfer. Active discussion in NOvA about approach.

# Summary & Conclusions

Effective cross-section modeling is a complex but necessary input for the current generation of long baseline oscillation experiments. Likely to remain a source of intense activity into the DUNE Era.

NOvA used **GENIE v3.0.6**, **N18\_10j\_02\_11a** for its last 3F Flavor analysis, did significant surgery on the MEC and FSI tunes to enhance applicability to NOvA data. Used methods established by other experiments for most difficult work.

Final results established robust uncertainties with central value tune, but improvements are possible.

Next iteration of modeling for future analysis definitely moving toward **GENIE v3.4**. Front runner CMC for our purposes is **AR23\_20i\_00\_000**.

Assuming no show stoppers we intend to work closely with **DUNE** in in tuning our model. Possibility for a robust **Fermilab Tune for AR23\_20i\_00\_000 with SBN?** 

![](_page_20_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **Possible Future NOvA Productions Models Considered for Future Analyses** NOvA considered new models (GENIE CMCs) for our next production. List is extensive!

CMC	Initial State	QE	Res	MNI/MEC	DIS	FSI	Tune
N18_10j_02_11a (3.0.6)	LFG	Valencia	Berger-Seghal	Valencia	Bodek-Yang*	ItraNuke hN	Free-Nuke
N18_10j_02_11a (3.2)	LFG	Valencia	Berger-Seghal	Valencia	Bodek-Yang*	ItraNuke hN	Free-Nuke
N18_10j_02_11a* (3.4)	LFG	Valencia*	Berger-Seghal	Valencia	Bodek-Yang*	ItraNuke hN	Free-Nuke
N21_11b_02_11b* (3.2)	LFG	SuSAv2 1p1h	Berger-Seghal	SuSav2 2p2h	Bodek-Yang*	ItraNuke hN	Free-Nuke
N18_12j_02_11a	Correlated Fermi Gas	Valencia	Berger-Seghal	Valencia	Bodek-Yang*	ItraNuke hN	Free-Nuke
N18_10k_02_11b	LFG	Valencia	Berger-Seghal	Valencia	Bodek-Yang*	INCL++	Free-Nuke
GPRD18_10a_02_ 11b	LFG	Valencia	Berger-Seghal	Empirical	Bodek-Yang*	ItraNuke hN	Julia-Tune
AR23_20i_00_000 (3.4)	LFG*	SuSAv2 1p1h*	Berger-Seghal	SuSAv2 2p2h*	Bodek-Yang*	hA2018*	Free-Nuke

![](_page_22_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_5.jpeg)