

The University of Manchester



Neutrino scattering (WG 2):

Christophe Bronner, the University of Tokyo Raúl González Jiménez, Complutense University of Madrid Elena Gramellini, University of Manchester NuFact 2023, August 21st, Seoul

Neutrinos are difficult

Neutrinos are the most abundant massive particle in the universe, and one of the least understood. They are neutral: we can't directly detect them. We can study them only if they interact, but...



Neutrinos are difficult

Neutrinos are the most abundant massive particle in the universe, and one of the least understood. They are neutral: we can't directly detect them. We can study them only if they interact, but...



Neutrinos don't really like to interact!



STEP 1: Making a beam

ν_μ **‡** Fermilab

(💋) 2D •

An intense & pure muon neutrino beam

νµ

V

V

ve v

derground Research Facility

STEP 1: Making a beam **STEP 2**: Checking twice

 $N^{\mu \rightarrow e}_{FD}(Er):$ Number of v_e in reco energy

> $N^{\mu}_{ND}(Er)$: Number of v_{μ} in reco energy

> > 🛟 Fermilab

2D

An intense & pure muon neutrino beam

 v_{μ}

Ve

ground Research Facility

 $N^{\mu \rightarrow e}_{FD}(Er)$: Number of v_e in reco energy STEP 1: Making a beamSTEP 2: Checking twiceSTEP 3: Gonna find out if you've more of one type



🗱 🕻 Fermilab

An intense & pure muon neutrino beam

STEP 1: Making a beam STEP 2: Checking twice STEP 3: Gonna find out if you've more of one type



Number of v_{c} in reco energy

Your detectors (near and far) count number of neutrino interactions of as a function of reconstructed energy...

 $N^{\mu}_{ND}(Er)$: Number of v_{i} in reco energy



An intense & pure muon neutrino beam

STEP 1: Making a beam STEP 2: Checking twice STEP 3: Gonna find out if you've more of one type



Neutrino Flux

Oscillation Probability

v Cross Section

Detector Response

 $N^{\mu}_{ND}(Er) = \int \phi^{\mu}_{ND} (Et) \sigma^{\mu}(Et) \epsilon^{\mu}(Et) U_{ND}(Et, t)$ Er)dE

Signal

efficiency





STEP 1: Making a beam STEP 2: Checking twice STEP 3: Gonna find out if you've more of one type



Oscillation Probability

v Cross

Section

Detector Signal Response efficiency

🛟 Fermilab

 $N^{\mu}_{ND}(Er) = \int \phi^{\mu}_{ND}(Et) \sigma^{\mu}(Et) \epsilon^{\mu}(Et) U_{ND}(Et,$ Er)dE

Your detectors (near and far) count number of neutrino interactions of as a function of reconstructed energy... but your oscillation probability is a function of the true neutrino energy & it is convoluted with quantities depending on your model: flux, **cross section** and detector response.

In broad energy beams several underlying interactions are available \rightarrow different signatures at same energy





Higher

Neutrino

Energy

In broad energy beams several underlying interactions are available

 \rightarrow different signatures at same energy



CC lplh+2p2h The flux and cross section models are convoluted. The cross section will not cancel out and is crucial for extraction

of the oscillation parameters.

This is all you see in your detector: we never see the neutrino directly!



Event display courtesy of **µBooN**

This is all you see in your detector: we never see the neutrino directly!

You identify the final state particles to **infer neutrino flavor:** count how many ν_e and ν_μ

Event display courtesy of **µBooNE**

This is all you see in your detector: we never see the neutrino directly!



You identify the final state particles to **infer neutrino flavor:** count how many v_e and v_{μ}

> From the reconstructed particles' momenta you **infer neutrino energy**: **P(osc) ~ sin²(L/E)**



This is all you see in your detector: we never see the neutrino directly!



What gets in between



You identify the final state particles to **infer neutrino flavor:** count how many ν_e and ν_μ

From the reconstructed particles' momenta you **infer neutrino energy**: **P(osc) ~ sin²(L/E)**

Event display courtesy of µBooNP

This is all you see in your detector: we never see the neutrino directly!



You identify the final state particles to **infer neutrino flavor:** count how many v_e and v_{μ}

> From the reconstructed particles' momenta you **infer neutrino energy:** P(osc) ~ sin²(L/E)

Cross-section models relate measured particles to (un-measurable) neutrinos, need to correctly predict the v-N interaction make-up as a function of energy

How does this feeds back into neutrino "new" physics? CP Violation @ long baseline

Type of Uncertainty	$ u_e/\bar{\nu}_e $ Candidate Relative Uncertainty (%)
Super-K Detector Model	1.5
Pion Final State Interaction and Rescattering Model	1.6
Neutrino Production and Interaction Model Constrained by ND280 Data	2.7
Electron Neutrino and Antineutrino Interaction Model	3.0
Nucleon Removal Energy in Interaction Model	3.7
Modeling of Neutral Current Interactions with Single γ Production	1.5
Modeling of Other Neutral Current Interactions	0.2
Total Systematic Uncertainty	6.0

- T2K, <u>Nature 2020</u>

"uncertainty on the v_e and \overline{v}_e cross-sections... [is] the 2nd largest single source of systematic uncertainty in the CP asymmetry measurement."



MANCHESTER 1824 The University of Manchester

17 23/08/21 Elena Gramellini | elena.gramellini@manchester.ac.uk

How does this feeds back into neutrino "new" physics? CP Violation @ long baseline

Type of Uncertainty	$ u_e/ar{ u}_e $ Candidate Relative Uncertainty (%)
Super-K Detector Model	1.5
Pion Final State Interaction and Rescattering Model	1.6
Neutrino Production and Interaction Model Constrained by ND280 Data	2.7
Electron Neutrino and Antineutrino Interaction Model	3.0
Nucleon Removal Energy in Interaction Model	3.7
Modeling of Neutral Current Interactions with Single γ Production	1.5
Modeling of Other Neutral Current Interactions	0.2
Total Systematic Uncertainty	6.0

T2K, <u>Nature 2020</u>

"uncertainty on the v_e and \overline{v}_e cross-sections... [is] the 2nd largest single source of systematic uncertainty in the CP asymmetry measurement."

DUNE/HyperK/JUNO CP violation uncertainty budget is extremely stringent. We need to do better than this!



How does this feeds back into neutrino "new" physics? Anomaly hunting @ short baseline



 v_e Cross Section Systematics



Neutrinos do not interact in a vacuum: they scattering off complex nuclear and atomic systems





e

The nucleon is not at rest!

Neutrinos do not interact in a vacuum: they scattering off complex nuclear and atomic systems

- Local Fermi Gas





e



Neutrinos do not interact in a vacuum: they scattering off complex nuclear and atomic systems

Strongly interacting nucleons

 → alteration of electroweak couplings (modeled w/RPA or more complex models <u>link</u>)
 → rich physics effects for forward-going charged lepton

Interactions with correlated pair of nucleons:

- \rightarrow Meson Exchange Current (MEC)
- \rightarrow Short Range Correlations





Neutrinos do not interact in a vacuum: they scattering off complex nuclear and atomic systems





A word on charge lepton scattering



Electron scattering experiments enormous advantage: the energy of the incoming lepton is KNOWN. Data from these experiments helps us constrain "the vector" portion of the V-A interaction common to neutrinos! Many contributions <u>link</u>, <u>link</u>, <u>link</u>, <u>link</u>



A word on charge lepton scattering



Electron scattering experiments enormous advantage: the energy of the incoming lepton is KNOWN. Data from these experiments helps us constrain "the vector" portion of the V-A interaction common to neutrinos! To probe the axial nucleon form factor we can only use neutrinos... <u>talk on Tue!</u>



MANCHESTER 1824 The University of Manchester

It all plays together

Continuous improvement of our understanding neutrino interactions from the interplay between model development (theory & implementation) and cross section results.





It all plays together



Aim to perform measurements in a form useful for model building, and produce predictions easy to translate into usable observables





The University of Manchester

This week for WG2

Experimental efforts: \rightarrow 14 talks

Theory inputs $\rightarrow 8$ talks

Generator developments \rightarrow 4 talks + 5 at the boundary with theory + 2 at the boundary with experiment

Joint session WG1-WG2: Constraining Xsec systematics / Xsec tuning → 6 talks



This week for WG2: Schedule (please check the indico here)

	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat
8:30-10:30		Plenary Experimental results SF from e-scattering			Generators	
11:00-13:00	YOU ARE		Poster session		DIS	Closeout
14:00-16:00	Plenary XS future experiments theory and event gen	Theory			Experiment	
16:30-18:30		WG1-WG2 joint Axial form factor			Experiment	



WG2 Plenaries

This afternoon: Cross Section for future experiments -- Laura Munteanu

Modeling neutrino-nucleus cross section: theory and generators -- Natalie Yvonne Jachowicz

Tomorrow Morning:

Overview of cross section experimental results: Argon-Based technologies -- Sophie Berkman

Overview of cross section experimental results: Non Argon-Based technologies -- Raquel Castillo

Determination of the Argon spectral function from (e,e'p) data -- Omar Benhar



In v interaction physics much needs to be done...



In v interaction physics much needs to be done...

... happy workshopping everyone!



Thank you!

Special thanks for your help in preparing this talk: Adi Ashkenazi

