

# News on MQXF magnet assembly

S. Izquierdo, J. Ferradas, P. Quassolo, A. Milanese, N. Lusa, S. Triquet,S. Straarup, M. Guinchard, S. Mugnier, K. Kandemir... and many more. On behalf of the full MQXF team!

Previous session: https://indico.cern.ch/event/1177429/

CERN, 14 December 2022



# CONTENTS

- MQXFB:
  - MQXFB02 Cold test results (Focus on mechanics)
  - Highlights on MQXFBMT4 magnet assembly
- MQXFS:
  - MQXFS7g magnet assembly.
  - MQXFS8 magnet assembly.



## CONTENTS

#### • MQXFB:

- MQXFB02 Cold test results (Focus on mechanics)
- Highlights on MQXFBMT4 magnet assembly
- MQXFS:
  - MQXFS7g magnet assembly.
  - MQXFS8 magnet assembly.



#### MQXFB02 - Cold tests

**100% of the mechanical instrumentation** (Optical for coils and Electrical for rods) is **working well** at 1.9 K and during the powering phases.

See https://indico.cern.ch/event/1213692/



- Larger winding pole
   unloading in LE and RE
   measurement locations.
   Consistent with expectations
   (larger coil size / pre-load in the middle of the coils).
- Target pre-load attained.
   Unloading at ~ 0.9 0.95 I<sub>nom</sub>

ERN



M. Guinchard, S. Mugnier and K. Kandemir

#### MQXFB02 - Cold tests

• **Axial rods** behaviour at **cold in line** with **MQXFBP3**.

		Δ Rod Strain CD	Δ Rod Strain 16.23 kA	Δ Rod Strain CD	Δ Rod Strain 16.23 kA
		FEM	FEM	[με]	[με]
		[με]	[με]		
Magnet	MQXFBP1		35	452	70
	MQXFBP2	670		461	55
	MQXFBP3	670		517	75
	MQXFB02			571	~ 75

#### Comparison to FE model:

Regarding the magnet cool-down, final rod tension is 15 % lower than expected.

Magnet globally behaves as iron. Rods increase their tension at cold.

What could help to come closer to measurements? Lower friction or lower long. stiffness of the structure.

During energization: larger elongation than predicted by the numerical model. Still, this is very low, in terms of electromagnetic forces we see 5% of  $F_z$  during powering vs 2% we expect to see based on ANSYS.

What could help to come closer to measurements? Lower friction or lower long. stiffness of the structure.



# CONTENTS

- MQXFB:
  - MQXFB02 Cold test results (Focus on mechanics)
  - Highlights on MQXFBMT4 magnet assembly
- MQXFS:
  - MQXFS7g magnet assembly.
  - MQXFS8 magnet assembly.



# MQXFBMT4-Assembly

- **MQXFBMT4 assembled** with **3** (non-virgin) **coils** from **MQXFBP1** and **CR127** (Virgin).
- Shimming plan defined based on the virgin coil measurements for all coils, targeting for the best geometrical compensation at cryogenic temperature. We assume a consistent decrease in size for the new coil.







- Coilpack centering performed successfully (all operations went smoothly).
- Magnet pre-loading (as well) smooth up to the insertion of the 13.7 mm loading keys.
- Then, **bladder failure** occurred at **390 bar**, when preparing for the insertion of the last 13.8 mm keys.
  - All 13.7 mm keys were in place at the moment of the failure. All bladder circuits were connected ensuring continuity along the magnet longitudinal axis.
  - Failure mode indicate that the **bladder** was **out** of the **masters** (not supported). Positioning was checked before starting the loading. A second bladder was replaced preventively for the same reason.



CERN







2022-Nov-29

- Fast mechanical transient as a result of the sudden (and local) drop in pressure.
  - Increase in comp. az. strain for CR107 and CR104 (diametrically opposite). Inverse effect for CR127 and CR105. Nothing seen on the RE side, covered by a different bladder.
  - The strain/spread among coils increases (up to a factor of 2 in the MI station) after the failure.



M. Guinchard, S. Mugnier, K. Kandemir

- Non-Conformity report for the bladder failure in EDMS 2803022.
- **Two** bladder **cycles** up to **390 bar** performed upon restart of the pre-loading operations. **Half** of the created **imbalance** among coils could be **recovered** (see previous slide).
- Loading completed with the insertion of 13.8 mm keys. Magnet is within specifications except for the coil peak stress. The latter happens in CR107, which has already seen larger stress values during MQXFBP1 assembly and cold test.
  - Average shell stress (three stations): 58 MPa

- Target:  $+58 \pm 6$  MPa
- Average coil stress (winding pole, three stations): -71 MPa
- **Peak coil stress** (winding pole, three stations): -107 MPa

- Target  $-70 \pm 10$  MPa
- Target -100 MPa



■ Warm, > 24 hours after loading

• Max. during bladders



- Improved alignment of the mechanical structure following the lessons learnt from MQXFBP3 (already implemented in MQXFB02 and MQXFBMT3).
- View from the top (alignment in the horizontal axis):





## CONTENTS

- MQXFB:
  - MQXFB02 Cold test results (Focus on mechanics)
  - Highlights on MQXFBMT4 magnet assembly
- MQXFS:
  - MQXFS7g magnet assembly.
  - MQXFS8 magnet assembly.



## Before MQXFS7g...



Data: Salvador Ferradas Troitino, Franco Mangiarotti

- Pre-load at cold  $\approx 140$  MPa
- As expected, increase on pole azimuthal stress of 20 MPa





# MQXFS7g-Assembly

- New iteration on MQXFS7, **increasing** by **0.1 mm** the thickness of the loading keys (to 14 mm)
- Tubular **bladders re-used** from MQXFS8 (**flattened**).
- Bladder failure at 400 bar, when inserting the last 14 mm key. Contrary to MQXFBMT4, one key missing all along the magnet length.
- Measured peak az. stress ~ 145 MPa. Large coil imbalance upon completion of the pre-load.
- NCR for the bladder failure in progress.



# MQXFS7g-Assembly

- In this case, the bladder failure mode seems to be related with a potential weakness induced by the re-flattening of already used bladders. Note that bladder stroke in the cooling hole channels is large.
- Furthermore, 14 mm keys are obtained by shimming 13.5 mm keys with additional 0.5 mm.
   Difficult key insertion. Time under pressure was relatively long.
- For long MQXFB magnets, bladders are re-used only for disassembly. In this case, they are not relaminated. Instead, thinner shims are used.
- Failure mechanism has been identified for MQXFBMT4 and MQXFS7g. Corrective actions will be adopted to minimize the risk of failure in the future.





# CONTENTS

- MQXFB:
  - MQXFB02 Cold test results (Focus on mechanics)
  - Highlights on MQXFBMT4 magnet assembly
- MQXFS:
  - MQXFS7g magnet assembly.
  - MQXFS8 magnet assembly.



# MQXFS8 – Assembly

····· CR115 FBG

CR210 FBG т

- CR210 SG T

Time

14:30 14:45 15:00 15:15 15:30 15:45 16:00 16:15 16:30

Time

- SHL Th

SHR Th

SHT Th

SHB Th

4020

- **MQXFS8** assembled with **2 non-virgin** and **2 virgin** coils.
- All new tubular bladders used. Same procedure as MQXFB.
- **Centering** and **loading** went extremely **smoothly**.
- Pre-loading within specifications (rods not shown for simplicity).





125 µm ground insulation 75 µm shimming Midplane shimming



Coils

CR116 FBG

CR212 SG

T

2022-Oct-27

— CR116\_SG







# Thank you for your attention!



## ANNEX



#### **MQXFB02: Coil Size**



Average (Including ends) Average (Excluding ends) Shim **CR125** -39 -7 (0) **CR125** 50 Azimuthal excess L+R **CR123** -51 -16 (0) **CR123** 50 [µm] **CR121** -5 27 (+50) **CR121** 0 **CR124** -117 -100 (-100) **CR124** 150





#### MQXFB02 -250 um shimming plan

Azimuthal size and expected stress variation along the length (w.r.t. average)



Distance from the LE end, mm



- "Tricky coilpack". **FUJI tests confirmed** the expected **mechanical contact** with the **collars**:
  - Larger contact towards the mid-plane for the virgin coil (CR 127). For the non-virgin coils, contact mostly towards the winding pole.
  - The geometry of the new coil is "round". The three tested coils have the typical "squarish" geometry after test.





\*Same behavior observed for MQXFS8 magnet (2 virgin / 2 non-virgin coils)

#### MQXFB02 - Cold tests

Quick recap: cold-mass welding preparation
 Gain in azimuthal pole stress during welding: [0 MPa -5 MPa]





#### MQXFB02 - Cold tests

Quick recap: cold-mass welding preparation





#### **Bladder pressure**

2 bladder cycles up to the same pressure to try to recover the imbalance created by the bladder failure



When the bladder failed, 13.7 mm keys were placed in all quadrants. We were just creating the necessary gap to introduce 13.8 mm.





#### **Coil strain**



LE - ε<sub>z</sub>









The bladder failure effect (happening in the LE side) is seen in the LE and MI stations. In these two stations, the failure creates an imbalance among coils. CR127 is the most affected (virgin).



#### **Shell strain**



LE -  $\varepsilon_z$ 









#### **Coil stress**



LE -  $\sigma_z$ 









Transitory peak above 100 MPa for CR107, which saw already larger stress levels during MQXFBP1 assembly.



#### **Shell stress**



LE -  $\sigma_z$ 













#### Loading: Coil behavior during bladder bursting



 $\rightarrow$  Peak strain during bladder bursting: -768  $\mu$ m/m







- Two bladder cycles up to 390 Bar performed upon restart of the pre-loading operations. Half of the created imbalance among coils could be recovered.
- Loading completed with the insertion of 13.8 mm keys. Magnet is within specifications except for the coil peak stress. The latter happens in CR107, which has already seen larger stress values during MQXFBP1 assembly and cold test.
  - Average shell stress (three stations): 58 MPa
  - Average coil stress (winding pole, three stations): -71 MPa
  - Peak coil stress (winding pole, three stations): -107 MPa Target -100 MPa
- As in MQXFBP1 and MQXFBMT2, a large drift in the FBG strain measurements follows after finishing the magnet pre-load. Understanding on-going, but drift and absolute strain values are considered non-critical.
  - In MQXFBMT2 it was proven that such a drift only happened for FBG (SGs, also present, were stable). Once unloaded, the offset remained in the strain readings.
  - Drift does not happen after bladder failure in short models. Inhomogeneous FBG loading along the length?
  - Interestingly, both in BP1 and MT4 the strain stabilizes at the same value. To be continued...

- Target:  $+58 \pm 6$  MPa

- Target  $-70 \pm 10$  MPa

# Before MQXFS7g...

160





160

C114

Data: Salvador Ferradas Troitino, Franco Mangiarotti

- Pre-load at cold  $\approx 140$  MPa
- As expected, increase on pole azimuthal stress of 20 MPa



C113

HC PROJEC

#### Rods





Fig. 7. Strain gauge measurements during magnet powering. (a) Comparison of measured delta pole azimuthal stress: average (continuous lines) and variation across the quadrants (dashed lines). (b) Delta azimuthal stress on the shell. The auxiliary y-axis on the right shows the radius variation extracted from the strain. (c) Delta stress on the rods, along with the equivalent total coil elongation on the auxiliary y-axis.

#### **MQXFB** rods

Rod relative behaviour during powering: Difference between FEM and measurements is roughly 2.5 fold. In previous studies, a change of friction coefficient of coil to collar surface from 0.16 to 0.13 led to a change in delta rod stress from 4.4 MPa to 6.9 MPa.

Changing the friction coefficient in the current reference model between 0.2 and 0.3 doesn't seem to lead to a large change in delta rod stress. A coefficient of 0.16 seems already low (laminated aluminium, polyimide surface).

Instead, a variation of the axial elastic modulus in orthotropic approximation was tried for the laminated yoke. The modulus had to be lowered down to 40% (90 GPa) of the original in order to match the measured values.



Figure 22: Rods vs normalized current squared: comparison of the measured values and computed values. 3D FE models are computed with 0.3 friction and varying axial elastic modulus using orthotropic yoke material approximation. The rod force at nominal current is 1.17 MN; large portion of it is held by the friction.

Tabl	<b>e</b> 4	l:	$\operatorname{Rod}$	compariso	on of	differ	rent	M	QXF	m	lag-
$\mathbf{nets}$	$\operatorname{at}$	nc	omina	l current.	Thin	and	thic	k r	efer '	to	$_{\mathrm{the}}$
				MOND	D 1						

lamination type. MQXFB values are extrapolated.

					-	
Magnet type	$\Delta \varepsilon$ (µe)	$\Delta \sigma$ (MPa)	$\Delta F_{\rm rod}$ (kN)	$\Delta F_{\text{axial}}$ (kN)	$\Delta F_{\text{axial}}/F_{\text{em,nom}}$ (%)	$\Delta l \ (\mu m)$
MQXFS thick	56	4.4	4.5	18	1.5	84
MQXFS thin	85	6.7	6.8	27	2.3	127
MQXFA	64	14	13	52	4.4	290
MQXFB	79	17	16	64	5.5	590



#### Rods

	м	QXFB - ROE	S POWERI	NG	_
S	XG	YG	ZG	EZ	SZ
0.00E+00	9.72E-02	9.72E-02	3.76E+00	1.40E-03	2.93E+08
2.48E-02	1.22E-01	9.72E-02	3.76E+00	1.31E-03	2.75E+08
4.95E-02	1.22E-01	1.22E-01	3.76E+00	1.22E-03	2.56E+08
	Ave	1.31E-03	2.75E+08		
				1308	275
				μstr	MPa

MQXFB - RODS COLD						
SZ						
6E+08						
7E+08						
9E+08						
7E+08						
267						
ЛРа						

			MQXFB	- RODS	_	_
	S	XG	YG	ZG	EZ	SZ
	0.00E+00	9.72E-02	9.72E-02	3.76E+00	6.23E-04	1.20E+08
ſ	2.48E-02	1.22E-01	9.72E-02	3.76E+00	6.05E-04	1.17E+08
ſ	4.95E-02	1.22E-01	1.22E-01	3.76E+00	5.87E-04	1.13E+08
ſ	Average				6.05E-04	1.17E+08
					605	117
1					μstr	MPa

DELTA POWERING
35

DELTA CD
669



#### **MQXFS – Experience from short magnets**

- As expected from the model, the rods only see 2 % for the electromagnetic forces during powering
- Small differences observed in between the two types of structures:
  - Structure 1&2 (thick laminations, large gap coil to collar): 1.4 % of F<sub>emz</sub> in the rods, 0.16 friction coefficient needed to match the measurements
  - Structure 3 (thin laminations, small gap coil to collar): 2.2 % of F<sub>emz</sub> in the rods, 0.13 friction coefficient needed to
    match the measurements
- Measurements confirm that coil elongation is independent of the pre-load level, since it depends on the system stiffness. The effect of the pre-load is to increase the contact pressure coil to pole in the end region.





G. Vallone, et. al., Mechanical analysis of the short model magnets for the Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn low-beta quadrupole MQXF, *IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond.*, vol. 26, no. 4, 2016.