

LABORATORY OF FUNDAMENTAL AND APPLIED PHYSICS CADI AYYAD UNIVERSITY, SAFI, MOROCCO



PROBING LIGHT CHARGED HIGGS BOSONS IN THE 2HDM TYPE-II WITH VECTOR-LIKE QUARKS

MOHAMMED BOUKIDI

IN COLLABORATION WITH: R. BENBRIK AND S.MORETTI

arXiv:2211.07259 [hep-ph]

LHC HWG FOR BSM HIGGS (WG3) SUBGROUP MEETING

November 16, 2022

OUTLINE

1 MOTIVATION

2 FRAMEWORK

- VLQ PARAMETRIZATION
- 2HDM PARAMETRIZATION
- CHARGED HIGGS CONTRIBUTION TO FLAVOR PHYSICS

3 NUMERICAL RESULTS

- 2HDM+(*T*)
- 2HDM+(*TB*)
- BENCHMARK POINTS

4 CONCLUSION

MOTIVATION

- \star LH and RH same $SU(2)_L$ transformation.
- \star VLQs don't get their mass from the Higgs: $m\psi\bar{\psi}$.
- **VLQs can mix with SM quarks and 2HDM Higgses**.
- ***** VLQs are the simplest type of colored fermions still experimentally allowed.

\bigstar	VLQs co	uld be sin	glet, doub	let or triple	t under SU	$(2)_{L}$.
------------	---------	------------	------------	---------------	--------------	-------------

Component fields	T	B	TB	XT	BY	TBY	XTB
$U(1)_Y$	2/3	-1/3	1/6	7/6	-5/6	-1/3	2/3
$SU(2)_L$	1	1	2	2	2	3	3
$SU(3)_C$	3	3	3	3	3	3	3

* VLQs have the electric charges: $Q_T = \frac{2}{3}, Q_B = -\frac{1}{3}, Q_X = \frac{5}{3}$, and $Q_Y = -\frac{4}{3}$.

VLQ PARAMETRIZATION

★ In the Higgs basis, the Yukawa Lagrangian can be written as:

$$-\mathcal{L} \supset y^{u} \bar{Q}_{L}^{0} \tilde{H}_{2} u_{R}^{0} + y^{d} \bar{Q}_{L}^{0} H_{1} d_{R}^{0} + M_{u}^{0} \bar{u}_{L}^{0} u_{R}^{0} + M_{d}^{0} \bar{d}_{L}^{0} d_{R}^{0} + h.c.$$

 \star When only the top quark "mixes" with *T*:

$$\begin{pmatrix} t_{L,R} \\ T_{L,R} \end{pmatrix} = U_{L,R}^u \begin{pmatrix} t_{L,R}^0 \\ T_{L,R}^0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_{L,R}^u & -\sin \theta_{L,R}^u e^{i\phi_u} \\ \sin \theta_{L,R}^u e^{-i\phi_u} & \cos \theta_{L,R}^u \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t_{L,R}^0 \\ T_{L,R}^0 \end{pmatrix} ,$$

☆ In the weak eigenstate basis the diagonalisation of the mass matrices makes the Lagrangian of the third generation and heavy quark mass terms such that:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} \;\; = \;\; - \left(\, \bar{t}_L^0 \;\; \bar{T}_L^0 \, \right) \begin{pmatrix} y_{33}^u \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \;\; y_{34}^u \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \\ y_{43}^u \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \;\; M^0 \; \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t_R^0 \\ T_R^0 \end{pmatrix} \\ - \left(\, \bar{b}_L^0 \;\; \bar{B}_L^0 \, \right) \begin{pmatrix} y_{33}^d \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \;\; y_{34}^d \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \\ y_{43}^d \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \;\; M^0 \; \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_R^0 \\ B_R^0 \end{pmatrix} + \text{h.c.}, \end{split}$$

 M^0 is a bare mass and the y_{ij} 's are Yukawa couplings. While $y_{43} = 0$, for the singlet and $y_{34} = 0$, for the doublet. ★ Using standard techniques of diagonalisation, the mixing matrices are obtained by

$$U_L^q \,\mathcal{M}^q \,(U_R^q)^{\dagger} = \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{diag}}^q \,,$$

★ Using the above equation and depending on the VLQs representation one can find:

$$\tan \theta_R^q = \frac{m_q}{m_Q} \tan \frac{\theta_L^q}{m_L} \quad \text{(singlet)},$$
 $\tan \theta_L^q = \frac{m_q}{m_Q} \tan \frac{\theta_R^q}{m_R} \quad \text{(doublet)},$

2HDM PARAMETRIZATION

 \star The most general scalar potential of the 2HDM :

$$V(\Phi_{1}\Phi_{2}) = \boldsymbol{m_{11}^{2}} \Phi_{1}^{\dagger} \Phi_{1} + \boldsymbol{m_{22}^{2}} \Phi_{2}^{\dagger} \Phi_{2} - \left[\boldsymbol{m_{12}^{2}} \Phi_{1}^{\dagger} \Phi_{2} + \text{h.c.}\right] + \frac{\boldsymbol{\lambda_{1}}}{2} \left(\Phi_{1}^{\dagger} \Phi_{1}\right)^{2} + \frac{\boldsymbol{\lambda_{2}}}{2} \left(\Phi_{2}^{\dagger} \Phi_{2}\right)^{2} + \boldsymbol{\lambda_{3}} \left(\Phi_{1}^{\dagger} \Phi_{1}\right) \left(\Phi_{2}^{\dagger} \Phi_{2}\right) + \boldsymbol{\lambda_{4}} \left(\Phi_{1}^{\dagger} \Phi_{2}\right) \left(\Phi_{2}^{\dagger} \Phi_{1}\right) + \left\{\frac{\boldsymbol{\lambda_{5}}}{2} \left(\Phi_{1}^{\dagger} \Phi_{2}\right)^{2} + \left[\boldsymbol{\lambda_{6}} \left(\Phi_{1}^{\dagger} \Phi_{1}\right) + \boldsymbol{\lambda_{7}} \left(\phi_{2}^{\dagger} \Phi_{2}\right)\right] \Phi_{1}^{\dagger} \Phi_{2} + \text{h.c.}\right\}$$

with :

$$\Phi_{1,2} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{1,2}^+ + i\varphi_{1,2}^+ \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(v_{1,2} + \rho_{1,2} + i\eta_{1,2} \right) \end{pmatrix}$$

* Adopting the *CP*-conserving, the 10 independent parameters $(m_{11}^2, m_{22}^2, m_{12}^2, \lambda_{1,...,7})$ are assumed to be real. * \mathbb{Z}_2 (Softly broken): Avoid the tree-level FCNCs $\implies \lambda_6 = \lambda_7 = 0$.

★ After EWSB \rightarrow 7 free parameters:

★ 4 Scalar physical states: m_h , m_H , m_A , $m_{H^{\pm}}$.

★ 2 mixing angels α , β , and $m_{12'}^2$ (with $\tan \beta = \frac{v_2}{v_1}$ and $v^2 = v_1^2 + v_2^2 = (246 \text{ GeV})^2$).

ALIGNMENT LIMIT

 \star In the Higgs-basis the alignment limit is most clearly exhibited :

$$H_1 = \begin{pmatrix} H_1 \\ H_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\beta & \sin\beta \\ -\sin\beta & \cos\beta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_1 \\ \Phi_2 \end{pmatrix} \implies \langle H_1^0 \rangle = v/\sqrt{2}, \ \langle H_2^0 \rangle = 0.$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v_i. \end{pmatrix}$$

 \star The 2 physical Higgs states *h* et *H* are as follows:

$$H = (\sqrt{2}\text{Re}H_1^0 - v)\cos(\beta - \alpha) + \sqrt{2}\text{Re}H_2^0\sin(\beta - \alpha)$$

$$h = (\sqrt{2}\text{Re}H_1^0 - v)\sin(\beta - \alpha) + \sqrt{2}\text{Re}H_2^0\cos(\beta - \alpha)$$

- ★ $\cos(\beta \alpha) \rightarrow 0, h \equiv H_{SM}$. (Standard hierarchy) [J. Bernon, J. F. Gunion, H. E. Haber, Y. Jiang and S. Kraml, Phys. Rev. D 92 (2015) no.7, 075004].
- ★ $\sin(\beta \alpha) \rightarrow 0, H \equiv H_{SM}$. (Inverted hierarchy) [J. Bernon, J. F. Gunion, H. E. Haber, Y. Jiang and S. Kraml, Phys. Rev. D 93 (2016) no.3, 035027].

with $\langle \Phi_i \rangle$

CONSTRAINTS

Theoretical	Experimental
 ★ Unitarity constraints require a variety of scattering process to be unitary: specifically, the tree-level 2-to-2 body scattering matrix involving scalar-scalar, gauge–gauge and/or scalar-gauge initial and/or final states must have eigenvalues <i>e_i</i>'s such that <i>e_i</i> < 8π. ★ Perturbativity constraints impose the following condition on the quartic couplings of the scalar potential: λ_i < 8π ★ Vacuum stability constraints require the potential be bounded from below and positive in any direction of the fields Φ_i, consequently, the parameter space must satisfy the following conditions: λ₁ > 0, λ₂ > 0, λ₃ > -√λ₁λ₂, λ₃ + λ₄ - λ₅ > -√λ₁λ₂. 2HDMC-1.8.0 (D. Eriksson, J. Rathsman and O. Stal [0902.0851]) 	 ★ EWPOs, implemented through the EW oblique parameters <i>S</i>, <i>T</i>, we require Δ<i>χ</i>²(<i>S^{VLQ}</i> + <i>S^{2HDM}</i>, <i>T^{VLQ}</i> + <i>T^{2HDM}</i>) ≤ 6.18. ★ SM-like Higgs boson discovery: an agreement between selected points in parameter space and the current measurements of the properties of the discovered Higgs boson at 125 GeV is enforced by means of the publicly available cod HiggsSignals-2.6.1 [2012.09197] (P. Bechtle et al). ★ Non-SM-like Higgs boson exclusions: to check the parameter space points against the exclusion limits from null Higgs boson searches at LEP, Tevatron and, in particular, the LHC, we apply the public code HiggsBounds-5.10.1 [2006.06007] (P. Bechtle et al). ★ B-physics observables are tested against data by resorting to the public code SuperIso_v4.1 (F. Mahmoudi [0808.3144]), (mainly <i>B</i> → <i>X_s</i>γ, <i>B_{s,d}</i> → μ⁺μ⁻ and <i>B_s</i> → τν).

CHARGED HIGGS CONTRIBUTION TO FLAVOR PHYSICS

★ The Lagrange density which defines the interactions of the charged Higgs boson with third generation of fermions can be written as:

$$-\mathcal{L}_{H^+} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{v} \overline{U} \left(\kappa_U m_U V_{CKM} P_L - \kappa_D m_D V_{CKM} P_R \right) DH^+ + h.c.$$
(1)

where $P_{L/R} = (1 \pm \gamma^5)/2$ are the chiral projection operators, *U* and *D* are the up- and down-type quarks with masses m_U and m_D while V_{CKM} represents the relevant Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa matrix element.

* For the 2HDM-II, 2HDM-II+*T*, and 2HDM-II+*TB* cases, the couplings κ_U and κ_D take the values:

Models	κ_U	κ_D	
2HDM-II	\coteta	- aneta	
2HDM-II + T	$c_R c_L \coteta - s_R c_L rac{y_T imes v}{\sqrt{2}m_t}$	$-\taneta$	
2HDM-II + TB	$c_R^{u2} \coteta$	$-c_R^{d\ 2} aneta$ $ aneta$	

NUMERICAL RESULTS



• For $4.5 \le \tan \beta \le 6$ and with $m_T = 1300$, $y_T = 12$ and $\sin \theta_L = 0.002$, the limit on $m_{H^{\pm}}$ can be reduced to something as light as $m_{W^{\pm}}$.

The full analytic calculation of VLQ contribution to the $b \to s \gamma$ transitions is in progress



• In the limit $\sin \theta_L = 0$, we recover the 2HDM framework.



 $\bigstar \mathcal{L}_{H^+} = -\frac{gm_T}{\sqrt{2}M_W}\overline{T}(\cot\beta Z_{Tb}^L P_L + \tan\beta Z_{Tb}^R P_R)bH^+ + H.c., \text{ with } Z_{Tb}^L = s_L^u \text{ and } Z_{Tb}^R = 0.$

For more details on the *T* decay channels see Abdesslam's talk [A. Arhrib, R. Benbrik, MB, R. Enberg, B. Manaut, S. Moretti, L. Panizzi and S. Taj] Work in progress

NOVEMBER 16, 2022

LHC HWG For BSM Higgs (WG3) subgroup meeting



• The signal $(\tau \tau \nu \nu + X)$ can enjoy a cross section of the order 1–8 fb for $m_T < 1200$.



• The signal (ttbb + X) can exceed 10 fb for $m_T < 1000$ GeV and for small $\tan \beta$ values.



NOVEMBER 16, 2022

LHC HWG For BSM Higgs (WG3) subgroup meeting



• The signal ttbb + X could reach values up to 100 fb for medium $\tan \beta$ and for $m_T \leq 1000$ GeV.

BENCHMARK POINTS

Danamatana	2HDM-II+T		2HDN	2HDM-II+TB			
1 arameters	BP_1	BP_2	BP_1	BP_2			
$\overline{m_h}$	125	125	125	125			
m_H	208.74	451.66	593.30	582.40			
m_A	186.93	565.47	582.15	574.28			
$m_{H\pm}$	143.95	464.90	596.13	647.32			
aneta	1.42	0.95	4.43	5.09			
m_T	942.33	1013.28	902.07	1595.80			
m_B	_	_	913.55	1602.35			
$\sin(heta)_L^u$	-0.0272	0.0520	0.0141	-0.0037			
$\sin(heta)_L^d$	—	—	-0.0009	-0.0003			
$\sin(\theta^u)_R$	—	_	0.0737	-0.0345			
$\sin(\theta^d)_R^{\sim}$	—	—	-0.1735	-0.0966			
y_T	-4.92	3.66					
$\mathcal{BR}(H^{\pm})$	$^{\pm} \rightarrow XY$) ir	n %					
$\mathcal{BR}(H^+ \to t\bar{b})$	0.21	98.34	98.01	96.12			
$\mathcal{BR}(H^+ \to \tau \nu)$	83.97	0.88	1.79	3.03			
$\mathcal{BR}(T)$	$\rightarrow XY$) in	%					
$\mathcal{BR}(T \to W^+ b)$	36.86	29.33	13.59	5.21			
$\mathcal{BR}(T \to Zt)$	16.62	13.39	1.09	0.32			
$\mathcal{BR}(T \to ht)$	20.67	16.19	1.38	0.35			
$\mathcal{BR}(T \to Ht)$	4.74	13.44	—	—			
$\mathcal{BR}(T \to At)$	3.72	7.19	—	—			
$\mathcal{BR}(T \to H^+ b)$	17.39	20.45	83.94	94.12			
Γ in GeV							
$\Gamma(T)$	0.55	3.15	53.43	238.85			
σ [fb]							
$\overline{\sigma_{T\overline{T}} \times \mathcal{BR}(T \to H^+ b)^2 \times \mathcal{BR}(H^+ \to \tau \nu)^2}$	0.00	1.44	0.02	0.00			
$\sigma_{T\overline{T}} \times \mathcal{BR}(T \to H^+ b)^2 \times \mathcal{BR}(H^+ \to t\overline{b})^2$	1.23	0.00	51.18	1.08			

LHC HWG For BSM Higgs (WG3) subgroup meeting

M.BOUKIDI

CONCLUSION

• Contrary to the SM+VLQ, the \mathcal{BR} of new top T may decay into $H^{\pm}b$.

- ◆ The presence of Vector-Like Quarks with quantum numbers identical to the top-quark ones alongside the 2-Higgs Doublet Model Type-II allow the charged Higgs boson H[±] to be very light.
- ◆ Search for new exotic quark can be done via charged Higgs.

THANK YOU FOR LISTENING!