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## Notation and Set-Up

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Invariance: } \varphi(\mathbf{g} \bullet \mathbf{x})=\varphi(\mathbf{x}) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Labelled Dataset

## $n$ features $\quad k$ labels

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { sojdues } m \\
& \left(x_{1}^{(1)}, x_{1}^{(2)}, \ldots, x_{1}^{(n)} ; \quad y_{1}^{(1)}, \ldots, y_{1}^{(k)}\right. \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{cccc}
x_{1}^{(1)}, x_{1}^{(2)}, \ldots, x_{1}^{(n)} & y_{1}^{(1)}, \ldots, x_{1}^{(k)}, & y_{2}^{(1)}, \ldots, y_{2}^{(k)} \\
x_{2}^{(1)}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{2} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
x_{m}^{(1)}, x_{m}^{(2)}, \ldots, x_{m}^{(n)} ; & y_{m}^{(1)}, \ldots, y_{m}^{(k)}
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

III
$\left\{\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}\right\} \equiv\left\{\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{1}}, \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{2}}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{m}}\right\}$ where $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}} \in \mathbf{V}^{\mathbf{n}}$
$\left\{\mathbf{y}_{\text {i }}\right\}=\left\{\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{1}}, \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{2}}, \ldots, \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{m}}\right\}=\underbrace{\left\{\vec{\varphi}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right)\right\}}_{\begin{array}{c}\text { Orale } \\ \text { (learned or postulated) }\end{array}}$

## Notation and Set-Up

Invariance: $\varphi(\mathbf{g} \bullet \mathbf{x})=\varphi(\mathbf{x})$

## Labelled Dataset

$n$ features $\quad k$ labels
$m$ samples

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
x_{1}^{(1)}, x_{1}^{(2)}, \ldots, x_{1}^{(n)} ; & y_{1}^{(1)}, \ldots, y_{1}^{(k)} \\
x_{2}^{(1)}, x_{2}^{(2)}, \ldots, x_{2}^{(n)} ; & y_{2}^{(1)}, \ldots, y_{2}^{(k)} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
x_{m}^{(1)}, x_{m}^{(2)}, \ldots, x_{m}^{(n)} ; & y_{m}^{(1)}, \ldots, y_{m}^{(k)}
\end{array}\right.
$$

III
$\left\{\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}\right\} \equiv\left\{\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{1}}, \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{2}}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{m}}\right\}$ where $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}} \in \mathbf{V}^{\mathbf{n}}$
$\left\{\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{i}}\right\}_{\text {a }}=\left\{\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{1}}, \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{2}}, \ldots, \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{m}}\right\}=\underbrace{\left\{\vec{\varphi}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right)\right\}}_{\text {Oracle }}$
(learned or postulated)

## Transformation

Transformation on feature space:

$$
\mathbf{g}: \mathbf{x}_{i} \rightarrow \mathbf{x}_{i}^{\prime}
$$

Transformation is a symmetry if:

$$
\varphi\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{\prime}\right) \equiv \varphi\left(g\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right)\right)=\varphi\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right)
$$

Goal: Find transformations $\mathrm{g}\left(\mathrm{x}_{i}\right)$ which preserve the oracle $\varphi$.

## Notation and Set-Up

Invariance: $\varphi(\mathbf{g} \bullet \mathbf{x})=\varphi(\mathbf{x})$

## Labelled Dataset

## $n$ features

$k$ labels
$m$ samples
$\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}x_{1}^{(1)}, x_{1}^{(2)}, \ldots, x_{1}^{(n)} ; & y_{1}^{(1)}, \ldots, y_{1}^{(k)} \\ x_{2}^{(1)}, x_{2}^{(2)}, \ldots, x_{2}^{(n)} ; & y_{2}^{(1)}, \ldots, y_{2}^{(k)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \\ \vdots \\ x_{m}^{(1)}, x_{m}^{(2)}, \ldots, x_{m}^{(n)} ; & y_{m}^{(1)}, \ldots, y_{m}^{(k)}\end{array}\right.$

III
$\left\{\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}\right\} \equiv\left\{\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{1}}, \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{2}}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{m}}\right\}$ where $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}} \in \mathbf{V}^{\mathbf{n}}$
$\underset{\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{i}}}{\left\{\mathbf{y}_{2}\right.}=\left\{\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{1}}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{m}}\right\}=\underbrace{\left\{\vec{\varphi}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right)\right\}}_{\text {Oracle }}$
(learned or postulated)

## Transformation

Transformation on feature space:

$$
\mathbf{g}: \mathbf{x}_{i} \rightarrow \mathbf{x}_{i}^{\prime}
$$

Transformation is a symmetry if:

$$
\varphi\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{\prime}\right) \equiv \varphi\left(g\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right)\right)=\varphi\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right)
$$

Goal: Find transformations $\mathrm{g}\left(\mathrm{x}_{i}\right)$ which preserve the oracle $\varphi$. In physics, $\varphi$ represents a conserved quantity.

| $\mathbf{g}$ | $\varphi$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Time Translation $\left(T_{0}\right)$ | E |
| Rotation $\left(R_{i j}\right)$ | $\vec{L}$ |
| Lorentz $\left(K_{\mu \nu}\right)$ | $T^{\mu \nu}$ |

## Parameterization of Symmetry Transformations

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\text { Linear } \\
\mathbf{x}^{\prime}=(\mathbb{I}+\epsilon \mathcal{W}) \mathbf{x}  \tag{2}\\
\mathbb{I} \equiv & \text { identity matrix } \\
\mathcal{W} \equiv & \begin{array}{c}
n \times n \text { matrix to be } \\
\text { learned by our method }
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$
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Figure: Visualization: $S U(2)$ generators for a single layer linear model using the L2-norm oracle $\varphi(\mathbf{x})=|\mathbf{x}|$.
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## Non-Linear



$$
\rightarrow \begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{x}^{\prime} \text { or } \\
& \frac{\mathbf{x}^{\prime}-\mathbf{x}}{\epsilon}
\end{aligned}
$$

$N N$ whose parameters are to be learned by our method

## Parameterization of Symmetry Transformations

## Linear

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{x}^{\prime}=(\mathbb{I}+\epsilon \mathcal{W}) \mathbf{x} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

## $\mathbb{I} \equiv$ identity matrix

$$
\mathcal{W} \equiv \quad \begin{gathered}
n \times n \text { matrix to be } \\
\text { learned by our method }
\end{gathered}
$$
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## Non-Linear

$x \rightarrow$

$$
\rightarrow \begin{aligned}
& x^{\prime} \text { or } \\
& \frac{x^{\prime}-x}{\epsilon}
\end{aligned}
$$

$N N$ whose parameters are to be learned by our method

Figure: Visualization: Grid vector transformation representation for a deep linear layered model using the $L 1$-norm oracle $\varphi(\mathrm{x})=\left|\mathrm{x}^{(1)}\right|+\left|\mathrm{x}^{(2)}\right|$.


## Loss Function

## Ensure Symmetry $\Longrightarrow$ Invariance $\mathcal{L}_{\text {inv }}\left(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{W}},\left\{\vec{x}_{i}\right\}\right)$

Enforces invariance among a chosen oracle $\vec{\varphi}(\vec{x})$, e.g. $I^{2}$-norm $\varphi(\vec{x})=\sqrt{x_{i}^{*} x^{i}}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{i n v}=h_{i n v} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2} m} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left[\vec{\varphi}\left(\mathcal{F} \mathcal{W} \vec{x}_{i}\right)-\vec{\varphi}\left(\vec{x}_{i}\right)\right]^{2}=h_{i n v} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2} m} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left[\vec{\varphi}\left((\mathbb{I}+\varepsilon \mathcal{W}) \vec{x}_{i}\right)-\vec{\varphi}\left(\vec{x}_{i}\right)\right]^{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Loss Function

## Ensure Symmetry $\Longrightarrow$ Invariance $\mathcal{L}_{\text {inv }}\left(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{W}},\left\{\vec{x}_{i}\right\}\right)$

Enforces invariance among a chosen oracle $\vec{\varphi}(\vec{x})$, e.g. $1^{2}$-norm $\varphi(\vec{x})=\sqrt{x_{i}^{*} x^{i}}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{i n v}=h_{i n v} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2} m} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left[\vec{\varphi}\left(\mathcal{F} \mathcal{W} \vec{x}_{i}\right)-\vec{\varphi}\left(\vec{x}_{i}\right)\right]^{2}=h_{i n v} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2} m} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left[\vec{\varphi}\left((\mathbb{I}+\varepsilon \mathcal{W}) \vec{x}_{i}\right)-\vec{\varphi}\left(\vec{x}_{i}\right)\right]^{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Ensure non-triviality $\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime} \neq \mathbf{x}\right) \Longrightarrow$ Normalization $\mathcal{L}_{\text {norm }}\left(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{W}},\left\{\vec{x}_{i}\right\}\right)$
Enforces the normalization condition and finding a non-trivial solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\text {norm }}=h_{\text {norm }}\left[\mathcal{W}_{j k} \mathcal{W}_{k j}^{*}-2\right]^{2} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Figure: Linear: Rotations in $2 D$, $\varphi(\vec{x})=|\vec{x}|$.


## Loss Function

## Ensure Symmetry $\Longrightarrow$ Invariance $\mathcal{L}_{\text {inv }}\left(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{W}},\left\{\vec{x}_{i}\right\}\right)$

Enforces invariance among a chosen oracle $\vec{\varphi}(\vec{x})$, e.g. $1^{2}$-norm $\varphi(\vec{x})=\sqrt{x_{i}^{*} x^{i}}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{i n v}=h_{i n v} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2} m} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left[\vec{\varphi}\left(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{W}} \vec{x}_{i}\right)-\vec{\varphi}\left(\vec{x}_{i}\right)\right]^{2}=h_{i n v} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2} m} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left[\vec{\varphi}\left((\mathbb{I}+\varepsilon \mathcal{W}) \vec{x}_{i}\right)-\vec{\varphi}\left(\vec{x}_{i}\right)\right]^{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Ensure non-triviality $\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime} \neq \mathbf{x}\right) \Longrightarrow$ Normalization $\mathcal{L}_{\text {norm }}\left(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{W}},\left\{\vec{x}_{i}\right\}\right)$
Enforces the normalization condition and finding a non-trivial solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\text {norm }}=h_{\text {norm }}\left[\mathcal{W}_{j k} \mathcal{W}_{k j}^{*}-2\right]^{2} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figure: Linear: Rotations in $2 D$, $\varphi(\vec{x})=|\vec{x}|$.

Figure: Non-linear: Squeeze mapping in $2 D, \varphi(\vec{x})=x^{(1)} x^{(2)}$.



## Finding Multiple Symmetries

## Distinct Transformations $\Longrightarrow$ Orthogonality $\mathcal{L}_{\text {orth }}\left(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{W}}, \mathcal{G}_{w}^{\prime}\right)$

This is built on intuition from group theory where the generators of different groups obey orthogonality conditions. Enforces the orthogonality condition and finding distinct generators $\mathbb{J}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\text {orth }}=h_{\text {orth }}\left[\mathcal{W}_{j k} \mathcal{W}_{k j}^{\prime *}\right]^{2} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Epoch: $0 \mid$ Angles $=66.16^{\circ}, 92.56^{\circ}, 44.16^{\circ}$


Epoch: $100 \mid$ Angles $=92.02^{\circ}, 90.32^{\circ}, 93.08^{\circ}$

Epoch: $10 \mid$ Angles $=51.74^{\circ}, 94.25^{\circ}, 69.22^{\circ}$


Epoch: $300 \mid$ Angles $=90.0^{\circ}, 90.0^{\circ}, 90.0^{\circ}$


司 $>4$ 三 $>$ 프

## How many distinct symmetries exist?

- Input Parameter $\rightarrow N_{g}$ (number of generators). We can increase this value to search for more symmetries.


## How many distinct symmetries exist?

- Input Parameter $\rightarrow N_{g}$ (number of generators). We can increase this value to search for more symmetries.

Example: Rotations in $2 D, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}, \varphi=|\mathbf{x}|$


Figure: Success (top). Failure (bottom).


Figure: $N_{g}=1,2$ Loss

## Rotations in 4 dimensions $\left(\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{4}, \varphi=|\mathbf{x}|\right)$

Closure $\mathcal{L}_{\text {clos }}\left(a_{[\alpha \beta]}^{\gamma}\right)$
Including a closure term $\mathcal{L}_{\text {closure }}$ ensures the generators form a closed algebra.

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text {clos }}=h_{\text {clos }} \sum_{\alpha<\beta}^{N_{g}}\left[\left[\mathbb{J}_{\alpha}, \mathbb{J}_{\beta}\right]-\sum_{\gamma=1}^{N_{g}} a_{[\alpha \beta]}^{\gamma} \mathbb{J}_{\gamma}\right]^{2}
$$
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Figure: $N_{g}=3$.
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Figure: $N_{g}=4$.
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Figure: $N_{g}=3$.


Figure: $N_{g}=4$.



Figure: $N_{g}=6$.

## Other Examples: Lorentz Group $O(1,3)$ and Unitary Groups $U(n)$



Figure: Lorentz group generators, $O(1,3)$ preserving the Lorentz vector $\varphi(\mathbf{x})=\eta_{\mu}^{\nu} x_{\mu} x^{\nu}$.

## Other Examples: Lorentz Group $O(1,3)$ and Unitary Groups $U(n)$



Figure: Lorentz group generators, $O(1,3)$ preserving the Lorentz vector
$\varphi(\mathbf{x})=\eta_{\mu}^{\nu} x_{\mu} x^{\nu}$.

## Sparsity $\mathcal{L}_{s p}(\mathcal{W})$

Enforces the learned generators (axes of rotation) to be in the canonical basis (usual axes),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { axes }),  \tag{7}\\
& \mathcal{L}_{s p}=h_{s p} \sum_{j \neq \mid \cup k \neq m}^{n}\left[\mathcal{W}_{j k} \mathcal{W}_{l m}\right]^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$
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Figure: Lorentz group generators, $O(1,3)$ preserving the Lorentz vector
$\varphi(\mathbf{x})=\eta_{\mu}^{\nu} x_{\mu} x^{\nu}$.


Figure: Canonical representation of $O(1,3)$ with $h_{s p}>0$.
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Figure: Canonical representation of $O(1,3)$ with $h_{s p}>0$.


Figure: $N_{g}=8, S U(3)$ Gell-Mann matrices preserving $\varphi(\mathbf{x})=|\mathbf{x}|$.

## Understanding the Full Loss Function




Figure: The final value of the full loss function as a function of the number of generators $N_{g}$ for $U(n)$ for $n=2$ (left panel) and the $n=3$ (right panel). The colored symbols identify the dominant contribution to the loss. All hyperparameters $h_{i}$ were fixed to 1 except for $h_{\text {sparsity }}=0.05$. The learning rate was $10^{-3}$.

## Summary

## ML Symmetries

(1) Developed a method for ML symmetries in a labelled dataset.
(c) General approach.

- Finds the complete symmetry group.
(4) Can be applied to realistic datasets

Learned SO(10) generators.


Figure: Loss function results for $n=2,3,4,5$ dimensions and $N_{g}=1, \ldots, 10$ generators. The cells are color coded by the base-10 logarithm of the lowest value of the loss attained during training.


Figure: Symmetric morphing of images along contours of the $\mathbf{1 6}$-dimensional latent flow. The images in the middle column represent the ideal digits in the dataset. The remaining six images in each row are obtained by moving along the contours.
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## Outlook



