Variant Nelson-Barr Mechanism with MFV

GEORGE N. WOJCIK- UW MADISON

BASED ON 2212.07459 (JHEP 04 (2023) 063) WITH Y. BAI

Strong CP Problem and Nelson-Barr

•Why is the neutron electric dipole moment so small??

$$\bar{\theta} = \theta + \sum_{r} C(r) \arg \det M_{r} < 10^{-10}$$

Nelson-Barr solution:

- CP conserved at some high scale ($\theta = 0$)
- Then, you just need the fermion mass matrices to get the other term in $\bar{\theta}$ to vanish.

Problem: There's CP violation in the Standard Model (CKM)

 Solution: Transmit spontaneous CP violation at a high scale to light quarks through mixing with heavy vector-like quarks (VLQ's)

$$\mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix}, \qquad A^{\dagger} = A, \qquad B^{\dagger} = B$$

Nelson-Barr and Quality

 Vanilla Nelson-Barr mechanism is very vulnerable to corrections from higher order operators.

•Try enforcing a *different* relation:

 $\mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} r A & 0 \\ 0 & A^* \end{pmatrix}$

 Might do this with parity and a mirror electroweak sector^{1,2,3}, or we can keep our normal electroweak sector, and see what happens if we use **flavor symmetry**

MFV Nelson-Barr

 $SU(3)_{q_L} \times SU(3)_{d_R} \times SU(3)_{u_R} \times U(1)_u \times U(1)_d$

	SM	$SU(3)^{3}$	$U(1)_d$	$U(1)_u$
q_L	$(3,2)_{\frac{1}{6}}$	(3, 1, 1)	0	0
d_R	$(3, 1)_{-\frac{1}{3}}$	(1, 3, 1)	+1	0
u_R	$(3,1)_{\frac{2}{3}}$	(1, 1, 3)	0	+1
B_L	$({\bf 3},{\bf 1})_{Q_B}$	$(\overline{3},1,1)$	0	0
B_R	$({\bf 3},{\bf 1})_{Q_B}$	$(1,\overline{3},1)$	-1	0
T_L	$({\bf 3},{\bf 1})_{Q_T}$	$(\overline{3},1,1)$	0	0
T_R	$({\bf 3},{\bf 1})_{Q_T}$	$(1, 1, \overline{3})$	0	-1

	$SU(3)^3$	U (1) _u	$U(1)_d$
$\Sigma_d = f_d R_d$	$(3, \overline{3}, 1)$	-1	0
$\Sigma_u = f_u R_u$	$(3,1,\overline{3})$	0	-1

Vector-like fields conjugate under flavor group to SM chiral fields

SM quark masses at dimension-5 ($\propto v R_{u,d}$), vectorlike quark masses at dimension-4 ($\propto f_{u,d}R_{u,d}$).

•At tree-level, $\bar{\theta} = 0$

No mixed anomalies with QCD

Higher-Dimensional Corrections

$$\mathcal{M}^{u} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{y_{u} v}{\sqrt{2}} R_{u} & 0\\ 0 & f_{u} R_{u}^{*} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathcal{M}^{d} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{y_{d} v}{\sqrt{2}} R_{d} & 0\\ 0 & f_{d} R_{d}^{*} \end{pmatrix}$$

•MFV \rightarrow Only physical phase is the CKM phase

Leading correction to $\bar{\theta}$ appears as...

$$\Delta \bar{\theta} \sim 2 J \left(\frac{m_s^2 m_c^2}{m_b^2 m_t^2} \right) \frac{f_d^6}{f_u^6} \sim (3.1 \times 10^{-13}) \frac{f_d^6}{f_u^6}$$

Perturbative unitarity suggests either $f_d \ll f_u$ or $f_d \sim f_u$

Planck-suppressed explicit symmetry breaking? Not necessarily— symmetry group is nonanomalous and might be feebly gauged!

Phenomenology– Goldstone Bosons

- What are the phenomenological consequences? Depends on what we say about the flavor symmetry
 - Gauged? Messy, only particles at heavy scales
 - Global (or feebly gauged)? Simple, and low-energy new physics

•We'll stick to the simple case: Then we have 26 light Goldstone bosons- ALPs!

In the simplest (massless) case, model is dictated entirely by parameters f_u , f_d .

Two possible couplings of these fields to the SM

- Matter: $\mathcal{L} \supset -\frac{\partial_{\mu}a}{f_u} \sum_{\psi=q,u,d} c_{ij}^{\psi} \bar{\psi}_i \gamma^{\mu} \psi_j$
- Photons (possible): $\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{a}{f_u} \left[c_u^{\gamma} \left(Q_T^2 \frac{4}{9} \right) + c_d^{\gamma} \left(Q_B^2 \frac{1}{9} \right) \right] \frac{\alpha_{\text{em}}}{4 \pi} F^{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}$

Phenomenology: Nucleon Coupling

- Weak matter coupling contributes to additional energy loss in SN-1987A: Need the energy loss from the ALPs to be less than the neutrino luminosity.
- Constraints are dependent only on f_u , f_d , and SM flavor parameters.

 $f_d \gtrsim 7.7 \times 10^{11} \text{ GeV}, \qquad f_u \gtrsim 7.1 \times 10^{13} \text{ GeV}$

•Flavor-violating constraints, esp. $K \rightarrow \pi + X$, mean there's no way to "trap" these ALPs with sufficiently small $f_{u,d}$



Photo: ESO

Phenomenology: Photon Coupling

Photon coupling comes from electromagnetic anomaly, depends on vector-like quark charges Q_B and Q_T , specifically their differences with down- and up-like quark EM charges, respectively.

 Coupling vanishes if we assume global symmetry is feebly gauged, has no anomalies.

•For O(1) charge splitting with SM quarks, $f_u \gtrsim O(10^{13})$ GeV, $f_d \gtrsim O(10^{10})$ GeV



Photo: ESA/Hubble

Phenomenology: Flavor Stairway

- Strongest cosmological constraint comes from stable or long-lived vector-like quarks, but high mass means these are easy to avoid with tiny additional symmetry breaking
- ■26 Goldstone bosons \rightarrow potentially large contribution to effective number of neutrino species at recombination, ΔN_{eff}
- Dependent on the reheating temperature of the universe, T_{reh}
- Note the distinctive stairway plot as a function of T_{reh}-- comes from where thermal production of heavy vector-like fermion species turn on.
- Unlike other ALP ΔN_{eff} models, exotic vector-like quarks dominate thermal ALP production in the early universe



Conclusions

 We presented a mechanism for solving the strong CP problem based on a large flavor symmetry

- Vector-like quarks are unobservably heavy, but there are ample signatures for the lighter Goldstone bosons
- •MFV is just the first example that comes to mind, but the pheno is distinctive and many characteristics likely carry over to other constructions:
 - Large flavor symmetry with parameters dictated mostly by SM flavor structure
 - Large number of Goldstone bosons
 - ALP cosmology dominated by vector-like quarks

Thank You!