The <u>Collins-Soper kernel</u> from **lattice QCD** at the physical pion mass Artur Avkhadiev¹ in collaboration with

Phiala Shanahan¹, Michael Wagman², and Yong Zhao³

Massachusetts Institute of Technology CMS m_W Hackathon Open Session January 9, 2023



Transverse momentum physics affects m_W

- Extract m_W from lepton's transverse momentum in $p\bar{p} \rightarrow W^- \rightarrow \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell$.
- Transverse momentum physics in cross section calculations affects mass and uncertainty through template fits.



- $p_{\rm T}$ due to both initial state radiation (ISR) and <u>intrinsic $q_{\rm T}$ of the quark pair</u> (not shown).
- Intrinsic effects crucial at $q_{\rm T} \sim \Lambda_{\rm QCD}$!



Fig. 4(B) from High-precision measurement of the W boson mass with the CDF II detector, CDF Collaboration *et al., Science* **376**, 170–176 (2022) (*annotated for presentation*)

Cross-section sensitive to Collins-Soper (CS) kernel (I/III)

• Differential cross-section for $p\bar{p} \to W^- \to \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell$ computed by <u>resumming large logs</u> in



Simple example of resummation with Renormalization Group (RG) equations:

$$\begin{split} \mu &\sim Q \text{ good choice for } H_{i\bar{j}}(Q,\mu) \propto \ln Q/\mu \text{, but leads to } f_{i/p}^{\mathrm{TMD}} \propto \ln Q/q_{\mathrm{T}} \sim \ln Qb_{\mathrm{T}} \\ \Rightarrow \text{ use RG evolution } \underbrace{\frac{\mathrm{d}H(Q,\mu)}{\mathrm{d}\ln\mu} = \gamma_{\mu}^{H}(Q,\mu)}_{\text{d}\ln\mu} \text{ to evolve } H \text{ from } H(Q,Q) \text{ to } \mu \sim q_{\mathrm{T}} \text{,} \\ \text{ resumming the large logs.} \end{split}$$

Similarly, make use of small $b_{\rm T} = b^*$ to match TMDs onto collinear PDFs and use RG equations for TMDs to resum large logs (<u>next slide</u>)

Cross-section sensitive to Collins-Soper (CS) kernel (II/III)

• Resummed differential cross-section for $p\bar{p} \rightarrow W^- \rightarrow \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell$ depends on TMD physics in particular through the Collins-Soper kernel:

Kernel defined by an RG
equation for TMD PDFs:
$$\frac{df_{i/p}^{\text{TMD}}(x,b_{\mathrm{T}},\mu,\zeta)}{d\ln\zeta} = \frac{1}{2}\gamma_{\zeta}^{i}(b_{\mathrm{T}},\mu)$$
collinear PDFs matched at
 $b_{\mathrm{T}} = b^{*}$.
$$\frac{d\sigma}{dQ \, dy \, d^{2}q_{\mathrm{T}}} \propto \sum_{i,\bar{j}} H_{ij} \int_{0}^{\infty} d^{2}b_{\mathrm{T}} e^{i\,\mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{T}}\cdot\mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{T}}} \underbrace{e^{-\mathcal{S}(Q,b_{\mathrm{T}})}}_{(C_{ii'} \otimes f_{i'/p}^{\text{coll.}})} \left(C_{\bar{j}\bar{j}'} \otimes f_{\bar{j}'/\bar{p}}^{\text{coll.}}\right)$$
Non-perturbative piece of the Sudakov factor $\mathcal{S}(Q, b_{\mathrm{T}})$
sensitive to large b_{T} behavior of γ_{ζ} (from resummation)

Cross-section sensitive to (CS) Collins-Soper kernel (III/III)

Short- and long-distance behavior in the model $\hat{\gamma}_{\zeta}^{q \text{ NP}}$ is varied by a reasonable amount (for the long-distance piece, to accommodate the spread in the lattice data) \Rightarrow rel. variations in the cross section.



CS Kernel from Lattice QCD

Calculations on lattice field configurations with

- Different discretizations of QCD action
- Different lattice spacings
- Quark masses often larger than in nature
- gluon guark

• QCD in discretized Euclidean space-time

Ji, Liu and Liu, NPB 955 (2020), PLB 811 (2020); Vladimirov and Schäfer, PRD 101 (2020);

Ebert, Schindler, Stewart and Zhao, JHEP04 (2022) 178.

- \Rightarrow can compute space-like QCD matrix elements (MEs) in position space.
- CS kernel defined through ratios of light-like MEs in momentum space ⇒ need Fourier transform and matching between space-like and light-like MEs.



CS kernel from lattice QCD

With bare matrix elements computed, their ratio yields the CS kernel after:

- 1. Renormalization;
- 2. Fourier Transform;
- 3. Perturbative Matching.

Systematic uncertainties associated with each step.

$$\gamma_{\zeta}^{q\,\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(b_{\mathrm{T}},\mu) = \frac{1}{\ln(P_{1}^{z}/P_{2}^{z})} \ln \left[\frac{\int \mathrm{d}b^{z} e^{ixP_{1}^{z}} P_{1}^{z} \lim_{\eta \to \infty} \tilde{\phi}^{[\Gamma],\,\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(b^{z},b_{\mathrm{T}},P_{1}^{z},\eta,a)}{\int \mathrm{d}b^{z} e^{ixP_{2}^{z}} P_{2}^{z} \lim_{\eta \to \infty} \tilde{\phi}^{[\Gamma],\,\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(b^{z},b_{\mathrm{T}},P_{2}^{z},\eta,a)} \right] \\ - \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\ln P^{z}} \left. H_{q}^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(xP^{z},\mu) \right|_{P^{z}=\sqrt{P_{1}^{z}P_{2}^{z}}} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{(xP^{z})^{2}b_{\mathrm{T}}^{2}},\frac{\Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}}^{2}}{(xP^{z})^{2}}\right) \right] \\ \operatorname{Matching to light-cone MEs up to power corrections}}$$

Systematic uncertainties in analyses are significant

Same lattice QCD data with different treatments of matching, Fourier transform and renormalization leads to significant systematic effects and changes in uncertainty estimates.



Artur Avkhadiev, MIT

Fig. from Shanahan, Wagman, Zhao, Phys.Rev.D 104 (2021), 2107.11930 Lattice calculations quickly evolving from proof-of-concept to increasing precision and control over systematic uncertainties.



8

From proof-of-principle toward controlled calculations

Proof-of-concept calculations have had:

- larger-than-physical pion mass
- no attempt to control for lattice spacing effects
- limited data range ⇒ <u>truncation errors</u>
 <u>+ models used to enable fourier transform</u>

State-of-the-art calculation in progress to enable **first** controlled lattice QCD calculation of CS kernel with

- physical pion mass
- multiple calculations with different lattice spacings to enable uncertainty quantification (to be done...)



data rage that enables discrete fourier transform



Shanahan, Wagman, Zhao, Phys.Rev.D 102 (2020), 2003.06063

Preliminary results

Previous proof-of-principle (systematics not quantified):



Fig. from Shanahan, Wagman, Zhao, Phys.Rev.D 104 (2021), 2107.11930

Preliminary first calculation with systematics controlled and quantified:

