# The Bounded Information Bottleneck Autoencoder (BIB-AE)

Erik Buhmann, Sascha Diefenbacher, Engin Eren, Frank Gaede, Gregor Kasieczka, William Korcari, Anatolii Korol, Katja Krüger, **Peter McKeown**<sup>1</sup>, Lennart Rustige

<sup>1</sup>Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron

21.11.2022

peter.mckeown@desy.de



## **VAE vs GAN Architectures**



- Encoder/decoder pair
- Data mapped to regular Gaussians
- Decoder generates samples from latent space



X Less expressive

Scalable to high dimensions!



- Generator/discriminator pair
- Adversarial feedback from discriminator trains generator
- Generator produces samples
  - X Hard to train

Can be rather expressive

#### **Bounded Information Bottleneck Autoencoder: Motivation**

- Motivated by information theory: the **Information Bottleneck Principle**<sup>[1],[2]</sup>
  - Optimise trade-off between compression and retention of useful information
  - For the BIB-AE:  $\mathscr{L}(\phi, \theta) = I_{\phi}(X; Z) \beta I_{\phi, \theta}(Z; X)$
  - $I_{\phi}(X; Z)$  = mutual information between training data vector X and latent vector Z;  $\phi$  = encoder params.,  $\theta$  = decoder params.
  - $\beta$  controls the compression/information retention balance

• BIB-AE: Unifies features of common GANs and VAEs<sup>[3]</sup>

[1] Tishby et al.: **The information bottleneck method**, <u>arXiv:physics/0004057</u> (2000)

[2] Tishby and Zaslavsky: **Deep Learning** and the Information Bottleneck Principle, <u>arXiv:1503.02406</u> (2015)

[3] Voloshynovskiy et. al: Information bottleneck through variational glasses, arXiv:1912.00830 (2019)

### **Components of the Core BIB-AE Architecture**



- A: latent space KL-divergence term
- **B** : latent space discriminator/MMD term
- **C**: data space MSE term
- **D**: data space discriminator

Voloshynovskiy et. al: Information bottleneck through variational glasses, arXiv:1912.00830 (2019)

### **Adaption to Highly Granular Calorimeter Shower Data**

- Highly granular calorimeter data is very sparse
  - Causes problems for an MSE based loss
  - Switch to a discriminator based approach
- **Cell energy spectrum** has a very steep rise (MIP peak- important for calibration)
  - Difficult to model with an adversarial approach...

- Offload to separate **Post Processor** network:
  - 3D convolutions, kernel size 1
  - MSE loss and Sorted Kernel MMD loss
  - Encourage network to modify individual pixels



Buhmann et. al: Getting High: High Fidelity Simulation of High Granularity Calorimeters with High Speed, <u>CSBS 5, 13</u> (2021)

# **Latent Space sampling**

- **Relaxing regularisation** of latent space allows more information to be stored
  - Latent space deviates from a Normal distribution
- Employ density estimation to produce latent sample (e.g. KDE)
- Improve modeling of shower shape (center of gravity)





Buhmann et. al: Decoding Photons: Physics in the Latent Space of a BIB-AE Generative Network, EPJ Web of Conferences 251, 03003 (2021)

#### **From Photons to Pions**



#### **Photon showers**

- Predominantly governed by **EM** interactions
- Compact structure

Easy to generalise



#### **Pion showers**

- Hadronic and EM interactions
- Complex structure
- Large event-to-event **fluctuations**

Hard to learn

#### **Pion Showers: Sim Level Results**

Buhmann et. al., Hadrons, Better, Faster, Stronger, MLST 3 025014, (2022)

• **BIB-AE** shows consistently **high performance**; WGAN performance is mixed



#### **Pion Showers: Resolution Before and After Reconstruction**

• Interface with **Pandora PFA**; after reconstruction BIB-AE performance reduces- requires further study!



**DESY.** CERN and IBM Workshop on Foundation Models and Detector Simulation | Peter McKeown | 18.11.2022

#### **Pion Showers: Computing Time for Inference**

| Hardware | Simulator      | Time / Sl                                     | nower [ms]                 | Speed-up                |
|----------|----------------|---|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| CPU      | Geant4         | 2684  | $\pm 125$                  | $\times 1$              |
|          | WGAN<br>BIB-AE | 47.923<br>350.824                             | $\pm 0.089$<br>$\pm 0.574$ | $\times 56 \\ \times 8$ |
| GPU      | WGAN<br>BIB-AE | $\begin{array}{c} 0.264 \\ 2.051 \end{array}$ | $\pm 0.002$<br>$\pm 0.005$ | ×10167<br>×1309         |

**Speed-up of as much as four orders of magnitude** on single core of Intel<sup>®</sup> Xeon<sup>®</sup> CPU E5-2640 v4 and NVIDIA<sup>®</sup> A100 for the best performing batch size

# **Angle and Energy Conditioning**

- Multi-parameter conditioning essential to generalise simulation tool
- Normalising Flow for latent sampling- fast sampling with multiple conditioning parameters
- Flow generates latent variables + Esum given angle and energy
- Additional **energy sum** conditioning in Post Processor- rescale per shower energy to pin down energy sum



**DESY.** CERN and IBM Workshop on Foundation Models and Detector Simulation | Peter McKeown | 18.11.2022





## **Results: Angular resolution- Sim vs Reco**



**DESY.** CERN and IBM Workshop on Foundation Models and Detector Simulation | Peter McKeown | 18.11.2022

#### **Results: Visible Energy Sum- Sim vs Reco**



### **Results: Cell Energy Examples at Sim Level**



## **Conclusion: Pros and cons**

#### Pros

- Highly expressive architecture
- Permits **application-specific** modifications for increased performance
- Strong theoretical motivation enables targeted hyper-parameter tuning
- Capable of tackling multiple **different physics** cases (electromagnetic + hadronic showers)
- Possible to extend the framework to multi-parameter conditioning
   Cons
- Very **complex** architecture- lots of moving parts
- Quite a lot of parameters: ~10 million in current setups- reduced sampling speed (compared to e.g. a WGAN)
- Despite significantly increased stability, adversarial training still requires some care







#### **Problem with MSE**







**MSE trained Autoencoder** 

Reconstruction 2







#### **Pion Dataset**



- Remove ECal from geometry
- Training data generation with Geant4
- Irregular HCAL geometry projected into 25x25x48 regular grid
  - Significantly reduce sparsity
  - Barely lose any hits

- 500k pion showers
- Fixed incident point and angle
- Uniform energy: 10-100 GeV

### **Pion Showers: Sim Level Results**



**DESY.** CERN and IBM Workshop on Foundation Models and Detector Simulation | Peter McKeown | 18.11.2022

#### **Pion Showers: Sim Level Results (continued)**





#### **Pion correlations**

#### GEANT4 - BIB-AE

|                   |           |           |           |           |           |           |              |              |              | $E_{1}$       | $E_{2}$       | $E_{3}$        |
|-------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|
|                   | $m_{1,x}$ | $m_{1,y}$ | $m_{1,z}$ | $m_{2,x}$ | $m_{2,y}$ | $m_{2,z}$ | $E_{ m vis}$ | $E_{ m inc}$ | $n_{ m hit}$ | $/E_{ m vis}$ | $/E_{ m vis}$ | $/E_{\rm vis}$ |
| $E_3/E_{\rm vis}$ | -0.01     | -0.04     | 0.00      | -0.07     | -0.04     | -0.07     | 0.00         | 0.01         | -0.01        | -0.00         | -0.03         | 0.00           |
| $E_2/E_{\rm vis}$ | -0.01     | -0.00     | -0.03     | 0.02      | -0.02     | 0.01      | -0.02        | -0.02        | -0.01        | 0.02          | 0.00          |                |
| $E_1/E_{\rm vis}$ | 0.00      | 0.03      | 0.00      | 0.04      | 0.04      | 0.04      | 0.01         | 0.00         | 0.02         | 0.00          |               |                |
| $n_{ m hit}$      | 0.03      | -0.02     | -0.02     | 0.13      | 0.14      | 0.06      | 0.00         | -0.01        | 0.00         |               |               |                |
| $E_{\rm inc}$     | 0.01      | -0.03     | -0.00     | 0.08      | 0.09      | 0.06      | -0.01        | 0.00         |              |               |               |                |
| $E_{\rm vis}$     | 0.03      | -0.02     | -0.01     | 0.09      | 0.09      | 0.06      | 0.00         |              |              |               |               |                |
| $m_{2,z}$         | -0.06     | 0.01      | -0.06     | -0.08     | -0.05     | 0.00      |              |              |              |               |               |                |
| $m_{2,y}$         | -0.10     | -0.03     | -0.05     | 0.01      | 0.00      |           |              |              |              |               |               |                |
| $m_{2,x}$         | -0.08     | -0.00     | -0.06     | 0.00      |           |           |              |              |              |               |               |                |
| $m_{1,z}$         | -0.01     | -0.04     | 0.00      |           |           |           |              |              |              |               |               |                |
| $m_{1,y}$         | -0.00     | 0.00      |           |           |           |           |              |              |              |               |               |                |
| $m_{1,x}$         | 0.00      |           |           |           |           |           |              |              |              |               |               |                |
|                   |           |           |           |           |           |           |              |              |              |               |               |                |

#### GEANT4 - WGAN



### **Results: Energy linearity Sim vs Rec**



### **Results: Energy resolution Sim vs Rec**

