GOETHE UNIVERSITÄT FRANKFURT AM MAIN

# Results on the production of light (anti)(hyper)nuclei at the LHC

ESTHER BARTSCH

GOETHE UNIVERSITY FRANKFURT

WORKSHOP ON THE QCD EQUATION OF STATE IN DENSE MATTER HIC AND ASTROPHYSICS – MAGIC23, KOVALAM

# Nuclear matter production

- In high-energy hadronic collisions a deconfined phase of strongly interacting matter in equilibrium is created: quark-gluon plasma (QGP)
- The system expands and cools down
  - → When $T < T_C$: Transition to a gas of interacting hadrons and resonances
  - → Chemical freeze-out temperature $T_{ch}$ (~155 MeV): Inelastic interactions stop and hadron yields are fixed
  - → Kinetic freeze-out temperature $T_{kin}$ (~110 MeV): Elastic interactions stop and particle momentum distributions are fixed



# Nuclear matter production

- Light (anti)(hyper)nuclei are abundantly produced at the LHC in pp, p—Pb and Pb—Pb collisions
- The production mechanism in high-energy physics is still not completely understood
- Two classes of models on the market to describe nuclei production:
  - → Statistical hadronization models (SHM)

    $T_{ch}$ relevant

    production scales with particle mass
  - → Coalescence models (CM)

    $T_{kin}$ relevant

    production rates driven by the ratio between the particle radius and the system size



# Statistical hadronization

- In Pb–Pb collisions the system can be described by a **grand canonical ensemble** with three free parameters ($\mu_B$, $V$ and $T_{ch}$)
  → Quantum numbers are conserved on average
- ALICE Pb–Pb data compared to Statistical Hadronization Model fit
  → Very good agreement
- In small systems a **canonical ensemble** (CSM) has to be applied (free parameters $N$, $V$, $T_{ch}$)
  → Quantum numbers are conserved exactly

*V. Vovchenko, B. Dönigus, and H. Stoecker, Phys. Rev. C 100 (2019) 054906*

- Particles and antiparticles are produced equally at the LHC ($\mu_B \approx 0$)




THERMUS 4: Comput.Phys.Commun. 180 (2009) 84-106
GSI-Heidelberg: Nucl.Phys. A (2006) 167-199
SHARE 3: Comput.Phys.Commun. 167 (2005) 229-251

# Production of light (hyper)nuclei




A. Andronic, private communication, model based on:
Phys. Lett. B 697 (2011) 203

- Abundance of nuclei strongly sensitive to chemical freeze-out temperature $T_{ch}$, due to
  - → Large mass
  - → Exponential dependence of the yield ~ $e^{(-m/T_{ch})}$
- Note: Binding energy of nuclei (few MeV) small compared to $T_{ch}$

# Coalescence model




*J. I. Kapusta, Phys. Rev. C 21 (1980) 1301*

- Nuclei are formed after kinetic freeze-out by protons and neutrons which are nearby in space and have similar velocities
  - → Production rate is connected to the size of the bound state relative to the system size
- Advanced models use quantum mechanical approximation
  - → Wigner formalism is used
  - → Wave functions of the constituents are multiplied with a probability distribution that a nucleus is formed
- Differentiation for $A \geqslant 3$
  - → Three-body coalescence: All nucleons in the nucleus have the same distance
  - → Two-body coalescence: Inner structure of the nucleus is taken into account



# Coalescence parameter




*F. Bellini, and A. Kalweit, Acta Phys. Pol. B 50 (2019) 991*

- Main parameter of the coalescence model $B_A$:

$$B_A = \frac{E_A \frac{d^3N_A}{d^3p_A}}{\left(E_p \frac{d^3N_p}{d^3p_p}\right)^A}$$

A: mass number of nucleus

$p_p = p_A/A$

- $B_A$ is related to the probability to form a nucleus via coalescence

# ALICE detector setup

## Inner Tracking System (ITS)

- → Vertexing
- → Separation between primary and secondary vertices
- → Tracking

$\sigma_{DCAxy}$ < 100 µm in Pb—Pb

## Time Projection Chamber (TPC)

- → Tracking
- → Vertexing
- → Particle identification via d$E$/d$x$

$$\frac{\sigma(\mathrm{d}E/\mathrm{d}x)}{\mathrm{d}E/\mathrm{d}x} \approx 6\ \%$$

## Transition Radiation Detector (TRD)

- → Tracking



## Time-of-Flight (TOF)

- → Particle identification

$\sigma_{TOF}$ ≈ 60 ps in Pb—Pb

## V0

- → Centrality/multiplicity determination
- → Trigger

JINST 3 (2008) S08002

# Nuclei identification




*ALICE Collaboration, arXiv:2211.14015 [nucl-ex]*

- Low momenta: TPC
  → Nuclei identified using the energy loss measurement

# Nuclei identification




*ALICE Collaboration, arXiv:2211.14015 [nucl-ex]*

- Low momenta: TPC
  → Nuclei identified using the energy loss measurement
- Momentum determined from track curvature

$$\frac{p}{z} = r\,B$$

- High momenta: TOF

$$\beta = \frac{L}{t_{TOF}c}$$




Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 29 (2014) 1430044

# Nuclei identification




ALICE Collaboration, arXiv:2211.14015 [nucl-ex]

- Low momenta: TPC
  → Nuclei identified using the energy loss measurement
- Momentum determined from track curvature

$$\frac{p}{z} = r\,B$$

- High momenta: TOF
  → $m^2$ distribution is calculated from the time-of-flight measurement

$$m^2 = \frac{(1-\beta^2)}{\beta^2}p^2$$




ALICE Collaboration, arXiv:2211.14015 [nucl-ex]

# Nuclei $p_T$ spectra in Pb—Pb at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$=5 TeV

A=2

A=3

nuclei

antinuclei




*ALICE Collaboration, arXiv:2211.14015 [nucl-ex]*

- A=2 and A=3 (anti)nuclei measured in Pb–Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 5 TeV in different centrality intervals.
- Nuclei and antinuclei compatible
- Hardening of the spectra
  → Average $p_T$ nearly doubling going from peripheral to central collisions
- Production yields (d$N$/d$y$) extracted by integrating the spectra and extrapolating to zero and high $p_T$ through a fit with a Blast-Wave function

# Nuclei-over-proton ratio

- Clear increasing trend from pp to p–Pb and saturation in Pb–Pb collisions can be observed
- Data compared to CSM, Analytical Coalescence and UrQMD Hybrid Coalescence models
- All three models describe the data qualitatively but have problems describing it quantitatively




*ALICE Collaboration, arXiv:2211.14015 [nucl-ex]*
*CSM: V. Vovchenko, B. Dönigus, and H. Stoecker, Phys. Lett. B 785 (2018) 171–174, arXiv:1808.05245 [hep-ph]*
*Coalescence: K.-J. Sun, C. M. Ko, and B. Dönigus, , Phys. Lett. B 792 (2019) 132–137, arXiv:1812.05175 [nucl-th]*
*UrQMD: T. Reichert, J. Steinheimer, V. Vovchenko, B. Dönigus, and M. Bleicher, Phys. Rev. C 107 (2023) 014912, arXiv:2210.11876 [nucl-th]*

- For deuterons all models do rather good, for A=3 (in particular for tritons) coalescence is closer to the data with respect to the CSM

# Coalescence parameters $B_2$ and $B_3$

- $B_A$ is larger for peripheral collisions where the system size and thus the configuration space is smaller
- In Pb–Pb collisions a rise of $B_A$ with $p_T$ is observed
  → For high $p_T$ particles the configuration space becomes smaller due to a smaller region of source homogeneity

A=2




A=3




*ALICE Collaboration, arXiv:2211.14015 [nucl-ex]*

- Moving from central to more peripheral collisions (i.e. towards lower multiplicities) the rise in $p_T$ becomes milder

# t-over-$^3$He ratio in small systems

- Coalescence predicts $^3$H/$^3$He > 1 in small systems due to different nuclei radii
  → $r_{^3\mathrm{H}}/r_{^3\mathrm{He}} \approx 0.9$
- SHM expectation of this ratio is close to unity due to a similar mass of both nuclei




*F. Bellini, and A. Kalweit, Acta Phys. Pol. B 50 (2019) 991*

# t-over-$^3$He ratio in small systems

- Coalescence predicts $^3$H/$^3$He > 1 in small systems due to different nuclei radii
  → $r_{^3\mathrm{H}}/r_{^3\mathrm{He}} \approx 0.9$
- SHM expectation of this ratio is close to unity due to a similar mass of both nuclei
- Ratio of transverse momentum spectra of t and $^3$He in high-multiplicity pp collisions at $\sqrt{s}$ = 13 TeV
- Flat in $p_T$ and within uncertainties compatible with unity




*ALICE Collaboration, JHEP 01 (2022) 106*

# $\bar{t}$-over-$^3\overline{He}$ ratio in Pb—Pb collisions







*ALICE Collaboration, arXiv:2211.14015 [nucl-ex]*
*Coalescence: K.-J. Sun, C. M. Ko, and B. Dönigus, Phys. Lett. B 792 (2019) 132–137, arXiv:1812.05175 [nucl-th]*

- Ratio of transverse momentum spectra of $\bar{t}$ and $^3\overline{He}$ in different centrality intervals in Pb—Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 5 TeV
- Flat in $p_T$ and within uncertainties compatible with unity

- Average $\bar{t}$ over $^3\overline{He}$ ratio versus multiplicity compared to two-body and three-body coal.
- Present data not yet conclusive
  → will be addressed with high precision in LHC Run 3

# Hypertriton

- Bound state of Λ, p and n
- Lightest bound hypernucleus ($m \approx 2.991$ GeV/$c^2$) and very low Λ separation energy (≈ 130 keV)
- Recent calculations predict a large radius for the hypertriton wave function $r_{\Lambda\text{-}d} = 10.79\ ^{+3.04}_{-1.53}$ fm

*F. Hildebrand, H.-W. Hammer, Phys. Rev. C 100 (2019) 034002* , arXiv:1904.05818[nucl-th]

- Hypertriton decays weakly after a few cm
- Decay modes:

**$^3_\Lambda H \rightarrow {}^3He + \pi^-$** $\quad {}^3_\Lambda H \rightarrow d + p + \pi^-$ $\quad {}^3_\Lambda H \rightarrow {}^3H + \pi^0$ $\quad {}^3_\Lambda H \rightarrow d + n + \pi^0$



# Hypertriton reconstruction

- Step 1: find and identify the daughter particle tracks
  - → Using TPC PID via the specific energy loss
  - → Excellent separation of different particle species



# Hypertriton reconstruction

- Step 1: find and identify the daughter particle tracks
- Step 2: reconstruct the decay vertex of the hypertriton
  - → The identified daughters are assumed to come from a common vertex
  - → Their tracks are matched by algorithms to find the best possible decay vertex
  - → Problem: huge combinatorial background
  - → Solution: topological and kinematical cuts or machine learning approach



# Hypertriton in Pb—Pb collisions

- Recent measurement in Run 2 Pb—Pb collisions at 5.02 TeV
- Signal extraction by using a machine learning approach
- Using a boosted decision tree (BDT) and hyper parameter optimisation




*ALICE Collaboration, arXiv:2209.07360 [nucl-ex]*

# Hypertriton lifetime

- (Anti)hypertriton signal split in 9 *ct* bins
- Exponential decay spectrum shown with statistical uncertainties and best fit to the measurement
- Most precise measurement of the hypertriton lifetime to date




*ALICE Collaboration, arXiv:2209.07360 [nucl-ex]*

# Hypertriton lifetime

- Hypertriton lifetime is compatible with the free Λ lifetime within its uncertainties
  - → Supports a very loosely-bound state
- New result increased the world average lifetime value





*ALICE Collaboration, arXiv:2209.07360 [nucl-ex]*
[Nuo. Cim. 46 (1966) 786] *M. Rayet, and R. H. Dalitz, Nuovo Cim. A46 (1966) 786-794*
[Nuo. Cim. 51 (1979) 180-186] *H. M. M. Mansour, and K. Higgins, Nuovo Cim. A51 (1979) 180-186*
[J.Phys G18 (1992) 339-357] *J. G. Congleton, J. Phys. G 18 (1992) 339-357*
[PRC 57(1998) 1595] *H. Kamada, J. Golak, K. Miyagawa, H. Witała, and W. Glöckle, Phys. Rev. C 57 (1998) 1595*
[PRC 102 (2020) 064002] *F. Hildenbrand and H.-W. Hammer, Phys. Rev. C 102 (2020) 064002, arXiv:2007.10122[nucl-th]*
[PLB 811 (2020) 135916] *A.Pérez-Obiol, D.Gazda, E.Friedman, and A Gal, Phys. Lett. B 811 (2020) 135916, arXiv:2006.16718[nucl-th]*


ALI-PUB-527068

# Hypertriton: Λ separation energy

- ALICE measurement of the hypertriton binding energy is compatible with the latest theoretical predictions.





*ALICE Collaboration, arXiv:2209.07360 [nucl-ex]*
[NPB47(1972)] *R.H. Dalitz, R.C. Herndon, Y.C. Tang, Nuclear Physics B 47 (1972) 109-137*
[arXiv:1711.07521] D. *Lonardoni, and F. Pederiva, arXiv:1711.07521 [nucl-th]*
[PRC77(2008)] Y. *Fujiwara, Y. Suzuki, M. Kohno, and K. Miyagawa., Phys. Rev. C 77 (2008) 027001*
[EPJA(2020) 56] *F. Hildenbrand and H.-W. Hammer, Phys. Rev. C 100 (2019) 034002, arXiv:1904.05818[nucl-th]*

# Hypertriton production

- Determination of the baryochemical potential including the hypertriton in different centrality intervals
- Using antiparticle to particle ratios as input
- Nuclei lead to higher sensitivity due to larger amount of baryons

$$\overline{h}/h \propto \exp\left[-2\left(B+\frac{S}{3}\right)\frac{\mu_B}{T}-2I_3\frac{\mu_{I_3}}{T}\right]$$




0-5%

5-10%

30-50%

# Hypertriton production

- Fit to the data provides a value of $\mu_B$ close to zero in the most central collisions
- Antiparticle-to-particle ratio compared to SHM predictions at $T_{ch}$ = 155 ± 2 MeV and using the obtained $\mu_B$
- Very precise result even with large uncertainties for the hypertriton and a small overestimation for the $^3$He



# Hypertriton production

- Fit to the data provides a value of $\mu_B$ close to zero in the most central collisions
- Antiparticle-to-particle ratio compared to SHM predictions at $T_{ch}$ = 155 ± 2 MeV and using the obtained $\mu_B$
- Very precise result even with large uncertainties for the hypertriton and a small overestimation for the $^3$He
- Measurement of $\mu_B$ in different centralities nearly one order of magnitude more precise than the SHM fit thanks to cancellation of correlated uncertainties



# Hypertriton production vs. multiplicity

- $^{3}_{\Lambda}$H / Λ ratio vs. multiplicity
- Perfect candidate to distinguish between coalescence and statistical hadronization models
- Extremely sensitive to the nuclei production mechanism:
  - → For statistical hadronization models (SHM) the object size is not relevant
  - → In a coalescence picture large suppression of the production in small systems expected due to the object size




*Coalescence: K.-J. Sun, C.-M. Ko and B. Dönigus, Phys. Lett. B 792 (2019) 132–137, arXiv:1812.05175 [nucl-th]*
*SHM: V. Vovchenko, B. Dönigus and H. Stoecker, Phys. Lett. B, 785 (2018) 171–174, arXiv:1808.05245 [hep-ph]*

# Hypertriton in small systems

pp




- First measurement of the hypertriton in pp (13 TeV) and p—Pb (5.02 TeV) collisions
- Signal extraction using topological and kinematic cuts (pp) or machine learning approach (p—Pb)

p—Pb




*ALICE collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett.128 (2022) 252003, arXiv:2107.10627 [nucl-ex]*

# ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}\mathrm{H}$ / Λ ratio

- Measurements in pp and p—Pb:
  Two new points at different multiplicities
- Leads to the exclusion of the SHM model implementation with $V_C = 3\,\mathrm{d}V/\mathrm{d}y$ by more than 6 $\sigma$
- Data slightly favours the two-body coalescence
- But does not exclude three-body coalescence




*Coelescence: K.-J. Sun, C.-M. Ko and B. Dönigus, Phys. Lett. B 792 (2019)132–137, arXiv:1812.05175 [nucl-th]*
*SHM: V. Vovchenko, B. Dönigus and H. Stoecker, Phys. Lett. B 785 (2018) 171–174, arXiv:1808.05245 [hep-ph]*

ALI-PREL-495342

# $S_3$

- $S_3$ = ($^3_\Lambda$H / $^3$He) / (Λ / p) vs. multiplicity
- Strangeness population factor for the measurement of baryon-strangeness correlations
- Penalty factor due to mass difference cancels and size effects can be studied
- Measurements in pp and p—Pb: two new points at different multiplicities
- Data slightly favours the two-body coalescence
- But does not exclude three-body coalescence




*Coelescence: K.-J. Sun, C.-M. Ko and B. Dönigus, Phys. Lett. B 792 (2019) 132–137, arXiv:1812.05175 [nucl-th]*
*SHM: V. Vovchenko, B. Dönigus and H. Stoecker, Phys. Lett. B 785 (2018) 171–174, arXiv:1808.05245 [hep-ph]*

ALI-PREL-495347

# Summary

- ALICE is the ideal experiment to study the production and properties of light (anti)(hyper)nuclei in all collision systems
- The latest results, even though more precise than previous data, still do not allow for a strong conclusion about the dominant production mechanism
- The presented experimental results on mass and binding energy of the hypertriton support its loosely-bound structure
- The upcoming Run 3 and Run 4 will add more statistics for the measurement of light (anti)(hyper)nuclei
- This may also give the possibility of a more conclusive answer to the question of the production mechanism

# Backup

# Free Λ lifetime

- New, extremely precise measurement of the free Λ lifetime as reference for the hypertriton lifetime
- About a factor 3 more precise than the PDG value




ALI-PREL-505548