



Fundamental Physics with cosmic and gamma rays

Francesca Calore, CNRS, LAPTh <u>calore@lapth.cnrs.fr</u>

XXXIII Canary Islands Winter School of Astrophysics 2022 Nov 21 — Dec 2, La Laguna (ES)





Plan of the lectures

- Lecture 1: Particles from the sky: charged cosmic rays, gamma rays and neutrinos
 Detection techniques and observations
 Production and origin
 The multi-messenger connection
- Lecture 2-3-4: Probing new physics with astroparticle observations

Generalities of dark matter searches

Particle dark matter

Primordial black holes

Stellar/Astro axion-like particles

Anomalies and **excesses**



Primordial black holes

Limits on primordial black holes



Green & Kavanagh J. Phys. G'19

Limits on primordial black holes Evaporation of PBH and cosmic backgrounds



Green & Kavanagh J. Phys. G'19

 PBH can emit charged cosmic rays and photons via Hawking radiation => Almost-black (grey) body emission

$$T_{\rm PBH} \simeq \frac{10^{13} {\rm g}}{M_{\rm PBH}} {\rm GeV}$$

Page & Hawking ApJ'76; Carr & MacGibbon Phys. Rep.'98

- Sufficient emission from $M_{PBH} > 10^{14}$ g to set limits on their evaporation products today
- Photon contribution to the extragalactic gamma-ray and X-ray backgrounds

Carr+ PRD'10; Ballesteros+ PLB'20; Iguaz+ PRD'21

Unconstrained mass range ~ 10^{17} — 10^{22} g, the socalled *asteroid mass gap* where f_{PBH} can be 1



Limits on primordial black holes **Evaporation of PBH and Galactic diffuse emission**

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{\gamma}}{\mathrm{d}E}(l,b) = \frac{f_{\mathrm{PBH}}}{4\pi M_{\mathrm{PB}}}$$





Berteaud, FC+ PRD'22

 $\mathrm{d}^2 N_\gamma$



MeV Galactic diffuse emission Is there evidence for an additional PBH dark matter component?

Modeled spatial templates

- Inverse Compton scattering of electrons off the interstellar radiation field $e_{CR}^{\pm} + \gamma \longrightarrow e^{\pm} + \gamma_{MeV}$
- Unresolved sources
- Nuclear lines
- Positronium annihilation line
- PBH dark matter

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{\gamma}}{\mathrm{d}E}(l,b) = \frac{f_{\mathrm{PBH}}}{4\pi M_{\mathrm{PBH}}} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 N_{\gamma}}{\mathrm{d}E \mathrm{d}t} \int_{1.0.5.} \mathrm{d}s \,\rho(r(s,b)) \, ds \,\rho(r(s,b))$$

No signal detected => Upper limits on **PBH DM flux**



(,b))

Limits on PBH dark matter **Spectral fit and constraints**

Modeled **spectral components** – MCMC fit

- Inverse Compton: power law
- Unresolved sources: cutoff power law
- Nuclear lines: narrow gaussians
- Positronium annihilation: line + continuum
- **PBH** dark matter

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{\gamma}}{\mathrm{d}E}(l,b) = \frac{f_{\mathrm{PBH}}}{4\pi M_{\mathrm{PBH}}} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 N_{\gamma}}{\mathrm{d}E \mathrm{d}t} \int_{\mathrm{l.o.s.}} \mathrm{d}s \,\rho(r(s,t)) \, ds \,\rho(r(s,t))$$

[Same analysis applied for light decaying DM, *Dekker, FC+ arXiv:2209.06299*]





=> Upper limits on **PBH DM fractions**

Stellar and Astro axions and axion-like particles





For a monochromatic photon-ALP beam of energy E propagating along the x₃ axis in a cold plasma within a homogeneous magnetic field B:

$$\left(i\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x_3} + E + \mathcal{M}_0\right) \begin{pmatrix} A_1(x_3) \\ A_2(x_3) \\ a(x_3) \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{0} = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{\perp} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \Delta_{||} & \Delta_{a\gamma} \\ 0 & \Delta_{a\gamma} & \Delta_{a} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{split} \Delta_{a\gamma} &\simeq 7.6 \times 10^{-2} \left(\frac{g_{a\gamma}}{5 \times 10^{-11} \text{GeV}^{-1}} \right) \left(\frac{B_T}{10^{-6} \text{ G}} \right) \text{kpc}^{-1} \\ \Delta_a &\simeq -7.8 \times 10^{-3} \left(\frac{m_a}{10^{-8} \text{eV}} \right)^2 \left(\frac{E}{\text{TeV}} \right)^{-1} \text{kpc}^{-1} , \\ \Delta_{\text{pl}} &\simeq -1.1 \times 10^{-10} \left(\frac{E}{\text{TeV}} \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{n_e}{10^{-3} \text{ cm}^{-3}} \right) \text{kpc}^{-1} , \\ \Delta_{\text{QED}} &\simeq 4.1 \times 10^{-6} \left(\frac{E}{\text{TeV}} \right) \left(\frac{B_T}{10^{-6} \text{ G}} \right)^2 \text{kpc}^{-1} . \end{split}$$



Schrödinger-like equation of motion

$$\begin{split} \Delta_{\perp} &\equiv \Delta_{\rm pl} + \Delta_{\perp}^{\rm CM} \\ \Delta_{\parallel} &\equiv \Delta_{\rm pl} + \Delta_{\parallel}^{\rm CM} \end{split}$$



<u>Raffelt & Stodolsky PRD'88</u>; Horns+PRD'12; and others



Considering the propagation of photons in a single magnetic domain d with a coherent B-field, the propagation equations reduce to a 2-dimensional problem:



Probability for purely polarised photon beam (A_I) to oscillate into an ALP after distance d

Oscillation wave number

Critical energy



 $\Delta_{\rm osc} \simeq 2\Delta_{a\gamma}$

Strong mixing regime

Oscillation regime



Constraints on ALP-photon mixing



https://github.com/cajohare/AxionLimits

ALPs production in CC SNe

$\gamma + Ze \rightarrow Ze + a$ Production of ALPs in the SNe mainly by **Primakoff effect**







ALPs production in CC SNe

$\gamma + Ze \rightarrow Ze + a$ Production of ALPs in the SNe mainly by **Primakoff effect**







For Galactic SNe

$d\Phi_a$ _	1	$d\dot{N}_a$
\overline{dE}	$\overline{4\pi d^2}$	\overline{dE}

ALP-photon Galactic conversion

For a **monochromatic photon-ALP beam** of energy E propagating along the x₃ axis in a cold plasma within a **homogeneous magnetic field B**

$$P_{a \to \gamma} = \left(\frac{g_{a\gamma}B_T}{2}\right)^2 d^2$$

~ 0.015 $\left(\frac{g_{a\gamma}}{10^{-11} \,\text{GeV}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{B_T}{10^{-6} \,\text{G}}\right) \left(\frac{d}{\text{kpc}}\right)^2$





Raffelt & Stodolsky PRD'88; Horns+PRD'12; and others

 $g_{a_{\chi}} = 5 \times 10^{-11} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$ pure ALP beam propagating through entire Milky Way [Jansson & Farrar 2012 model]

ALP searches sensitive to the product $\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{a}_{\gamma}} \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{T}}$ **Good knowledge of B-field is required!**





ALPs gamma-ray flux from CC SNe

$\gamma + Ze \rightarrow Ze + a$ Production of ALPs in the SNe mainly by **Primakoff effect**





For Galactic SNe

$d\Phi_a$ _	1	$d\dot{N}_a$
\overline{dE}	$\overline{4\pi d^2}$	dE

$$\frac{d\Phi_{\gamma}}{dE} = \frac{1}{4\pi d^2} \frac{\dot{N}_a}{dE} P_{a\gamma}(E)$$

For massless ALPs, one-to-one correspondence between ALPs and photon energy

Gamma-ray bursts from CC SNe

Production of ALPs in the SNe mainly by **Primakoff effect** $\gamma + Ze \rightarrow Ze + a$

ALPs produced in O(10) sec bursts, with an energy spectrum peaked at 60-80 MeV

- Specific time dependent and spectral signatures

$-\overset{\alpha}{=}$ $-\overset{\gamma}{=}$

Chance to see a Galactic SN depends on SN rate (~3/century) and field-of-view of telescope



Gamma-ray bursts from CC SNe

Production of ALPs in the SNe mainly by **Primakoff effect** $\gamma + Ze \rightarrow Ze + a$

ALPs produced in O(10) sec bursts, with an energy spectrum peaked at 60-80 MeV

- Specific time dependent and spectral signatures
- Chance to see a Galactic SN depends on SN rate (~3/century) and field-of-view of telescope
- **SN1987A**: Lack of gamma-ray burst in the Gamma-Ray Spectrometer (GRS) of the Solar Maximum Mission (SMM)

 $g_{a\gamma} \lesssim 5.3 \times 10^{-12} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$, for $m_a \lesssim 4.4 \times 10^{-10} \text{ eV}$







Gamma-ray bursts from CC SNe

Production of ALPs in the SNe mainly by **Primakoff effect**

ALPs produced in O(10) sec bursts, with an energy spectrum peaked at 60-80 MeV

- Specific time dependent and spectral signatures
- Chance to see a Galactic SN depends on SN rate (~3/century) and field-of-view of telescope
- **SN1987A**: Lack of gamma-ray burst in the Gamma-Ray Spectrometer (GRS) of the Solar Maximum Mission (SMM)

 $g_{a\gamma} \lesssim 5.3 \times 10^{-12} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$, for $m_a \lesssim 4.4 \times 10^{-10} \text{ eV}$

• Extragalactic SNe: Search for gamma-ray burst at the time and direction of 20 optically characterised SNe

The same cumulative contribution can be considered for ALP production in SNe



$\gamma + Ze \rightarrow Ze + a$



Future gamma-ray bursts from CC SNe

Production of ALPs in the SNe mainly by **Primakoff effect**

ALPs produced in O(10) sec bursts, with an energy spectrum peaked at 60-80 MeV

- Specific time dependent and spectral signatures
- 3% chance to see a Galactic SN with the LAT over the next 7 years
 - Future Fermi-LAT Galactic SN: Projected constraints from observation of short gamma-ray burst from SN explosion with the LAT from time-dependent signal







$\gamma + Ze \rightarrow Ze + a$

Meyer+ *PRL'17* Crnogorcevic+ PRD'21

ALPs production in HE emitters



Photons in source B field

Extragalactic gamma-ray emitters

- AGNs jets
- Galaxy clusters

In-situ photon spectrum through hadronic (pp and pg) or leptonic interactions

In-situ conversion into ALPs

- * Interstellar medium
- Intergalactic radiation fields
- Magnetic field strength and coherence length

[Also photons from **Galactic objects** like pulsars and SNRs with conversion in Galactic magnetic field]

Star-forming and star-burst galaxies











 $\left(\frac{dN_a}{dE}\right)_{\rm S} \propto P_{\rm S}(\gamma \to a) \times \left(\frac{dN_{\gamma}}{dE}\right)_{\rm S}$









The ALP-photon mixing



$$\left(\frac{\gamma\gamma}{\mathrm{GeV}^{-1}}\right)^{-1}$$







The ALP-photon mixing

____γ

Searches for spectral irregularities





Galaxy cluster $n_e \sim 0.01 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ $B_0 \sim 1 - 10 \,\mu\mathrm{G}$



Milky Way $n_e \sim 0.1 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ $B \sim 1 \,\mu\mathrm{G}$



Wouters & Brun ApJ'13; Conlon+ JCAP'17

Searches for spectral irregularities High-energy gamma rays

Some basic requirement:

- Very bright gamma-ray sources High statistics for a good spectral determination
 Sources far enough and in the direction of strong transversal B-fields, e.g. behind or within a
- Sources far enough and in the direction of str galaxy cluster
- Good knowledge of B-field! As ALP searches are sensitive to the product $g_{a_{\gamma}}B_{T}$, the constraint on $g_{a_{\gamma}}$ is only as good as the knowledge of B_{T} .



Conversion probability in Galactic B-field

g_{ay} = 5 x 10⁻¹¹ GeV⁻¹ pure ALP beam propagating through entire Milky Way [Jansson & Farrar 2012 model]

Searches for gamma-ray spectral irregularities Galactic and extragalactic targets



Searches for gamma-ray spectral irregularities Galactic and extragalactic targets



Searches for gamma-ray spectral irregularities Galactic and extragalactic targets

Galactic targets:

- + Require only modelling of Galactic B field
- Strength of the conversion depends on position in the Galaxy (e.g. beyond spiral arms)
- Larger systematics on spectral determination due to gamma-ray diffuse emission foreground



0.00

0.25

Extragalactic targets:

- Require modelling of several B fields (intracluster, intergalactic, Galactic)
- + Depends only on latitude and longitude of the sources
- + Very accurate spectral determination
- Require modelling of EBL absorption

1.00

0.50 0.75 Conversion probability $P_{a\gamma}$



ALPs and the opacity of the Universe

• VHE photons from distant sources are attenuated by pair production onto the Extragalactic **Background Light (EBL)**

 $\phi_{obs}(E) = \phi_{int}(E) \cdot \exp\left[-\tau(E, z)\right]$

- The flux of very distant sources and at very high energies should be exponentially suppressed
- In the past, indications of anomalous cosmic transparency from gamma-ray studies interpreted as possible signs of ALPs
- Latest data consistent with EBL expectations •



$$\tau = \frac{d}{n(E)\sigma(\gamma\gamma \to e^+e^-)}$$

De Angelis et al. (2009,2011,2015); Essey & Kusenko (2012); Horns & Meyer (2012); Rubtsov & Troitsky (2014); etc

Biteau&Williams+ApJ'15; Dominguez&Ajello ApJL'15

Search for ALPs-induced anomalous EBL absorption

Buehler+ 2004.09396















Constraints on ALP-photon mixing High-energy gamma rays

Core-collapse SNe

• Searches for **single SNe** events or cumulative flux from **all past SNe**

Payez+ JCAP'14; Meyer & Petrushevska PRL'20; Crnogorcevic+ PRD'21 FC+ PRD'20, Eckner, FC+PRD'22

 MeV to GeV cosmic backgrounds offer a unique window on this production mechanism

High-energy gamma-ray sources

- Search for spectral distortion of highenergy Galactic and extra-galactic sources from X- to gamma rays (e.g. NGC1275, Mrk421)
- Search for photons appearance from photon-ALPs in source conversion (HAWC blazars, sub-PeV Gal.)

Jacobsen+2203.04332; Eckner&FC PRD'22



https://github.com/cajohare/AxionLimits



The anti-proton excess



Low-energy excess in antiproton data?

Signal:

- Excess in AMS-02 cosmic-ray antiprotons @ 10 20 GeV
 Cuoco+ PRL'17; Cui+ PRL'17; Cholis+ '17
- Accounting for covariance of various systematics the significance drops < 2σ

Reinert&Winkler JCAP'18; Boschini+ ApJ'17

Interpretations:

- Dark matter annihilation with mass ~ 40 130
 GeV (consistent with GeV excess)
- However, simple propagation scenarios cannot explain all CR data
- Syst. uncertainties still large: pbar production cross section? Effects of solar modulation? Cosmic-ray propagation models?
- Refined treatment of uncertainties is needed!



Antiproton uncertainties

Data: AMSO2 antiproton from 2016 **Model**: semi-analytical Comparison with data => discrepancy ~ few 10GV

> **New Physics? Or sys uncertainties?**

Antiproton uncertainties

Data: AMSO2 antiproton from 2016 **Model**: semi-analytical Comparison with data => discrepancy ~ few 10GV

New Physics? Or sys uncertainties?

Errors on the **data**: Covariance matrix estimated from detector info

Errors on the **model**:

- 1. Pbar production cross-sections \rightarrow Updated parameterisation and uncertainties
- 2. Transport \rightarrow Updated transport models and uncertainties
- 3. Parents \rightarrow Updated fit and contribution of highelements

Antiproton uncertainties

Data: AMSO2 antiproton from 2016 **Model**: semi-analytical Comparison with data => discrepancy ~ few 10GV

New Physics? Or sys uncertainties?

AMS-02 antiprotons are consistent with a secondary astrophysical origin

 $\chi^2 = (\text{data-model})^T (\mathcal{C}^{\text{model}} + \mathcal{C}^{\text{data}})^{-1} (\text{data-model})$

No room for dark matter

 $-2\ln$

Likelihood ratio:

$$LR(\mu_0) = -2\ln \frac{\sup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathcal{L}(\lambda, \mu_0)}{\sup_{\{\lambda, \mu\} \in \Lambda \cup M} \mathcal{L}(\lambda, \mu)}$$

CR-specific parameters vs DM-specific ones

$$-2\ln \mathcal{L}(\lambda,\mu) \equiv \chi^2_{\text{LiBeB}}(\lambda) + \chi^2_{\bar{p}}(\lambda,\mu)$$

1. CR parameters derived from LiBeB, are good for anti-protons 2. DM does not alter best-fit for propagation parameters since subdominant 3. Uncertainty on primary antiproton flux dominated by the size

of the diffusive halo, L

$$\operatorname{h} \mathcal{L}(\lambda, \mu) \equiv -2 \operatorname{ln} \mathcal{L}(L, \mu) = \left\{ \frac{\log L - \log \hat{L}}{\sigma_{\log L}} \right\}^2 + x_i (\mathcal{C}^-$$

Antiproton constraints on WIMPs

The Galactic centre GeV excess The Galactic centre ROI

Daylan+ PDU'14

30

Hooper&Goodenough '09; Vitale&Morselli '09; Hooper&Linden PRD'11; Hooper&Goodenough PLB'11; Boyarsky+ PLB'11; Abazajian&Kaplinghat PRD'12; Macias&Gordon PRD'14; Abazajian+ PRD'14; Daylan+ '14; Huang+ '15; Carlson+ '15; Ajello+15; Casandjian Fermi Symp.'14; *de Boer+'16; Macias+'16; etc.*

Galactic centre characterisation

- **Extended excess emission** above: model for diffuse emission, Sgr A* and other point sources
- The spectrum might strongly suffer from background modelling
- ✓ Compatible to be spherically symmetric about the Galactic centre
- ✓ Connection with HESS TeV GC ridge

$$|\ell|, |b| \lesssim 2^{\circ}$$

Morphology

Abazjian+ PRD'14

$$\frac{dn}{dV} \sim r^{-\Gamma} \qquad \Gamma \sim 2.6$$

Macias&Gordon PRD'14; Macias+ MNRAS'15

The Galactic centre GeV excess The inner Galaxy Rol

FC+ JCAP'15

20°

Hooper&Slatyer PDU'13; Huang+ JCAP'13; Zhou+ PRD'15; Daylan+ PDU'14; FC+ JCAP'15; Gaggero+ JCAP'15; Ajello+ 2015; Huang+JCAP '15 Linden+PRD'16; Horiuchi+'16; Ackermann+ApJ'17; Ackermann+2017; etc.

The Galactic centre GeV excess The inner Galaxy Rol

1. Almost uniform spectrum peaked at ~2 GeV 2. Extended at least up to 10 degrees

Hooper&Slatyer PDU'13; Huang+ JCAP'13; Zhou+ PRD'15; Daylan+ PDU'14; FC+ JCAP'15; Gaggero+ JCAP'15; Ajello+ 2015; Huang+JCAP '15 Linden+PRD'16; Horiuchi+'16; Ackermann+ApJ'17; Ackermann+2017; etc.

The GeV excess emission

- sources)

- ullet

 Established evidence for an excess emission above known astrophysical backgrounds (diffuse emission + point-like

 Several independent techniques find analogous results (template fitting, spectral decomposition, image reconstruction)

> Hooper+ PDU'13; Huang+ JCAP'13; Daylan+ '14; FC+ JCAP'15; Ajello+ ApJ'15; Gaggero+ JCAP'15; etc

> > Selig+ A&A'14; Huang+ JCAP'16; de Boer+'16

Storm, Weniger & FC JCAP'17

 Template fitting - image reconstruction hybrid approach (SKYFACT) has been proved very powerful in disentangling gamma-ray emission components

Storm, Weniger & FC JCAP'17

Residuals reduced significantly when (realistic) nuisance parameters are included in the fit

What is the origin of the GeV excess? **Possible interpretations**

Unresolved point sources

Constraints: (a) Spectrum & Morphology of the excess? (b) Emission in other wavelengths?

Diffuse processes

Gamma rays from dark matter (DM) annihilation

Decay/Annihilation of DM particles would lead to the production of **final gamma rays** with specific energy and spatial distribution

Agrawal+JCAP'15; Achterberg+JCAP'15; Bertone, FC+ JCAP'15; Liem, FC+ JCAP'16; O(>100) papers

Diffuse processes I **Cosmic rays in the GC**

- New population of cosmic rays injected at the GC (electrons mostly)
- of the GC) source term

Carlson+ PRD'16

Additional CR injection at the GC, accounting for enhanced SFR traced by H2 regions (5-10% of total SFR)

\bigcirc • • Markarian 421 0 **Detected sources** • • $\overline{\mathbf{O}}$ $\overline{\mathbf{O}}$ \bigcirc (\black) 4C +55.17 0 PG 1553 \bigcirc 0 Markarian 501 \bigcirc 0 0 00 00 • \bigcirc 0 0 0 000 0 \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc **BL Lacertae** • 0.000 \mathbf{O} \bigcirc 0 00 • • 6 . 3C.454.3 3C 446 0 0 Θ \bigcirc **O** Θ Θ Θ

Unresolved sources: PSR and MSPs

Spectrum

✓ Excess spectrum compatible with observed millisecond pulsars (MSPs), and marginally young pulsars

Abazaijan&Kaplinghat'12

Morphology

$$\epsilon \propto r^{-\Gamma} e^{-r/R_{\rm cut}}$$

 $\Gamma = 2.5$ $R_{\rm cut} = 3 \,\rm kpc$

Proposed population of **MSPs in the bulge** (vs disc) Hooper+PRD'14;

Petrovic+ JCAP'15; Yuang+ MNRAS'14;

- ✓ **Young pulsars** from SF in the CMZ, but difficult to explain spatial extent and observed bright ones O'Leary+ '15; Linden PRD'16
- **Bulge MSPs** from tidally disrupted globular clusters Brandt&Kocsis ApJ'15; Abbate et al. 2017; Fragione

et al. 2017; Arca-Sedda et al. 2017; Macias+JCAP'19

Issues in luminosity function of observed MSP and LMXB-to-MSP ratio

> Cholis+'14; Hooper+'15; Hooper&Linden JCAP'16; Haggard+ JCAP'17; Ploeg+ JCAP'17

Going beyond dark matter templates Stellar distribution in the bulge

Boxy bulge $0.9 \times 10^{10} M_{\odot}$

Wegg & Gerhard MNRAS'12

- Red Clump stars (near-IR) used to characterise the three-dimensional density structure of the BB
- Most recent non-parametrically deconvolved bulge model w/ VISTA Variables in the Via Lactea (VVV) data

Nuclear bulge

X-shaped bulge ~20% BB mass

Launhardt+A&A'02

Ness&Lang AJ'16

Coleman+ MNRAS'20

• X-shaped structure characteristic of boxy/peanut like morphology (extragalactic studies of barred galaxies and simulations)

Evidence for the stellar bulge GeV emission

nature astronomy

ARTICLES https://doi.org/10.1038/s41550-018-0531-z

The Fermi-LAT GeV excess as a tracer of stellar mass in the Galactic bulge

Richard Bartels^{1*}, Emma Storm¹, Christoph Weniger¹ and Francesca Calore²

✓ Stellar bulge model: Boxy bulge as traced by red-clump giants + nuclear bulge Cao+MNRAS'13; Launhardt+ A&A'02

 \checkmark Strong evidence for additional stellar bulge model (16 σ); no evidence for additional **DM model** ($< 3\sigma$)

Discriminating feature: Asymmetry at ~10 deg longitude => **Morphology** of the GCE more oblate than what found before

Macias+ Nature Astronomy'18; Macias+ JCAP'19

Statistics of photon counts How to discriminate diffuse vs point-like emission

dark matter only

Differences in the **statistics of the photon count**s can be quantified and used for model comparison

point sources only

Support for unresolved point sources (PS)

Local maxima of normalised wavelet transform

- Wavelet transform to look for **peaks** in data

- No modelling of diffuse emission required

Non-Poissonian template fitting

- Exploits difference in photon statistics: smooth signal (DM) vs larger variance across pixels (PS)
- PS fluctuations follow non-Poissonian statistics
- Sensitivity to spatial distribution and luminosity function of PS
- Required modelling of diffuse emission

The GeV excess nature The gamma-ray perspective

Truly diffuse

Unresolved sources

Difference in statistics of photon counts can be quantified and used for model comparison

Bartels+ PRL'16; Lee+PRL'16

• **Strong bias** from mis-modelling of foreground diffuse emission and controversial results

Zhong+PRL'19; Leane&Slatyer PRL'20, PRD'20; Chang+ PRD'20, Buschmann+PRD'20

 Nonetheless: evidence for unresolved point sources is there with different, independent, methods

Buschmann+PRD'20; FC+ 2102.12497; List+ 2107.09070

 Stellar bulge morphology preferred over DM also when modelling faint point sources

FC+ PRL' 21

Macias+ Nature Astronomy'18; Macias+ JCAP'19

An (at least) partial stellar origin of the GeV excess seems to be confirmed

Multi-messenger tests of the GeV excess

Complementary techniques and **multi-wavelength searches** to test the excess nature:

* Radio, X-ray, and (future) gravitational waves searches

- * Very high-energy photons with CTA

FC+ApJ'16; FC+PRL'19; Berteaud, FC+ PRD'21

* DM constraints from gamma rays (dwarf galaxies) and cosmic-ray antiprotons Di Mauro & Winkler PRD'21

Macias+ MNRAS'21

Bonus slides

Axions and ALPs dark matter

$$\tau_a = \frac{64\pi}{m_a^3 g^2}$$

[Contribution from all galaxies in the universe: redshifted line and integral over star-formation history => contribution to **extragalactic backgrounds**]

Spontaneous decay

=> Rate not-negligible for heavy (> keV) ALPs, where conversion is suppressed

Axions and ALPs dark matter

Heavy ALPs DM decay

- Search for narrow lines in X and gamma-ray data
- XMM-Newton: 5-16 keV, archival data => No evidence found for unassociated X-ray lines

Foster+ PRL'21

 Integral-SPI: new analysis of 16yr data with dedicated search for DM component in continuum Galactic emission

Berteaud, FC+PRD'22; FC+'22 arXiv:2209.06299

Axions and ALPs dark matter Stimulated decay

Spontaneous decay

Stimulated decay can occur in the presence of non-relativistic ambient radiation (e.g. CMB) Caputo+ PRD'18; JCAP'19; Battye+ PRD'20

64π $\tau_a = \frac{1}{m_a^3 q^2}$

=> Rate not-negligible for heavy (> keV) ALPs, where conversion is suppressed

=> In large-scale astro environments with low Bfield, stimulated decay dominate also for masses in the 10⁻⁶ eV mass range

Axions and ALPs dark matter Stimulated decay

Spontaneous decay

Stimulated decay can occur in the presence of non-relativistic ambient radiation (e.g. CMB)

64π $-\frac{1}{m_a^3 a^2}$

 au_a

=> Rate not-negligible for heavy (> keV) ALPs, where conversion is suppressed

Caputo+ PRD'18; JCAP'19; Battye+ PRD'20

Axions and ALPs dark matter Resonant conversion

Spontaneous decay

- Monochromatic radio emission (MHz GHz) from **DM** axion/ALP-photon conversion:
 - Resonant conversion from highly magnetised neutron stars or white dwarf stars

Pshirkov JETP'09; Huang+2018; Hook+PRL'18

 Non-resonant transitions in the Galactic center and/or of discrete astrophysical objects

Kelley&Quinn ApJ'17; Sigl PRD'17

Still large limitations in model predictions

Leroy+ PRD'20; Witte+ PRD'21; Battye+ JHEP'21; Millar+JCAP'21

64π $\tau_a = \frac{\sigma_{aa}}{m_a^3 g^2}$

=> Rate not-negligible for heavy (> keV) ALPs, where conversion is suppressed

ALPs DM

Axions and ALPs dark matter Resonant conversion

Spontaneous decay

- Monochromatic radio emission (MHz GHz) from **DM** axion/ALP-photon conversion:
 - Resonant conversion from highly magnetised neutron stars or white dwarf stars

Pshirkov JETP'09; Huang+2018; Hook+PRL'18 Kelley&Quinn ApJ'17; Sigl PRD'17

 Non-resonant transitions in the Galactic center and/or of discrete astrophysical objects

Still large limitations in model predictions

Leroy+ PRD'20; Witte+ PRD'21; Battye+ JHEP'21; Millar+JCAP'21

64π $\tau_a = \frac{1}{m_a^3 a^2}$

=> Rate not-negligible for heavy (> keV) ALPs, where conversion is suppressed

Particle DM: v-dependent x-section

$$\langle \sigma v \rangle = a + bv^2 + \mathcal{O}(v^4), v/c \sim 10^{-3}$$

- cross sections (long-range interactions for TeV scale dark matter)

$$\langle \sigma v \rangle \equiv S(v/c) \times \langle \sigma v \rangle_0$$
 • n=-1: limit

- n=0: s-wave velocity-independent annihilation
- $S(v/c) = (v/c)^n$

Non-relativistic regime: if present, **s-wave** is dominant

• S-wave can be suppressed (e.g. helicity suppression) or models may allow for v-dependent • The connection between Early Universe and today annihilation is altered in a non-trivial way

: **Sommerfeld**-enhanced annihilation in the Coulomb

 n=2: p-wave annihilation. This scenario is relevant if DM is a Majorana fermion, which annihilates to Standard Model fermion/antifermion pairs

Particle DM: v-dependent x-section Gamma-ray flux

$$\frac{d\Phi_{\gamma}}{dE_{\gamma}} = \frac{\langle \sigma v \rangle_{0}}{2m_{\rm DM}^{2}} \sum_{i} B_{i} \frac{dN_{\gamma}^{i}}{dE_{\gamma}} \times \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{0}^{\Delta\Omega} d\Omega \int_{\rm l.o.s} ds \int$$

DM phase-space distribution

$$\rho(r) \equiv \int f(r, \mathbf{v}) d^3 v$$
$$f(\mathbf{v}) \equiv \int f(r, \mathbf{v}) dr$$

 $\langle \sigma v \rangle \equiv S(v/c) \times \langle \sigma v \rangle_0$

$\int d^3 v_1 f(r(s,\Omega),\mathbf{v}_1) \int d^3 v_2 f(r(s,\Omega),\mathbf{v}_2) S(|\mathbf{v}_1-\mathbf{v}_2|/c)$

Particle DM: v-dependent x-section Gamma-ray flux

 10^{0}

 10^{1}

 10^{-4} 10^{-3} 10^{-2} 10^{-1}

 ϵ_{ϕ}

 10^{-5}

$$\langle \sigma v \rangle \equiv S(v/c) \times \langle \sigma v \rangle$$

$$\int d^3v_1 f(r(s,\Omega),\mathbf{v}_1) \int d^3v_2 f(r(s,\Omega),\mathbf{v}_2) S(|\mathbf{v}_1-\mathbf{v}_2|/c)$$

$$\Omega) \int_0^{\Delta\Omega} d\Omega \int_{\text{l.o.s}} \rho(r(s,\Omega)) \times \rho(r(s,\Omega)) \, ds$$

v-dependence of xsec can be translated in v-dependence of J-factors, allowing an easier **recasting** of limits under s-wave assumptions

Boddy et al., Phys. Rev. D 95, 123008 (2017) [1702.00408] Boddy et al., Phys. Rev. D 102, 023029 (2020) [1909.13197]

Particle DM: v-dependent x-section Impact on DM limits

Boddy et al., Phys. Rev. D 102, 023029 (2020) [1909.13197]

Limits on accreting PBH

• **10-100 solar mass** PBH can accrete interstellar gas and produce observable X-ray and radio emission today

Gaggero, FC+ PRL'17; Inoue & Kusenko JCAP'17; Lu+ ApJL'21

Same mechanism can also modify the recombination history of the Universe => constraints set by anisotropies and spectrum of the CMB Carr MNRAS 1981; Ricotti+ ApJ'08; Poulin, FC+ PRD'17

Significant theoretical uncertainties: e.g. accretion rate and the ionizing effects of the radiation; impact of more realistic/complex mass functions Manshanden+ JCAP'19

Future radio facilities (SKA, ngVLA) have the potential to either set very strong constraints on PBH abundance or to detect a population of PBHs at the GC

Weltman+ PASA'20

