## The SplitCal, a hybrid tracking calorimeter
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(a) SplitCal view with an ALP shower. $\gamma \gamma$ decays must be reconstructed and disentangled and MIPS must be identified $\rightarrow$ Dynamic range. Calorimeter is $6 \times 4 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$.

## Contact surface optimization

Putting no material between Fibre and SiPM means losing light to total reflection $\rightarrow$


But putting material means saturating more pixels


(a) 10 k simulated $\gamma$ at 2 cm on (b) 10 k simulated $\gamma$ at 2 cm on SiPM, $\mathrm{n}=1.0$ SiPM, $\mathrm{n}=1.5$

## The Quest for the right ASIC


(a) Typical SiPM ASICs dealing with small (up to $3 \times 3 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ )
(b) Typical SiPM ASICs dealing with large $\left(6 \times 6 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}\right)$

## The Quest for the right ASIC


(a) PhD Student facing off against capacitive saturation [2022 recolored]. Any similarity to real or fictional characters is purely coincidental.

(b) PhD Student facing off against amplifier saturation [2022 recolored]. Any similarity to real or fictional characters is purely coincidental.

The Quest for the right ASIC


## Backup: Contact surface optimization

- Best usage of SiPM pixels for dynamic range purposes is realized by spreading out photons onto SiPM surface
- Easiest way to realize this is by increasing the size of the air gap between Fibres and SiPM
- Issue: loss of light from escaping higher angle photons
- Answer: add reflective walls to redirect photons onto SiPM surface



## Backup: Scintillator shaping

- Possibility of setup devoid of fibres has been investigated: much cheaper
- Scintillator strips have a potential downside however: corner effects
- This resuslts in loss of light, Liouville theorem prevents from concentrating light
- Solution: bounce photons from corner areas to the SiPM on the other side of the strip
- Testing with fibres remains to be done as that system might also benefit from this geometry.


Figure 5: Working principle of Scintillator geometry optimization.

## Backup: Scintillator shaping

Fibreless scintillator mean pulse amplitude as a function of laser attenuation for different octogon laser cutoff lengths

$\rightarrow$ Fibres outperform naked scintillator but notable improvement is observed, tests with active diffusion to be performed.

## Contact surface optimization through measurements




Better performance of 3 mm plexiglas with optical grease indicates that, outside of external light losses, the advantage of active diffraction should widen for higher gaps.

## Backup: Contact surface optimization

- Angular distribution of fibre photon output is known from litterature
- Remaining limitation: optical total reflection within the fibre, reduced with higher external optical index: can be estimated from simulating the fibre itself $\rightarrow$ ongoing
- Measurements can be used to estimate the gains in the meantime using plexiglas and optical grease
- Measurements are challenging to produce replicably.


Figure 6: Double cladded WLS fibre angular output probability function (left) and cumulative distribution function (right).

## SplitCal directionality reconstruction




Figure 7: Left: angle of incidence $\theta$ [ mrad$]$ vs energy $\mathrm{E}[\mathrm{GeV}]$ of photons; right: distance between the two photons at the SplitCal surface for 600 MeV ALP mass decaying to two photons in the ToyMC.

