Beam Instrumentation & Diagnostics Part 1

CAS Introduction to Accelerator Physics

Santa Susanna, 30th of September 2023

Peter Forck

Gesellschaft für Schwerionenforschnung (GSI)

p.forck@gsi.de

Beam Instrumentation:

Functionality of devices & basic applications

Beam Diagnostics:

Usage of devices for complex measurements

Copyright statement and speaker's release for video publishing

The author consents to the photographic, audio and video recording of this lecture at the CERN Accelerator School. The term "lecture" includes any material incorporated therein including but not limited to text, images and references.

The author hereby grants CERN a royalty-free license to use his image and name as well as the recordings mentioned above, in order to post them on the CAS website.

The material is used for the sole purpose of illustration for teaching or scientific research. The author hereby confirms that to his best knowledge the content of the lecture does not infringe the copyright, intellectual property or privacy rights of any third party. The author has cited and credited any third-party contribution in accordance with applicable professional standards and legislation in matters of attribution.

Demands on Beam Diagnostics

Diagnostics is the 'sensory organs' for a real beam in a real environment.

(Referring to lecture by Volker Ziemann about 'Detecting imperfections to enable corrections')

Different demands lead to different installations:

- **Quick, non-destructive measurements leading to a single number or simple plots**
  Used as a check for online information. Reliable technologies have to be used
  Example: **Current measurement by transformers**
- **Complex instruments for severe malfunctions, accelerator commissioning & development**
  The instrumentation might be destructive and complex
  Example: **Emittance determination, tune measurement**

General usage of beam instrumentation:

- Monitoring of beam parameters for operation, beam alignment & accel. development
- Instruments for automatic, active beam control
  Example: **Closed orbit feedback at synchrotrons using position measurement by BPMs**

Demands on Beam Diagnostics

Diagnostics is the 'sensory organs' for a real beam in a real environment.

(Referring to lecture by Volker Ziemann about 'Detecting imperfections to enable corrections')

Non-invasive (= 'non-intercepting' or 'non-destructive') methods are preferred:

- The beam is not influenced $\Rightarrow$ the **same** beam can be measured at several locations
- The instrument is not destroyed due to high beam power

Instruments could be different for:

- Transfer lines with single passage $\leftrightarrow$ synchrotrons with multi-passages
- Electrons are mostly relativistic $\leftrightarrow$ protons are at the beginning non-relativistic

Remark:

Most instrumentation is installed outside of rf-cavities to prevent for signal disturbance

Typical Installation of a Beam Instrument



Accelerator tunnel:
- → action of the beam to the detector
- → low noise pre-amplifier and first signal shaping

Local electronics room:
- → analog treatment, partly combining other parameters
- → digitalization, data bus systems (GPIB, VME, cPCI, µTCA...)

Typical Installation of a Beam Instrument



Accelerator tunnel: → action of the beam to th
→ low noise pre-amplifier a

Local electronics room: → analog treatment, p
→ digitalization, data

Control room: → visualization and storage
→ parameter setting



Outline of the Lectures

The ordering of the subjects is oriented by the beam quantities:

Part 1 of the lecture on electro-magnetic monitors:

- Current measurement
- Beam position monitors for bunched beams

Part 2 of the lecture on transverse and longitudinal diagnostics:

- Profile measurement
- Transverse emittance measure
- Measurement of longitudinal parameters

Lecture on Machine Protection System on Sunday:

- Beam loss detection as one subject

Measurement of Beam Current

The beam current and its time structure the basic quantity of the beam:

- It this the first check of the accelerator functionality
- It has to be determined in an absolute manner
- Important for transmission measurement and to prevent for beam losses.

Different devices are used:

- **Transformers:** Measurement of the beam's **magnetic field**

  Non-destructive

  No dependence on beam type and energy

  They have lower detection threshold.

- **Faraday cups:** Measurement of the beam's **electrical charges**

Magnetic Field of the Beam and the ideal Transformer

- Beam current of N_{part} charges with velocity β

$$I_{beam} = qe \cdot \frac{N_{part}}{t} = qe \cdot \beta c \cdot \frac{N_{part}}{l}$$

- cylindrical symmetry

→ only azimuthal component

$$\vec{B} = \mu_0 \frac{I_{beam}}{2\pi r} \cdot \vec{e_\varphi}$$

Example: I =1µA, r =10cm ⇒ B_{beam}= 2pT, earth B_{earth}= 50µT



Idea: Beam as primary winding and sense by secondary winding

⇒ Loaded current transformer

$$I_1/I_2 = N_2/N_1 \Rightarrow I_{sec} = 1/N \cdot I_{beam}$$

Inductance of a torus of μ_r

$$L = \frac{\mu_0 \mu_r}{2\pi} \cdot lN^2 \cdot \ln \frac{r_{out}}{r_{in}}$$

- Goal of torus: Large inductance ***L*** ***and*** guiding of field lines.

Definition: $U = L \cdot dI/dt$

Torus to guide the magnetic field



Simplified electrical circuit of a passively loaded transformer:





Equivalent circuit for analysis of sensitivity and bandwidth

(disregarding the loss resistivity R_L)

A voltages is measured: $U = R \cdot I_{sec} = R / N \cdot I_{beam} \equiv S \cdot I_{beam}$

with ***S* sensitivity [V/A]** to determine **beam** current I_{beam}

equivalent to transfer function or transfer impedance ***Z***

Response of the Passive Transformer: Rise and Droop Time

Time domain description:

Droop time: $\tau_{droop} = 1/(2\pi f_{low}) = L/R$

Rise time: $\tau_{rise} = 1/(2\pi f_{high}) = RC_S$ (ideal without cables)

Rise time: $\tau_{rise} = 1/(2\pi f_{high}) = \sqrt{L_S C_S}$ (with cables)

R_L: loss resistivity, R: for measuring.





$$\tau_{rise} = \sqrt{L \cdot C_S}$$



$2\pi f_{low} = R/L$

$2\pi f_{high} = 1/RC_S$



Baseline: $U_{base} \propto 1 - \exp(-t/\tau_{droop})$

positive & **negative** areas are equal

Example for Fast Current Transformer

For bunch beams e.g. during accel. in a synchrotron typical bandwidth of 2 kHz < ***f*** < 1 GHz

⇔ 10 ns < $\boldsymbol{t_{bunch}}$ < 1 µs is well suited

*Example: GSI Fast Current Transformer **FCT**:*

Inner / outer radius	**70 / 90 mm**
Permeability	$\mu_r \approx 10^5$ for f < 100 kHz $\mu_r \propto$ 1/f above
Windings	10
Sensitivity	4 V/A for R = 50 Ω
Droop time τ_{droop} = *L/R*	0.2 ms
Rise time $\tau_{rise}=\sqrt{L_S C_S}$	1 ns
Bandwidth	2 kHz ... 500 MHz

Example: U^{73+} from 11 MeV/u (β = 15 %) to 350 MeV/u within 300 ms (displayed every 0.15 ms)







Example for Fast Current Transformer

For bunch beams e.g. during accel. in a synchrotron typical bandwidth of 2 kHz < f < 1 GHz
⇔ 10 ns < t_{bunch} < 1 µs is well suited

Example: GSI Fast Current Transformer ***FCT***:

Inner / outer radius	**70 / 90 mm**
Permeability	$\mu_r \approx 10^5$ for f < 100 kHz $\mu_r \propto$ 1/f above
Windings	10
Sensitivity	4 V/A for R = 50 Ω
Droop time $\tau_{droop} = L/R$	0.2 ms
Rise time $\tau_{rise} = \sqrt{L_S C_S}$	1 ns
Bandwidth	2 kHz ... 500 MHz

Numerous application e.g.:
- Transmission optimization
- Bunch shape measurement
- Input for synchronization of 'beam phase'

More examples see lecture 'Longitudinal Beam Dynamics' by Frank Tecker & Heiko Damerau

Example: U^{73+} from 11 MeV/u (β = 15 %) to 350 MeV/u within 300 ms (displayed every 0.15 ms)







The dc Transformer DCCT

How to measure the DC current? The current transformer discussed sees only B-flux ***changes*.**
The DC Current Transformer (DCCT) $\rightarrow$ magnetic saturation of two torii.

Depictive statement:
A single transformer needs varying beam. The trick is to ‘switch two transformers’!

- **Modulation** of the primary windings forces both torii into saturation twice per cycle
- **Sense windings** measure the modulation signal and cancel each other.
- But with the I_{beam}, the saturation is shifted and I_{sense} is not zero
- **Compensation current** adjustable until I_{sense} is zero once again

Remark:

Same principle installed in power supplier



The dc Transformer



- **Modulation without beam:**
  typically about 9 kHz to saturation → **no** net flux
- **Modulation with beam:**
  saturation is reached at different times, → net flux
- **Net flux:** double frequency than modulation
- **Feedback:** Current fed to compensation winding
  for larger sensitivity
- **Two magnetic cores:** Must be very similar.

Remark: Same principle used for power suppliers



Measurement with a dc Transformer

Application for dc transformer:

⇒ Observation of beam behavior with typ. 20 μs time resolution → **the basic operation tool**

Example: The DCCT at GSI synchrotron

U^{73+} accelerated from

11. 4 MeV/u (β = 15.5%) to 750 MeV/u (β = 84 %)



Important parameter:

- **Detection threshold: ≈ 1 μA (= resolution)**
- Bandwidth: Δf = dc to 20 kHz
- Rise-time: t_{rise} = 20 μs
- Temperature drift: 1.5 μA/^{0}C

  ⇒ compensation required.



For slow extraction: See lecture 'Injection and Extraction' by Yann Duntheil

Measurement of Beam Current

- **Transformers:** Measurement of the beam's **magnetic field**
  - Non-destructive
  - No dependence on beam type and energy
  - They have lower detection threshold.
- **Faraday cups:** Measurement of the beam's **electrical charges**
  - They are destructive
  - For low energies only
  - Low currents can be determined.

Excurse: Energy Loss of Protons & Ions

Bethe-Bloch formula:
(simplest formulation)

$$-\frac{dE}{dx} = 4\pi N_A r_e^2 m_e c^2 \cdot \frac{Z_t}{A_t}\rho_t \cdot Z_p^2 \cdot \frac{1}{\beta^2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\ln\frac{2m_e c^2\beta^2\gamma^2 \cdot W_{max}}{I^2} - \beta^2\right)$$

Semi-classical approach:

➢ Projectiles of mass ***M*** collide
with free electrons of mass ***m***



➢ If ***M >> m*** then the relative energy transfer is low

⇒ many collisions required many elections participate

proportional to target electron density $n_e = \frac{Z_t}{A_t}\rho_t$

⇒ low straggling for the heavy projectile i.e. 'straight trajectory'

➢ If projectile velocity $\beta \approx 1$ low relative energy change of projectile (γ is Lorentz factor)

➢ I is mean ionization potential including kinematic corrections $I \approx Z_t \cdot 10\ eV$ for most metals

➢ Strong dependence an projectile charge Z_p as $\frac{dE}{dx} \propto Z_p^2$

Constants: N_A Advogadro number, r_e classical e⁻ radius, m_e electron mass, c velocity of light

Maximum energy transfer from projectile ***M*** to electron m_e: $W_{max} = \frac{2m_e c^2\beta^2\gamma^2}{1 + 2\gamma m_e/M + (m_e/M)^2}$

Excurse: Energy Loss of Protons & Ions in Copper

Bethe-Bloch formula: $-\frac{dE}{dx} = 4\pi N_A r_e^2 m_e c^2 \cdot \frac{Z_t}{A_t}\rho_t \cdot Z_p^2 \cdot \frac{1}{\beta^2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\ln\frac{2m_e c^2\beta^2\gamma^2 \cdot W_{max}}{I^2} - \beta^2\right)$

(simplest formulation)

Range: $R = \int_0^{E_{max}} \left(\frac{dE}{dx}\right)^{-1} dE$

with approx. scaling $R \propto E_{max}^{1.75}$

Numerical calculation for **ions**

with semi-empirical model e.g. SRIM

Main modification $Z_P \rightarrow Z_p^{eff}(E_{kin})$

$\Rightarrow$ Cups only for

***E_{kin}* < 100 MeV/u due to *R* < 10 mm**



Approximation e.g. $Z_p^{eff} \approx Z_p\left[1 - \exp\left(-Z_p^{-2/3} c\beta \,/\, V_{Bohr}\right)\right]$

Excurse: Secondary Electron Emission caused by Ion Impact

Energy loss of ions in metals close to a surface:

Closed collision with large energy transfer: → fast e⁻ with E_{kin} > 100 eV

Distant collision with low energy transfer → slow e⁻ with $E_{kin} \leq$ 10 eV

→ 'diffusion' & scattering with other e⁻: scattering length $L_s \approx$ 1 - 10 nm

→ at surface ≈ 90 % probability for escape

Secondary **electron yield** and energy distribution comparable for all metals!

$\Rightarrow$ ***Y = const. * dE/dx*** (Sternglass formula)





E.J. Sternglass, Phys. Rev. 108, 1 (1957)

The beam particles are collected inside a metal cup
⇒ The beam's charge are recorded as a function of time.

The cup is moved in the beam pass
→ destructive device





Currents down to 10 pA with bandwidth of 100 Hz!

To prevent for secondary electrons leaving the cup

Magnetic field: The central field is $B \approx 10$ mT

for $E_\perp = 10 \text{ eV} = \frac{1}{2} m v_\perp^2 \Rightarrow r_c = \frac{m}{e} \cdot \frac{1}{B} \cdot v_\perp \approx 1$ mm.

***or* Electric field:** Potential barrier at the cup entrance $U \approx 1$ kV.

Realization of a Faraday Cup at GSI LINAC

The Cup is moved into the beam pass.

Faraday Cup
∅60 mm

vacuum flange here ∅150 mm

bellow compression for movement

pneumatic drive







Summary for Current Measurement

Transformer: **→ measurement of the beam's magnetic field**

- Magnetic field is guided by a high μ toroid
- **Types:** FCT → large bandwidth, $I_{min} \approx$ 30 μA, BW = 10 kHz ... 500 MHz
  [ACT : $I_{min} \approx$ 0.3 μA, BW = 10 Hz .... 1 MHz, used at proton LINACs]
  DCCT: two toroids + modulation, $I_{min} \approx$ 1 μA, BW = dc ... 20 kHz
- Non-destructive, used for all beams

Faraday cup: **→ measurement of beam's charge,**

- Low threshold by I/U-converter: I_{beam} > 10 pA
- Totally destructive, used for low energy beams only

Fast Transformer FCT



Active transformer ACT

DC transformer DCCT

Resolution limit



Company Bergoz

Example → Synchrotron Light Facility ALBA

3^{rd} generation Spanish synchr. light facility in Barcelona



Layout:

Beam lines: up to 30
Electron energy: 3 GeV
Top-up injection
Storage ring length: 268 m
Max. beam current: 0.4 A





From U. Iriso, ALBA

Beam current measurements:

Several in transport lines

- One per ring



Abbreviation:

FCT: Fast Current Transformer
DCCT: dc transformer
FCUP: Faraday Cup
AE: Annular Electrode
BCM: Bunch Charge Monitor

Pick-Ups for bunched Beams

Outline:

- **Signal generation $\rightarrow$ transfer impedance**
- **Capacitive *button* BPM for high frequencies**
- **Capacitive *linear-cut* BPM for low frequencies**
- **Electronics for position evaluation**
- **BPMs for measurement**
- **Summary**

A Beam Position Monitor is an non-destructive device for bunched beams

It delivers information about the transverse center of the beam:

- ***Trajectory:*** Position of an individual bunch within a transfer line or synchrotron
- ***Closed orbit***: Central orbit averaged over a period much longer than a betatron oscillation
- ***Single bunch position:*** Determination of parameters like tune, chromaticity, β-function

Remarks: - BPMs have a low cut-off frequency ⇔ dc-beam can't be monitored
- The abbreviation **BPM** and pick-up **PU** are synonyms

Time Domain ↔ Frequency Domain: Instrumentation

Time domain: Recording of a voltage as a function of time:



Instrument:

Oscilloscope



courtesy company Keysight

Frequency domain: Displaying of a voltag



Instrument:

Spectrum Analyzer

Photos and idea by Piotr Kowina

See lecture 'Time and Frequency Domain Signals' by Hermann Schmickler

Time domain: **Recording of a voltage as a function of time:**



Mathematics for function $f(t)$:

Fourier Transformation:

$$\hat{f}(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) \cdot e^{-i\omega t}\, dt$$

Frequency domain: **Displaying of a voltage as a function of frequency:**



Fourier Transformation:

- Contains amplitude & phase as the values are $\hat{f}(\omega) \in \mathbb{C}$ (complex in math. sense)
- The **same** information is displayed differently

Law of Convolution: For a convolution in time: $f(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_1(\tau) \cdot f_2(t-\tau)\, d\tau$

$\Rightarrow \hat{f}(\omega) = \hat{f}_1(\omega) \cdot \hat{f}_2(\omega)$ ⇔ convolution be expressed as multiplication of FTs

See lecture 'Time and Frequency Domain Signals' by Hermann Schmickler

Pick-Ups for bunched Beams

The image current at the beam pipe is monitored on a high frequency basis i.e. the ac-part given by the bunched beam.



Beam Position Monitor **BPM** is the most frequently used instrument!

For relativistic velocities,
the electric field is transversal:

$$E_{\perp,lab}(t) = \gamma \cdot E_{\perp,rest}(t')$$

Principle of Signal Generation of a BPMs, centered Beam

The image current at the wall is monitored on a high frequency basis i.e. ac-part given by the bunched beam.



Animation by Rhodri Jones (CERN)

Model for Signal Treatment of capacitive BPMs

The wall current is monitored by a plate or ring inserted in the beam pipe:





At a resistor ***R*** the voltage U_{im} from the image current is measured.

Goal: Connection from beam current to signal strength by transfer impedance $Z_t(\omega)$

in frequency domain: $U_{im}(\omega) = R \cdot I_{im}(\omega) = Z_t(\omega) \cdot I_{beam}(\omega)$

Result: $$Z_t(\omega) = \frac{A}{2\pi a} \cdot \frac{1}{\beta c} \cdot \frac{1}{C} \cdot \frac{i\omega RC}{1+i\omega RC} \in \mathbb{C}$$ i.e. complex function

geometry → $\frac{A}{2\pi a}$

stray capacitance → $\frac{1}{C}$

frequency response → $\frac{i\omega RC}{1+i\omega RC}$

Example of Transfer Impedance for Proton Synchrotron

The high-pass characteristic for typical synchrotron BPM:

$U_{im}(\omega)=Z_t(\omega)\cdot I_{beam}(\omega)$

$$|Z_t| = \frac{A}{2\pi a}\cdot\frac{1}{\beta c}\cdot\frac{1}{C}\cdot\frac{\omega/\omega_{cut}}{\sqrt{1+\omega^2/\omega^2_{cut}}}$$

$$\varphi = \arctan(\omega_{cut}/\omega)$$

Parameter linear-cut BPM at proton synchr.:

C = 100pF, l = 10cm, β = 50%

$f_{cut} = \omega/2\pi = (2\pi RC)^{-1}$

for R = 50 Ω $\Rightarrow f_{cut}$ = 32 MHz

for R = 1 MΩ $\Rightarrow f_{cut}$ = 1.6 kHz



Large signal strength for long bunches $\rightarrow$ **high impedance**

Smooth signal transmission important for short bunches $\rightarrow$ **50 Ω**

Remark: For $\omega \rightarrow 0$ it is $Z_t \rightarrow 0$ i.e. **no** signal is transferred from dc-beams e.g.

- de-bunched beam inside a synchrotron
- for slow extraction through a transfer line

Calculation of Signal Shape (here single Bunch)

The transfer impedance is used in frequency domain! The following is performed:

1. Start: Time domain Gaussian function $I_{beam}(t)$ having a width of σ_t

Fourier trans.



inverse Fourier trans.

2. FFT of $I_{beam}(t)$ leads to the frequency domain Gaussian $I_{beam}(f)$ with $\sigma_f = (2\pi\sigma_t)^{-1}$



3. Multiplication with $Z_t(f)$ with f_{cut} = 32 MHz leads to $U_{im}(f) = Z_t(f) \cdot I_{beam}(f)$

4. Inverse FFT leads to $U_{im}(t)$

Remark: Time domain processing via convolution or filters (FIR and IIR) are possible

Calculation of Signal Shape: repetitive Bunch in a Synchrotron

Synchrotron filled with 8 bunches accelerated with f_{acc}= 1 MHz

BPM terminated with R=50 Ω $\Rightarrow f_{acc} << f_{cut}$:



Parameter: R**=50 Ω** $\Rightarrow f_{cut}$**=32 MHz, all buckets filled**

C=100pF, l=10cm, β=50%, σ_t=100 ns $\Rightarrow \sigma_l$=15m

- Fourier spectrum is concentrated at acceleration harmonics with single bunch spectrum as an envelope.
- Bandwidth up to typically 10*f_{acc}

See lecture 'Time and Frequency Domain Signals' by Hermann Schmickler

Calculation of Signal Shape: Bunch Train with empty Buckets

Synchrotron during filling: Empty buckets, R=50 Ω:



Parameter: ***R*****=50 Ω ⇒ f_{cut}=32 MHz, 2 empty buckets**

C=100pF, *l*=10cm, β=50%, σ_t=100 ns ⇒ σ_l=15m

- Fourier spectrum is more complex, harmonics are broader due to sidebands

Calculation of Signal Shape: Filtering of Harmonics

Effect of filters, here 4th order Butterworth bandpass filter:

$$|H_{low}| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+(\omega/\omega_{low})^{2n}}} \text{ and } |H_{high}| = \frac{(\omega/\omega_{high})^n}{\sqrt{1+(\omega/\omega_{high})^{2n}}} \quad with\ H_{filter} = H_{low} \cdot H_{high}$$



Parameter: ***R*=50 Ω, 4th order Butterworth filter at f_{low}=1.8 MHz & f_{high}=2.2 MHz**

C=100pF, *l*=10cm, *β*=50%, σ_t=100 ns ⇒ σ_l=15m

- Only few frequency components leading to 'ringing' due to sharp cutoff
- Other filter types more appropriate

Generally: $Z_{tot}(\omega) = H_{cable}(\omega) \cdot H_{filter}(\omega) \cdot H_{amp}(\omega) \cdot \ldots \cdot Z_t(\omega)$

Remark: For numerical calculations, time domain filters (FIR and IIR) are more appropriate

Principle of Signal Generation of a BPMs: off-center Beam

The image current at the wall is monitored on a high frequency basis i.e. ac-part given by the bunched beam.



Animation by Rhodri Jones (CERN)

Principle of Position Determination by a BPM

The difference voltage between plates gives the beam's center-of-mass
→**most frequent application**

$$y = \frac{1}{S_y(\omega)} \cdot \frac{U_{up} - U_{down}}{U_{up} + U_{down}} + \delta_y(\omega)$$

$$\equiv \frac{1}{S_y} \cdot \frac{\Delta U_y}{\Sigma U_y} + \delta_y$$

$$x = \frac{1}{S_x(\omega)} \cdot \frac{U_{right} - U_{left}}{U_{right} + U_{left}} + \delta_x(\omega)$$



y **from** $\Delta U = U_{up} - U_{down}$

S(ω,x) is called **position sensitivity,** sometimes the inverse is used ***k(ω,x)=1/S(ω,x)***
S is a geometry dependent, non-linear function,
Units: ***S***=[%/mm], sometimes ***S***=[dB/mm] or ***k***=[mm].

Typical desired position resolution:
$\Delta x \approx 0.1 \ldots 0.3 \cdot \sigma_x$ of beam width

It is at least: $\Delta U \ll \frac{1}{10} \Sigma U$

Example: One turn = 4 bunches @ 35 MeV/u



Outline:

- Signal generation → transfer impedance
- Capacitive *button* BPM for high frequencies

  used at most proton LINACs and electron accelerators
- Capacitive *linear-cut* BPM for low frequencies
- Electronics for position evaluation
- BPMs for measurement of closed orbit, tune and further lattice functions
- Summary

2-dim Model for a Button BPM

'Proximity effect': larger signal for closer plate

Ideal 2-dim model: Cylindrical pipe → image current density via 'image charge method' for 'pencil' beam:



$$j_{im}(\phi) = \frac{I_{beam}}{2\pi a} \cdot \left(\frac{a^2 - r^2}{a^2 + r^2 - 2ar \cdot \cos(\phi - \theta)} \right)$$

Image current: Integration over finite button size: $I_{im} = a \cdot \int_{-\alpha/2}^{\alpha/2} j_{im}(\phi) d\phi$





2-dim Model for a Button BPM

Ideal 2-dim model: Non-linear behavior and hor-vert coupling:

Sensitivity ***S*** is converts signal to position $x = \frac{1}{S} \cdot \frac{\Delta U}{\Sigma U}$

with *S* [%/mm] or [dB/mm]

i.e. ***S*** is the derivative of the curve $S_x = \frac{\partial\left(\frac{\Delta U}{\Sigma U}\right)}{\partial x}$, here $S_x = S_x(x, y)$ i.e. non-linear.

For this example: central part *S*=7.4%/mm ⇔ *k=1/S*=14mm



Horizontal plane



Position Map



Button BPM Realization

LINACs, e⁻-synchrotrons: 100 MHz < f_{rf} < 3 GHz → bunch length ≈ BPM length

→ 50 Ω signal path to prevent reflections

Example: LHC-type inside cryostat:

∅24 mm, half aperture a = 25 mm, C = 8 pF

⇒ f_{cut}= 400 MHz, Z_t = 1.3 Ω above f_{cut}



Courtesy C. Boccard (CERN)



Simulations for Button BPM at Synchrotron Light Sources

Example: Simulation for ALBA light source for 72 x 28 mm² chamber

Horizontal: $x \propto \frac{1}{S_x}(left - right) \Leftrightarrow x = \frac{1}{S_x} \cdot \frac{(U_2+U_3)-(U_1+U_4)}{U_1+U_2+U_3+U_4}$

Vertical: $y \propto \frac{1}{S_y}(top - bottom) \Leftrightarrow y = \frac{1}{S_y} \cdot \frac{(U_1+U_2)-(U_3+U_4)}{U_1+U_2+U_3+U_4}$



Optimization:

- Horizontal distance
- Size of buttons

A.A. Nosych et al., IBIC'14

Result: - Non-linearity and ***xy***-coupling occur in dependence of button size and position

- Can be corrected by polynomial interpolation for beams much smaller than chamber

Pick-Ups for bunched Beams

Outline:

- Signal generation $\rightarrow$ transfer impedance
- Capacitive *button* BPM for high frequencies
  used at most proton LINACs and electron accelerators
- **Capacitive *linear-cut* BPM for low frequencies**
  used at most proton synchrotrons due to linear position reading
- Electronics for position evaluation
- BPMs for measurement of closed orbit, tune and further lattice functions
- Summary

Linear-cut BPM for Proton Synchrotrons

Frequency range: 1 MHz < f_{rf} < 100 MHz ⇒ bunch-length >> BPM length.

Signal is proportional to actual plate length:

$$l_{right} = (a + x) \cdot \tan\alpha, \quad l_{left} = (a - x) \cdot \tan\alpha$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad x = a \cdot \frac{l_{right} - l_{left}}{l_{right} + l_{left}}$$



Size: 200x70 mm^2



In ideal case: linear reading

$$x = a \cdot \frac{U_{right} - U_{left}}{U_{right} + U_{left}} \equiv a \cdot \frac{\Delta U}{\Sigma U}$$



Linear-cut BPM:

Advantage: Linear, i.e. constant position sensitivity ***S***

⇔ no beam size dependence

Disadvantage: Large size, complex mechanics

high capacitance

Technical Realization of a linear-cut BPM

Technical realization at HIT synchrotron of 46 m length for 7 MeV/u→ 440 MeV/u

BPM clearance: 180x70 mm^2, standard beam pipe diameter: 200 mm.





Technical Realization of a linear-cut BPM

Technical realization at HIT synchrotron of 46 m length for 7 MeV/u$\rightarrow$ 440 MeV/u

BPM clearance: 180x70 mm^2, standard beam pipe diameter: 200 mm.



Comparison linear-cut and Button BPM

	Linear-cut BPM	Button BPM
Precaution	Bunches longer than BPM	Bunch length comparable to BPM
BPM length (typical)	10 to 20 cm length per plane	∅1 to 5 cm per button
Shape	Rectangular or cut cylinder	Orthogonal or planar orientation
Bandwidth (typical)	0.1 to 100 MHz	100 MHz to 5 GHz
Coupling	1 MΩ or ≈1 kΩ (transformer)	50 Ω
Cutoff frequency (typical)	0.01… 10 MHz (C=30…100pF)	0.3… 1 GHz (C=2…10pF)
Linearity	Very good, no x-y coupling	Non-linear, x-y coupling
Sensitivity	Good, care: plate cross talk	Good, care: signal matching
Usage	At proton synchrotrons, f_{rf} < 10 MHz	All electron acc., proton Linacs, f_{rf} > 100 MHz





Remark: Other types are also some time used: e.g. strip-line, wall current monitors, inductive antenna, BPMs with external resonator, cavity BPM, slotted wave-guides etc.

Outline:

- Signal generation → transfer impedance
- Capacitive *button* BPM for high frequencies
  used at most proton LINACs and electron accelerators
- Capacitive *linear-cut* BPM for low frequencies
  used at most proton synchrotrons due to linear position reading
- Electronics for position evaluation
  analog signal conditioning to achieve small signal processing
- BPMs for measurement of closed orbit, tune and further lattice functions
- Summary

Broadband Signal Processing



- Hybrid or transformer close to beam pipe for analog ***ΔU*** & ***ΣU*** generation or U_{left} & U_{right}
- Attenuator/amplifier
- Filter to get the wanted harmonics and to suppress stray signals
- ADC: digitalization $\longrightarrow$ followed by calculation of of ***ΔU /ΣU***

Advantage: Bunch-by-bunch observation possible, versatile post-processing possible

Disadvantage: Resolution down to ≈ 100 µm for shoe box type , i.e. ≈0.1% of aperture, resolution is worse than narrowband processing, see below

Challenge: Precise analog electronics with very low drift of amplification

General: Noise Consideration

1. Signal voltage given by: $U_{im}(f) = Z_t(f) \cdot I_{beam}(f)$
2. Position information from voltage difference: $x = 1/S \cdot \Delta U / \Sigma U$
3. Thermal noise voltage given by: $U_{noise}(R, \Delta f) = \sqrt{4k_B \cdot T \cdot R \cdot \Delta f}$

Signal-to-noise $\boldsymbol{\Delta U_{im}/U_{noise}}$ is influenced by:

- ➢ Input signal amplitude
- ➢ Thermal noise from amplifiers etc.
- ➢ Bandwidth $\boldsymbol{\Delta f}$

⇒ Restriction of frequency width as the power is concentrated at harmonics $\boldsymbol{n \cdot f_{rf}}$

Example: GSI-LINAC with f_{rf}=36 MHz



Narrowband Processing for improved Signal-to-Noise



Narrowband processing equals heterodyne receiver (e.g. AM-radio or analog spectrum analyzer)

- Attenuator/amplifier
- Mixing with accelerating frequency f_{LO}

  ⇒ IF-output: signal with difference frequency $f_{IF} = f_{LO} - f_{RF}$
- Bandpass filter of the mixed signal (e.g at 10.7 MHz)
- Rectifier: synchronous detector
- ADC: digitalization → followed calculation of $\Delta U/\Sigma U$

} Digital correspondence: I/Q demodulation

Advantage: Spatial resolution about 100 time better than broadband processing

Disadvantage: No turn-by-turn diagnosis, due to mixing = 'long averaging time'

Analog versus Digital Signal Processing

Modern instrumentation uses **digital** techniques with extended functionality.



Digital receiver as modern successor of super heterodyne receiver

- Basic functionality is preserved but implementation is very different
- Digital transition just after the amplifier & filter or mixing unit
- Signal conditioning (filter, decimation, averaging) on FPGA

Advantage of DSP: Versatile operation, flexible adoption without hardware modification

Disadvantage of DSP: **non**, good engineering skill requires for development, expensive

Comparison of BPM Readout Electronics (simplified)

Type	Usage	Precaution	Advantage	Disadvantage
Broadband	p-sychr.	Long bunches	Bunch structure signal Post-processing possible **Required for transfer lines with few bunches**	Resolution limited by noise
Narrowband	all synchr.	Stable beams >100 rf-periods	High resolution	No turn-by-turn Complex electronics
Digital Signal Processing	all	ADC sample typ. 250 MS/s	Very flexible & versatile High resolution **Trendsetting technology for future demands**	**Basically non!** Limited time resolution by ADC $\rightarrow$ under-sampling Man-power intensive

Outline:

- Signal generation → transfer impedance
- Capacitive *button* BPM for high frequencies
  used at most proton LINACs and electron accelerators
- Capacitive *linear-cut* BPM for low frequencies
  used at most proton synchrotrons due to linear position reading
- Electronics for position evaluation
  analog signal conditioning to achieve small signal processing
- BPMs for measurement of closed orbit, tune and further lattice functions
  frequent application of BPMs
- Summary

Trajectory Measurement with BPMs

Trajectory:

The position delivered by an **individual bunch** within a transfer line or a synchrotron.

Main task: Control of matching (center and angle), first-turn diagnostics

Example: LHC injection 10/09/08 i.e. first day of operation !



Monitor number (530 BPMs on 27 km)

Courtesy R. Jones (CERN)

Tune values at LHC: Q_h = 64.3, Q_v = 59.3

Closed Orbit Feedback: Typical Noise Sources

Beam movement:

Short term (min to 10 ms):

- Traffic
- Machine (crane) movements
- Water & vacuum pumps
- 50 Hz main power net

Medium term (day to min):

- Movement of chambers due to heating by radiation
- Day-night variation
- tide, moon cycle

Long term (> days):

- Ground settlement
- Seasons, temperature variation



Courtesy M. Böge, PSI, N. Hubert, Soleil

Orbit feedback: Synchrotron light source → spatial stability of light beam

Example: SLS-Synchrotron at Villigen, Switzerland



Feedback loop:

1. Position from all BPMs

2. Calculation of corrector setting via Orbit Response Matrix

3. Change of magnet setting

1.' New positon measurement .....

⇒ regulation time down to 10 ms

⇒ Role od thumb: ≈ 4 BPMs per betatron wavelength



Uncorrected orbit: typ. $\langle x \rangle_{rms} \approx 1\ \mathrm{mm}$

Corrected orbit: typ. $\langle x \rangle_{rms} \approx 1\ \mu\mathrm{m}$ up to ≈ 100 Hz bandwidth!

Orbit Response Matrix: See lecture 'Imperfections and Corrections' by Volker Ziemann

Tune Measurement: General Considerations

Coherent excitations are required for the detection by a BPM

Beam particle's ***in-coherent*** motion ⇒ center-of-mass stays constant

Excitation of **all** particles by rf ⇒ ***coherent*** motion

⇒ center-of-mass variation turn-by-turn i.e. center acts as **one** macro-particle



Graphics by R. Singh, GSI

Tune Measurement: The Kick-Method in Time Domain

The beam is excited to
coherent betatron oscillation:
$\rightarrow$ Beam position measured
each revolution ('turn-by-turn')
$\rightarrow$ Fourier Trans. gives non-integer tune ***q***.
Short kick compared to revolution.







The de-coherence time limits the **resolution**:
N non-zero samples
$\Rightarrow$ General limit of discrete FFT: $\Delta q > \dfrac{1}{2N}$

Here: ***N*** = 200 turn $\Rightarrow$ **Δ*q*** > 0.003
(tune spreads can be **Δ*q*** ≈ 0.001!)



Decay is caused by de-phasing, **not** by decreasing single particle amplitude.

See lecture 'Time and Frequency Domain Signals' by Hermann Schmickler

Tune Measurement: Frequency Chirp Measurement

Principle: Slowly scan of the excitation frequency $\rightarrow$ beam acts as driven oscillator!
(sometimes refer to as **B**eam **T**ransfer **F**unction **BTF** measurement)

SIS-synchrotron: A wide scan with both sidebands at h=25th-harmonics:



*A detailed scan for the **lower** sideband $\rightarrow$ beam acts like a driven oscillator:*



From the position of the sidebands q = 0.306 is determined.

Advantage: High resolution for tune and tune spread (also for de-bunched beams)

Disadvantage: Long sweep time (up to several seconds).

Tune Measurement: Gen*tle* Excitation with Wideband Noise

Instead of a sine wave, **noise** with adequate bandwidth can be applied

→ beam picks out its resonance frequency:

- Broadband excitation with white noise of ≈ 10 kHz bandwidth
- Turn-by-turn position measurement
- Fourier transformation of the recorded data

⇒ Continues monitoring with low disturbance

vertical tune at fixed time ≈ 15ms



Example: Vertical tune within 4096 turn duration ≃ 15 ms at GSI synchrotron 11 → 300 MeV/u in 0.7 s

vertical tune versus time



Advantage:

Fast scan with good time resolution

Disadvantage: Lower tune resolution

U. Rauch et al., DIPAC 2009

Chromaticity Measurement from Closed Orbit Data

Chromaticity ξ: Change of tune for off-momentum particle $\frac{\Delta Q}{Q} = \xi \cdot \frac{\Delta p}{p}$

Two step measurement procedure:

1. Change of momentum ***p*** by detuned rf-frequency $\frac{\Delta p}{p} = \eta^{-1} \cdot \frac{\Delta f_{acc}}{f_{acc}}$

2. Excitation of coherent betatron oscillations
   and tune measurement

(kick-method, BTF, noise excitation):

Plot of ***ΔQ/Q*** as a function of ***Δp/p***

⇒ slope is dispersion ***ξ***.

Example: Measurement at LEP:



From M Minty, F. Zimmermann,
Measurement and Control of charged Particle Beam,
Springer Verlag 2003

Dispersion Measurement from Closed Orbit Data

Dispersion D(s_i): Change of momentum ***p*** by detuned rf-cavity

→ Position reading at one location $x_i = D(s_i) \cdot \frac{\Delta p}{p}$:

→ Result from plot of x_i as a function of **Δp/p** ⇒ slope is local dispersion ***D(s_i)***

Example: Dispersion measurement ***D(s)*** at BPMs at CERN SPS



Theory-experiment correspondence after correction of

- BPM calibration
- quadrupole calibration

From J. Wenninger: CAS on BD, CERN-2009-005 & J. Wenninger CERN-AB-2004-009

See lecture 'Imperfections and Corrections' by Volker Ziemann

Intra-Bunch Observation

High band-width measurements delivers:

- Bunch shape given by the sum $\Sigma U(t) = U_{right}(t) + U_{left}(t)$ of two plates
- Intra-bunch movement of the **center** by $x_{center}(t) \propto \Delta U(t) = U_{right}(t) - U_{left}(t)$

Example: Single bunch observation on **turn-by-turn** basis with beam excitation at SPS

Goal: Monitoring instabilities



(a) Headtail mode 1 for chromaticity $\xi = 0.2$

Courtesy Kevin Li, CAS Proceedings 2022

See lecture 'Collective Effects' by Kevin Li

Appendix: Synchrotron Light F. ALBA: Position, tune etc. Measure



Button BPMs → BPM ●
Meas. Stripline → SMES ↑
Exc. Stripline → SEXC ↑

Beam position:

- Many locations!
- Frequent operating tool
- For position stabilization i.e. closed orbit feedback

Tune: $Q_x = 18.18$ & $Q_y = 8.37$

BOOSTER and BTS



STORAGE RING



From U. Iriso, ALBA

Summary Pick-Ups for bunched Beams

The electric field is monitored for bunched beams using rf-technologies ('frequency domain'). Beside transformers they are the most often used instruments!

Differentiated or proportional signal: rf-bandwidth ↔ beam parameters

Proton synchrotron: 1 to 100 MHz, mostly 1 MΩ → proportional shape

LINAC, e$^-$-synchrotron: 0.1 to 3 GHz, 50 Ω → differentiated shape

Important quantity: Transfer impedance $Z_t(\omega, \beta)$.

Types of capacitive pick-ups:

Linear-cut (p-synch.), button (p-LINAC, e$^-$-LINAC and synch.)

Position reading: Difference signal of two or four pick-up plates (BPM):

- Non-intercepting reading of center-of-mass → online measurement and control
  Synchrotron: Fast reading, ***'bunch-by-bunch'*** → trajectory, ***slow** reading* → closed orbit
- ***Synchrotron:*** Excitation of ***coherent*** betatron oscillations ⇒ tune ***q, ξ, β(s), D(s)...***

Remark: BPMs have high pass characteristic ⇒ no signal for dc-beams

Thank you for your attention!

Backup slides

Shielding of a Transformer

Task of the shield:

- The image current of the walls have to be bypassed by a gap and a metal housing.
- This housing uses µ-metal and acts as a shield of external B-field (remember: $\boldsymbol{I_{beam}} = 1\ \mu A$, $\boldsymbol{r} = 10$ cm $\Rightarrow$ $\boldsymbol{B_{beam}} = 2$pT, earth field $\boldsymbol{B_{earth}} = 50\ \mu T$)







courtesy Company Bergoz

Stripline BPM: General Idea

For short bunches, the ***capacitive*** button deforms the signal

→ Relativistic beam $\beta \approx 1 \Rightarrow$ field of bunches nearly TEM wave

→ Bunch's electro-magnetic field induces a **traveling pulse** at the strips

→ Assumption: Bunch shorter than BPM, $Z_{strip} = R_1 = R_2 = 50\ \Omega$ and $v_{beam} = c_{strip}$



LHC stripline BPM, l = 12 cm



From C. Boccard, CERN

Stripline BPM: General Idea

For relativistic beam with $\beta \approx 1$ and short bunches:

→ Bunch's electro-magnetic field induces a **traveling pulse** at the strip

→ ***Assumption:*** $l_{bunch} << l$, $Z_{strip}=R_1=R_2=50\ \Omega$ and $v_{beam}=c_{strip}$

Signal treatment at upstream port 1:

t=0: Beam induced charges at **port 1**:
→ half to R_1, half toward **port 2**

t=l/c: Beam induced charges at **port 2**:
→ half to R_2, ***but*** due to different sign, it cancels with the signal from **port 1**
→ half signal reflected

t=2·l/c: reflected signal reaches **port 1**



$$\Rightarrow U_1(t) = \frac{1}{2}\cdot\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\cdot Z_{strip}\left(I_{beam}(t) - I_{beam}(t-2l/c)\right)$$

If beam repetition time equals 2·l/c: reflected preceding port 2 signal cancels the new one:
→ no net signal at **port 1**

Signal at downstream port 2: Beam induced charges cancel with traveling charge from port 1

⇒ Signal depends on direction ⇔ **can distinguish between counter-propagation beams**

Stripline BPM: Transfer Impedance

The signal from port 1 and the reflection from port 2 can cancel ⇒ minima in $\boldsymbol{Z_t}$.

For short bunches $\boldsymbol{I_{beam}(t) \rightarrow Ne \cdot \delta(t)}$: $Z_t(\omega) = Z_{strip} \cdot \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \cdot \sin(\omega l / c) \cdot e^{i(\pi/2 - \omega l / c)}$

Stripline length l=30 cm, α=10[0]





- Z_t show maximum at $l=c/4f=\lambda/4$ i.e. 'quarter wave coupler' for bunch train
  ⇒ l has to be matched to v_{beam}
- No signal for $l=c/2f=\lambda/2$ i.e. destructive interference with **subsequent** bunch
- Around maximum of $|Z_t|$: phase shift $\varphi=0$ i.e. direct image of bunch
- $f_{center}=1/4 \cdot c/l \cdot (2n-1)$. For first lope: $f_{low}=1/2 \cdot f_{center}$, $f_{high}=3/2 \cdot f_{center}$ i.e. bandwidth $\approx 1/2 \cdot f_{center}$
- Precise matching at feed-through required t o preserve 50 Ω matching.

Stripline BPM: Transfer Impedance

The signal from port 1 and the reflection from port 2 can cancel $\Rightarrow$ minima in $\boldsymbol{Z_t}$.

For bunches of length σ: $\Rightarrow Z_t(\omega) = Z_{strip} \cdot \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \cdot e^{-\omega^2\sigma^2/2} \cdot \sin(\omega l / c) \cdot e^{i(\pi/2 - \omega l / c)}$

Stripline length l=30 cm, $\alpha=10^0$





- $Z_t(\omega)$ decreases for higher frequencies
- If total bunch is too long $\boldsymbol{\pm 3\sigma_t > l}$ destructive interference leads to signal damping

  Cure: length of stripline has to be matched to bunch length

Further advantage: Linear phase propagation $\Rightarrow$ good for coupled bunch feedback

Comparison: Stripline and Button BPM (simplified)

	Stripline	Button
Idea	traveling wave	electro-static
Requirement	Careful $Z_{strip} = 50\ \Omega$ matching	
Signal quality	Less deformation of bunch signal	Deformation by finite size and capacitance
Bandwidth	Broadband, but minima	Highpass, but $f_{cut} < 1$ GHz
Signal strength	Large Large longitudinal and transverse coverage possible	Small Size <∅3cm, to prevent signal deformation
Mechanics	Complex	Simple
Installation	Inside quadrupole possible ⇒improving accuracy	Compact insertion
Directivity	**YES**	No

FlASH BPM inside quadrupole





From . S. Vilkins, D. Nölle (DESY)

Ideal 2-dim model:

Due to the non-linearity, the beam size enters in the position reading.

Finite beam size:

- Calculation of signal response at different location
- 'Averaging' of image position

⇒ Cannot be corrected !



Remark: For most LINACs: Linearity is less important, because beam has to be centered Position correction as feed-forward for next macro-pulse.

Button BPM at Synchrotron Light Sources

Due to synchrotron radiation, the button insulation might be destroyed
⇒buttons only in vertical plane possible ⇒ increased non-linearity





HERA-e realization

$$\text{horizontal}: x = \frac{1}{S_x} \cdot \frac{(U_1 + U_4) - (U_2 + U_3)}{U_1 + U_2 + U_3 + U_4}$$

$$\text{vertical}: y = \frac{1}{S_y} \cdot \frac{(U_1 + U_2) - (U_3 + U_4)}{U_1 + U_2 + U_3 + U_4}$$

PEP-realization: N. Kurita et al., PAC 1995

Close Orbit Feedback: Results

Orbit feedback:

Example: 12 beam positions at GSI-SIS during ramping from 8.6 to 500 MeV/u for Ar^{18+}





Procedure:

1. Position from all 12 BPMs

2. Calculation of corrector setting on fast (FPGA-based) electronics

3. Submission to corrector magnets

4. New position measurement

⇒ regulation time down to 10 ms

Role of thumb:

Movement related to tune i.e. 'natural oscillations by periodic focusing'

To determine the 'sine-like' oscillation 4 BPMs per oscillation are required

⇒ 4 BPMs per tune value (but detailed investigation required to determine the # of BPMs)

β-Function Measurement from Bunch-by-Bunch BPM Data

Excitation of **coherent** betatron oscillations:

$\rightarrow$ Time-dependent position reading results the phase advance between BPMs

The phase advance is:

$$\Delta\mu = \mu_i - \mu_0$$

β - function from

$$\Delta\mu = \int_{S0}^{Si} \frac{ds}{\beta(s)}$$



Remark: Determination of β-function with 3 BPMs:

$$\beta_{meas}(BPM_1) = \beta_{model}(BPM_1) \cdot \frac{\cot[\,\mu_{meas}(1{\rightarrow}2)] \quad - \quad \cot[(\mu_{meas}(1{\rightarrow}3)\,]}{\cot[\,\mu_{model}(1{\rightarrow}2)] - \cot[\mu_{model}(1{\rightarrow}3)\,]}$$

See e.g.: R. Tomas et al., Phys. Rev. Acc. Beams **20,** 054801 (2017)
A. Wegscheider et al., Phys. Rev. Acc. Beams **20,** 111002 (2017)

See lecture 'Imperfections and Corrections' by Volker Ziemann

‘Beta-beating’ from Bunch-by-Bunch BPM Data

Example: ‘Beta-beating’ at BPM $\Delta\beta = \beta_{meas} - \beta_{model}$ with measured β_{meas} & calculated β_{model} for each BPM at BNL for RHIC (proton-proton or ions circular collider with 3.8 km length)

Result concerning ‘beta-beating’:

- Model doesn’t fit reality completely
  e.g. caused by misalignments
- Corrections executed
- Increase of the luminosity

Remark:

Measurement accuracy depends on

- BPM accuracy
- Numerical evaluation method



From X. Shen et al.,
Phys. Rev. Acc. Beams **16**, 111001 (2013)

See lecture ‘Imperfections and Corrections’ by Volker Ziemann

Example for Fast Current Transformer

For bunch beams e.g. during accel. in a synchrotron typical bandwidth of 2 kHz < f < 1 GHz
⇔ 10 ns < t_{bunch} < 1 µs is well suited

*Example: GSI Fast Current Transformer **FCT**:*

Inner / outer radius	**70 / 90 mm**
Permeability	$\mu_r \approx 10^5$ for f < 100 kHz $\mu_r \propto$ 1/f above
Windings	10
Sensitivity	4 V/A for R = 50 Ω
Droop time $\tau_{droop} = L/R$	0.2 ms
Rise time $\tau_{rise} = \sqrt{L_S C_S}$	1 ns
Bandwidth	2 kHz ... 500 MHz

Fast extraction from GSI synchrotron:



Numerous application e.g.:
- Transmission optimization
- Bunch shape measurement
- Input for synchronization of 'beam phase'



Comparison: Filtered Signal ↔ single Turn

Example: GSI Synchr.: U^{73+}, E_{inj} = 11.5 MeV/u→ E_{out} = 250 MeV/u within 0.5 s, 10^9 ions





- Position resolution < 30 µm (BPM diameter d=180 mm)
- average over 1000 turns corresponding to ≈1 ms or ≈1 kHz bandwidth

- Turn-by-turn data have much larger variation

However: Not only noise contributes but additionally **beam movement** by betatron oscillation ⇒ broadband processing i.e. turn-by-turn readout for tune determination.

Visualization concerning Fourier Transformation

Please look at this corn field:

- Each straw seems to be fully stochastically distributed over the field :
  Similar to white noise

What If now look from a different perspective :

- You see a clear macrostructure (even with some harmonics)
- You see even fine microstructure of the single corn rows

  → in the case of the Schottky signal analysis the different perspective is **the frequency domain.**

Photos and idea by Piotr Kowina